



# **Annual Report 1985**

---

## ***Summary of California Public Debt***

**Jesse M. Unruh**  
***California State Treasurer***  
***and Chairman***

---

ANNUAL REPORT 1985

SUMMARY OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEBT

March 31, 1986

CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION  
915 Capitol Mall, Room 400  
P.O. Box 942809  
Sacramento, CA 94209-0001

JESSE M. UNRUH  
CALIFORNIA STATE TREASURER  
and  
CHAIRMAN



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
OFFICE OF THE

**Treasurer**

March 31, 1986

More than \$32 billion in tax-exempt financings were completed in California in 1985. This total represents a 76 percent increase over 1984. These figures and other summary data are presented in this 1985 Annual Report prepared by the California Debt Advisory Commission.

The growth in financings in 1985 over 1984 is in large part attributable to the anticipated consequences of HR 3838 currently pending in Congress. The measure, if enacted, would dramatically affect the tax-exempt status of many financings and explains the unusually heavy volume of issues during the last half of 1985.

The Commission's report is based on information provided by State and local debt issuers pursuant to Chapter 1088, Statutes of 1981, as of March 31, 1986. The information contained herein is not subject to audit by the Commission.

Sincerely,

JESSE M. UNRUH  
California State Treasurer  
Chairman, California Debt Advisory Commission

**CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION**

The California Debt Advisory Commission is the State's clearinghouse for tax-exempt debt issuance information. The Commission was created by the California Legislature in 1981 to assist State and local government agencies with the monitoring, issuance, and management of public debt.

The California Debt Advisory Commission members include:

**Jesse M. Unruh**  
California State Treasurer  
and Chairman

**George Deuknejian**  
Governor

**Robert Beverly**  
State Senator

**Kenneth Cory**  
Controller

**John Foran**  
State Senator

**Richard B. Dixon**  
Treasurer and Tax Collector  
of Los Angeles County

**Jim Costa**  
State Assemblyman

**Thomas C. Rupert**  
Treasurer  
of the City of Torrance

**Patrick Nolan**  
State Assemblyman

**Additional information concerning this report or  
the program of the California Debt Advisory Commission  
may be obtained by contacting:**

**Theresa Molinari**  
Executive Secretary  
California Debt Advisory Commission  
916/324-2585

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEBT: 1984-85 COMPARISON.....	2
III.	OVERVIEW OF STATEWIDE DEBT ISSUANCES.....	3
	A. Types of Statewide Debt Issuances.....	4
	B. Purposes of Statewide Debt Issuances.....	5
IV.	OVERVIEW OF LOCAL DEBT ISSUANCES.....	6
	A. Types of Local Debt Issuances.....	7
	B. Purposes of Local Debt Issuances.....	8
V.	REFUNDING OF STATE AND LOCAL DEBT ISSUES.....	10
	A. Statewide Refunding Issues.....	10
	B. Local Refunding Issues.....	11

NOTE: Information presented in this publication is taken from reports filed by State and local governments pursuant to Section 8855 of the Government Code. This information is believed to be reliable, but it is not subject to audit by the California Debt Advisory Commission.

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE-1	SUMMARY OF 1985 FINANCINGS.....	12
TABLE-2	OVERVIEW OF STATE 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS.....	13
TABLE-3	FIVE LARGEST STATE ISSUES 1985.....	15
TABLE-4	FIVE SMALLEST STATE ISSUES 1985.....	16
TABLE-5	SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES.....	17
TABLE-6	SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES.....	21
TABLE-7	OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS.....	25
TABLE-8	TEN LARGEST LOCAL ISSUES 1985.....	45
TABLE-9	TEN SMALLEST LOCAL ISSUES 1985.....	46
TABLE-10	SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES.....	47
TABLE-11	SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES.....	87
TABLE-12	SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING.....	127
TABLE-13	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	135

ANNUAL REPORT 1985  
CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION

I. INTRODUCTION

The California Debt Advisory Commission (CDAC) was created by the California Legislature (Chapter 1088, Statutes of 1981) to assist State and local government agencies with the monitoring, issuance, and management of debt. The CDAC helps to protect and improve the credit standing of public agencies in the State and to ensure continued access to the public debt markets by collecting information on the issuance of debt, providing assistance to local governments, and analyzing policy issues concerning public debt.

Since the California Debt Advisory Commission began collecting information on California public debt in 1982, data from the Commission's reporting forms has been regularly reported in the monthly publication Debt Line. This Annual Report of the Commission summarizes the data on newly-issued California public debt for the year 1985.

## II. CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEBT: 1984-85 COMPARISON

California State agencies, together with student loan corporations which make funds available on a statewide basis, sold over \$4.744 billion (77 issues) of tax-exempt debt in 1984 and nearly \$8.594 billion (127 issues) in 1985. This represents an 81 percent year-over-year increase in terms of volume and a 65 percent increase in the number of issues sold. The increase in State agencies' financings for this same time period was 69 percent in aggregate volume. Student loan corporations registered a 660 percent increase in volume sold in 1985 over 1984.

New tax-exempt debt issued on the local level shows a similar increase to that registered at the statewide level. Local issuers sold almost \$23.936 billion of debt in 1985--a 74 percent increase in volume over the 1984 total of more than \$13.755 billion. The 1,336 issues completed in 1985 by local agencies represents a 65 percent increase over the 811 issues completed in 1984.

(Table 1 presents a summary of 1985 issues.)

All California issuers combined sold about \$18.50 billion (888 issues) in 1984 and nearly \$32.53 billion (1,463 issues) in 1985. This represents a year-over-year change of 76 percent in the volume of newly-issued California public debt and 65 percent in the number of issues sold. In 1985, 142 issues were sold

to refund outstanding indebtedness. These 142 issues total about \$6.584 billion. Of this total, about \$3.737 billion was associated with issues completely dedicated to refunding. The remainder, about \$2.847 billion, is for financings which were either only partially for refunding or for financings for which data were not available to determine if they were partial or full refundings.

### III. OVERVIEW OF STATEWIDE DEBT ISSUANCES

In 1985, 12 State issuers, together with the California Higher Education Loan Authority and the California Student Loan Finance Corporation, completed 127 debt issues totalling nearly \$8.594 billion. Although the two issuers of student loan bonds are not agencies of the State government, these corporations are included in the "State" portion of this report because they provide funds on a statewide basis. (Table 2 provides an overview of statewide 1985 debt financings.)

The largest issuer by volume was the State of California which issued \$3.275 billion (21 issues) through the State Treasurer's Office. The most frequent State issuer for the year was the California Health Facilities Financing Authority with 39 issues (totalling almost \$1.572 billion). The smallest State issuer was the Department of Transportation which sold one issue

in the amount of \$5 million. (Table 3 shows the five largest State issues and Table 4 shows the five smallest State issues.)

#### A. TYPES OF STATE DEBT ISSUANCES

The most often used type of debt instrument in 1985 on the statewide level was the category of conduit revenue bond with 89 issues totalling over \$4.22 billion. (Included in this total are two issues by the California Higher Education Loan Authority with an aggregate principal amount of \$200 million.) This compares to 18 issues totalling \$635 million of general obligation bonds secured by general fund revenues, the next highest category in terms of frequency. These issues are followed by eight revenue bonds in an amount totalling slightly over \$361 million to be repaid from public enterprise revenues, six notes in the category "other" totalling \$519 million (including four issues totalling \$465 million by the California Student Loan Finance Corporation), and two general obligation bonds totalling \$340 million to be secured by public enterprise revenues.

The remaining statewide issues are divided among revenue anticipation notes (\$2.3 billion), public lease revenue bonds (over \$104 million), commercial paper (\$95 million sold by the California Student Loan Finance Corporation), and bond anticipation notes (\$19 million)--with one issue in each category. (Table 5

presents a summary of 1985 Statewide debt financings by type of debt instrument.)

#### B. PURPOSES OF STATEWIDE DEBT ISSUANCES

Of the nearly \$8.594 billion of total debt issued in 1985 by State agencies and the student loan corporations, \$2.3 billion was sold in a single issue by the State Treasurer's Office for interim financing. This represents the largest issuance for any one purpose. Hospitals and health care facilities is the next largest category with \$1.572 billion. All health-facilities-related debt was sold by the California Health Facilities Financing Authority.

Other purposes for which debt was issued on a statewide basis in 1985 include pollution control and alternative energy projects (close to \$929 million, 26 issues), student loans (\$760 million, 7 issues), veterans' housing (\$578 million, 3 issues), capital improvements and public works (almost \$539 million, 13 issues), single-family housing (over \$499 million, 3 issues), waste-to-energy conversion projects (nearly \$377 million, 6 issues), private educational facilities and equipment (close to \$298 million, 10 issues), public educational facilities and equipment (close to \$235 million, 8 issues), prisons and county jails (\$250 million, 4 issues), and multifamily housing (slightly over \$158 million, 4 issues).

The smallest amount of State debt incurred in 1985 for any single purpose was for state college and university housing with almost \$100 million, 3 issues. (Table 6 presents a summary of the purposes for which the proceeds of the 1985 statewide debt financings were used.)

#### IV. OVERVIEW OF LOCAL DEBT ISSUANCES

Local entities sold 1,336 issues of public debt totalling almost \$23.936 billion in 1985. (Table 7 provides an overview of local 1985 debt financings.)

The largest volume of local public debt sold in 1985 by a single local issuer within one county was in the amount of nearly \$1.405 billion (nine issues) by the County of Los Angeles. Taken together, all issuing entities within the County of Los Angeles sold close to \$5.88 billion (246 issues). This was substantially more than was sold by the combined issuers of any other county last year.

With 27 issues, the County of Orange, as an issuer, went to market more often than any other local issuer in 1985, selling a total of almost \$920 million. The County, together with all other issuers within its boundary, sold slightly over \$2.37 billion (126 issues). This places Orange County issuers in second place in both volume and frequency of debt issuance among California local issuers within a single county. (Table 8 shows the ten largest local issues and Table 9 shows the ten smallest local issues in 1985.)

By contrast, 44 issues were sold by 27 local agencies authorized to operate in more than one county--such as joint action public power agencies. These "multiple county" issues totalled over \$3.747 billion.

There were numerous local issuers with just one sale during the year. Among these, the City of Los Altos (Santa Clara County) sold a single issue of \$40 thousand in 1985. This was the smallest amount for any issuer who went to market only once in 1985. The smallest issue among all local issues was sold by Santa Monica (Los Angeles County) in an amount of a little over \$5 thousand.

The county with the smallest amount of debt issued was Plumas County--with \$120 thousand. Entities in the following 11 counties reported no debt issuance for 1985: Alpine, Amador, Colusa, Glenn, Inyo, Madera, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Sierra, and Siskiyou.

#### A. TYPES OF LOCAL DEBT ISSUANCES

In terms of number of issues sold, the most popular form of debt instrument on the local level was revenue bonds. Local entities completed 604 issuances of revenue bonds totalling close to \$13.155 billion in 1985. Certificates of participation in combination with tax-exempt leases made up the next most popular category with 198 issues for a total of nearly \$4.316 billion. Tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, and tax and revenue anticipation

notes registered as the third most active category with 182 issues totalling over \$2.721 billion. Special assessment bonds follow with 170 issues totalling almost \$711 million. Tax allocation bonds are next with 101 issues totalling nearly \$1.545 billion.

Relatively infrequent use was made of the following types of debt instruments: "Other" notes (26 issues, close to \$154 million), general obligation bonds (18 issues, nearly \$411 million), grant anticipation notes (13 issues, just over \$110 million), limited tax obligation bonds (10 issues, over \$91 million), public lease revenue bonds (6 issues, nearly \$231 million), commercial paper (4 issues, \$435 million), and bond anticipation notes (4 issues, close to \$57 million). (Table 10 presents a summary of 1985 local debt financings by type of debt instrument.)

#### B. PURPOSES OF LOCAL DEBT ISSUANCES

The largest "purpose" category in terms of dollar amount and number of issues for which public debt was issued by local governments in 1985 is capital improvements and public works. Over \$8.671 billion (378 issues) was sold to finance the following types of projects:

- Power generation (slightly over \$3.720 billion, 18 issues)
- Other capital improvements (almost \$1.093 billion, 138 issues)
- Public buildings (slightly over \$1.072 billion, 35 issues)

- Water supply & distribution (nearly \$719 million, 39 issues)
- Power transmission (close to \$458 million, 8 issues)
- Convention centers (nearly \$392 million, 4 issues)
- Public transit (close to \$285 million, 12 issues)
- Wastewater collection & treatment (nearly \$197 million, 51 issues)
- Parking (almost \$153 million, 12 issues)
- Equipment (a little over \$132 million, 15 issues)
- Flood control & storm drains (over \$115 million, 17 issues)
- Recreational & sports facilities (over \$110 million, 10 issues)
- Ports & marinas (a little over \$95 million, 5 issues)
- Airports (close to \$91 million, 2 issues)
- Solid waste recovery (almost \$31 million, 7 issues)
- Bridges & highways (over \$9 million, 5 issues)

Multifamily housing was the next largest category (\$5.539 billion, 370 issues), followed by interim financing (slightly over \$3.266 billion, 195 issues), redevelopment projects (over \$1.731 billion, 121 issues), hospitals and health care facilities (close to \$1.535 billion, 57 issues), single-family housing (about \$1.504 billion, 52 issues), commercial development (almost \$458 million, 46 issues), industrial development (nearly \$422 million, 78 issues), waste-to-energy projects (\$360 million, 2 issues), and K-12 educational facilities (almost \$222 million, 35 issues).

There were two categories with a single issue. The City of Oakland completed a financing for nearly \$222 million for its pension system and Ventura County Community College District sold an issue of over \$6 million for school facilities. (Table 11 presents a summary of the purposes for which the proceeds of the 1985 local debt financings were used.)

## V. REFUNDING OF STATE AND LOCAL DEBT ISSUES

In 1985, California State and local entities sold debt instruments totalling about \$6.584 billion for the purpose of refunding debt issued in prior years.

Of the 142 total issues (about \$6.584 billion) sold in 1985 to refund outstanding indebtedness, 51 issues (about \$3.737 billion) were completely dedicated to refunding. The remaining 91 issues (about \$2.847 billion) were for financings which were either only partially for refunding or for financings for which data were not available to determine whether they were partial or full refundings. (Table 12 presents a summary of State and local government financings which were completed for the purpose of refunding.)

### A. STATEWIDE REFUNDING ISSUES

Fourteen of the 17 refunding issues sold at the statewide level were conduit revenue bonds. Eleven of these, totalling close to \$404 million, were sold by the California Health Facilities Financing Authority. The other three issues, in an amount of slightly over \$82 million, were sold by the California Educational Facilities Authority.

Refunding public revenue bonds were sold by the California Department of Water Resources (close to \$240 million) and the Regents of the University of California (over \$10 million).

The California Student Loan Finance Corporation sold variable rate demand notes in the amount of \$80 million for the refunding of student loans.

**B. LOCAL REFUNDING ISSUES**

Of the 125 issues sold for refunding purposes on the local level, the most frequently used debt instruments to accomplish refundings were tax allocation bonds (37 issues, almost \$696 million) and certificates of participation (34 issues, close to \$1.357 billion). Less frequently used in 1985 were public revenue bonds (21 issues, over \$3.022 billion), conduit revenue bonds (14 issues, over \$339 million), general obligation bonds (8 issues, close to \$224 million), tax allocation notes (4 issues, \$31 million), and special assessment bonds (4 issues, a little over \$32 million). The remaining three issues were a bond anticipation note of \$700 thousand, a limited obligation note of nearly \$15 million, and an issue of equipment trust certificates of nearly \$53 million.

TABLE-1  
SUMMARY OF 1985 FINANCINGS (a) (b)

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (c)
STATE AGENCIES	\$7,833,712	120	24.08
STUDENT LOAN CORPORATIONS	760,000	7	2.34
LOCAL AGENCIES	23,935,992	1,336	73.58
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$32,529,704</b>	<b>1,463</b>	<b>100.00</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-2

OVERVIEW OF STATE 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)(c)

<u>ISSUER</u> (d)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (e)
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	\$3,275,000	21	10.07
CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCE FA	74,185	6	0.23
CALIFORNIA EDUCATION FACILITIES AUTH	297,679	10	0.92
CALIFORNIA HEALTH FACILITIES FA	1,571,960	39	4.83
CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	657,400	7	2.02
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FA	1,181,045	24	3.63
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY	21,700	2	0.07
STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD	172,400	3	0.53
TRANSPORTATION, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	5,000	1	0.02
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, THE REGENTS OF THE	99,839	5	0.31
VETERANS AFFAIRS, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	238,000	1	0.73
WATER RESOURCES, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	239,505	1	0.74
<b>SUBTOTAL, STATE AGENCIES</b>	<b>\$7,833,712</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>24.08</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.

(d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.

(e) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-2

OVERVIEW OF STATE 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)(c)

<u>ISSUER</u> (d)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (e)
CALIFORNIA HIGHER EDUCATION LOAN AUTH (f)	\$200,000	2	0.61
CALIFORNIA STUDENT LOAN FINANCE CORP (f)	560,000	5	1.72
SUBTOTAL, STUDENT LOAN CORPS	\$760,000	7	2.34
<b>TOTAL, STATEWIDE ISSUERS</b>	<b>\$8,593,712</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>26.42</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.

(d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.

(e) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

(f) These issuers are nonprofit public benefit corporations incorporated under California State law. They are not agencies of the State government.

TABLE-3

FIVE LARGEST STATE ISSUES 1985<sup>(a)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>COMPETITIVE/NEGOTIATED</u>
STATE OF CALIFORNIA (TREASURER)	\$2,300,000	REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE	CASH FLOW	NEGOTIATED
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY	500,000	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	POLLUTION CONTROL (PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO)	NEGOTIATED
WATER RESOURCES, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	239,505	PUBLIC REVENUE BOND	POWER GENERATION (CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT) (REFUNDING)	COMPETITIVE
VETERANS AFFAIRS, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	238,000	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING	NEGOTIATED
CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	200,001	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING	NEGOTIATED

(a) The dollar figures are \$000.

California Debt Advisory Commission, March 31, 1986.

TABLE-4

FIVE SMALLEST STATE ISSUES 1985<sup>(a)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>COMPETITIVE/NEGOTIATED</u>
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY	\$260	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	POLLUTION CONTROL (MARIPOSA PRESS)	NEGOTIATED
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY	1,500	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	POLLUTION CONTROL (PACIFIC STEEL CASTING CORP)	NEGOTIATED
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY	1,825	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	POLLUTION CONTROL (FRITO-LAY, INC)	NEGOTIATED
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY	1,900	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	POLLUTION CONTROL (MERCK & CO)	NEGOTIATED
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY	2,400	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND	POLLUTION CONTROL (REYNOLDS METALS CO)	NEGOTIATED

(a) The dollar figures are \$000.

California Debt Advisory Commission, March 31, 1986.

TABLE-5

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)(c)**

ISSUER (d)	REVENUE BONDS		GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS		PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS
	CONDUIT	PUBLIC	GENERAL FUND	ENTERPRISE	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	-----	-----	\$635,000(18)	\$340,000(2)	-----
CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCE FA	74,185(6)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES AUTH	297,679(10)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA HEALTH FACILITIES FA	1,571,960(39)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	657,400(7)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FA	1,181,045(24)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY	-----	21,700(2)	-----	-----	-----
STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD	-----	-----	-----	-----	104,400(1)
TRANSPORTATION, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, THE REGENTS OF THE	-----	99,839(5)	-----	-----	-----
VETERANS AFFAIRS, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	238,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
WATER RESOURCES, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	-----	239,505(1)	-----	-----	-----
SUBTOTAL, STATE AGENCIES	\$4,020,268(87)	\$361,044(8)	\$635,000(18)	\$340,000(2)	\$104,400(1)

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.

(d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.

TABLE-5

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)(c)**

<u>REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>ISSUER (d)</u>
\$2,300,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCE FA
-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA HEALTH FACILITIES FA
-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY
-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FA
-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
-----	19,000(1)	49,000(1)	-----	STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD
-----	-----	5,000(1)	-----	TRANSPORTATION, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF
-----	-----	-----	-----	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, THE REGENTS OF THE
-----	-----	-----	-----	VETERANS AFFAIRS, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF
-----	-----	-----	-----	WATER RESOURCES, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF
\$2,300,000(1)	\$19,000(1)	\$54,000(2)	\$0(0)	SUBTOTAL, STATE AGENCIES

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.

(d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u> (a)	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>		<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>		<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>
	<u>CONDUIT</u>	<u>PUBLIC</u>	<u>GENERAL FUND</u>	<u>ENTERPRISE</u>	
CALIFORNIA HIGHER EDUCATION LOAN AUTH (e)	\$200,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA STUDENT LOAN FINANCE CORP (e)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SUBTOTAL, STUDENT LOAN CORPS	\$200,000(2)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)
<b>TOTAL, STATEWIDE ISSUERS</b>	<b>\$4,220,268(89)</b>	<b>\$361,044(8)</b>	<b>\$635,000(18)</b>	<b>\$340,000(2)</b>	<b>\$104,400(1)</b>

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.
- (d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.
- (e) These issuers are nonprofit public benefit corporations incorporated under California State law. They are not agencies of the State government.

TABLE-5

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** (a)(b)(c)

<u>REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> (d)
-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA HIGHER EDUCATION LOAN AUTH (e)
-----	-----	465,000(4)	95,000(1)	CALIFORNIA STUDENT LOAN FINANCE CORP (e)
\$0(0)	\$0(0)	465,000(4)	\$95,000(1)	SUBTOTAL, STUDENT LOAN CORPS
\$2,300,000(1)	\$19,000(1)	\$519,000(6)	\$95,000(1)	TOTAL, STATEWIDE ISSUERS

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.
- (d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.
- (e) These issuers are nonprofit public benefit corporations incorporated under California State law. They are not agencies of the State government.

TABLE-6

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER (d)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCING</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVE &amp; PUB WORKS</u>	<u>PRISONS &amp; COUNTY JAILS</u>	<u>WASTE-TO- ENERGY</u>	<u>POLLUTION CONTROL &amp; ALT ENERGY</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH CARE</u>	<u>STUDENT LOANS</u>
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	\$2,300,000(1)	\$190,000(10)	\$250,000(4)	-----	\$50,000(2)	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCE FA	-----	-----	-----	53,235(3)	20,950(3)	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA HEALTH FACILITIES FA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,571,960(39)	-----
CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FA	-----	-----	-----	323,375(3)	857,670(21)	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD	-----	104,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TRANSPORTATION, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	-----	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, THE REGENTS OF THE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VETERANS AFFAIRS, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WATER RESOURCES, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF	-----	239,505(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SUBTOTAL, STATE AGENCIES</b>	<b>\$2,300,000(1)</b>	<b>\$538,905(13)</b>	<b>\$250,000(4)</b>	<b>\$376,610(6)</b>	<b>\$928,620(26)</b>	<b>\$1,571,960(39)</b>	<b>\$0(0)</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.

(d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.

TABLE-6

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)(c)**

<u>PUBLIC EDUCATION</u>	<u>PRIVATE EDUCATION</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTIFAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>VETERANS HOUSING</u>	<u>STATE COLL &amp; UNIVERSITY HOUSING</u>	<u>ISSUER (d)</u>
\$145,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	\$340,000(2)	-----	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCE FA
-----	297,679(10)	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA HEALTH FACILITIES FA
-----	-----	499,281(3)	158,119(4)	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FA
11,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	10,500(1)	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
68,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TRANSPORTATION, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF
10,359(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	89,480(2)	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, THE REGENTS OF THE
-----	-----	-----	-----	238,000(1)	-----	VETERANS AFFAIRS, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WATER RESOURCES, CALIFORNIA DEPT OF
\$234,559(8)	\$297,679(10)	\$499,281(3)	\$158,119(4)	\$578,000(3)	\$99,980(3)	SUBTOTAL, STATE AGENCIES

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.

(d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.

23  
TABLE-6

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER (d)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCING</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVE &amp; PUB WORKS</u>	<u>PRISONS &amp; COUNTY JAILS</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>POLLUTION CONTROL &amp; ALT ENERGY</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH CARE</u>	<u>STUDENT LOANS</u>
CALIFORNIA HIGHER EDUCATION LOAN AUTH (e)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	\$200,000(2)
CALIFORNIA STUDENT LOAN FINANCE CORP (e)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	560,000(5)
SUBTOTAL, STUDENT LOAN CORPS	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$760,000(7)
<b>TOTAL, STATEWIDE ISSUERS</b>	<b>\$2,300,000(1)</b>	<b>\$538,905(13)</b>	<b>\$250,000(4)</b>	<b>\$376,610(6)</b>	<b>\$928,620(26)</b>	<b>\$1,571,960(39)</b>	<b>\$760,000(7)</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.

(d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.

(e) These issuers are nonprofit public benefit corporations incorporated under California State law. They are not agencies of the State government.

TABLE-6

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)(c)**

<u>PUBLIC EDUCATION</u>	<u>PRIVATE EDUCATION</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTIFAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>VETERANS HOUSING</u>	<u>STATE COLL &amp; UNIVERSITY HOUSING</u>	<u>ISSUER (d)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA HIGHER EDUCATION LOAN AUTH (e)
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA STUDENT LOAN FINANCE CORP (e)
\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	\$0(0)	SUBTOTAL, STUDENT LOAN CORPS
\$234,559(8)	\$297,679(10)	\$499,281(3)	\$158,119(4)	\$578,000(3)	\$99,980(3)	TOTAL, STATEWIDE ISSUERS

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) This table includes debt issuance information for State agencies as well as for two nonprofit public benefit corporations which issue debt to finance student loans.
- (d) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13.
- (e) These issuers are nonprofit public benefit corporations incorporated under California State law. They are not agencies of the State government.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
ALAMEDA COUNTY			
CO ALAMEDA	\$223,780	5	.69
CO ALAMEDA IDA	18,683	6	.06
ALAMEDA	38,775	4	.12
ALAMEDA COMM IMP COM	2,315	1	.01
ALBANY	1,100	1	.00
AMADOR VALLEY UHSD	1,500	1	.00
AMADOR VALLEY/PLEASANTON UHSD	5,840	1	.02
BERKELEY	62,940	4	.19
BERKELEY USD	4,500	1	.01
DUBLIN	12,826	2	.04
EDEN TOWNSHIP HD	22,000	2	.07
EMERYVILLE RDA	7,500	1	.02
FREMONT	149,833	11	.46
FREMONT IDA	3,200	1	.01
FREMONT RDA	4,985	1	.02
FREMONT USD	11,365	1	.03
HAYWARD	6,500	1	.02
HAYWARD HA	22,565	3	.07
LIVERMORE	32,245	4	.10
LIVERMORE HA	7,820	1	.02
LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT USD	3,000	1	.01
NEWARK RDA	6,500	1	.02
NEWARK USD	11,050	2	.03
NEWHAVEN USD	27,040	1	.08
OAKLAND	145,575	6	.45
OAKLAND HA	7,465	1	.02
OAKLAND RDA	259,540	2	.80
OAKLAND USD	32,000	1	.10
PLEASANTON	63,184	5	.19
PLEASANTON JOINT SD	1,500	1	.00
SAN LEANDRO	23,400	2	.07
SAN LEANDRO USD	2,600	1	.01
SAN LORENZO USD	3,300	1	.01
UNION CITY	13,800	2	.04
UNION CITY IDA	5,000	1	.02
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP HD	21,000	1	.06
<b>TOTAL, ALAMEDA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$1,266,226</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>3.89</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>BUTTE COUNTY</b>			
CO BUTTE	\$11,000	1	.03
CO BUTTE HA	3,400	1	.01
CHICO	7,315	2	.02
OROVILLE	22,945	3	.07
OROVILLE RDA	5,610	1	.02
WESTERN CANAL WD	2,750	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, BUTTE COUNTY</b>	<b>\$53,020</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>.16</b>
<b>CALAVERAS COUNTY</b>			
CO CALAVERAS WD	\$350	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, CALAVERAS COUNTY</b>	<b>\$350</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.00</b>
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>			
CO CONTRA COSTA	\$198,310	10	.61
CO CONTRA COSTA BD OF ED	4,700	1	.01
CO CONTRA COSTA HA	16,675	2	.05
ANTIOCH USD	4,000	1	.01
CONCORD	18,874	3	.06
CONCORD RDA	72,180	1	.22
CONTRA COSTA CCD	7,000	1	.02
DANVILLE	19,189	2	.06
EL CERRITO	1,300	1	.00
EL CERRITO RDA	6,000	1	.02
HERCULES	22,784	2	.07
LAFAYETTE	219	1	.00
MT DIABLO USD	7,160	1	.02
PITTSBURG	10,629	2	.03
PITTSBURG RDA	73,920	4	.23
PITTSBURG USD	2,500	1	.01
PLEASANT HILL	7,500	1	.02
RICHMOND	9,834	3	.03
RICHMOND RDA	11,530	1	.04
SAN PABLO	1,150	1	.00
SAN RAMON	670	1	.00
SAN RAMON VALLEY USD	5,905	1	.02
WALNUT CREEK	47,618	4	.15
<b>TOTAL, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$549,647</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>1.69</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>DEL NORTE COUNTY</b>			
CO DEL NORTE	\$2,000	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, DEL NORTE COUNTY</b>	<b>\$2,000</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.01</b>
<b>EL DORADO COUNTY</b>			
CO EL DORADO	\$8,134	2	.03
EL DORADO ID	13,769	1	.04
GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUD	290	1	.00
SO FAR MGMT AUTH	125,000	1	.38
<b>TOTAL, EL DORADO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$147,193</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.45</b>
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>			
CO FRESNO	\$70,166	4	.22
CO FRESNO HA	8,800	1	.03
CLOVIS	37,765	3	.12
CLOVIS RDA	3,000	1	.01
CLOVIS USD	5,400	1	.02
CLOVIS USD/FRESNO USD	10,240	1	.03
FIREBAUGH RDA	700	1	.00
FRESNO	145,089	18	.45
FRESNO HA	11,100	1	.03
FRESNO USD	11,500	1	.04
KINGS RIVER CONS DIST	450,000	1	1.38
ORANGE COVE	1,090	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, FRESNO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$754,850</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>2.32</b>
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>			
CO HUMBOLDT	\$11,090	2	.03
<b>TOTAL, HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>	<b>\$11,090</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.03</b>
<b>IMPERIAL COUNTY</b>			
CALEXICO CRA	\$3,750	1	.01
EL CENTRO RDA	3,300	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, IMPERIAL COUNTY</b>	<b>\$7,050</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.02</b>
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>			
CO KERN	\$24,800	1	.08
CO KERN BD OF ED	1,750	1	.01
CO KERN HA	59,100	5	.18
ARVIN	1,285	1	.00
BAKERSFIELD	6,900	2	.02
CALIFORNIA CITY	2,942	1	.01

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>KERN COUNTY cont'd</b>			
KERN CCD	\$4,330	1	.01
KERN UHSD	56,300	3	.17
RIDGECREST	891	2	.00
TEHACHAPI USD	1,250	1	.00
WEST KERN CCD	1,490	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, KERN COUNTY</b>	<b>\$161,038</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>.50</b>
<b>KINGS COUNTY</b>			
CO KINGS	\$9,990	7	.03
CO KINGS HA	30,500	3	.09
KETTLEMAN CITY CSD	365	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, KINGS COUNTY</b>	<b>\$40,855</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>.13</b>
<b>LAKE COUNTY</b>			
CO LAKE SAN DIST	\$6,271	4	.02
CLEARLAKE	1,274	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, LAKE COUNTY</b>	<b>\$7,545</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.02</b>
<b>LASSEN COUNTY</b>			
SUSANVILLE	\$2,705	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, LASSEN COUNTY</b>	<b>\$2,705</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.01</b>
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>			
CO LOS ANGELES	\$1,404,748	9	4.32
CO LOS ANGELES CDC	6,000	1	.02
CO LOS ANGELES HA	161,604	9	.50
CO LOS ANGELES IDA	28,675	14	.09
AGOURA HILLS	14,490	1	.04
ALHAMBRA	9,785	1	.03
ALHAMBRA IDA	5,000	2	.02
ALHAMBRA PA	7,105	1	.02
ANTELOPE VALLEY UHSD	2,500	1	.01
AZUSA	24,150	2	.07
AZUSA IDA	1,000	1	.00
AZUSA RDA	15,910	3	.05
BALDWIN PARK RDA	17,095	5	.05
BELL CDC	7,000	1	.02
BEVERLY HILLS	75,300	1	.23
BONITA USD	2,355	1	.01

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS<sup>(a) (b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> <sup>(d)</sup>
LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd			
BURBANK	\$30,380	1	.09
BURBANK RDA	79,440	3	.24
CLAREMONT USD	1,325	1	.00
COMMERCE RDA	27,000	2	.08
COMPTON CRA	25,000	1	.08
COVINA IDA	425	1	.00
COVINA RDA	21,335	2	.07
COVINA VALLEY USD	3,675	1	.01
CUDAHY RDA	7,685	1	.02
CULVER CITY RDA	70,808	4	.22
DUARTE RDA	11,630	3	.04
EL MONTE	12,900	1	.04
EL MONTE CRA	5,000	1	.02
GARDENA	23,070	4	.07
GLENDALE	16,700	1	.05
GLENDALE USD	9,250	1	.03
GLENDORA CRA	16,575	3	.05
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	8,500	1	.03
HAWTHORNE	3,050	1	.01
HUNTINGTON PARK IDA	6,000	1	.02
HUNTINGTON PARK RDA	38,565	3	.12
INDUSTRY	25,811	3	.08
INDUSTRY URBAN DEV AGY	87,000	1	.27
INGLEWOOD	10,380	2	.03
INGLEWOOD RDA	35,265	3	.11
IRWINDALE CRA	63,930	3	.20
IRWINDALE IDA	5,000	1	.02
LA CANADA USD	1,365	1	.00
LA MIRADA RDA	8,165	1	.03
LANCASTER	39,325	2	.12
LANCASTER RDA	116,207	11	.36
LAS VIRGENES USD	2,600	1	.01
LA VERNE	6,351	1	.02
LONG BEACH	105,015	4	.32
LONG BEACH HA	24,000	2	.07
LONG BEACH RDA	50,000	1	.15

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd			
LOS ANGELES	\$1,213,229	17	3.73
LOS ANGELES CCD	26,700	2	.08
LOS ANGELES CRA	288,164	12	.89
LOS ANGELES DWP	68,785	2	.21
LOS ANGELES HARBOR DEPT	60,000	2	.18
LOS ANGELES IDA	8,550	3	.03
LOS ANGELES USD	115,000	1	.35
METROPOLITAN WD SO CA	100,000	1	.31
MONTEBELLO CRA	25,000	2	.08
MONTEBELLO IDA	6,750	2	.02
NEWHALL SD	3,165	1	.01
NORWALK	2,665	1	.01
NORWALK RDA	29,280	2	.09
PALMDALE	89,001	3	.27
PALMDALE CRA	59,215	6	.18
PALMDALE WD	7,500	1	.02
PALOS VERDES PENINSULA USD	3,690	1	.01
PARAMOUNT RDA	25,000	1	.08
PASADENA	60,980	4	.19
PASADENA HA	21,080	1	.06
PASADENA PA	16,000	1	.05
PASADENA USD	11,200	1	.03
PICO RIVERA RDA	9,300	1	.03
PICO WD	2,050	1	.01
POMONA	19,236	4	.06
POMONA IDA	5,000	1	.02
POMONA RDA	30,850	3	.09
REGIONAL AIRPORTS IMP CORP	210,787	6	.65
ROSEMEAD SD	1,070	1	.00
ROWLAND USD	2,220	1	.01
SAN DIMAS RDA	27,480	4	.08
SANTA FE SPRINGS RDA	52,060	2	.16
SANTA MONICA	8,165	4	.03
SIGNAL HILL RDA	35,000	2	.11
SOUTHEAST RESOURCE RECOVERY FAC AUTH	125,000	1	.38

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd</b>			
SOUTH GATE CRA	\$18,000	1	.06
SULPHUR SPRINGS USD	2,870	1	.01
TORRANCE	75,040	3	.23
TORRANCE RDA	4,080	1	.01
TORRANCE USD	8,905	2	.03
WALNUT IMP AGY	36,500	2	.11
WALNUT VALLEY USD	1,300	1	.00
WEST COVINA RDA	123,605	6	.38
WEST COVINA USD	1,850	1	.01
WHITTIER	49,130	1	.15
WHITTIER RDA	4,750	1	.01
WILLIAM S. HART UHSD	3,200	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>	<b>\$5,879,846</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>18.08</b>
<b>MARIN COUNTY</b>			
CO MARIN	\$23,525	2	.07
CO MARIN HA	5,690	1	.02
BEL MARIN KEYS CSD	1,766	1	.01
MILL VALLEY	1,800	1	.01
SAN RAFAEL	4,436	1	.01
SAN RAFAEL RDA	12,000	1	.04
TIBURON CFD	5,950	1	.02
<b>TOTAL, MARIN COUNTY</b>	<b>\$55,167</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>.17</b>
<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>			
CO MENDOCINO	\$11,100	1	.03
UKIAH USD	5,260	1	.02
<b>TOTAL, MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$16,360</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.05</b>
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>			
CO MERCED	\$13,395	2	.04
CO MERCED HA	5,080	2	.02
ATWATER	3,165	2	.01
GUSTINE	500	1	.00
LIVINGSTON	585	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, MERCED COUNTY</b>	<b>\$22,725</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>.07</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>			
CO MONTEREY	\$30,835	3	.09
CO MONTEREY BD OF ED	1,000	1	.00
CO MONTEREY HA	26,500	4	.08
CO MONTEREY PWR AUTH	4,900	1	.02
CARMEL	990	1	.00
CARMEL USD	1,600	1	.00
GREENFIELD	1,250	1	.00
MONTEREY	3,669	3	.01
MONTEREY PENINSULA CCD	1,100	1	.00
MONTEREY PENINSULA USD	2,700	1	.01
MONTEREY RDA	2,350	1	.01
MONTEREY REG WTR POL CNTRL AGY	7,000	1	.02
PACIFIC GROVE	5,200	1	.02
SALINAS	15,268	3	.05
SALINAS SD	1,900	1	.01
SALINAS RDA	2,700	1	.01
SALINAS UHSD	2,900	1	.01
SOLEDAD RDA	1,200	1	.00
SUNNY MESA CO WD	189	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, MONTEREY COUNTY</b>	<b>\$113,251</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>.35</b>
<b>NAPA COUNTY</b>			
CO NAPA	\$6,600	1	.02
NAPA	19,132	3	.06
NAPA VALLEY CCD	1,270	1	.00
NAPA VALLEY USD	2,500	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, NAPA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$29,502</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.09</b>
<b>NEVADA COUNTY</b>			
CO NEVADA	\$10,500	1	.03
DONNER SUMMIT PUD	2,615	1	.01
NEVADA PWR AUTH	9,200	1	.03
<b>TOTAL, NEVADA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$22,315</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.07</b>
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>			
CO ORANGE	\$919,949	27	2.83
CO ORANGE BD OF ED	10,000	1	.03
CO ORANGE HA	128,541	5	.40
CO ORANGE TD	23,300	2	.07

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>ORANGE COUNTY cont'd</b>			
ANAHEIM	\$79,289	5	.24
ANAHEIM HA	20,989	3	.06
ANAHEIM IDA	1,700	1	.01
ANAHEIM UHSD	5,880	1	.02
BREA	4,200	1	.01
BREA RDA	66,280	3	.20
BREA-OLINDA USD	2,000	1	.01
BUENA PARK CRA	36,000	3	.11
CAPISTRANO USD	7,800	1	.02
COSTA MESA RDA	13,500	1	.04
FOUNTAIN VALLEY CDA	34,000	2	.10
FULLERTON SD	1,990	1	.01
FULLERTON UHSD	4,500	1	.01
HUNTINGTON BEACH	43,165	3	.13
HUNTINGTON BEACH UHSD	10,000	1	.03
IRVINE	158,600	4	.49
IRVINE PUB FAC & INFRA AUTH	90,400	1	.28
IRVINE RANCH WD	158,515	3	.49
IRVINE USD	10,000	1	.03
LA HABRA	2,400	1	.01
LA HABRA IDA	1,200	1	.00
LA HABRA CITY SD	1,100	1	.00
LAGUNA BEACH	6,315	1	.02
LAGUNA BEACH USD	800	1	.00
MOULTON-NIGUEL WD	34,065	4	.10
NEWPORT MESA USD	7,000	1	.02
ORANGE USD	5,700	1	.02
PLACENTIA	2,500	1	.01
PLACENTIA USD	4,535	1	.01
RANCHO SANTIAGO CCD	4,500	1	.01
SADDLEBACK CCD	6,000	1	.02
SADDLEBACK VALLEY USD	6,500	1	.02
SANTA ANA	90,749	8	.28
SANTA ANA HA	21,200	3	.07
SANTA ANA CRA	137,500	6	.42

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>ORANGE COUNTY cont'd</b>			
SANTA ANA IDA	\$10,500	3	.03
SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD	3,335	1	.01
SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD CFD#2	7,300	1	.02
SANTA MARGARITA WD	98,230	4	.30
SEAL BEACH	1,700	1	.01
SOUTH COAST CO WD	1,500	1	.00
STANTON	15,000	1	.05
STANTON RDA	4,000	1	.01
TUSTIN USD	6,000	2	.02
WESTMINSTER	1,339	1	.00
WESTMINSTER RDA	29,500	2	.09
WESTMINSTER SD	3,000	1	.01
YORBA LINDA	60	1	.00
YORBA LINDA RDA	26,000	1	.08
<b>TOTAL, ORANGE COUNTY</b>	<b>\$2,370,126</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>7.29</b>
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>			
CO PLACER	\$19,843	2	.06
CO PLACER IDA	4,000	1	.01
PLACER UHSD	2,240	1	.01
ROCKLIN/LOOMIS MUD	958	1	.00
ROSEVILLE	14,300	1	.04
<b>TOTAL, PLACER COUNTY</b>	<b>\$41,341</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.13</b>
<b>PLUMAS COUNTY</b>			
PORTOLA CFD#1	\$120	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, PLUMAS COUNTY</b>	<b>\$120</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.00</b>
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>			
CO RIVERSIDE	\$304,167	9	.94
CO RIVERSIDE CFD	16,590	3	.05
CO RIVERSIDE FLD CNTRL & WD CONS DIST	1,840	1	.01
CO RIVERSIDE HA	140,665	12	.43
CO RIVERSIDE IDA	20,900	6	.06
CATHEDRAL CITY	5,130	1	.02
CATHEDRAL CITY RDA	2,200	1	.01
COACHELLA VALLEY CCD	2,050	1	.01
COACHELLA VALLEY USD	4,830	2	.01
CORONA	30,000	4	.09
CORONA RDA	37,600	4	.12

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY cont'd</b>			
DESERT HOT SPRINGS	\$20,000	1	.06
DESERT HOT SPRINGS CO WD	222	1	.00
DESERT HOT SPRINGS HA	7,650	1	.02
DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA	2,760	1	.01
DESERT SANDS USD	3,200	1	.01
ELSINORE UHSD	3,145	2	.01
ELSINORE VALLEY MUN WD	930	1	.00
HEMET HA	17,400	2	.05
HEMET USD	2,800	1	.01
INDIAN WELLS	16,890	1	.05
INDIO	22,400	3	.07
INDIO HA	11,000	1	.03
LAKE ELSINORE RDA	5,000	1	.02
MORENO VALLEY	58,980	2	.18
NORCO RDA	6,800	1	.02
PALM DESERT	10,828	2	.03
PALM DESERT RDA	17,860	1	.05
PALM SPRINGS	40,213	4	.12
PALM SPRINGS HA	8,500	1	.03
PALM SPRINGS USD	9,345	2	.03
PALO VERDE USD	1,000	1	.00
PERRIS RDA	4,000	1	.01
PERRIS UHSD	1,000	1	.00
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WD	52,347	5	.16
RIVERSIDE	260,810	18	.80
RIVERSIDE IDA	2,220	1	.01
RIVERSIDE RDA	8,000	3	.02
RIVERSIDE USD	4,235	1	.01
SANTA ROSA CSD	1,738	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>	<b>\$1,167,245</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>3.59</b>
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>			
CO SACRAMENTO	\$228,494	11	.70
CO SACRAMENTO HA	179,110	13	.55
CO SACRAMENTO IDA	2,700	1	.01
ELK GROVE USD	5,100	1	.02
FOLSOM	1,535	1	.00
GALT	1,662	1	.01
GALT RDA	800	1	.00

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a) (b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY cont'd</b>			
SACRAMENTO	48,259	3	.15
SACRAMENTO RDA	\$31,615	5	.10
SACRAMENTO USD	20,480	2	.06
SAN JUAN USD	33,655	2	.10
<b>TOTAL, SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$553,410</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>1.70</b>
<b>SAN BENITO COUNTY</b>			
HOLLISTER RDA	\$4,380	1	.01
SUNNYSLOPE CO WD	93	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, SAN BENITO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$4,473</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.01</b>
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>			
CO SAN BERNARDINO	\$342,017	14	1.05
CO SAN BERNARDINO HA	167,370	11	.51
CO SAN BERNARDINO IDA	10,800	2	.03
BALDY MESA CO WD	325	1	.00
BARSTOW	12,896	3	.04
BIG BEAR CSD	715	1	.00
BIG BEAR LAKE	13,706	4	.04
CHINO IDA	1,000	1	.00
COLTON	27,500	2	.08
COLTON RDA	28,600	3	.09
FONTANA	15,450	2	.05
FONTANA RDA	45,515	4	.14
GRAND TERRACE CRA	30,000	1	.09
LAKE ARROWHEAD CSD	21,230	1	.07
LOMA LINDA	231,980	8	.71
LOMA LINDA RDA	14,258	2	.04
MOUNTAIN VIEW SD CFD	5,500	1	.02
OMNITRANS	3,000	1	.01
ONTARIO	85,205	8	.26
ONTARIO IDA	9,000	1	.03
ONTARIO RDA	37,835	5	.12
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	80,777	4	.25
RANCHO CUCAMONGA RDA	7,665	2	.02
REDLANDS	48,850	4	.15
REDLANDS RDA	14,245	1	.04

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a) (b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY cont'd</b>			
RIALTO	\$37,500	2	.12
RUNNING SPRINGS WD	462	2	.00
SAN BERNARDINO	110,825	8	.34
SAN BERNARDINO IDA	5,000	1	.02
SAN BERNARDINO RDA	26,800	3	.08
TWENTY-NINE PALMS WD	840	1	.00
UPLAND	17,000	1	.05
UPLAND HA	8,480	1	.03
VICTORVILLE RDA	14,000	1	.04
YUCAIPA VALLEY WD	2,395	3	.01
<b>TOTAL, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$1,478,741</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>4.55</b>
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>			
CO SAN DIEGO	\$243,674	7	.75
CO SAN DIEGO CAPITAL ASSET LEASING CORP	25,640	1	.08
CO SAN DIEGO HA	5,760	2	.02
CO SAN DIEGO WTR AUTH	107,000	2	.33
CARLSBAD	30,920	2	.10
CARLSBAD HSG & RED COM	16,215	1	.05
CHULA VISTA	36,400	3	.11
EL CAJON	260	2	.00
ESCONDIDO	49,805	5	.15
ESCONDIDO CDC	15,960	2	.05
ESCONDIDO-CHULA VISTA HFA	25,400	1	.08
FALLBROOK SAN DIST	3,500	1	.01
GROSSMONT HD	9,605	1	.03
GROSSMONT UHSD	6,000	1	.02
IMPERIAL BEACH	9,170	3	.03
LA MESA	2,300	1	.01
NATIONAL CITY CDC	5,375	1	.02
NO SAN DIEGO CO TRAN DEV BD	4,000	1	.01
OCEANSIDE	94,785	3	.29
OCEANSIDE CDC	25,000	2	.08
PADRE DAM MUN WD	4,000	1	.01
PALOMAR POMERADO HD	109,545	1	.34
POWAY RDA	4,260	1	.01
POWAY USD	4,400	1	.01

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY cont'd</b>			
SAN DIEGO	\$305,297	12	.94
SAN DIEGO HA	223,460	9	.69
SAN DIEGO IDA	7,025	3	.02
SAN DIEGO METRO TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT BOARD	4,250	1	.01
SAN DIEGO RDA	20,400	2	.06
SAN DIEGO USD	36,000	1	.11
SAN MARCOS	9,570	3	.03
SAN MARCOS CO WD	213	1	.00
SAN MARCOS IDA	4,500	1	.01
SAN MARCOS RDA	27,700	3	.09
SANTEE RDA	6,400	1	.02
SANTEE SD	2,000	1	.01
SO BAY ID	4,500	1	.01
SO POWAY CFD#1	30,000	1	.09
TRI CITY HD	51,890	1	.16
VISTA	34,440	3	.11
<b>TOTAL, SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$1,606,619</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>4.94</b>
<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY</b>			
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO	\$91,475	5	.28
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO HA	40,000	2	.12
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO PUC	104,000	1	.32
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO RDA	282,105	5	.87
<b>TOTAL, SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$517,580</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1.59</b>
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>			
CO SAN JOAQUIN	\$7,980	3	.02
CO SAN JOAQUIN IDA	10,300	2	.03
STOCKTON	125,735	7	.39
TRACY	28,909	2	.09
<b>TOTAL, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>	<b>\$172,924</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>.53</b>
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$5,500	1	.02
SAN LUIS OBISPO HA	7,720	1	.02
<b>TOTAL, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$13,220</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.04</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>			
CO SAN MATEO	\$53,725	3	.17
CO SAN MATEO CCD	3,700	1	.01
CO SAN MATEO HA	1,060	1	.00
DALY CITY	4,365	1	.01
DALY CITY HSG DEV FIN AGY	29,300	1	.09
FOSTER CITY	20,588	2	.06
FOSTER CITY CRA	20,600	1	.06
JEFFERSON UHSD	1,700	1	.01
MENLO PARK CDA	5,340	1	.02
MILLBRAE ELEM SD	1,400	1	.00
REDWOOD CITY	55,510	7	.17
REDWOOD CITY ELEM SD	2,100	1	.01
REDWOOD CITY, PORT OF	2,045	1	.01
SAN CARLOS	1,400	1	.00
SAN MATEO CITY SD	8,700	2	.03
SEQUOIA UHSD	2,800	1	.01
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	5,747	1	.02
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RDA	7,000	1	.02
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO USD	4,700	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$231,780</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>.71</b>
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>			
CO SANTA BARBARA	\$26,749	2	.08
GOLETA USD	2,000	1	.01
LOMPOC	3,510	1	.01
LOMPOC HD	6,755	1	.02
SANTA BARBARA	11,300	1	.03
SANTA BARBARA RDA	23,030	2	.07
SANTA MARIA	4,500	1	.01
SANTA MARIA UHSD	2,250	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$80,094</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>.25</b>
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>			
CO SANTA CLARA	\$164,407	8	.51
CO SANTA CLARA HA	102,964	6	.32
CO SANTA CLARA TD	111,910	7	.34
CO SANTA CLARA-EL CAMINO HD HOSP FAC AUTH	75,000	1	.23

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY cont'd</b>			
CAMPBELL	\$10,600	1	.03
CUPERTINO USD	3,600	1	.01
GILROY	4,732	1	.01
LOS ALTOS	40	1	.00
MILPITAS	19,726	4	.06
MILPITAS RDA	30,000	1	.09
MORGAN HILL RDA	5,480	1	.02
MOUNTAIN VIEW	20,466	2	.06
PALO ALTO	12,200	1	.04
SAN JOSE	146,215	9	.45
SAN JOSE IDA	14,650	3	.05
SAN JOSE PA	4,500	1	.01
SAN JOSE RDA	90,000	1	.28
SANTA CLARA	130,905	4	.40
SANTA CLARA RDA	130,400	2	.40
SANTA CLARA VALLEY WD	30,000	1	.09
<b>TOTAL, SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$1,107,795</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>3.41</b>
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>			
CO SANTA CRUZ	\$44,285	2	.14
CO SANTA CRUZ HA	8,700	1	.03
CAPITOLA	4,637	2	.01
SANTA CRUZ	19,365	1	.06
SANTA CRUZ METRO TD	5,100	2	.02
SCOTTS VALLEY	2,614	1	.01
SCOTTS VALLEY WD	650	1	.00
WATSONVILLE	23,389	3	.07
WATSONVILLE RDA	2,175	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>	<b>\$110,915</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>.34</b>
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>			
CO SHASTA	\$11,410	6	.04
ANDERSON	33,200	1	.10
REDDING	65,474	5	.20
REDDING HA	5,200	1	.02
REDDING RDA	6,150	1	.02
<b>TOTAL, SHASTA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$121,434</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>.37</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a) (b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>			
CO SOLANO	\$56,800	2	.17
BENICIA	1,700	1	.01
BENICIA, CO OF SOLANO, SOLANO CO CCD, FAIRFIELD- SUISUN USD, VACAVILLE USD, VALLEJO CITY USD	7,050	1	.02
DIXON IDA	1,000	1	.00
FAIRFIELD	54,300	3	.17
FAIRFIELD HA	4,750	1	.01
FAIRFIELD IDA	8,000	1	.02
FAIRFIELD RDA	41,705	5	.13
INTERCOMMUNITY HOSP FA	25,985	1	.08
SUISUN HA	13,560	2	.04
VACAVILLE	62,970	6	.19
VACAVILLE HA	8,355	1	.03
VALLEJO	73,326	5	.23
VALLEJO HA	39,500	3	.12
<b>TOTAL, SOLANO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$399,001</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1.23</b>
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>			
CO SONOMA	\$4,879	3	.01
CO SONOMA HA	42,061	3	.13
COTATI-ROHNERT PARK USD	1,750	1	.01
HEALDSBURG CRA	2,840	1	.01
HEALDSBURG IDA	3,600	1	.01
PETALUMA	3,250	2	.01
PETALUMA CDC	1,075	1	.00
ROHNERT PARK	13,965	2	.04
SANTA ROSA	64,500	3	.20
SANTA ROSA HA	40,955	5	.13
SANTA ROSA SD/HSD	5,500	1	.02
WIKIUP CO WD	670	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, SONOMA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$185,045</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>.57</b>
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>			
CO STANISLAUS	\$22,500	1	.07
CO STANISLAUS HA	12,070	3	.04
MODESTO	49,175	4	.15
MODESTO ID	135,490	1	.42
OAKDALE CRA	1,210	1	.00

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY cont'd</b>			
TURLOCK	\$11,265	2	.03
TURLOCK ID	12,400	1	.04
<b>TOTAL, STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>	<b>\$244,110</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>.75</b>
<b>SUTTER COUNTY</b>			
CO SUTTER IDA	\$1,500	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, SUTTER COUNTY</b>	<b>\$1,500</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.00</b>
<b>TEHAMA COUNTY</b>			
CO TEHAMA	\$3,000	1	.01
CO TEHAMA SAN DIST	101	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, TEHAMA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$3,101</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.01</b>
<b>TRINITY COUNTY</b>			
CO TRINITY	\$1,500	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, TRINITY COUNTY</b>	<b>\$1,500</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.00</b>
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>			
CO TULARE	\$48,045	4	.15
CO TULARE HA	12,200	2	.04
EXETER UHSD	250	1	.00
PORTERVILLE IDA	4,500	1	.01
TULARE	14,300	1	.04
VISALIA	72,400	2	.22
VISALIA IDA	1,800	1	.01
VISALIA USD ED FAC CORP	2,250	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, TULARE COUNTY</b>	<b>\$155,745</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>.48</b>
<b>TUOLUMNE COUNTY</b>			
CO TUOLUMNE	\$2,700	1	.01
JAMESTOWN SAN DIST	600	1	.00
<b>TOTAL, TUOLUMNE COUNTY</b>	<b>\$3,300</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.01</b>
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>			
CO VENTURA	\$111,765	2	.34
CO VENTURA CCD	11,585	2	.04
CO VENTURA SUPER OF SCHOOLS	2,015	1	.01
CONEJO VALLEY USD	2,145	1	.01
FILLMORE USD	970	1	.00
MOORPARK	42,600	2	.13
MOORPARK IDA	9,300	1	.03

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> (d)
<b>VENTURA COUNTY cont'd</b>			
MOORPARK USD	\$5,055	2	.02
OAK PARK USD	1,600	2	.01
OJAI USD	1,000	1	.00
OJAI VALLEY SAN DIST	310	1	.00
OXNARD	21,247	3	.07
OXNARD HARBOR DIST	18,000	1	.06
OXNARD RDA	32,575	2	.10
OXNARD SD	6,265	2	.02
OXNARD UHSD	4,250	1	.01
PLEASANT VALLEY SD	1,900	1	.01
PORT HUENEME RDA	600	1	.00
SAN BUENAVENTURA	33,295	2	.10
SANTA PAULA UHSD	440	1	.00
SIMI VALLEY	36,133	3	.11
SIMI VALLEY CDA	39,500	2	.12
SIMI VALLEY USD	13,080	2	.04
THOUSAND OAKS	3,827	1	.01
THOUSAND OAKS RDA	16,740	3	.05
VENTURA REGIONAL SAN DIST	2,970	1	.01
VENTURA USD	7,575	2	.02
<b>TOTAL, VENTURA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$426,742</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1.31</b>
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>			
CO YOLO	\$28,940	2	.09
WOODLAND	13,185	3	.04
<b>TOTAL, YOLO COUNTY</b>	<b>\$42,125</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.13</b>
<b>YUBA COUNTY</b>			
MARYSVILLE	\$3,500	1	.01
SO YUBA WD	2,525	1	.01
<b>TOTAL, YUBA COUNTY</b>	<b>\$6,025</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.02</b>
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS</b>			
ALAMEDA & ANTIOCH	\$995	1	.00
ARCATA, HEALDSBURG, SEBASTOPOL, SONOMA & UKIAH	7,175	1	.02
BERKELEY & PLEASANT HILL	4,565	1	.01
CENTRAL CA MORT AUTH	21,000	1	.06
CERES, ROCKLIN, SAN PABLO & SAN RAFAEL	1,080	1	.00

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-7

OVERVIEW OF LOCAL 1985 DEBT FINANCINGS <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>AMOUNT ISSUED</u>	<u># OF ISSUES</u>	<u>% OF TOTAL</u> <sup>(d)</sup>
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS cont'd</b>			
COACHELLA, CULVER CITY, & WEST COVINA & THE PALOS VERDES LIBRARY DIST	\$2,985	1	.01
CONCORD, HAYWARD, NOVATO, PLEASANTON, SAN CARLOS, SAUSALITO & WALNUT CREEK	3,250	1	.01
CORTE MADERA, MARINA, NEWARK, PLEASANTON, SANGER, SANTA CRUZ, SEASIDE, & MARTINEZ USD & OAKLEY USD	6,010	1	.02
COVINA-RANCHO CUCAMONGA- CALEXICO-DOWNEY HFA	22,074	1	.07
DELANO, FONTANA, SANTA MONICA & THOUSAND OAKS	2,915	1	.01
EL CENTRO, GALT & TURLOCK, & TOWN OF PARADISE	1,285	1	.00
EL MONTE-DOWNEY-SAN JACINTO HFA	21,200	1	.07
FAIRFIELD-LINCOLN HFA	28,500	1	.09
KIRKWOOD MEADOWS PUD	2,933	1	.01
MIDPENINSULA REGIONAL OPEN SPACE DIST	4,000	1	.01
MSR PUB PWR AGY	267,245	1	.82
NCPA	1,608,295	7	4.94
ORANGE & ORLAND	1,950	1	.01
PORT HUENEME-COVINA HFA	21,750	1	.07
SACRAMENTO RTD	16,285	3	.05
SAN FRANCISCO BART	211,800	5	.65
SCPPA	641,590	3	1.97
SMUD	682,840	2	2.10
SO CA RTD	130,000	2	.40
SO SUTTER WD	600	1	.00
TOWN OF CORTE MADERA & DALY CITY	4,625	1	.01
TRANSMISSION AGY OF NO CA	30,300	2	.09
<b>TOTAL, MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS</b>	<b>\$3,747,247</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>11.52</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$23,935,992</b>	<b>1,336</b>	<b>73.58</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Total debt issuance in California by State and local agencies as well as by student loan corporations equalled \$32,529,704,000. This total was used to calculate the percentages in this column.

TABLE-8

TEN LARGEST LOCAL ISSUES 1985<sup>(a)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE OF ISSUE</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>COMPETITIVE/NEGOTIATED</u>
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY	\$624,035	REVENUE BOND	POWER GENERATION	NEGOTIATED
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	600,000	TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE	CASH FLOW	NEGOTIATED
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT	491,495	REVENUE BOND	POWER GENERATION & TRANSMISSION	NEGOTIATED
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY	475,940	REVENUE BOND	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/ POWER GENERATION (REFUNDING)	NEGOTIATED
KINGS RIVER CONSERVATION DISTRICT	450,000	REVENUE BOND	POWER GENERATION	NEGOTIATED
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POWER	422,540	REVENUE BOND	POWER GENERATION (REFUNDING)	NEGOTIATED
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY	364,005	REVENUE BOND	POWER GENERATION (REFUNDING)	NEGOTIATED
LOS ANGELES	310,000	CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION	OTHER-ACQUISITION & CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS FOR L.A. CONVENTION & EXHIBITION CENTER	COMPETITIVE
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	250,000	COMMERCIAL PAPER	CASH FLOW	NEGOTIATED
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	241,658	CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION	PUBLIC BUILDING (REFUNDING)	NEGOTIATED

(a) The dollar figures are \$000.

California Debt Advisory Commission, March 31, 1986.

TABLE-9

TEN SMALLEST LOCAL ISSUES 1985<sup>(a)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>TYPE OF ISSUE</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>COMPETITIVE/NEGOTIATED</u>
SANTA MONICA	\$5	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (STREETLIGHTS)	NEGOTIATED
POMONA	6	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (STREETLIGHTS)	NEGOTIATED
KINGS COUNTY	16	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	WASTEWATER COLLECTION & TREATMENT	NEGOTIATED
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	26	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	WATER SUPPLY & DISTRIBUTION	NEGOTIATED
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	26	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	WATER SUPPLY & DISTRIBUTION	NEGOTIATED
SAN DIEGO	27	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (STREETS)	COMPETITIVE
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	27	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	WATER SUPPLY & DISTRIBUTION	NEGOTIATED
KINGS COUNTY	28	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	WASTEWATER COLLECTION & TREATMENT	NEGOTIATED
SANTA MONICA	35	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (STREETLIGHTS)	NEGOTIATED
LOS ALTOS	40	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (STREETS AND CURBS)	NEGOTIATED

(a) The dollar figures are \$000.

California Debt Advisory Commission, March 31, 1986.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
ALAMEDA COUNTY						
CO ALAMEDA	\$77,460(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO ALAMEDA IDA	18,683(6)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ALAMEDA	-----	-----	32,975(3)	-----	-----	-----
ALAMEDA COMM IMP COM	-----	2,315(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
ALBANY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AMADOR VALLEY UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AMADOR VALLEY/PLEASANTON UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BERKELEY	50,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BERKELEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DUBLIN	-----	-----	858(1)	-----	-----	-----
EDEN TOWNSHIP HD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EMERYVILLE RDA	-----	7,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
FREMONT	84,585(4)	-----	46,808(5)	-----	-----	-----
FREMONT IDA	3,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FREMONT RDA	4,985(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FREMONT USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HAYWARD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HAYWARD HA	22,565(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LIVERMORE	21,945(2)	-----	7,800(1)	-----	-----	-----
LIVERMORE HA	7,820(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEWARK RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEWARK USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEW HAVEN USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAKLAND	57,575(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAKLAND HA	7,465(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAKLAND RDA	38,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAKLAND USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLEASANTON	15,000(1)	-----	48,184(4)	-----	-----	-----
PLEASANTON JOINT SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN LEANDRO	23,400(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN LEANDRO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN LORENZO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UNION CITY	11,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UNION CITY IDA	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP HD	21,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES**<sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>
\$146,320(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ALAMEDA
-----	-----	5,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO ALAMEDA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA
-----	-----	1,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA COMM IMP COM
-----	-----	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	ALBANY
5,840(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AMADOR VALLEY UHSD
640(1)	-----	12,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	AMADOR VALLEY/PLEASANTON UHSD
-----	-----	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	BERKELEY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BERKELEY USD
11,968(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DUBLIN
22,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EDEN TOWNSHIP HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EMERYVILLE RDA
9,940(1)	-----	8,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT RDA
11,365(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT USD
-----	-----	6,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	HAYWARD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HAYWARD HA
-----	-----	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	LIVERMORE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LIVERMORE HA
-----	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT USD
6,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEWARK RDA
8,050(1)	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	NEWARK USD
27,040(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEW HAVEN USD
52,300(1)	-----	35,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	OAKLAND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAKLAND HA
221,540(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAKLAND RDA
-----	-----	32,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	OAKLAND USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLEASANTON
-----	-----	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	PLEASANTON JOINT SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LEANDRO
-----	-----	2,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN LEANDRO USD
-----	-----	3,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN LORENZO USD
-----	-----	2,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	UNION CITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UNION CITY IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP HD

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>BUTTE COUNTY</b>						
CO BUTTE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO BUTTE HA	3,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CHICO	4,400(1)	-----	2,915(1)	-----	-----	-----
OROVILLE	22,945(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OROVILLE RDA	-----	5,610(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
WESTERN CANAL WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>CALAVERAS COUNTY</b>						
CO CALAVERAS WD	-----	-----	350(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>						
CO CONTRA COSTA	110,650(6)	-----	2,670(1)	-----	-----	-----
CO CONTRA COSTA BD OF ED	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO CONTRA COSTA HA	16,675(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ANTIOCH USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CONCORD	18,480(2)	-----	394(1)	-----	-----	-----
CONCORD RDA	-----	72,180(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CONTRA COSTA CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DANVILLE	-----	-----	16,089(1)	-----	-----	-----
EL CERRITO	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL CERRITO RDA	-----	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
HERCULES	-----	-----	13,694(1)	-----	-----	-----
LAFAYETTE	-----	-----	219(1)	-----	-----	-----
MT DIABLO USD	-----	-----	-----	7,160(1)	-----	-----
PITTSBURG	9,610(1)	-----	1,019(1)	-----	-----	-----
PITTSBURG RDA	73,920(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PITTSBURG USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLEASANT HILL	7,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RICHMOND	-----	-----	335(1)	-----	-----	-----
RICHMOND RDA	-----	11,530(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN PABLO	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN RAMON	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN RAMON VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WALNUT CREEK	11,500(1)	-----	3,118(2)	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES<sup>(a)(b)</sup>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION<sup>(d)</sup></u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER<sup>(c)</sup></u>
-----	-----	\$11,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	BUTTE COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO BUTTE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO BUTTE HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CHICO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OROVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OROVILLE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,750(1)	WESTERN CANAL WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALAVERAS COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO CALAVERAS WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
14,990(1)	-----	70,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	CO CONTRA COSTA
4,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO CONTRA COSTA BD OF ED
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO CONTRA COSTA HA
-----	-----	4,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	ANTIOCH USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONCORD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONCORD RDA
-----	-----	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CONTRA COSTA CCD
3,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DANVILLE
-----	-----	1,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	EL CERRITO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL CERRITO RDA
9,090(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HERCULES
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAFAYETTE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MT DIABLO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PITTSBURG
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PITTSBURG RDA
-----	-----	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	PITTSBURG USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLEASANT HILL
499(1)	-----	9,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	RICHMOND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RICHMOND RDA
-----	-----	1,150(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN PABLO
670(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN RAMON
5,905(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN RAMON VALLEY USD
33,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WALNUT CREEK

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>DEL NORTE COUNTY</b>						
CO DEL NORTE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>EL DORADO COUNTY</b>						
CO EL DORADO	-----	-----	8,134(2)	-----	-----	-----
EL DORADO ID	-----	-----	13,769(1)	-----	-----	-----
GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUD	290(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO FAR MGMT AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>						
CO FRESNO	10,166(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO FRESNO HA	8,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CLOVIS	-----	-----	1,865(1)	900(1)	-----	-----
CLOVIS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CLOVIS USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CLOVIS USD/PRESNO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FIREBAUGH RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FRESNO	97,570(10)	-----	3,469(5)	-----	-----	-----
FRESNO HA	11,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FRESNO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
KINGS RIVER CONS DIST	450,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ORANGE COVE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>						
CO HUMBOLDT	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>IMPERIAL COUNTY</b>						
CALEXICO CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL CENTRO RDA	-----	3,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>						
CO KERN	24,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO KERN BD OF ED	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO KERN HA	59,100(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ARVIN	-----	-----	1,285(1)	-----	-----	-----
BAKERSFIELD	6,900(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA CITY	-----	-----	2,942(1)	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE  
AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION (d)</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	\$2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	DEL NORTE COUNTY CO DEL NORTE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL DORADO COUNTY CO EL DORADO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL DORADO ID
125,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUD SOFAR MGMT AUTH
-----	-----	60,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	FRESNO COUNTY CO FRESNO
35,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO FRESNO HA CLOVIS
-----	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CLOVIS RDA
10,240(1)	-----	5,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	CLOVIS USD CLOVIS USD/FRESNO USD
25,050(2)	-----	19,000(1)	-----	700(1)	-----	FIREBAUGH RDA FRESNO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FRESNO HA
-----	-----	11,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	FRESNO USD KINGS RIVER CONS DIST
1,090(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE COVE
4,090(1)	-----	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	HUMBOLDT COUNTY CO HUMBOLDT
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,750(1)	IMPERIAL COUNTY CALEXICO CRA EL CENTRO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KERN COUNTY CO KERN
-----	-----	1,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO KERN HD OF ED CO KERN HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ARVIN BAKERSFIELD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA CITY

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<b>ISSUER (c)</b>	<b>REVENUE BONDS</b>	<b>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</b>	<b>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</b>	<b>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</b>	<b>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</b>	<b>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</b>
<b>KERN COUNTY cont'd</b>						
KERN CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
KERN UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RIDGECREST	-----	-----	891(2)	-----	-----	-----
TEHACHAPI USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WEST KERN CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>KINGS COUNTY</b>						
CO KINGS	-----	-----	1,215(5)	-----	-----	-----
CO KINGS HA	30,500(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
KETTLEMAN CITY CSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>LAKE COUNTY</b>						
CO LAKE SAN DIST	-----	-----	6,271(4)	-----	-----	-----
CLEARLAKE	-----	-----	1,274(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>LASSEN COUNTY</b>						
SUSANVILLE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>						
CO LOS ANGELES	65,125(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO LOS ANGELES CDC	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO LOS ANGELES HA	161,604(9)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO LOS ANGELES IDA	28,675(14)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AGOURA HILLS	14,490(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ALHAMBRA	9,785(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ALHAMBRA IDA	5,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ALHAMBRA PA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ANTELOPE VALLEY UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AZUSA	20,450(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AZUSA IDA	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AZUSA RDA	12,410(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALDWIN PARK RDA	-----	8,595(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----
BELL CDC	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BEVERLY HILLS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BONITA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES<sup>(a)(b)</sup>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION<sup>(d)</sup></u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER<sup>(c)</sup></u>
-----	-----	\$4,330(1)	-----	-----	-----	<b>KERN COUNTY cont'd</b>
39,700(2)	-----	16,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	KERN CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KERN UHSD
-----	-----	1,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	RIDGECREST
-----	-----	1,490(1)	-----	-----	-----	TEHACHAPI USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WEST KERN CCD
-----	-----	8,000(1)	-----	775(1)	-----	<b>KINGS COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO KINGS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO KINGS HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	365(1)	KETTLEMAN CITY CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>LAKE COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LAKE SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CLEARLAKE
2,705(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>LASSEN COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUSANVILLE
389,623(4)	250,000(1)	700,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>
6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AGOURA HILLS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALHAMBRA
7,105(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALHAMBRA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALHAMBRA PA
3,700(1)	-----	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	ANTELOPE VALLEY UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AZUSA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AZUSA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,500(2)	AZUSA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	8,500(2)	BALDWIN PARK RDA
75,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,000(1)	BELL CDC
2,355(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BEVERLY HILLS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BONITA USD

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>REVENUE</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>TAX</u> <u>ALLOCATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL</u> <u>ASSESSMENT</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL</u> <u>OBLIGATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE</u> <u>REVENUE</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX</u> <u>OBLIGATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>
LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd						
BURBANK	\$30,380(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BURBANK RDA	36,000(1)	43,440(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CLAREMONT USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COMMERCE RDA	-----	27,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
COMPTON CRA	-----	25,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
COVINA IDA	425(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COVINA RDA	14,585(1)	6,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
COVINA VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CUDAHY RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CULVER CITY RDA	4,638(1)	66,170(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----
DUARTE RDA	-----	11,630(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL MONTE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL MONTE CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GARDENA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GLENDALE	16,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GLENDALE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GLENDORA CRA	5,000(1)	11,575(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HAWTHORNE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON PARK IDA	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON PARK RDA	1,510(1)	37,055(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
INDUSTRY	9,000(1)	-----	2,188(1)	-----	-----	-----
INDUSTRY URBAN DEV AGY	-----	87,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
INGLEWOOD	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
INGLEWOOD RDA	18,500(1)	16,765(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
IRWINDALE CRA	-----	45,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
IRWINDALE IDA	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA CANADA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA MIRADA RDA	-----	8,165(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
LANCASTER	39,325(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LANCASTER RDA	116,207(11)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAS VIRGENES USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA VERNE	-----	-----	6,351(1)	-----	-----	-----
LONG BEACH	105,015(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LONG BEACH HA	24,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LONG BEACH RDA	-----	50,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES**<sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<b>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</b> <sup>(d)</sup>	<b>COMMERCIAL PAPER</b>	<b>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</b>	<b>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</b>	<b>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</b>	<b>OTHER NOTES</b>	<b>ISSUER</b> <sup>(c)</sup>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BURBANK
-----	-----	1,325(1)	-----	-----	-----	BURBANK RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CLAREMONT USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COMMERCE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COMPTON CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COVINA IDA
-----	-----	3,675(1)	-----	-----	-----	COVINA RDA
7,685(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COVINA VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CUDAHY RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CULVER CITY RDA
12,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DUARTE RDA
5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL MONTE
18,070(3)	-----	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	EL MONTE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GARDENA
-----	-----	9,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	GLENDALE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GLENDALE USD
8,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GLENDORA CRA
-----	-----	3,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	HAWAIIAN GARDENS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HAWTHORNE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON PARK IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON PARK RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	14,623(1)	INDUSTRY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDUSTRY URBAN DEV AGY
-----	-----	4,380(1)	-----	-----	-----	INGLEWOOD
5,630(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INGLEWOOD RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	13,300(1)	IRWINDALE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRWINDALE IDA
-----	-----	1,365(1)	-----	-----	-----	LA CANADA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA MIRADA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LANCASTER
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LANCASTER RDA
-----	-----	2,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	LAS VIRGENES USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA VERNE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LONG BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LONG BEACH HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LONG BEACH RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.  
 (d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd						
LOS ANGELES	\$329,769(10)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES CRA	142,414(6)	135,550(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES DWP	68,785(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES HARBOR DEPT	60,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES IDA	8,550(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
METROPOLITAN WD SO CA	-----	-----	-----	100,000(1)	-----	-----
MONTEBELLO CRA	-----	25,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
MONTEBELLO IDA	6,750(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEWHALL SD	-----	-----	-----	3,165(1)	-----	-----
NORWALK	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NORWALK RDA	14,000(1)	15,280(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALMDALE	72,001(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALMDALE CRA	46,915(4)	5,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALMDALE WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALOS VERDES PENINSULA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PARAMOUNT RDA	-----	25,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
PASADENA	20,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PASADENA HA	21,080(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PASADENA PA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PASADENA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PICO RIVERA RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PICO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
POMONA	3,800(1)	-----	9,036(2)	-----	-----	-----
POMONA IDA	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
POMONA RDA	17,850(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REGIONAL AIRPORTS IMP CORP	210,787(6)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ROSEMEAD SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ROWLAND USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIMAS RDA	14,700(2)	5,080(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA FE SPRINGS RDA	-----	45,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA MONICA	3,500(1)	-----	40(2)	-----	-----	-----
SIGNAL HILL RDA	-----	28,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTHEAST RESOURCE RECOVERY FAC AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	125,000(1)	-----

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>
\$743,460(6)	-----	\$140,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	26,700(2)	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES
10,200(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES DWP
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES HARBOR DEPT
-----	-----	115,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	METROPOLITAN WD SO CA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEBELLO CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEBELLO IDA
2,665(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEWHALL SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NORWALK
17,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NORWALK RDA
7,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALMDALE
7,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALMDALE CRA
-----	-----	3,690(1)	-----	-----	-----	PALMDALE WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALOS VERDES PENINSULA USD
34,580(2)	-----	6,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	PARAMOUNT RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA
16,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA HA
-----	-----	11,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA PA
9,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA USD
2,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PICO RIVERA RDA
-----	-----	6,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	PICO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	POMONA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	POMONA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	13,000(2)	POMONA RDA
1,070(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REGIONAL AIRPORTS IMP CORP
2,220(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROSEMEAD SD
7,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROWLAND USD
7,060(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIMAS RDA
4,625(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA FE SPRINGS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,000(1)	SANTA MONICA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SIGNAL HILL RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTHEAST RESOURCE RECOVERY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAC AUTH

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<b>ISSUER</b> <sup>(c)</sup>	<b>REVENUE BONDS</b>	<b>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</b>	<b>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</b>	<b>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</b>	<b>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</b>	<b>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</b>
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SOUTH GATE CRA	-----	\$18,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SULPHUR SPRINGS USD	-----	-----	-----	2,870(1)	-----	-----
TORRANCE	60,510(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TORRANCE RDA	-----	4,080(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
TORRANCE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WALNUT IMP AGY	20,000(1)	16,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
WALNUT VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WEST COVINA RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WEST COVINA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WHITTIER	49,130(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WHITTIER RDA	-----	4,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
WILLIAM S. HART UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>MARIN COUNTY</b>						
CO MARIN	23,470(1)	-----	55(1)	-----	-----	-----
CO MARIN HA	5,690(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BEL MARIN KEYS CSD	-----	-----	1,766(1)	-----	-----	-----
MILL VALLEY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN RAFAEL	-----	-----	4,436(1)	-----	-----	-----
SAN RAFAEL RDA	-----	12,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
TIBURON CPD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,950(1)
<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>						
CO MENDOCINO	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UKIAH USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>						
CO MERCED	-----	-----	95(1)	-----	-----	-----
CO MERCED HA	5,080(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ATWATER	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GUSTINE	500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LIVINGSTON	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION <sup>(d)</sup></u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER <sup>(c)</sup></u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd</b>
14,530(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH GATE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SULPHUR SPRINGS USD
4,155(1)	-----	4,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	TORRANCE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TORRANCE RDA
123,605(6)	-----	1,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	TORRANCE USD
-----	-----	1,850(1)	-----	-----	-----	WALNUT IMP AGY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WALNUT VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WEST COVINA RDA
-----	-----	3,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	WEST COVINA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WHITTIER
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WHITTIER RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WILLIAM S. HART UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>MARIN COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MARIN
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MARIN HA
1,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BEL MARIN KEYS CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MILL VALLEY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN RAFAEL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN RAFAEL RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TIBURON CFD
-----	-----	11,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>
5,260(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MENDOCINO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UKIAH USD
-----	-----	13,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MERCED
3,165(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MERCED HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ATWATER
585(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GUSTINE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LIVINGSTON

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.
- (d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup>(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>REVENUE</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>TAX</u> <u>ALLOCATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL</u> <u>ASSESSMENT</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL</u> <u>OBLIGATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE</u> <u>REVENUE</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX</u> <u>OBLIGATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>						
CO MONTEREY	-----	-----	\$95(1)	-----	-----	-----
CO MONTEREY BD OF ED	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO MONTEREY HA	26,500(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO MONTEREY PWR AUTH	4,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CARMEL	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CARMEL USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GREENFIELD	-----	-----	1,250(1)	-----	-----	-----
MONTEREY	2,450(1)	-----	1,219(2)	-----	-----	-----
MONTEREY PENINSULA CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MONTEREY PENINSULA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MONTEREY RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,350(1)	-----
MONTEREY REG WTR POL CNTRL	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PACIFIC GROVE	5,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SALINAS	14,205(2)	-----	1,063(1)	-----	-----	-----
SALINAS SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SALINAS RDA	-----	2,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SALINAS UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOLEDAD RDA	-----	1,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SUNNY MESA CO WD	-----	-----	189(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>NAPA COUNTY</b>						
CO NAPA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NAPA	-----	-----	7,717(1)	-----	-----	-----
NAPA VALLEY CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NAPA VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>NEVADA COUNTY</b>						
CO NEVADA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DONNER SUMMIT PUD	2,615(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEVADA PWR AUTH	9,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>						
CO ORANGE	787,069(24)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO ORANGE BD OF ED	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO ORANGE HA	128,541(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO ORANGE TD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES<sup>(a)(b)</sup>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> (d)	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> (c)
\$13,240(1)	-----	\$17,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY BD OF ED
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY HA
990(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY PWR AUTH
-----	-----	1,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	CARMEL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CARMEL USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GREENFIELD
-----	-----	1,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY
-----	-----	2,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY PENINSULA CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY PENINSULA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY REG WTR POL CNTRL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PACIFIC GROVE
-----	-----	1,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	SALINAS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SALINAS SD
-----	-----	2,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	SALINAS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SALINAS UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOLEDAD RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUNNY MESA CO WD
-----	-----	6,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	<b>NAPA COUNTY</b>
11,415(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO NAPA
-----	-----	1,270(1)	-----	-----	-----	NAPA
-----	-----	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	NAPA VALLEY CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NAPA VALLEY USD
10,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>NEVADA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO NEVADA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DONNER SUMMIT PUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEVADA PWR AUTH
33,880(2)	-----	99,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	10,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE BD OF ED
-----	-----	23,300(2)	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE TD

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>REVENUE</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>TAX</u> <u>ALLOCATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL</u> <u>ASSESSMENT</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL</u> <u>OBLIGATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE</u> <u>REVENUE</u> <u>BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX</u> <u>OBLIGATION</u> <u>BONDS</u>
ORANGE COUNTY cont'd						
ANAHEIM	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ANAHEIM HA	20,989(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ANAHEIM IDA	1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ANAHEIM UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BREA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BREA RDA	5,300(1)	60,980(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
BREA-OLINDA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BUENA PARK CRA	-----	31,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CAPISTRANO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COSTA MESA RDA	13,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FOUNTAIN VALLEY COMM DEV	-----	34,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
FULLERTON SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FULLERTON UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON BEACH	43,165(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON BEACH UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
IRVINE	10,000(2)	-----	148,600(2)	-----	-----	-----
IRVINE PUB FAC & INFRA AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
IRVINE RANCH WD	-----	-----	-----	158,515(3)	-----	-----
IRVINE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA HABRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA HABRA IDA	1,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA HABRA CITY SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAGUNA BEACH	-----	-----	6,315(1)	-----	-----	-----
LAGUNA BEACH USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MOULTON-NIGUEL WD	-----	-----	-----	34,065(4)	-----	-----
NEWPORT MESA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ORANGE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLACENTIA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLACENTIA USD	-----	-----	-----	4,535(1)	-----	-----
RANCHO SANTIAGO CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SADDLEBACK CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SADDLEBACK VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA	90,749(8)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA HA	21,200(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA CRA	-----	137,500(6)	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> (d)	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> (c)
\$57,164(4)	-----	\$22,125(1)	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM HA
5,880(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM IDA
-----	-----	4,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BREA
-----	-----	2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	BREA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,000(1)	BREA-OLINDA USD
-----	-----	7,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	BUENA PARK CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CAPISTRANO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COSTA MESA RDA
-----	-----	1,990(1)	-----	-----	-----	FOUNTAIN VALLEY COMM DEV
-----	-----	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	FULLERTON SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FULLERTON UHSD
-----	-----	10,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON BEACH UHSD
90,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE PUB FAC & INFRA AUTH
-----	-----	10,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE RANCH WD
-----	-----	2,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA HABRA
-----	-----	1,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	LA HABRA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA HABRA CITY SD
-----	-----	800(1)	-----	-----	-----	LAGUNA BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAGUNA BEACH USD
-----	-----	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	MOULTON-NIGUEL WD
-----	-----	5,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	NEWPORT MESA USD
-----	-----	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLACENTIA
-----	-----	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	PLACENTIA USD
-----	-----	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO SANTIAGO CCD
-----	-----	6,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	SADDLEBACK CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SADDLEBACK VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA CRA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>ORANGE COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SANTA ANA IDA	\$10,500(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD	-----	-----	3,335(1)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD CFD#2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,300(1)
SANTA MARGARITA WD	-----	-----	-----	93,230(3)	-----	-----
SEAL BEACH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTH COAST CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
STANTON	15,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
STANTON RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TUSTIN USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WESTMINSTER	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WESTMINSTER RDA	9,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WESTMINSTER SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
YORBA LINDA	-----	-----	60(1)	-----	-----	-----
YORBA LINDA RDA	26,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>						
CO PLACER	-----	-----	3,843(1)	-----	-----	-----
CO PLACER IDA	4,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLACER UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ROCKLIN/LOOMIS MUD	-----	-----	958(1)	-----	-----	-----
ROSEVILLE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>PLUMAS COUNTY</b>						
PORTOLA CFD#1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	120(1)
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>						
CO RIVERSIDE	39,025(2)	-----	8,387(4)	-----	-----	-----
CO RIVERSIDE CFD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	16,590(3)
CO RIVERSIDE FLD CNTRL & WD	-----	-----	1,840(1)	-----	-----	-----
CO RIVERSIDE HA	140,665(12)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO RIVERSIDE IDA	20,900(6)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CATHEDRAL CITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CATHEDRAL CITY RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COACHELLA VALLEY CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COACHELLA VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CORONA	29,500(3)	-----	500(1)	-----	-----	-----
CORONA RDA	13,600(2)	20,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

**TABLE-10**  
**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)(b)</sup>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION <sup>(d)</sup></u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER <sup>(c)</sup></u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD
-----	-----	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD CFD#2
-----	-----	1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	SANTA MARGARITA WD
-----	-----	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	SEAL BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH COAST CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	STANTON
-----	-----	6,000(2)	-----	-----	4,000(1)	STANTON RDA
1,339(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TUSTIN USD
20,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WESTMINSTER
-----	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	WESTMINSTER RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WESTMINSTER SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	YORBA LINDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	YORBA LINDA RDA
-----	-----	16,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	PLACER COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO PLACER
2,240(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO PLACER IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLACER UHSD
14,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROCKLIN/LOOMIS MUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROSEVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLUMAS COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PORTOLA CFD#1
184,755(2)	-----	72,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE CFD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE FLD CNTRL & WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE HA
5,130(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE IDA
2,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CATHEDRAL CITY
-----	-----	2,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	CATHEDRAL CITY RDA
2,330(1)	-----	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	COACHELLA VALLEY CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COACHELLA VALLEY USD
-----	-----	4,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CORONA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CORONA RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY cont'd</b>						
DESERT HOT SPRINGS	\$20,000(1)	-----	\$222(1)	-----	-----	-----
DESERT HOT SPRINGS HA	7,650(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA	-----	2,760(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
DESERT SANDS USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ELSINORE UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ELSINORE VALLEY MUN WD	-----	-----	930(1)	-----	-----	-----
HEMET HA	17,400(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HEMET USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
INDIAN WELLS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
INDIO	22,400(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
INDIO HA	11,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAKE ELSINORE RDA	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MORENO VALLEY	58,980(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NORCO RDA	-----	6,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALM DESERT	-----	-----	10,828(2)	-----	-----	-----
PALM DESERT RDA	-----	17,860(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALM SPRINGS	-----	-----	2,488(2)	-----	-----	-----
PALM SPRINGS HA	8,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALM SPRINGS USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALO VERDE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PERRIS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PERRIS UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WD	-----	-----	231(4)	-----	-----	-----
RIVERSIDE	225,990(14)	-----	23,820(3)	-----	-----	-----
RIVERSIDE IDA	2,220(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RIVERSIDE RDA	-----	8,000(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----
RIVERSIDE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ROSA CSD	-----	-----	1,738(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>						
CO SACRAMENTO	112,835(4)	-----	3,959(4)	-----	-----	-----
CO SACRAMENTO HA	179,110(13)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SACRAMENTO IDA	2,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ELK GROVE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FOLSOM	-----	-----	1,535(1)	-----	-----	-----
GALT	-----	-----	1,662(1)	-----	-----	-----
GALT RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SACRAMENTO	-----	-----	5,394(1)	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION (d)</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DESERT HOT SPRINGS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DESERT HOT SPRINGS HA
-----	-----	3,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA
2,195(1)	-----	950(1)	-----	-----	-----	DESERT SANDS USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ELSINORE UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ELSINORE VALLEY MUN WD
-----	-----	2,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	HEMET HA
16,890(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HEMET USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDIAN WELLS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDIO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDIO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAKE ELSINORE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MORENO VALLEY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NORCO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALM DESERT
35,000(1)	-----	2,725(1)	-----	-----	-----	PALM DESERT RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALM SPRINGS
6,345(1)	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	PALM SPRINGS HA
-----	-----	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	PALM SPRINGS USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,000(1)	PALO VERDE USD
-----	-----	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	PERRIS RDA
52,116(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PERRIS UHSD
-----	-----	11,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO CALIFORNIA WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE IDA
4,235(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA CSD
11,700(1)	-----	100,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SACRAMENTO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SACRAMENTO HA
-----	-----	5,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SACRAMENTO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ELK GROVE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FOLSOM
800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GALT
29,365(1)	-----	13,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	GALT RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES<sup>(a)(b)</sup>**

<u>ISSUER<sup>(c)</sup></u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SACRAMENTO RDA	-----	\$31,615(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SACRAMENTO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN JUAN USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN BENITO COUNTY</b>						
HOLLISTER RDA	-----	4,380(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SUNNYSLOPE CO WD	-----	-----	93(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN BERNARDINO	181,995(8)	-----	4,407(2)	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN BERNARDINO HA	167,370(11)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN BERNARDINO IDA	10,800(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALDY MESA CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	325(1)	-----
BARSTOW	9,700(2)	-----	3,196(1)	-----	-----	-----
BIG BEAR CSD	-----	-----	715(1)	-----	-----	-----
BIG BEAR LAKE	6,800(1)	-----	556(1)	-----	-----	-----
CHINO IDA	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COLTON	27,500(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COLTON RDA	20,000(1)	3,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
FONTANA	15,450(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FONTANA RDA	36,515(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GRAND TERRACE CRA	30,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAKE ARROWHEAD CSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOMA LINDA	221,300(7)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOMA LINDA RDA	14,258(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MOUNTAIN VIEW SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,500(1)
OMNITRANS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ONTARIO	68,205(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	8,000(1)
ONTARIO IDA	9,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ONTARIO RDA	13,835(3)	24,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	53,725(1)	-----	9,052(2)	-----	-----	18,000(1)
RANCHO CUCAMONGA RDA	3,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDLANDS	22,650(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDLANDS RDA	-----	14,245(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION (d)</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO COUNTY cont'd
3,230(1)	-----	17,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO RDA
15,155(1)	-----	18,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JUAN USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BENITO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HOLLISTER RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUNNYSLOPE CO WD
40,300(1)	40,000(1)	40,000(1)	-----	35,315(1)	-----	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN BERNARDINO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN BERNARDINO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN BERNARDINO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BALDY MESA CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BARSTOW
5,000(1)	-----	1,350(1)	-----	-----	-----	BIG BEAR CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BIG BEAR LAKE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CHINO IDA
5,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COLTON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COLTON RDA
9,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FONTANA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FONTANA RDA
21,230(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GRAND TERRACE CRA
10,680(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAKE ARROWHEAD CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMA LINDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMA LINDA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOUNTAIN VIEW SD
-----	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	OMNITRANS
3,000(1)	-----	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	ONTARIO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ONTARIO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ONTARIO RDA
4,565(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO CUCAMONGA
24,550(2)	-----	1,650(1)	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO CUCAMONGA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDLANDS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDLANDS RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY cont'd</b>						
RIALTO	\$37,500(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RUNNING SPRINGS WD	-----	-----	407(1)	-----	-----	-----
SAN BERNARDINO	97,425(7)	-----	13,400(1)	-----	-----	-----
SAN BERNARDINO IDA	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN BERNARDINO RDA	-----	23,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----
TWENTYNINE PALMS WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UPLAND	17,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UPLAND HA	8,480(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VICTORVILLE RDA	14,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
YUCAIPA VALLEY WD	-----	-----	2,395(3)	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN DIEGO	78,674(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN DIEGO CAPITAL ASSET LEASING CORP	-----	-----	-----	-----	25,640(1)	-----
CO SAN DIEGO HA	5,760(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN DIEGO WTR AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CARLSBAD	30,920(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CARLSBAD HSG & RED COM	16,215(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CHULA VISTA	32,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL CAJON	-----	-----	260(2)	-----	-----	-----
ESCONDIDO	34,620(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ESCONDIDO CDC	15,960(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ESCONDIDO-CHULA VISTA HFA	25,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FALLBROOK SAN DIST	3,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GROSSMONT HD	9,605(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GROSSMONT UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
IMPERIAL BEACH	6,400(1)	-----	870(1)	-----	-----	-----
LA MESA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NATIONAL CITY CDC	-----	5,375(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
NO SAN DIEGO CO TRAN DEV BD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OCEANSIDE	94,785(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OCEANSIDE CDC	25,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PADRE DAM MUN WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALOMAR POMERADO HD	109,545(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
POWAY RDA	-----	4,260(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
POWAY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup>(b)**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY cont'd</b>
55(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIALTO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RUNNING SPRINGS WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BERNARDINO
3,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BERNARDINO IDA
840(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BERNARDINO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TWENTYNINE PALMS WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UPLAND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UPLAND HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VICTORVILLE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	YUCAIPA VALLEY WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>
-----	110,000(1)	55,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO CAPITAL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ASSET LEASING CORP
107,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO WTR AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CARLSBAD
4,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CARLSBAD HSG & RED COM
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CHULA VISTA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL CAJON
15,185(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ESCONDIDO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ESCONDIDO CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ESCONDIDO-CHULA VISTA HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FALLBROOK SAN DIST
-----	-----	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	GROSSMONT HD
-----	-----	1,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	GROSSMONT UHSD
-----	-----	2,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	IMPERIAL BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA MESA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NATIONAL CITY CDC
-----	-----	4,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	NO SAN DIEGO CO TRAN DEV BD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OCEANSIDE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OCEANSIDE CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,000(1)	PADRE DAM MUN WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALOMAR POMERADO HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	POWAY RDA
-----	-----	4,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	POWAY USD

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SAN DIEGO	\$264,350(8)	-----	\$77(2)	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO HA	223,460(9)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO IDA	7,025(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO METRO TD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO RDA	-----	5,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN MARCOS	-----	-----	3,320(1)	5,000(1)	-----	-----
SAN MARCOS CO WD	-----	-----	213(1)	-----	-----	-----
SAN MARCOS IDA	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN MARCOS RDA	23,100(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTEE RDA	-----	6,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTEE SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO BAY ID	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO POWAY CFD #1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	30,000(1)
TRI CITY HD	51,890(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VISTA	33,140(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY</b>						
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO	91,475(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO HA	40,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO PUC	104,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO RDA	282,105(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN JOAQUIN	-----	-----	7,980(3)	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN JOAQUIN IDA	10,300(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
STOCKTON	120,320(6)	-----	5,415(1)	-----	-----	-----
TRACY	12,600(1)	-----	16,309(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>						
SAN LUIS OBISPO	5,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN LUIS OBISPO HA	7,720(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION (d)</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
\$4,870(1)	-----	\$36,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO HA
-----	-----	-----	4,250(1)	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO METRO TD
-----	-----	36,000(1)	-----	-----	15,000(1)	SAN DIEGO RDA
-----	-----	1,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS CO WD
4,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS RDA
-----	-----	2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	SANTEE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTEE SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,500(1)	SO BAY ID
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SO POWAY CFD #1
-----	-----	1,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	TRI CITY HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISTA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO PUC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN JOAQUIN
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN JOAQUIN IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	STOCKTON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TRACY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LUIS OBISPO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LUIS OBISPO HA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN MATEO	\$25,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN MATEO CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN MATEO HA	1,060(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DALY CITY	-----	-----	4,365(1)	-----	-----	-----
DALY CITY HSG DEV FIN AGY	29,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FOSTER CITY	-----	-----	20,588(2)	-----	-----	-----
FOSTER CITY CRA	20,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
JEFFERSON UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MENLO PARK CDA	-----	5,340(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
MILLBRAE ELEM SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDWOOD CITY	33,000(2)	-----	17,346(3)	-----	-----	-----
REDWOOD CITY ELEM SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDWOOD CITY, PORT OF	2,045(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN CARLOS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN MATEO CITY SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SEQUOIA UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	-----	-----	5,747(1)	-----	-----	-----
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>						
CO SANTA BARBARA	22,520(1)	-----	4,229(1)	-----	-----	-----
GOLETA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOMPOC	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOMPOC HD	6,755(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA BARBARA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA BARBARA RDA	3,200(1)	19,830(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA MARIA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA MARIA UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>						
CO SANTA CLARA	65,607(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SANTA CLARA HA	102,964(6)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SANTA CLARA TD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SANTA CLARA-EL CAMINO HD HOSP FAC AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	75,000(1)	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>

<b>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</b> <sup>(d)</sup>	<b>COMMERCIAL PAPER</b>	<b>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</b>	<b>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</b>	<b>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</b>	<b>OTHER NOTES</b>	<b>ISSUER</b> <sup>(c)</sup>
\$28,725(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	3,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN MATEO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN MATEO CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN MATEO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DALY CITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DALY CITY HSG DEV FIN AGY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FOSTER CITY
-----	-----	1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	FOSTER CITY CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	JEFFERSON UHSD
-----	-----	1,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	MENLO PARK CDA
564(1)	-----	4,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	MILLBRAE ELEM SD
-----	-----	2,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	REDWOOD CITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDWOOD CITY ELEM SD
-----	-----	1,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	REDWOOD CITY, PORT OF
5,000(1)	-----	3,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN CARLOS
-----	-----	2,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN MATEO CITY SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SEQUOIA UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,000(1)	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO
-----	-----	4,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA BARBARA
3,510(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GOLETA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMPOC
11,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMPOC HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA BARBARA
4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA BARBARA RDA
-----	-----	2,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	SANTA MARIA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA MARIA UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>
10,800(2)	35,000(1)	53,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA HA
52,860(1)	-----	24,400(1)	34,650(5)	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA TD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA-EL CAMINO HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HOSP FAC AUTH

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY cont'd</b>						
CAMPBELL	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CUPERTINO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GILROY	-----	-----	4,732(1)	-----	-----	-----
LOS ALTOS	-----	-----	40(1)	-----	-----	-----
MILPITAS	-----	-----	19,726(4)	-----	-----	-----
MILPITAS RDA	-----	30,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
MORGAN HILL RDA	5,480(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MOUNTAIN VIEW	20,000(1)	-----	466(1)	-----	-----	-----
PALO ALTO	12,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN JOSE	140,760(5)	-----	5,455(4)	-----	-----	-----
SAN JOSE IDA	14,650(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN JOSE PA	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN JOSE RDA	-----	90,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA CLARA	78,300(1)	-----	11,405(1)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA CLARA RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA CLARA VALLEY WD	30,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>						
CO SANTA CRUZ	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SANTA CRUZ HA	8,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CAPITOLA	-----	-----	2,952(1)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA CRUZ	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA CRUZ METRO TD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SCOTTS VALLEY	-----	-----	2,614(1)	-----	-----	-----
SCOTTS VALLEY WD	650(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WATSONVILLE	23,140(2)	-----	249(1)	-----	-----	-----
WATSONVILLE RDA	-----	2,175(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>						
CO SHASTA	-----	-----	2,305(4)	-----	-----	-----
ANDERSON	33,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDDING	-----	-----	2,174(4)	-----	-----	-----
REDDING HA	5,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDDING RDA	-----	6,150(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>
\$10,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY cont'd</b>
-----	-----	3,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	CAMPBELL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CUPERTINO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GILROY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ALTOS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MILPITAS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MILPITAS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MORGAN HILL RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOUNTAIN VIEW
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALO ALTO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOSE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOSE IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOSE PA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOSE RDA
41,200(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CLARA
130,400(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CLARA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CLARA VALLEY WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>
13,285(1)	-----	31,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CRUZ
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CRUZ HA
1,685(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CAPITOLA
19,365(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CRUZ
-----	-----	5,100(2)	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CRUZ METRO TD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SCOTTS VALLEY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SCOTTS VALLEY WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WATSONVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WATSONVILLE RDA
-----	-----	6,700(1)	2,405(1)	-----	-----	<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SHASTA
63,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANDERSON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDDING
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDDING HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDDING RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>						
CO SOLANO	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BENICIA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BENICIA, CO OF SOLANO, SOLANO CO CCD, FAIRFIELD- SUISUN USD, VACAVILLE USD, VALLEJO CITY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DIXON IDA	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD	5,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD HSG AGY	4,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD IDA	8,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD RDA	5,525(1)	36,180(4)	-----	-----	-----	-----
INTERCOMMUNITY HOSP FA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SUISUN HA	13,560(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VACAVILLE	31,800(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VACAVILLE HA	8,355(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VALLEJO	26,430(2)	-----	13,396(2)	-----	-----	-----
VALLEJO HA	39,500(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>						
CO SONOMA	-----	-----	704(2)	-----	-----	-----
CO SONOMA HA	42,061(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COTATI-ROHNERT PARK USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HEALDSBURG CRA	-----	2,840(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
HEALDSBURG IDA	3,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PETALUMA	-----	-----	1,250(1)	-----	-----	-----
PETALUMA CRA	-----	1,075(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
ROHNERT PARK	13,965(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ROSA	40,000(1)	-----	24,500(2)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ROSA HA	40,955(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ROSA SD/HSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WIKIUP CO WD	-----	-----	670(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>						
CO STANISLAUS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO STANISLAUS HA	12,070(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MODESTO	27,575(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MODESTO ID	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAKDALE RDA	-----	1,210(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION (d)</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
\$43,300(1)	-----	\$13,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	SOLANO COUNTY
-----	-----	1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SOLANO
7,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BENICIA
46,500(1)	-----	2,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	BENICIA, CO OF SOLANO,
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOLANO CO CCD, FAIRFIELD-
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUISUN USD, VACAVILLE
25,985(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	USD, VALLEJO CITY USD
31,170(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DIXON IDA
33,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD HSG AGY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INTERCOMMUNITY HOSP FA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUISUN HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VACAVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VACAVILLE HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VALLEJO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VALLEJO HA
4,175(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SONOMA COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SONOMA
-----	-----	1,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO SONOMA HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COTATI-ROHNERT PARK USD.
2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HEALDSBURG CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HEALDSBURG IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PETALUMA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PETALUMA CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROHNERT PARK
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA HA
-----	-----	5,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA SD/HSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WIKIUP CO WD
-----	-----	22,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	STANISLAUS COUNTY
21,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO STANISLAUS
135,490(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO STANISLAUS HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MODESTO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MODESTO ID
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAKDALE RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY cont'd</b>						
TURLOCK	\$970(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TURLOCK ID	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SUTTER COUNTY</b>						
CO SUTTER IDA	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>TEHAMA COUNTY</b>						
CO TEHAMA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO TEHAMA SAN DIST	-----	-----	101(1)	-----	-----	-----
<b>TRINITY COUNTY</b>						
CO TRINITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>						
CO TULARE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO TULARE HA	12,200(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EXETER UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PORTERVILLE IDA	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TULARE	14,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VISALIA	44,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VISALIA IDA	1,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VISALIA USD ED FAC CORP	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,250(1)	-----
<b>TUOLUMNE COUNTY</b>						
CO TUOLUMNE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
JAMESTOWN SAN DIST	600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>						
CO VENTURA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO VENTURA CCD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO VENTURA SUPER OF SCHOOLS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CONEJO VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FILLMORE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MOORPARK	42,600(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MOORPARK IDA	9,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES<sup>(a)(b)</sup>**

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION<sup>(d)</sup></u>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER<sup>(c)</sup></u>
\$10,295(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	STANISLAUS COUNTY cont'd
12,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TURLOCK
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TURLOCK ID
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUTTER COUNTY
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SUTTER IDA
	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	TEHAMA COUNTY
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TEHAMA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TEHAMA SAN DIST
	-----	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	TRINITY COUNTY
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TRINITY
22,845(2)	-----	17,200(1)	8,000(1)	-----	-----	TULARE COUNTY
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TULARE
	-----	250(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO TULARE HA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EXETER UHSD
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PORTERVILLE IDA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TULARE
28,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISALIA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISALIA IDA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISALIA USD ED FAC CORP
	-----	2,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	TUOLUMNE COUNTY
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TUOLUMNE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	JAMESTOWN SAN DIST
51,765(1)	-----	60,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	VENTURA COUNTY
6,285(1)	-----	5,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO VENTURA
2,015(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO VENTURA CCD
2,145(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO VENTURA SUPER OF SCHOOLS
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONEJO VALLEY USD
	-----	970(1)	-----	-----	-----	FILLMORE USD
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOORPARK
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOORPARK IDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</u>	<u>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</u>	<u>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</u>	<u>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</u>	<u>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</u>
<b>VENTURA COUNTY cont'd</b>						
MOORPARK USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAK PARK USD	-----	-----	-----	1,100(1)	-----	-----
OJAI USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OJAI VALLEY SAN DIST	-----	-----	310(1)	-----	-----	-----
OXNARD	-----	-----	5,657(1)	-----	-----	-----
OXNARD HARBOR DIST	18,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OXNARD RDA	-----	8,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
OXNARD SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OXNARD UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLEASANT VALLEY SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PORT HUENEME RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN BUENAVENTURA	25,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA PAULA UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SIMI VALLEY	35,304(2)	-----	829(1)	-----	-----	-----
SIMI VALLEY COMM DEV	15,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SIMI VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
THOUSAND OAKS	-----	-----	3,827(1)	-----	-----	-----
THOUSAND OAKS RDA	4,305(1)	4,595(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
VENTURA REGIONAL SAN DIST	-----	-----	2,970(1)	-----	-----	-----
VENTURA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>						
CO YOLO	20,940(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WOODLAND	9,430(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>YUBA COUNTY</b>						
MARYSVILLE	3,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO YUBA WD	2,525(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS</b>						
ALAMEDA & ANTIOCH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ARCATA, HEALDSBURG, SEBASTOPOL, SONOMA & UKIAH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BERKELEY & PLEASANT HILL	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CENTRAL CA MORT AUTH	21,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CERES, ROCKLIN, SAN PABLO & SAN RAFAEL	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>
\$4,405(1)	-----	\$650(1)	-----	-----	-----	VENTURA COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	500(1)	-----	-----	-----	MOORPARK USD
-----	-----	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	OAKPARK USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OJAI USD
15,590(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OJAI VALLEY SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD
24,175(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD HARBOR DIST
3,265(1)	-----	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD RDA
-----	-----	4,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD SD
-----	-----	1,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	600(1)	PLEASANT VALLEY SD
8,295(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PORT HUENEME RDA
-----	-----	440(1)	-----	-----	-----	SAN BUENAVENTURA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA PAULA UHSD
24,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SIMI VALLEY
6,230(1)	-----	6,850(1)	-----	-----	-----	SIMI VALLEY COMM DEV
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SIMI VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,840(1)	THOUSAND OAKS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	THOUSAND OAKS RDA
2,365(1)	-----	5,210(1)	-----	-----	-----	VENTURA REGIONAL SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VENTURA USD
-----	-----	8,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	YOLO COUNTY
3,755(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO YOLO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WOODLAND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	YUBA COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MARYSVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SO YUBA WD
995(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA & ANTIOCH
7,175(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ARCATA, HEALDSBURG,
4,565(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SEBASTOPOL, SONOMA & UKIAH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BERKELEY & PLEASANT HILL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CENTRAL CA MORT AUTH
1,080(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CERES, ROCKLIN,
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN PABLO & SAN RAFAEL

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-10

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup><sub>(b)</sub>

<b>ISSUER</b> <sup>(c)</sup>	<b>REVENUE BONDS</b>	<b>TAX ALLOCATION BONDS</b>	<b>SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS</b>	<b>GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</b>	<b>PUBLIC LEASE REVENUE BONDS</b>	<b>LIMITED TAX OBLIGATION BONDS</b>
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS cont'd</b>						
COACHELLA, CULVER CITY, & WEST COVINA & THE PALOS VERDES LIBRARY DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CONCORD, HAYWARD, NOVATO, PLEASANTON, SAN CARLOS, SAUSALITO, & WALNUT CREEK	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CORTE MADERA, MARINA, NEWARK, PLEASANTON, SANGER, SANTA CRUZ, SEASIDE, & MARTINEZ USD & OAKLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COVINA-RANCHO CUCAMONGA- CALEXICO-DOWNEY HFA	22,074(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DELANO, FONTANA, SANTA MONICA & THOUSAND OAKS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL CENTRO, GALT & TURLOCK, & TOWN OF PARADISE	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL MONTE-DOWNEY-SAN JACINTO HFA	21,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD-LINCOLN HFA	28,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
KIRKWOOD MEADOWS PUD	-----	-----	2,933(1)	-----	-----	-----
MIDPENINSULA REGIONAL OPEN SPACE DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MSR PUB PWR AGY	267,245(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NCPA	1,568,295(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ORANGE & ORLAND	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PORT HUENEME-COVINA HFA	21,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SACRAMENTO RTD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN FRANCISCO BART	145,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SCPPA	641,590(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SMUD	682,840(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO CA RTD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO SUTTER WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOWN OF CORTE MADERA & DALY CITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TRANSMISSION AGY OF NO CA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$13,154,773</b> (604)	<b>\$1,544,690</b> (101)	<b>\$710,892</b> (170)	<b>\$410,540</b> (18)	<b>\$230,565</b> (6)	<b>\$91,460</b> (10)

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-10  
**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY TYPE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES**<sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>COMMERCIAL PAPER</u>	<u>TAX, REVENUE, TAX &amp; REVENUE ANTICIP NOTES</u>	<u>GRANT ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES</u>	<u>OTHER NOTES</u>	<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>
\$2,985(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS cont'd
3,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COACHELLA, CULVER CITY, & WEST COVINA & THE PALOS VERDES LIBRARY DIST
6,010(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONCORD, HAYWARD, NOVATO, PLEASANTON, SAN CARLOS, SAUSALITO & WALNUT CREEK
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CORTE MADERA, MARINA, NEWARK, PLEASANTON, SANGER, SANTA CRUZ, SEASIDE, & MARTINEZ USD & OAKLEY USD
2,915(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COVINA-RANCHO CUCAMONGA-CALEXICO-DOWNEY HFA
1,285(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DELANO, FONTANA, SANTA MONICA & THOUSAND OAKS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL CENTRO, GALT & TURLOCK, & TOWN OF PARADISE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL MONTE-DOWNEY-SAN JACINTO HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD-LINCOLN HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KIRKWOOD MEADOWS PUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,000(1)	MIDPENINSULA REGIONAL OPEN SPACE DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MSR PUB PWR AGY
1,950(1)	-----	-----	-----	20,000(1)	20,000(1)	NCPA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE & ORLAND
-----	-----	385(1)	15,900(2)	-----	-----	PORT HUENEME-COVINA HFA
-----	-----	21,775(1)	45,025(3)	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO RTD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN FRANCISCO BART
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SCPPA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SMUD
-----	-----	130,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	SO CA RTD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	600(1)	SO SUTTER WD
4,625(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TOWN OF CORTE MADERA & DALY CITY
-----	-----	26,800(1)	-----	-----	3,500(1)	TRANSMISSION AGY OF NO CA
<b>\$4,315,829</b> <b>(198)</b>	<b>\$435,000</b> <b>(4)</b>	<b>\$2,721,395</b> <b>(182)</b>	<b>\$110,230</b> <b>(13)</b>	<b>\$56,790</b> <b>(4)</b>	<b>\$153,828</b> <b>(26)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(d) Four leases and one equipment trust certificate are included with the certificates of participation.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI- FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/ PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
ALAMEDA COUNTY						
CO ALAMEDA	-----	\$60,550(1)	\$16,910(2)	-----	\$146,320(2)	-----
CO ALAMEDA IDA	-----			-----		1,750(1)
ALAMEDA	5,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	32,975(3)	-----
ALAMEDA COMM IMP COM	-----	-----	2,315(1)	-----	-----	-----
ALBANY	1,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AMADOR VALLEY UHSD	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AMADOR VALLEY/PLEASANTON UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BERKELEY	12,300(1)	-----	-----	50,000(2)	640(1)	-----
BERKELEY USD	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DUBLIN	-----	-----	-----	-----	12,826(2)	-----
EDEN TOWNSHIP HD	-----	-----	-----	22,000(2)	-----	-----
EMERYVILLE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FREMONT	8,500(1)	-----	84,585(4)	-----	56,748(6)	-----
FREMONT IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FREMONT RDA	-----	-----	4,985(1)	-----	-----	-----
FREMONT USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HAYWARD	6,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HAYWARD HA	-----	-----	22,565(3)	-----	-----	-----
LIVERMORE	2,500(1)	-----	21,945(2)	-----	7,800(1)	-----
LIVERMORE HA	-----	-----	7,820(1)	-----	-----	-----
LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT USD	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEWARK RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	6,500(1)
NEWARK USD	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEWHAVEN USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAKLAND	35,700(1)	23,175(1)	23,000(1)	-----	52,300(1)	11,400(2)
OAKLAND HA	-----	-----	7,465(1)	-----	-----	-----
OAKLAND RDA	-----	-----	38,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
OAKLAND USD	32,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLEASANTON	-----	-----	15,000(1)	-----	48,184(4)	-----
PLEASANTON JOINT SD	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN LEANDRO	-----	-----	23,400(2)	-----	-----	-----
SAN LEANDRO USD	2,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN LORENZO USD	3,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UNION CITY	2,800(1)	-----	11,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
UNION CITY IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP HD	-----	-----	-----	21,000(1)	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA COUNTY
16,933(5)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ALAMEDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ALAMEDA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA COMM IMP COM
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALBANY
-----	5,840(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	AMADOR VALLEY UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AMADOR VALLEY/PLEASANTON UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BERKELEY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BERKELEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DUBLIN
-----	-----	-----	7,500(1)	-----	-----	EDEN TOWNSHIP HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EMERYVILLE RDA
3,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT RDA
-----	11,365(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	FREMONT USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HAYWARD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HAYWARD HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LIVERMORE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LIVERMORE HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LIVERMORE VALLEY JOINT USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEWARK RDA
-----	8,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEWARK USD
-----	27,040(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEWHAVEN USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAKLAND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAKLAND HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	221,540(1)	-----	OAKLAND RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAKLAND USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLEASANTON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLEASANTON JOINT SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LEANDRO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LEANDRO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LORENZO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UNION CITY
5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UNION CITY IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP HD

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

**TABLE-11**  
**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES<sup>(a)(b)</sup>**

<u>ISSUER<sup>(c)</sup></u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>BUTTE COUNTY</b>						
CO BUTTE	\$11,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO BUTTE HA	-----	-----	3,400(1)	-----	-----	-----
CHICO	-----	-----	-----	4,400(1)	2,915(1)	-----
OROVILLE	-----	-----	-----	22,945(3)	-----	-----
OROVILLE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WESTERN CANAL WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,750(1)	-----
<b>CALAVERAS COUNTY</b>						
CO CALAVERAS WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	350(1)	-----
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>						
CO CONTRA COSTA	70,000(2)	59,000(1)	51,650(5)	-----	17,660(2)	-----
CO CONTRA COSTA BD OF ED	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,700(1)	-----
CO CONTRA COSTA HA	-----	-----	16,675(2)	-----	-----	-----
ANTIOCH USD	4,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CONCORD	-----	-----	18,480(2)	-----	394(1)	-----
CONCORD RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CONTRA COSTA CCD	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DANVILLE	-----	-----	-----	-----	19,189(2)	-----
EL CERRITO	1,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL CERRITO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HERCULES	-----	-----	-----	-----	22,784(2)	-----
LAFAYETTE	-----	-----	-----	-----	219(1)	-----
MT DIABLO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PITTSBURG	-----	-----	9,610(1)	-----	1,019(1)	-----
PITTSBURG RDA	-----	26,000(1)	47,920(3)	-----	-----	-----
PITTSBURG USD	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLEASANT HILL	-----	-----	7,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
RICHMOND	9,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	834(2)	-----
RICHMOND RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN PABLO	1,150(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN RAMON	-----	-----	-----	-----	670(1)	-----
SAN RAMON VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WALNUT CREEK	-----	-----	11,500(1)	33,000(1)	3,118(2)	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup>(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER <sup>(c)</sup></u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BUTTE COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO BUTTE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO BUTTE HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CHICO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OROVILLE
-----	-----	-----	5,610(1)	-----	-----	OROVILLE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WESTERN CANAL WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>CALAVERAS COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO CALAVERAS WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO CONTRA COSTA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO CONTRA COSTA BD OF ED
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO CONTRA COSTA HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANTIOCH USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONCORD
-----	-----	-----	72,180(1)	-----	-----	CONCORD RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONTRA COSTA CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DANVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL CERRITO
-----	-----	-----	6,000(1)	-----	-----	EL CERRITO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HERCULES
-----	7,160(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAFAYETTE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MT DIABLO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PITTSBURG
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PITTSBURG RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PITTSBURG USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLEASANT HILL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RICHMOND
-----	-----	-----	11,530(1)	-----	-----	RICHMOND RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN PABLO
-----	5,905(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN RAMON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN RAMON VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WALNUT CREEK

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup>(<sup>b</sup>)**

<u>ISSUER <sup>(c)</sup></u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>DEL NORTE COUNTY</b>						
CO DEL NORTE	\$2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>EL DORADO COUNTY</b>						
CO EL DORADO	-----	-----	-----	-----	8,134(2)	-----
EL DORADO ID	-----	-----	-----	-----	13,769(1)	-----
GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUD	-----	-----	-----	-----	290(1)	-----
SO FAR MGMT AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	125,000(1)	-----
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>						
CO FRESNO	60,000(1)	-----	10,166(3)	-----	-----	-----
CO FRESNO HA	-----	-----	8,800(1)	-----	-----	-----
CLOVIS	-----	-----	-----	35,000(1)	2,765(2)	-----
CLOVIS RDA	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CLOVIS USD	5,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CLOVIS USD/FRESNO USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FIREBAUGH RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FRESNO	19,000(1)	-----	87,570(9)	10,000(1)	28,519(7)	-----
FRESNO HA	-----	-----	11,100(1)	-----	-----	-----
FRESNO USD	11,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
KINGS RIVER CONS DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	450,000(1)	-----
ORANGE COVE	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,090(1)	-----
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>						
CO HUMBOLDT	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	4,090(1)	-----
<b>IMPERIAL COUNTY</b>						
CALEXICO CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL CENTRO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>						
CO KERN	-----	24,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO KERN BD OF ED	1,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO KERN HA	-----	-----	59,100(5)	-----	-----	-----
ARVIN	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,285(1)	-----
BAKERSFIELD	-----	-----	-----	6,900(2)	-----	-----
CALIFORNIA CITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,942(1)	-----

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DEL NORTE COUNTY CO DEL NORTE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL DORADO COUNTY CO EL DORADO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL DORADO ID
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOFAR MGMT AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FRESNO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO FRESNO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO FRESNO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CLOVIS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CLOVIS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CLOVIS USD
-----	10,240(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	CLOVIS USD/FRESNO USD
-----	-----	-----	700(1)	-----	-----	FIREBAUGH RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FRESNO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FRESNO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FRESNO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KINGS RIVER CONS DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE COVE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HUMBOLDT COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO HUMBOLDT
-----	-----	-----	3,750(1)	-----	-----	IMPERIAL COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	3,300(1)	-----	-----	CALEXICO CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL CENTRO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KERN COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO KERN
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO KERN BD OF ED
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO KERN HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ARVIN
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BAKERSFIELD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CALIFORNIA CITY

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)</sup>(b)

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>INTERIM</u> <u>FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE</u> <u>FAMILY</u> <u>HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-</u> <u>FAMILY</u> <u>HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS</u> <u>&amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL</u> <u>IMPROVEMENT/</u> <u>PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL</u> <u>DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>KERN COUNTY cont'd</b>						
KERN CCD	\$4,330(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
KERN UHSD	16,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RIDGECREST	-----	-----	-----	-----	891(2)	-----
TEHACHAPI USD	1,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WEST KERN CCD	1,490(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>KINGS COUNTY</b>						
CO KINGS	8,775(2)	-----	-----	-----	1,215(5)	-----
CO KINGS HA	-----	-----	30,500(3)	-----	-----	-----
KETTLEMAN CITY CSD	365(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>LAKE COUNTY</b>						
CO LAKE SAN DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	6,271(4)	-----
CLEARLAKE	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,274(1)	-----
<b>LASSEN COUNTY</b>						
SUSANVILLE	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,705(1)	-----
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>						
CO LOS ANGELES	950,000(3)	53,500(1)	11,625(1)	-----	389,623(4)	-----
CO LOS ANGELES CDC	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	6,000(1)
CO LOS ANGELES HA	-----	-----	161,604(9)	-----	-----	-----
CO LOS ANGELES IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AGOURA HILLS	-----	-----	14,490(1)	-----	-----	-----
ALHAMBRA	-----	-----	-----	9,785(1)	-----	-----
ALHAMBRA IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ALHAMBRA PA	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,105(1)	-----
ANTELOPE VALLEY UHSD	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AZUSA	-----	-----	20,450(1)	-----	3,700(1)	-----
AZUSA IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AZUSA RDA	-----	12,410(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALDWIN PARK RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BELL CDC	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BEVERLY HILLS	-----	-----	-----	-----	75,300(1)	-----
BONITA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KERN COUNTY cont'd
-----	39,700(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	KERN CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KERN UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIDGECREST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TEHACHAPI USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WEST KERN CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KINGS COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO KINGS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO KINGS HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KETTLEMAN CITY CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAKE COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LAKE SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CLEARLAKE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LASSEN COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUSANVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES HA
28,675(14)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO LOS ANGELES IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AGOURA HILLS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALHAMBRA
5,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALHAMBRA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALHAMBRA PA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANTELOPE VALLEY UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AZUSA
1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	AZUSA IDA
-----	-----	-----	3,500(2)	-----	-----	AZUSA RDA
-----	-----	-----	17,095(5)	-----	-----	BALDWIN PARK RDA
-----	-----	-----	7,000(1)	-----	-----	BELL CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BEVERLY HILLS
-----	2,355(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	BONITA USD

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd						
BURBANK	-----	-----	-----	-----	\$30,380(1)	-----
BURBANK RDA	-----	-----	36,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
CLAREMONT USD	1,325(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COMMERCE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COMPTON CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COVINA IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COVINA RDA	-----	-----	14,585(1)	-----	-----	-----
COVINA VALLEY USD	3,675(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CUDAHY RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,685(1)
CULVER CITY RDA	-----	-----	-----	4,638(1)	-----	-----
DUARTE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EL MONTE	-----	-----	-----	-----	12,900(1)	-----
EL MONTE CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GARDENA	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	16,640(2)	1,430(1)
GLENDALE	-----	-----	-----	16,700(1)	-----	-----
GLENDALE USD	9,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GLENDORA CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,000(1)
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HAWTHORNE	3,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON PARK IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON PARK RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,510(1)
INDUSTRY	14,623(1)	-----	-----	-----	2,188(1)	9,000(1)
INDUSTRY URBAN DEV AGY	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
INGLEWOOD	4,380(1)	-----	-----	6,000(1)	-----	-----
INGLEWOOD RDA	-----	-----	18,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
IRWINDALE CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
IRWINDALE IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA CANADA USD	1,365(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA MIRADA RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LANCASTER	-----	29,675(1)	9,650(1)	-----	-----	-----
LANCASTER RDA	-----	-----	116,207(11)	-----	-----	-----
LAS VIRGENES USD	2,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA VERNE	-----	-----	-----	-----	6,351(1)	-----
LONG BEACH	-----	38,200(1)	-----	-----	38,315(1)	25,000(1)
LONG BEACH HA	-----	-----	24,000(2)	-----	-----	-----
LONG BEACH RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup>(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVEL- OPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO- ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER <sup>(c)</sup></u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	43,440(2)	-----	-----	BURBANK
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BURBANK RDA
-----	-----	-----	27,000(2)	-----	-----	CLAREMONT USD
-----	-----	-----	25,000(1)	-----	-----	COMMERCE RDA
425(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COMPTON CRA
-----	-----	-----	6,750(1)	-----	-----	COVINA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COVINA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COVINA VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	66,170(3)	-----	-----	CUDAHY RDA
-----	-----	-----	11,630(3)	-----	-----	CULVER CITY RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DUARTE RDA
-----	-----	-----	5,000(1)	-----	-----	EL MONTE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL MONTE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GARDENA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GLENDALE
-----	-----	-----	11,575(2)	-----	-----	GLENDALE USD
-----	-----	-----	8,500(1)	-----	-----	GLENDORA CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HAWAIIAN GARDENS
6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HAWTHORNE
-----	-----	-----	37,055(2)	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON PARK IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON PARK RDA
-----	-----	-----	87,000(1)	-----	-----	INDUSTRY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDUSTRY URBAN DEV AGY
-----	-----	-----	16,765(2)	-----	-----	INGLEWOOD
-----	-----	-----	63,930(3)	-----	-----	INGLEWOOD RDA
5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRWINDALE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRWINDALE IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA CANADA USD
-----	-----	-----	8,165(1)	-----	-----	LA MIRADA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LANCASTER
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LANCASTER RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAS VIRGENES USD
3,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA VERNE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LONG BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LONG BEACH HA
-----	-----	-----	50,000(1)	-----	-----	LONG BEACH RDA

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd						
LOS ANGELES	\$140,000(1)	\$40,000(1)	\$289,769(9)	\$198,460(4)	\$310,000(1)	-----
LOS ANGELES CCD	26,700(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES CRA	-----	26,000(1)	116,414(5)	-----	-----	5,700(1)
LOS ANGELES DWP	-----	-----	-----	-----	68,785(2)	-----
LOS ANGELES HARBOR DEPT	-----	-----	-----	-----	35,000(1)	-----
LOS ANGELES IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOS ANGELES USD	115,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
METROPOLITAN WD SO CA	-----	-----	-----	-----	100,000(1)	-----
MONTEBELLO CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MONTEBELLO IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NEWHALL SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NORWALK	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,665(1)	-----
NORWALK RDA	-----	-----	14,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
PALMDALE	-----	59,000(1)	13,001(1)	-----	17,000(1)	-----
PALMDALE CRA	-----	32,245(1)	14,670(3)	-----	-----	7,200(1)
PALMDALE WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,500(1)	-----
PALOS VERDES PENINSULA USD	3,690(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PARAMOUNT RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PASADENA	6,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	54,580(3)	-----
PASADENA HA	-----	-----	21,080(1)	-----	-----	-----
PASADENA PA	-----	-----	-----	-----	16,000(1)	-----
PASADENA USD	11,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PICO RIVERA RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	9,300(1)
PICO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,050(1)	-----
POMONA	6,400(1)	-----	3,800(1)	-----	9,036(2)	-----
POMONA IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
POMONA RDA	5,500(1)	-----	17,850(1)	-----	-----	-----
REGIONAL AIRPORTS IMP CORP	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	210,787(6)
ROSEMEAD SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ROWLAND USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIMAS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	22,400(3)
SANTA FE SPRINGS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA MONICA	-----	-----	-----	-----	8,165(4)	-----
SIGNAL HILL RDA	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTHEAST RESOURCE RECOVERY FAC AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	\$235,000(1)	-----	LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES
-----	-----	-----	140,050(5)	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES CRA
25,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES DWP
8,550(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES HARBOR DEPT
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES USD
-----	-----	-----	25,000(2)	-----	-----	METROPOLITAN WD SO CA
6,750(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEBELLO CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEBELLO IDA
-----	3,165(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEWHALL SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NORWALK
-----	-----	-----	15,280(1)	-----	-----	NORWALK RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALMDALE
-----	-----	-----	5,100(1)	-----	-----	PALMDALE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALMDALE WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALOS VERDES PENINSULA USD
-----	-----	-----	25,000(1)	-----	-----	PARAMOUNT RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA PA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PASADENA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PICO RIVERA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PICO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	POMONA
5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	POMONA IDA
-----	-----	-----	7,500(1)	-----	-----	POMONA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REGIONAL AIRPORTS IMP CORP
-----	1,070(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROSEMEAD SD
-----	2,220(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROWLAND USD
-----	-----	-----	5,080(1)	-----	-----	SAN DIMAS RDA
-----	-----	-----	52,060(2)	-----	-----	SANTA FE SPRINGS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA MONICA
-----	-----	-----	28,000(1)	-----	-----	SIGNAL HILL RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTHEAST RESOURCE RECOVERY
-----	-----	-----	-----	125,000(1)	-----	FAC AUTH

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SOUTH GATE CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SULPHUR SPRINGS USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TORRANCE	-----	-----	-----	60,510(2)	14,530(1)	-----
TORRANCE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TORRANCE USD	4,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WALNUT IMP AGY	-----	20,000(1)	-----	-----	16,500(1)	-----
WALNUT VALLEY USD	1,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WEST COVINA RDA	-----	-----	-----	36,000(1)	75,605(4)	12,000(1)
WEST COVINA USD	1,850(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WHITTIER	-----	-----	-----	49,130(1)	-----	-----
WHITTIER RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WILLIAM S. HART UHSD	3,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>MARIN COUNTY</b>						
CO MARIN	-----	23,470(1)	-----	-----	55(1)	-----
CO MARIN HA	-----	-----	5,690(1)	-----	-----	-----
BEL MARIN KEYS CSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,766(1)	-----
MILL VALLEY	-----	-----	1,800(1)	-----	-----	-----
SAN RAFAEL	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,436(1)	-----
SAN RAFAEL RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TIBURON CFD	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,950(1)	-----
<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>						
CO MENDOCINO	11,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
UKIAH USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>						
CO MERCED	13,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	95(1)	-----
CO MERCED HA	-----	-----	5,080(2)	-----	-----	-----
ATWATER	-----	-----	-----	3,165(2)	-----	-----
GUSTINE	-----	-----	-----	-----	500(1)	-----
LIVINGSTON	-----	-----	-----	-----	585(1)	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	\$18,000(1)	-----	-----	LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd
-----	2,870(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH GATE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SULPHUR SPRINGS USD
-----	-----	-----	4,080(1)	-----	-----	TORRANCE
-----	4,155(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	TORRANCE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TORRANCE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WALNUT IMP AGY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WALNUT VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WEST COVINA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WEST COVINA USD
-----	-----	-----	4,750(1)	-----	-----	WHITTIER
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WHITTIER RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WILLIAM S. HART UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MARIN COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MARIN
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MARIN HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BEL MARIN KEYS CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MILL VALLEY
-----	-----	-----	12,000(1)	-----	-----	SAN RAFAEL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN RAFAEL RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TIBURON CFPD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MENDOCINO COUNTY
-----	5,260(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MENDOCINO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UKIAH USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MERCED COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MERCED
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MERCED HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ATWATER
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GUSTINE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LIVINGSTON

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>						
CO MONTEREY	\$17,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	\$13,335(2)	-----
CO MONTEREY BD OF ED	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO MONTEREY HA	-----	-----	26,500(4)	-----	-----	-----
CO MONTEREY PWR AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,900(1)	-----
CARMEL	-----	-----	-----	-----	990(1)	-----
CARMEL USD	1,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GREENFIELD	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,250(1)	-----
MONTEREY	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,219(2)	2,450(1)
MONTEREY PENINSULA CCD	1,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MONTEREY PENINSULA USD	2,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MONTEREY RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,350(1)	-----
MONTEREY REG WTR POL CNTRL	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,000(1)	-----
PACIFIC GROVE	-----	-----	5,200(1)	-----	-----	-----
SALINAS	-----	-----	14,205(2)	-----	1,063(1)	-----
SALINAS SD	1,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SALINAS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SALINAS UHSD	2,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOLEDAD RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SUNNY MESA CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	189(1)	-----
<b>NAPA COUNTY</b>						
CO NAPA	6,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NAPA	-----	-----	-----	-----	14,132(2)	-----
NAPA VALLEY CCD	1,270(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NAPA VALLEY USD	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>NEVADA COUNTY</b>						
CO NEVADA	-----	-----	-----	-----	10,500(1)	-----
DONNER SUMMIT PUD	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,615(1)	-----
NEVADA PWR AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	9,200(1)	-----
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>						
CO ORANGE	99,000(1)	59,000(1)	728,069(23)	-----	33,880(2)	-----
CO ORANGE BD OF ED	10,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO ORANGE HA	-----	-----	128,541(5)	-----	-----	-----
CO ORANGE TD	23,300(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY BD OF ED
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO MONTEREY PWR AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CARMEL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CARMEL USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GREENFIELD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY PENINSULA CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY PENINSULA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MONTEREY REG WTR POL CNTRL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PACIFIC GROVE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SALINAS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SALINAS SD
-----	-----	-----	2,700(1)	-----	-----	SALINAS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SALINAS UHSD
-----	-----	-----	1,200(1)	-----	-----	SOLEDAD RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUNNY MESA CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>NAPA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO NAPA
5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NAPA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NAPA VALLEY CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NAPA VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>NEVADA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO NEVADA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DONNER SUMMIT PUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEVADA PWR AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE BD OF ED
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO ORANGE TD

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** (a)(b)

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
ORANGE COUNTY cont'd						
ANAHEIM	\$22,125(1)	-----	-----	\$23,504(2)	\$33,660(2)	-----
ANAHEIM HA	-----	-----	20,989(3)	-----	-----	-----
ANAHEIM IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ANAHEIM UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,880(1)	-----
BREA	4,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BREA RDA	-----	-----	5,300(1)	-----	-----	-----
BREA-OLINDA USD	2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BUENA PARK CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CAPISTRANO USD	7,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COSTA MESA RDA	-----	-----	13,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
FOUNTAIN VALLEY COMM DEV	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FULLERTON SD	1,990(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FULLERTON UHSD	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-----	-----	43,165(3)	-----	-----	-----
HUNTINGTON BEACH UHSD	10,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
IRVINE	-----	-----	-----	-----	148,600(2)	4,000(1)
IRVINE PUB FAC & INFRA AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	90,400(1)	-----
IRVINE RANCH WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	158,515(3)	-----
IRVINE USD	10,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA HABRA	2,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA HABRA IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LA HABRA CITY SD	1,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAGUNA BEACH	-----	-----	-----	-----	6,315(1)	-----
LAGUNA BEACH USD	800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MOULTON-NIGUEL WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	34,065(4)	-----
NEWPORT MESA USD	7,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ORANGE USD	5,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLACENTIA	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLACENTIA USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RANCHO SANTIAGO CCD	4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SADDLEBACK CCD	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SADDLEBACK VALLEY USD	6,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA	-----	18,499(1)	-----	-----	40,000(1)	28,450(5)
SANTA ANA HA	-----	-----	21,200(3)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	20,000(1)

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM
1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANAHEIM UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BREA
-----	-----	-----	60,980(2)	-----	-----	BREA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BREA-OLINDA USD
-----	-----	-----	36,000(3)	-----	-----	BUENA PARK CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CAPISTRANO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COSTA MESA RDA
-----	-----	-----	34,000(2)	-----	-----	FOUNTAIN VALLEY COMM DEV
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FULLERTON SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FULLERTON UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON BEACH
6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HUNTINGTON BEACH UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE PUB FAC & INFRA AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE RANCH WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IRVINE USD
1,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA HABRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA HABRA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA HABRA CITY SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAGUNA BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAGUNA BEACH USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOULTON-NIGUEL WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NEWPORT MESA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLACENTIA
-----	4,535(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLACENTIA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO SANTIAGO CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SADDLEBACK CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SADDLEBACK VALLEY USD
3,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA HA
-----	-----	-----	117,500(5)	-----	-----	SANTA ANA CRA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>ORANGE COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SANTA ANA IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,335(1)	-----
SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD CFD#2	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,300(1)	-----
SANTA MARGARITA WD	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	93,230(3)	-----
SEAL BEACH	1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTH COAST CO WD	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
STANTON	-----	15,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
STANTON RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TUSTIN USD	6,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WESTMINSTER	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,339(1)	-----
WESTMINSTER RDA	-----	20,000(1)	9,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
WESTMINSTER SD	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
YORBA LINDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	60(1)	-----
YORBA LINDA RDA	-----	26,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>						
CO PLACER	16,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	3,843(1)	-----
CO PLACER IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLACER UHSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ROCKLIN/LOOMIS MUD	-----	-----	-----	-----	958(1)	-----
ROSEVILLE	-----	-----	-----	-----	14,300(1)	-----
<b>PLUMAS COUNTY</b>						
PORTOLA CFD#1	-----	-----	-----	-----	120(1)	-----
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>						
CO RIVERSIDE	72,000(1)	30,000(1)	9,025(1)	15,355(1)	177,787(5)	-----
CO RIVERSIDE CFD	-----	-----	-----	-----	16,590(3)	-----
CO RIVERSIDE FLD CNTRL & WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,840(1)	-----
CO RIVERSIDE HA	-----	-----	140,665(12)	-----	-----	-----
CO RIVERSIDE IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CATHEDRAL CITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,130(1)	-----
CATHEDRAL CITY RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COACHELLA VALLEY CCD	2,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COACHELLA VALLEY USD	2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CORONA	-----	-----	29,500(3)	-----	500(1)	-----
CORONA RDA	-----	4,900(1)	8,700(1)	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
\$10,500(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ANA MNTS CO WD CFD#2
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA MARGARITA WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SEAL BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH COAST CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	STANTON
-----	-----	-----	4,000(1)	-----	-----	STANTON RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TUSTIN USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WESTMINSTER
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WESTMINSTER RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WESTMINSTER SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	YORBA LINDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	YORBA LINDA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLACER COUNTY
4,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO PLACER
-----	2,240(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO PLACER IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLACER UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROCKLIN/LOOMIS MUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROSEVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PLUMAS COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PORTOLA CFD#1
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE CFD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE FLD CNTRL & WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE HA
20,900(6)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO RIVERSIDE IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CATHEDRAL CITY
-----	-----	-----	2,200(1)	-----	-----	CATHEDRAL CITY RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COACHELLA VALLEY CCD
-----	2,330(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	COACHELLA VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CORONA
-----	-----	-----	24,000(2)	-----	-----	CORONA RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY cont'd</b>						
DESERT HOT SPRINGS	-----	\$20,000(1)	-----	-----	\$222(1)	-----
DESERT HOT SPRINGS HA	-----	-----	7,650(1)	-----	-----	-----
DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DESERT SANDS USD	3,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ELSINORE UHSD	950(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ELSINORE VALLEY MUN WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	930(1)	-----
HEMET HA	-----	-----	17,400(2)	-----	-----	-----
HEMET USD	2,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
INDIAN WELLS	-----	-----	-----	-----	16,890(1)	-----
INDIO	-----	-----	22,400(3)	-----	-----	-----
INDIO HA	-----	-----	11,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
LAKE ELSINORE RDA	-----	-----	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
MORENO VALLEY	-----	45,000(1)	13,980(1)	-----	-----	-----
NORCO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALM DESERT	-----	-----	-----	-----	10,828(2)	-----
PALM DESERT RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	17,860(1)	-----
PALM SPRINGS	2,725(1)	-----	-----	-----	37,488(3)	-----
PALM SPRINGS HA	-----	-----	8,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
PALM SPRINGS USD	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PALO VERDE USD	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PERRIS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PERRIS UHSD	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	52,347(5)	-----
RIVERSIDE	11,000(1)	-----	36,355(7)	145,335(3)	65,620(6)	-----
RIVERSIDE IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RIVERSIDE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
RIVERSIDE USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ROSA CSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,738(1)	-----
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>						
CO SACRAMENTO	100,000(2)	-----	112,835(4)	-----	15,659(5)	-----
CO SACRAMENTO HA	-----	-----	179,110(13)	-----	-----	-----
CO SACRAMENTO IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ELK GROVE USD	5,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FOLSOM	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,535(1)	-----
GALT	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,662(1)	-----
GALT RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SACRAMENTO	13,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	34,759(2)	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY cont'd</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DESERT HOT SPRINGS
-----	-----	-----	2,760(1)	-----	-----	DESERT HOT SPRINGS HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA
-----	2,195(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	DESERT SANDS USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ELSINORE UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ELSINORE VALLEY MUN WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HEMET HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HEMET USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDIAN WELLS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDIO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INDIO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAKE ELSINORE RDA
-----	-----	-----	6,800(1)	-----	-----	MORENO VALLEY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NORCO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALM DESERT
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALM DESERT RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALM SPRINGS
-----	6,345(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALM SPRINGS HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALM SPRINGS USD
-----	-----	-----	4,000(1)	-----	-----	PALO VERDE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PERRIS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PERRIS UHSD
2,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO CALIFORNIA WD
2,220(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE
-----	-----	-----	8,000(3)	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE IDA
-----	4,235(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIVERSIDE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SACRAMENTO
2,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SACRAMENTO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SACRAMENTO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ELK GROVE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FOLSOM
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GALT
800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GALT RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>INTERIM</u> <u>FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE</u> <u>FAMILY</u> <u>HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-</u> <u>FAMILY</u> <u>HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS</u> <u>&amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL</u> <u>IMPROVEMENT/</u> <u>PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL</u> <u>DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SACRAMENTO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SACRAMENTO USD	17,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN JUAN USD	18,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN BENITO COUNTY</b>						
HOLLISTER RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SUNNYSLOPE CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	93(1)	-----
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN BERNARDINO	115,315(3)	44,000(1)	137,995(7)	-----	44,707(3)	-----
CO SAN BERNARDINO HA	-----	-----	167,370(11)	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN BERNARDINO IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BALDY MESA CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	325(1)	-----
BARSTOW	-----	-----	9,700(2)	-----	3,196(1)	-----
BIG BEAR CSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	715(1)	-----
BIG BEAR LAKE	1,350(1)	-----	-----	-----	5,556(2)	6,800(1)
CHINO IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COLTON	-----	20,000(1)	7,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
COLTON RDA	-----	-----	20,000(1)	-----	-----	5,100(1)
FONTANA	-----	-----	15,450(2)	-----	-----	-----
FONTANA RDA	-----	24,400(1)	12,115(1)	-----	-----	9,000(2)
GRAND TERRACE CRA	-----	-----	30,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
LAKE ARROWHEAD CSD	-----	-----	-----	-----	21,230(1)	-----
LOMA LINDA	-----	-----	10,000(1)	206,340(4)	15,640(3)	-----
LOMA LINDA RDA	-----	-----	14,258(2)	-----	-----	-----
MOUNTAIN VIEW SD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OMNITRANS	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ONTARIO	6,000(1)	20,765(1)	47,440(4)	-----	11,000(2)	-----
ONTARIO IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ONTARIO RDA	-----	-----	13,835(3)	-----	-----	-----
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	-----	53,725(1)	-----	-----	27,052(3)	-----
RANCHO CUCAMONGA RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,665(2)
REDLANDS	1,650(1)	-----	22,650(1)	-----	24,550(2)	-----
REDLANDS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	14,245(1)	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	\$31,615(5)	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO COUNTY cont'd
-----	3,230(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO RDA
-----	15,155(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JUAN USD
-----	-----	-----	4,380(1)	-----	-----	SAN BENITO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HOLLISTER RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUNNYSLOPE CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN BERNARDINO
10,800(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN BERNARDINO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN BERNARDINO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HALDY MESA CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BARSTOW
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BIG BEAR CSD
1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BIG BEAR LAKE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CHINO IDA
-----	-----	-----	3,500(1)	-----	-----	COLTON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COLTON RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FONTANA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FONTANA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GRAND TERRACE CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LAKE ARROWHEAD CSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMA LINDA
-----	5,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMA LINDA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOUNTAIN VIEW SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OMNITRANS
9,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ONTARIO
-----	-----	-----	24,000(2)	-----	-----	ONTARIO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ONTARIO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO CUCAMONGA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RANCHO CUCAMONGA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDLANDS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDLANDS RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY cont'd</b>						
RIALTO	-----	\$26,000(1)	\$11,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
RUNNING SPRINGS WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	462(2)	-----
SAN BERNARDINO	-----	16,350(1)	39,375(3)	35,000(1)	13,400(1)	6,700(2)
SAN BERNARDINO IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN BERNARDINO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TWENTYNINE PALMS WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	840(1)	-----
UPLAND	-----	-----	17,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
UPLAND HA	-----	-----	8,480(1)	-----	-----	-----
VICTORVILLE RDA	-----	-----	14,000(1)	-----	-----	-----
YUCAIPA VALLEY WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,395(3)	-----
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN DIEGO	165,000(2)	31,874(1)	46,800(4)	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN DIEGO CAPITAL ASSET LEASING CORP	-----	-----	-----	25,640(1)	-----	-----
CO SAN DIEGO HA	-----	-----	5,760(2)	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN DIEGO WTR AUTH	-----	-----	-----	-----	107,000(2)	-----
CARLSBAD	-----	15,000(1)	15,920(1)	-----	-----	-----
CARLSBAD HSG & RED COM	-----	-----	16,215(1)	-----	-----	-----
CHULA VISTA	-----	-----	32,000(2)	-----	-----	4,400(1)
EL CAJON	-----	-----	-----	-----	260(2)	-----
ESCONDIDO	-----	-----	34,620(4)	15,185(1)	-----	-----
ESCONDIDO CDC	-----	-----	15,960(2)	-----	-----	-----
ESCONDIDO-CHULA VISTA HFA	-----	25,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
FALLBROOK SAN DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,500(1)	-----
GROSSMONT HD	-----	-----	-----	9,605(1)	-----	-----
GROSSMONT UHSD	6,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
IMPERIAL BEACH	1,900(1)	-----	6,400(1)	-----	870(1)	-----
LA MESA	2,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NATIONAL CITY CDC	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NO SAN DIEGO CO TRAN DEV BD	4,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OCEANSIDE	-----	21,435(1)	73,350(2)	-----	-----	-----
OCEANSIDE CDC	-----	-----	25,000(2)	-----	-----	-----
PADRE DAM MUN WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,000(1)	-----
PALOMAR POMERADO HD	-----	-----	-----	109,545(1)	-----	-----
POWAY RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
POWAY USD	4,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE  
AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY cont'd</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RIALTO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	RUNNING SPRINGS WD
5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BERNARDINO
-----	-----	-----	26,800(3)	-----	-----	SAN BERNARDINO IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BERNARDINO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TWENTYNINE PALMS WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UPLAND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	UPLAND HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VICTORVILLE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	YUCAIPA VALLEY WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO CAPITAL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ASSET LEASING CORP
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN DIEGO WTR AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CARLSBAD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CARLSBAD HSG & RED COM
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CHULA VISTA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL CAJON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ESCONDIDO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ESCONDIDO CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ESCONDIDO-CHULA VISTA HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FALLBROOK SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GROSSMONT HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GROSSMONT UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	IMPERIAL BEACH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LA MESA
-----	-----	-----	5,375(1)	-----	-----	NATIONAL CITY CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NO SAN DIEGO CO TRAN DEV BD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OCEANSIDE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OCEANSIDE CDC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PADRE DAM MUN WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALOMAR POMERADO HD
-----	-----	-----	4,260(1)	-----	-----	POWAY RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	POWAY USD

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u> (c)	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY cont'd</b>						
SAN DIEGO	\$36,000(1)	\$39,000(1)	\$125,350(6)	-----	\$4,947(3)	-----
SAN DIEGO HA	-----	-----	223,460(9)	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO METRO TD	4,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN DIEGO USD	36,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN MARCOS	1,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	8,320(2)	-----
SAN MARCOS CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	213(1)	-----
SAN MARCOS IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN MARCOS RDA	-----	-----	23,100(2)	4,600(1)	-----	-----
SANTEE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTEE SD	2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO BAY ID	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,500(1)	-----
SO POWAY CFD#1	-----	-----	-----	-----	30,000(1)	-----
TRI CITY HD	-----	-----	-----	51,890(1)	-----	-----
VISTA	1,300(1)	-----	33,140(2)	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY</b>						
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO	-----	42,345(1)	43,630(3)	-----	-----	5,500(1)
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO HA	-----	-----	40,000(2)	-----	-----	-----
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO PUC	-----	-----	-----	-----	104,000(1)	-----
CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO RDA	-----	-----	282,105(5)	-----	-----	-----
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN JOAQUIN	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,980(3)	-----
CO SAN JOAQUIN IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
STOCKTON	-----	-----	9,070(2)	111,250(4)	5,415(1)	-----
TRACY	-----	-----	12,600(1)	-----	16,309(1)	-----
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>						
SAN LUIS OBISPO	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,500(1)
SAN LUIS OBISPO HA	-----	-----	7,720(1)	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
\$100,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY cont'd</b>
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO
7,025(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO HA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO IDA
	-----	-----	20,400(2)	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO METRO TD
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO RDA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN DIEGO USD
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS
4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS CO WD
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS IDA
	-----	-----	6,400(1)	-----	-----	SAN MARCOS RDA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTEE RDA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTEE SD
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SO BAY ID
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SO POWAY CFD#1
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TRI CITY HD
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISTA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY</b>
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO HA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO PUC
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CITY & CO SAN FRANCISCO RDA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>
10,300(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN JOAQUIN
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN JOAQUIN IDA
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	STOCKTON
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TRACY
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LUIS OBISPO
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN LUIS OBISPO HA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN MATEO	-----	-----	\$25,000(1)	-----	\$28,725(2)	-----
CO SAN MATEO CCD	3,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO SAN MATEO HA	-----	-----	1,060(1)	-----	-----	-----
DALY CITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,365(1)	-----
DALY CITY HSG DEV FIN AGY	-----	-----	29,300(1)	-----	-----	-----
FOSTER CITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	20,588(2)	-----
FOSTER CITY CRA	-----	20,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
JEFFERSON UHSD	1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MENLO PARK CDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MILLBRAE ELEM SD	1,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDWOOD CITY	4,600(1)	-----	33,000(2)	-----	17,910(4)	-----
REDWOOD CITY ELEM SD	2,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
REDWOOD CITY, PORT OF	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,045(1)	-----
SAN CARLOS	1,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN MATEO CITY SD	3,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SEQUOIA UHSD	2,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,747(1)	-----
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO USD	4,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>						
CO SANTA BARBARA	-----	-----	22,520(1)	-----	4,229(1)	-----
GOLETA USD	2,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LOMPOC	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,510(1)	-----
LOMPOC HD	-----	-----	-----	6,755(1)	-----	-----
SANTA BARBARA	-----	-----	-----	11,300(1)	-----	-----
SANTA BARBARA RDA	-----	-----	3,200(1)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA MARIA	-----	-----	-----	4,500(1)	-----	-----
SANTA MARIA UHSD	2,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>						
CO SANTA CLARA	88,000(2)	22,997(1)	42,610(3)	-----	10,800(2)	-----
CO SANTA CLARA HA	-----	-----	102,964(6)	-----	-----	-----
CO SANTA CLARA TD	24,400(1)	-----	-----	-----	87,510(6)	-----
CO SANTA CLARA-EL CAMINO HD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HOSP FAC AUTH	-----	-----	-----	75,000(1)	-----	-----

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN MATEO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN MATEO CCD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SAN MATEO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DALY CITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DALY CITY HSG DEV FIN AGY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FOSTER CITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FOSTER CITY CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	JEFFERSON UHSD
-----	-----	-----	5,340(1)	-----	-----	MENLO PARK CDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MILLBRAE ELEM SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDWOOD CITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDWOOD CITY ELEM SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDWOOD CITY, PORT OF
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN CARLOS
-----	5,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN MATEO CITY SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SEQUOIA UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO
-----	-----	-----	7,000(1)	-----	-----	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA BARBARA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GOLETA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMPOC
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOMPOC HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA BARBARA
-----	-----	-----	19,830(1)	-----	-----	SANTA BARBARA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA MARIA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA MARIA UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA TD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CLARA-EL CAMINO HD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HOSP FAC AUTH

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY cont'd</b>						
CAMPBELL	-----	-----	-----	-----	\$10,600(1)	-----
CUPERTINO USD	3,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
GILROY	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,732(1)	-----
LOS ALTOS	-----	-----	-----	-----	40(1)	-----
MILPITAS	-----	-----	-----	-----	19,726(4)	-----
MILPITAS RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MORGAN HILL RDA	-----	-----	5,480(1)	-----	-----	-----
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-----	-----	20,000(1)	-----	466(1)	-----
PALO ALTO	-----	-----	-----	-----	12,200(1)	-----
SAN JOSE	-----	30,000(1)	21,800(2)	-----	91,415(5)	3,000(1)
SAN JOSE IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN JOSE PA	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,500(1)	-----
SAN JOSE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA CLARA	-----	-----	-----	-----	130,905(4)	-----
SANTA CLARA RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SANTA CLARA VALLEY WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	30,000(1)	-----
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>						
CO SANTA CRUZ	31,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	13,285(1)	-----
CO SANTA CRUZ HA	-----	-----	8,700(1)	-----	-----	-----
CAPITOLA	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,637(2)	-----
SANTA CRUZ	-----	-----	-----	-----	19,365(1)	-----
SANTA CRUZ METRO TD	5,100(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SCOTTS VALLEY	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,614(1)	-----
SCOTTS VALLEY WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	650(1)	-----
WATSONVILLE	-----	-----	-----	18,140(1)	5,249(2)	-----
WATSONVILLE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>						
CO SHASTA	6,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	4,710(5)	-----
ANDERSON	-----	-----	33,200(1)	-----	-----	-----
REDDING	-----	-----	-----	-----	65,474(5)	-----
REDDING HA	-----	-----	5,200(1)	-----	-----	-----
REDDING RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY cont'd</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CAMPBELL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CUPERTINO USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	GILROY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	LOS ALTOS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MILPITAS
-----	-----	-----	30,000(1)	-----	-----	MILPITAS RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MORGAN HILL RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOUNTAIN VIEW
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PALO ALTO
14,650(3)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOSE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOSE IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN JOSE PA
-----	-----	-----	90,000(1)	-----	-----	SAN JOSE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CLARA
-----	-----	-----	130,400(2)	-----	-----	SANTA CLARA RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CLARA VALLEY WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CRUZ
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SANTA CRUZ HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CAPITOLA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CRUZ
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA CRUZ METRO TD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SCOTTS VALLEY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SCOTTS VALLEY WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WATSONVILLE
-----	-----	-----	2,175(1)	-----	-----	WATSONVILLE RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SHASTA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ANDERSON
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDDING
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	REDDING HA
-----	-----	-----	6,150(1)	-----	-----	REDDING RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>						
CO SOLANO	\$13,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	\$43,300(1)	-----
BENICIA	1,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
BENICIA, CO OF SOLANO, SOLANO CO CCD, FAIRFIELD- SUISUN USD, VACAVILLE USD, VALLEJO CITY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
DIXON IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD	2,300(1)	-----	5,500(1)	-----	46,500(1)	-----
FAIRFIELD HSG AGY	-----	-----	4,750(1)	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	5,525(1)
INTERCOMMUNITY HOSP FA	-----	-----	-----	25,985(1)	-----	-----
SUISUN HA	-----	-----	13,560(2)	-----	-----	-----
VACAVILLE	-----	-----	31,800(3)	-----	31,170(3)	-----
VACAVILLE HA	-----	-----	8,355(1)	-----	-----	-----
VALLEJO	-----	20,000(1)	6,430(1)	-----	46,896(3)	-----
VALLEJO HA	-----	-----	39,500(3)	-----	-----	-----
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>						
CO SONOMA	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,879(3)	-----
CO SONOMA HA	-----	25,303(1)	16,758(2)	-----	-----	-----
COTATI-ROHNERT PARK USD	1,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HEALDSBURG CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HEALDSBURG IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PETALUMA	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,250(2)	-----
PETALUMA CRA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ROHNERT PARK	-----	-----	13,965(2)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ROSA	-----	-----	-----	40,000(1)	24,500(2)	-----
SANTA ROSA HA	-----	-----	40,955(5)	-----	-----	-----
SANTA ROSA SD/HSD	5,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WIKIUP CO WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	670(1)	-----
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>						
CO STANISLAUS	22,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO STANISLAUS HA	-----	-----	12,070(3)	-----	-----	-----
MODESTO	-----	-----	14,855(2)	-----	34,320(2)	-----
MODESTO ID	-----	-----	-----	-----	135,490(1)	-----
OAKDALE RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,210(1)

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SOLANO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BENICIA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BENICIA, CO OF SOLANO,
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SOLANO CO CCD, FAIRFIELD-
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUISUN USD, VACAVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	USD, VALLEJO CITY USD
1,000(1)	7,050(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	DIXON IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD HSG AGY
8,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD IDA
-----	-----	-----	36,180(4)	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	INTERCOMMUNITY HOSP FA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUISUN HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VACAVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VACAVILLE HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VALLEJO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VALLEJO HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SONOMA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SONOMA HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COTATI-ROHNERT PARK USD
-----	-----	-----	2,840(1)	-----	-----	HEALDSBURG CRA
3,600(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	HEALDSBURG IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PETALUMA
-----	-----	-----	1,075(1)	-----	-----	PETALUMA CRA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ROHNERT PARK
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA ROSA SD/HSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WIKIUP CO WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO STANISLAUS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO STANISLAUS HA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MODESTO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MODESTO ID
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAKDALE RDA

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES** <sup>(a)(b)</sup>

<u>ISSUER</u> <sup>(c)</sup>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY cont'd</b>						
TURLOCK	-----	-----	\$970(1)	\$10,295(1)	-----	-----
TURLOCK ID	-----	-----	-----	-----	12,400(1)	-----
<b>SUTTER COUNTY</b>						
CO SUTTER IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>TEHAMA COUNTY</b>						
CO TEHAMA	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO TEHAMA SAN DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	101(1)	-----
<b>TRINITY COUNTY</b>						
CO TRINITY	1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>						
CO TULARE	25,200(2)	-----	-----	-----	22,845(2)	-----
CO TULARE HA	-----	-----	12,200(2)	-----	-----	-----
EXETER UHSD	250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PORTERVILLE IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
TULARE	-----	14,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
VISALIA	-----	44,000(1)	-----	-----	28,400(1)	-----
VISALIA IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
VISALIA USD ED FAC CORP	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>TUOLUMNE COUNTY</b>						
CO TUOLUMNE	2,700(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
JAMESTOWN SAN DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	600(1)	-----
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>						
CO VENTURA	60,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	51,765(1)	-----
CO VENTURA CCD	5,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
CO VENTURA SUPER OF SCHOOLS	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,015(1)	-----
CONEJO VALLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
FILLMORE USD	970(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MOORPARK	-----	20,000(1)	22,600(1)	-----	-----	-----
MOORPARK IDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES <sup>(a)</sup>(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVEL- OPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO- ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER <sup>(c)</sup></u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	STANISLAUS COUNTY cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TURLOCK
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TURLOCK ID
1,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SUTTER COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO SUTTER IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TEHAMA COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TEHAMA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TEHAMA SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TRINITY COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TRINITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TULARE COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TULARE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TULARE HA
4,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EXETER UHSD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PORTERVILLE IDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TULARE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISALIA
1,800(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISALIA IDA
-----	2,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	VISALIA USD ED FAC CORP
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TUOLUMNE COUNTY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO TUOLUMNE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	JAMESTOWN SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VENTURA COUNTY
-----	-----	6,285(1)	-----	-----	-----	CO VENTURA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO VENTURA CCD
-----	2,145(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO VENTURA SUPER OF SCHOOLS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONEJO VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FILLMORE USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOORPARK
9,300(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOORPARK IDA

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER (c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>VENTURA COUNTY cont'd</b>						
MOORPARK USD	\$650(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OAK PARK USD	500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OJAI USD	1,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OJAI VALLEY SAN DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	310(1)	-----
OXNARD	-----	-----	-----	-----	21,247(3)	-----
OXNARD HARBOR DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	18,000(1)	-----
OXNARD RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OXNARD SD	3,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
OXNARD UHSD	4,250(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PLEASANT VALLEY SD	1,900(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
PORT HUENEME RDA	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-----	25,000(1)	-----	-----	8,295(1)	-----
SANTA PAULA UHSD	440(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SIMI VALLEY	-----	-----	35,304(2)	-----	829(1)	-----
SIMI VALLEY COMM DEV	-----	-----	15,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
SIMI VALLEY USD	6,850(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
THOUSAND OAKS	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,827(1)	-----
THOUSAND OAKS RDA	-----	-----	4,305(1)	-----	-----	-----
VENTURA REGIONAL SAN DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,970(1)	-----
VENTURA USD	5,210(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>						
CO YOLO	8,000(1)	20,940(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
WOODLAND	-----	-----	9,430(2)	-----	3,755(1)	-----
<b>YUBA COUNTY</b>						
MARYSVILLE	-----	-----	3,500(1)	-----	-----	-----
SO YUBA WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,525(1)	-----
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS</b>						
ALAMEDA & ANTIOCH	-----	-----	-----	-----	995(1)	-----
ARCATA, HEALDSBURG, SEBASTOPOL, SONOMA & UKIAH	-----	-----	-----	-----	7,175(1)	-----
BERKELEY & PLEASANT HILL	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,565(1)	-----
CENTRAL CA MORT AUTH	-----	21,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
CERES, ROCKLIN, SAN PABLO & SAN RAFAEL	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,080(1)	-----

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.

(b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.

(c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE  
AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	\$4,405(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>VENTURA COUNTY cont'd</b>
-----	1,100(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	MOORPARK USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OAK PARK USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OJAI USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OJAI VALLEY SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD
24,175(1)	-----	-----	8,400(1)	-----	-----	OXNARD HARBOR DIST
-----	3,265(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	OXNARD UHSD
-----	-----	-----	600(1)	-----	-----	PLEASANT VALLEY SD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PORT HUENEME RDA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN BUENAVENTURA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SANTA PAULA UHSD
24,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SIMI VALLEY
-----	6,230(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	SIMI VALLEY COMM DEV
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SIMI VALLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	12,435(2)	-----	-----	THOUSAND OAKS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	THOUSAND OAKS RDA
-----	2,365(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	VENTURA REGIONAL SAN DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	VENTURA USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CO YOLO
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	WOODLAND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>YUBA COUNTY</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MARYSVILLE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SO YUBA WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS</b>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ALAMEDA & ANTIOCH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ARCATA, HEALDSBURG,
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SEBASTOPOL, SONOMA & UKIAH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	BERKELEY & PLEASANT HILL
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CENTRAL CA MORT AUTH
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CERES, ROCKLIN,
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN PABLO & SAN RAFAEL

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

107

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>ISSUER(c)</u>	<u>INTERIM FINANCE</u>	<u>SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING</u>	<u>HOSPITALS &amp; HEALTH</u>	<u>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/PUBLIC WORKS</u>	<u>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS cont'd</b>						
COACHELLA, CULVER CITY, & WEST COVINA & THE PALOS VERDES LIBRARY DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	\$2,985(1)	-----
CONCORD, HAYWARD, NOVATO, PLEASANTON, SAN CARLOS, SAUSALITO & WALNUT CREEK	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,250(1)	-----
CORTE MADERA, MARINA, NEWARK, PLEASANTON, SANGER, SANTA CRUZ, SEASIDE, & MARTINEZ USD & OAKLEY USD	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
COVINA-RANCHO CUCAMONGA- CALEXICO-DOWNEY HFA	-----	22,074(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
DELANO, FONTANA, SANTA MONICA & THOUSAND OAKS	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,915(1)	-----
EL CENTRO, GALT & TURLOCK, & TOWN OF PARADISE	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,285(1)	-----
EL MONTE-DOWNEY-SAN JACINTO HFA	-----	21,200(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
FAIRFIELD-LINCOLN HFA	-----	28,500(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
KIRKWOOD MEADOWS PUD	-----	-----	-----	-----	2,953(1)	-----
MIDPENINSULA REGIONAL OPEN SPACE DIST	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,000(1)	-----
MSR PUB PWR AGY	-----	-----	-----	-----	267,245(1)	-----
NCPA	20,000(1)	-----	-----	-----	1,588,295(6)	-----
ORANGE & ORLAND	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,950(1)	-----
PORT HUENEME-COVINA HFA	-----	21,750(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----
SACRAMENTO RTD	-----	-----	-----	-----	16,285(3)	-----
SAN FRANCISCO BART	66,800(4)	-----	-----	-----	145,000(1)	-----
SCPPA	-----	-----	-----	-----	641,590(3)	-----
SMUD	-----	-----	-----	-----	682,840(2)	-----
SO CA RTD	130,000(2)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
SO SUTTER WD	-----	-----	-----	-----	600(1)	-----
TOWN OF CORTE MADERA & DALY CITY	-----	-----	-----	-----	4,625(1)	-----
TRANSMISSION AGY OF NO CA	-----	-----	-----	-----	30,300(2)	-----
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,266,063 (195)</b>	<b>\$1,504,382 (52)</b>	<b>\$5,538,723 (370)</b>	<b>\$1,534,857 (57)</b>	<b>\$8,671,357 (378)</b>	<b>\$457,962 (46)</b>

- (a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.
- (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.
- (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-11

**SUMMARY OF 1985 LOCAL DEBT FINANCINGS BY PURPOSE**  
**AGGREGATE DOLLAR VOLUME AND NUMBER OF ISSUES (a)(b)**

<u>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>K-12 EDUCATION</u>	<u>COLLEGE &amp; UNIVERSITY</u>	<u>REDEVELOPMENT</u>	<u>WASTE-TO-ENERGY</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ISSUER (c)</u>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS cont'd
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COACHELLA, CULVER CITY & WEST COVINA & THE PALOS VERDES LIBRARY DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	CONCORD, HAYWARD, NOVATO, PLEASANTON, SAN CARLOS, SAUSALITO & WALNUT CREEK
-----	6,010(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	CORTE MADERA, MARINA, NEWARK, PLEASANTON, SANGER, SANTA CRUZ, SEASIDE, & MARTINEZ USD & OAKLEY USD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	COVINA-RANCHO CUCAMONGA-CALEXICO-DOWNEY HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	DELANO, FONTANA, SANTA MONICA & THOUSAND OAKS
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL CENTRO, GALT & TURLOCK, & TOWN OF PARADISE
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	EL MONTE-DOWNEY-SAN JACINTO HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	FAIRFIELD-LINCOLN HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	KIRKWOOD MEADOWS PUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MIDPENINSULA REGIONAL OPEN SPACE DIST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	MSR PUB PWR AGY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	NCPA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	ORANGE & ORLAND
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	PORT HUENEME-COVINA HFA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SACRAMENTO RTD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SAN FRANCISCO BART
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SCPPA
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SMUD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SO CA RTD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	SO SUTTER WD
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TOWN OF CORTE MADERA & DALY CITY
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	TRANSMISSION AGY OF NO CA
\$421,503 (78)	\$221,980 (35)	\$6,285 (1)	\$1,731,340 (121)	\$360,000 (2)	\$221,540 (1)	TOTAL

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding. The figure in the parentheses indicates the number of issues.  
 (b) Figures may include refunding. See Table-12 for details.  
 (c) For a list of abbreviations used in issuer names see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS**  
**COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL/ REFUND (d)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE (e)</u>
CA EDUCATIONAL FAC AUTH	\$44,425	T	PRIVATE EDUCATION	CONDUIT REV BOND	08-21-85	N
CA EDUCATIONAL FAC AUTH	31,485	T	PRIVATE EDUCATION	CONDUIT REV BOND	07-18-85	N
CA EDUCATIONAL FAC AUTH	6,149	T	PRIVATE EDUCATION	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-30-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	20,870	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	04-17-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	107,855	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	08-01-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	51,080	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	10-31-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	10,820	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	11-27-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	43,305	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	10-30-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	36,640	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	10-10-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	11,040	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-12-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	11,615	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-12-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	45,295	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-11-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	39,990	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	11-19-85	N
CA HEALTH FAC FA	25,000	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	11-27-85	N
UNIVERSITY OF CA, THE REGENTS OF	10,359	T	CAP IMP (PARKING)	PUB REV BOND	11-08-85	N
WATER RESOURCES, DEPT OF	239,505	P	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	03-13-85	C
<b>SUBTOTAL, STATE AGENCIES</b>	<b>\$735,433, 16 ISSUES (f)</b>					
CA STUDENT LOAN FIN CORP (g)	\$80,000	P	STUDENT LOANS	OTHER NOTE	12-12-85	N
<b>SUBTOTAL, STUDENT LOAN CORPS</b>	<b>\$80,000, 1 ISSUE (f)</b>					
<b>SUBTOTAL, STATEWIDE REFUNDING FINANCINGS</b>	<b>\$815,433, 17 ISSUES (f)</b>					

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is partial or total refunding is not available.

(e) N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

(f) This figure is the total of the principal amount column. Because some refunding financings are partial, the actual aggregate principal amount for refunding would be less than the total indicated.

(g) This issuer is a nonprofit public benefit corporation incorporated under California State law. It issues debt to finance student loans on a statewide basis. It is not an agency of the State government.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS**  
**COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL/ REFUND<sup>(d)</sup></u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE<sup>(e)</sup></u>
<b>ALAMEDA COUNTY</b>						
BERKELEY	\$25,000	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	04-30-85	N
EDEN TOWNSHIP HD	8,500	T	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	11-05-85	C
NEWARK USD	8,050	T	K-12 ED	CERT OF PART	12-03-85	N
NEWHAVEN USD	27,040	T	K-12 ED	CERT OF PART	10-10-85	N
<b>BUTTE COUNTY</b>						
OROVILLE	3,500	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-24-85	N
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>						
CO CONTRA COSTA	14,990	P	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	10-01-85	N
RICHMOND RDA	11,530	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-18-85	C
WALNUT CREEK	33,000	P	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	11-08-85	N
<b>EL DORADO COUNTY</b>						
EL DORADO ID	13,769	NA	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	SPEC ASSESS BOND	05-15-85	N
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>						
FIREBAUGH RDA	700	NA	REDEVELOPMENT	BOND ANT NOTE	12-01-85	N
<b>IMPERIAL COUNTY</b>						
EL CENTRO RDA	3,300	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-22-85	C
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>						
BAKERSFIELD	4,900	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-24-85	N
BAKERSFIELD	2,000	NA	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-31-85	N
KERN UHSD	27,900	P	K-12 ED	CERT OF PART	11-21-85	N

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is partial or total refunding is not available.

(e) N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS  
COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL/ REFUND<sup>(d)</sup></u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE<sup>(e)</sup></u>
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>						
CO LOS ANGELES	\$50,000	T	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	11-26-85	N
CO LOS ANGELES	241,658	T	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	09-25-85	N
ALHAMBRA	9,785	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	08-08-85	N
ALHAMBRA PA	7,105	T	CAP IMP (PARKING)	CERT OF PART	07-22-85	N
BALDWIN PARK RDA	2,500	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC NOTE	11-19-85	C
BALDWIN PARK RDA	6,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC NOTE	11-19-85	C
BEVERLY HILLS	75,300	P	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	11-19-85	N
BURBANK RDA	19,940	T	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-26-85	C
COMMERCE RDA	25,000	NA	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	08-20-85	C
CULVER CITY RDA	34,715	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	10-01-85	C
CULVER CITY RDA	16,655	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	10-01-85	C
DUARTE RDA	5,195	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-26-85	C
DUARTE RDA	4,885	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-26-85	C
HUNTINGTON PARK RDA	17,430	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	05-14-85	C
HUNTINGTON PARK RDA	19,625	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-12-85	C
INDUSTRY	14,623	NA	INT FIN	LTD OBLIG NOTE	10-01-85	N
INDUSTRY-URBAN DEV AGY	87,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	04-09-85	C
INGLEWOOD RDA	10,700	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-12-85	C
IRVINDALE CRA	45,000	T	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	04-23-85	C
LA MIRADA RDA	8,165	NA	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-26-85	C
LONG BEACH	38,315	T	CAP IMP (PORTS)	PUB REV BOND	04-15-85	N
LOS ANGELES	18,000	P	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	06-12-85	N
LOS ANGELES	24,460	T	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	12-19-85	N
LOS ANGELES	100,000	P	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	12-13-85	N
LOS ANGELES CRA	4,800	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	07-15-85	C
LOS ANGELES DWP	18,785	NA	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	PUB REV BOND	03-13-85	C
MONTEBELLO CRA	16,500	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	08-12-85	C
MONTEBELLO CRA	8,500	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-18-85	C

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is partial or total refunding is not available.

(e) N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS**  
**COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL REFUND</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE</u> <sup>(e)</sup>
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY cont'd</b>						
PALMDALE	\$17,000	P	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	06-21-85	N
PARAMOUNT RDA	25,000	T	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	12-03-85	C
POMONA	9,030	P	CAP IMP	SPEC ASSESS BOND	12-12-85	N
POMONA RDA	7,500	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC NOTE	12-20-85	C
ROSEMEAD SD	1,070	NA	K-12 ED	CERT OF PART	08-28-85	N
SAN DIMAS RDA	5,080	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-12-85	C
SANTA FE SPRINGS RDA	45,000	NA	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	08-25-85	C
SIGNAL HILL RDA	28,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	12-12-85	C
TORRANCE	27,710	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	03-21-85	N
TORRANCE	32,800	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-02-85	N
WALNUT IMP AGY	16,500	P	CAP IMP	TAX ALLOC BOND	07-25-85	C
WHITTIER	49,130	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	09-25-85	N
WHITTIER RDA	4,750	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	05-07-85	C
<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>						
UKIAH USD	5,260	T	K-12 ED	CERT OF PART	04-04-85	N
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>						
CO ORANGE	17,240	NA	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	12-30-85	N
CO ORANGE	16,640	T	CAP IMP (SOLID WASTE)	CERT OF PART	12-30-85	N
ANAHEIM	20,300	T	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	12-10-85	N
BREA RDA	49,580	NA	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-19-85	C
BUENA PARK CRA	20,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-12-85	C
IRVINE RANCH WD	31,950	T	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	GO BOND	08-28-85	N
IRVINE RANCH WD	67,365	T	CAP IMP	GO BOND	12-05-85	N
MOULTON-NIGUEL WD	11,000	T	CAP IMP	GO BOND	06-20-85	C
MOULTON-NIGUEL WD	3,900	T	CAP IMP (WASTEWATER)	GO BOND	06-20-85	C

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is partial or total refunding is not available.

(e) N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS  
COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL/ REFUND</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE</u> <sup>(e)</sup>
<b>ORANGE COUNTY cont'd</b>						
MOULTON-NIGUEL WD	\$14,245	T	CAP IMP (WASTEWATER)	GO BOND	09-19-85	N
MOULTON-NIGUEL WD	4,920	T	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	GO BOND	09-19-85	N
SANTA ANA CRA	20,000	T	COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	12-30-85	N
SANTA MARGARITA WD	69,355	T	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	GO BOND	06-11-85	N
SANTA MARGARITA WD	20,975	T	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	GO BOND	10-21-85	N
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>						
CORONA RDA	20,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	10-08-85	C
DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA	2,760	NA	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	12-26-85	C
PALM DESERT RDA	17,860	NA	CAP IMP	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-21-85	C
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WD	52,116	P	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	CERT OF PART	10-14-85	N
RIVERSIDE	9,400	T	CAP IMP (WASTEWATER)	PUB REV BOND	05-07-85	N
RIVERSIDE	11,500	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-27-85	N
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>						
LAKE ARROWHEAD CSD	21,230	NA	CAP IMP (WASTEWATER)	CERT OF PART	08-22-85	N
LOMA LINDA	40,430	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	08-15-85	N
LOMA LINDA	85,910	T	HOSPITAL	PUB REV BOND	12-27-85	N
ONTARIO RDA	4,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	08-06-85	C
ONTARIO RDA	20,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	09-11-85	C
REDLANDS RDA	14,245	P	CAP IMP	TAX ALLOC BOND	06-06-85	C
SAN BERNARDINO	35,000	P	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-06-85	N
SAN BERNARDINO RDA	3,800	P	REDEVELOPMENT	CERT OF PART	05-01-85	N
SAN BERNARDINO RDA	21,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	11-27-85	C

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is

(e) partial or total refunding is not available.

N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS  
COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL REFUND (d)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE (e)</u>
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>						
CO SAN DIEGO WTR AUTH	\$100,000	P	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	CERT OF PART	11-20-85	N
FALLBROOK SAN DIST	3,500	T	CAP IMP (WASTEWATER)	PUB REV BOND	01-22-85	N
GROSSMONT HD	9,605	T	HOSPITAL	PUB REV BOND	12-30-85	N
PALOMAR POMERADO HD	109,545	T	HOSPITAL	PUB REV BOND	10-24-85	N
SAN DIEGO RDA	15,000	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC NOTE	05-29-85	C
TRI-CITY HD	51,890	P	HOSPITAL	PUB REV BOND	11-14-85	N
<b>SAN JOAQUIN</b>						
STOCKTON	62,840	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-16-85	N
STOCKTON	16,410	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	12-19-85	N
<b>SAN MATEO</b>						
CO SAN MATEO	5,780	P	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	09-06-85	N
CO SAN MATEO	22,945	P	CAP IMP (PUB BLDG)	CERT OF PART	09-06-85	N
REDWOOD CITY, PORT OF	2,045	P	CAP IMP (PORTS)	PUB REV BOND	02-26-85	C
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>						
CO SANTA CLARA TD	52,860	T	CAP IMP (PUB TRANSIT)	OTHER(EQUIP TR CERT)	06-27-85	N
GILROY	4,732	NA	CAP IMP	SPEC ASSESS BOND	10-21-85	N
SANTA CLARA	23,100	P	CAP IMP (REC FAC)	CERT OF PART	11-21-85	N
SANTA CLARA RDA	94,600	P	REDEVELOPMENT	CERT OF PART	06-05-85	N
SANTA CLARA RDA	35,800	P	REDEVELOPMENT	CERT OF PART	06-05-85	N
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>						
WATSONVILLE	18,140	T	HOSPITAL	CONDUIT REV BOND	05-23-85	N

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is partial or total refunding is not available.

(e) N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS  
COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL REFUND</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE</u> <sup>(e)</sup>
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>						
REDDING	\$63,300	P	CAP IMP (POWER)	CERT OF PART	11-27-85	N
REDDING RDA	6,150	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	08-20-85	C
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>						
FAIRFIELD	46,500	P	CAP IMP (WATER SUPPLY)	CERT OF PART	02-11-85	N
FAIRFIELD RDA	12,500	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	10-01-85	C
FAIRFIELD RDA	16,180	NA	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	12-30-85	N
INTERCOMMUNITY HOSP FA	25,985	P	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	08-27-85	N
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>						
SANTA ROSA	4,500	P	CAP IMP	SPEC ASSESS BOND	10-22-85	N
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>						
MODESTO	12,720	NA	CAP IMP (WASTEWATER)	PUB REV BOND	12-23-85	N
MODESTO ID	135,490	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	CERT OF PART	07-31-85	N
TURLOCK	10,295	T	HOSPITAL	CERT OF PART	02-26-85	N
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>						
CONEJO VALLEY USD	2,145	P	K-12 ED	CERT OF PART	09-05-85	N
OXNARD RDA	8,400	P	REDEVELOPMENT	TAX ALLOC BOND	12-30-85	C
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS</b>						
MSR PUB PWR AGY	267,245	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	09-05-85	N
NCPA	475,940	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	10-15-85	N
NCPA	68,000	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	07-11-85	N
NCPA	36,000	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	12-30-85	N
NCPA	364,005	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	12-30-85	N

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is partial or total refunding is not available.

(e) N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

TABLE-12

**SUMMARY OF 1985 STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCINGS  
COMPLETED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING (a)(b)(c)**

<u>ISSUER</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL AMOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL/ PARTIAL REFUND</u> <sup>(d)</sup>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT</u>	<u>SALE DATE</u>	<u>SALE TYPE</u> <sup>(e)</sup>
<b>MULTIPLE COUNTY ISSUERS cont'd</b>						
SAN FRANCISCO BART	\$145,000	NA	CAP IMP (PUB TRANSIT)	PUB REV BOND	11-26-85	C
SCPPA	219,050	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	07-10-85	N
SCPPA	208,335	P	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	05-22-85	N
SCPPA	214,205	P	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	05-22-85	N
SMUD	191,345	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	06-13-85	N
SMUD	491,495	T	CAP IMP (POWER)	PUB REV BOND	11-01-85	N
<b>SUBTOTAL, LOCAL REFUNDING FINANCINGS</b>	<b>\$5,768,948,</b>	<b>125 ISSUES</b> <sup>(f)</sup>				
<b>TOTAL, 1985 REFUNDING FINANCINGS</b>	<b>\$6,584,381,</b>	<b>142 ISSUES</b> <sup>(f)</sup>				

(a) The dollar figures are \$000; totals may not add due to rounding.

(b) For a list of abbreviations used in this table, see Table-13. Issuer names with no abbreviations are cities. Assessment district debt issues are included with the appropriate city or county in which the district is located.

(c) Debt issues of the City of Oakland and the City of Burbank were omitted from this table since the proportion of refunded debt was minimal as compared to the total principal amount issued.

(d) T = total refunding; P = partial refunding; and NA = information to make determination of whether issue is partial or total refunding is not available.

(e) N = negotiated sale basis and C = competitive sale basis.

(f) This figure is the total of the principal amount column. Because some refunding financings are partial, the actual aggregate principal amount for refunding would be less than the total indicated.

TABLE-13

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSABBREVIATION

AGY	AGENCY
AUTH	AUTHORITY
BART	BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
BD OF ED	BOARD OF EDUCATION
BOND ANT NOTE	BOND ANTICIPATION NOTE
C	COMPETITIVE
CA	CALIFORNIA
CAP IMP	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT
CCD	COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
CDA	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
CDC	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
CERT OF PART	CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION
CFD	COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT
CNTRL	CONTROL
CO	COUNTY
COLL & UNIV FAC	COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY FACILITY
COM	COMMISSION
COMM	COMMUNITY
CONDUIT REV BOND	CONDUIT REVENUE BOND
CONS DIST	CONSERVATION DISTRICT
CORP	CORPORATION
CRA	COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
CSD	COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
DEV	DEVELOPMENT
DEPT	DEPARTMENT
DIST	DISTRICT
DWP	DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER
ED	EDUCATION
ELEM	ELEMENTARY
EQUIP TR CERT	EQUIPMENT TRUST CERTIFICATE
FA	FINANCING AUTHORITY
FAC	FACILITY OR FACILITIES
FIN AGY	FINANCE AGENCY
FLD	FLOOD
GO BOND	GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND
HA	HOUSING AUTHORITY
HD	HOSPITAL DISTRICT
HFA	HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY
HOSP	HOSPITAL
HSD	HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
HSG	HOUSING
ID	IRRIGATION DISTRICT
IDA	INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
IMP	IMPROVEMENT
INFRA	INFRASTRUCTURE

TABLE-13

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSABBREVIATION

INT FIN	INTERIM FINANCE
K-12 ED	KINDERGARTEN TO 12TH GRADE EDUCATION
LTD OBLIG NOTE	LIMITED OBLIGATION NOTE
MGMT	MANAGEMENT
MNTS	MOUNTAINS
MORT	MORTGAGE
MSR	MODESTO-SANTA CLARA-REDDING
MUD	MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
MUN	MUNICIPAL
N	NEGOTIATED
NA	NOT AVAILABLE
NCPA	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY
NO	NORTH OR NORTHERN
P	PARTIAL
PA	PARKING AUTHORITY
POL	POLLUTION
PUB	PUBLIC
PUB BLDG	PUBLIC BUILDING
PUB REV BOND	PUBLIC REVENUE BOND
PUC	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
PUD	PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT
PWR	POWER
RDA	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
REC FAC	RECREATIONAL FACILITY
RED	REDEVELOPMENT
REG	REGIONAL
RTD	REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT
SAN	SANITATION
SCPPA	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POWER AUTHORITY
SD	SCHOOL DISTRICT
SMUD	SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
SO	SOUTH OR SOUTHERN
SOFAR	SOUTH FORK OF THE AMERICAN RIVER: A JOINT POWERS AGENCY CONSISTING OF THE EL DORADO ID AND THE EL DORADO CO WTR AGY
SPEC ASSESS BOND	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND
SUPER	SUPERINTENDENT
T	TOTAL
TAX ALLOC BOND	TAX ALLOCATION BOND
TAX ALLOC NOTE	TAX ALLOCATION NOTE
TD	TRANSIT DISTRICT
TRAN	TRANSIT
UHSD	UNIFIED HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
USD	UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
WD	WATER DISTRICT
WTR	WATER