

# **STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES**

*Results of the November 1996 General Election*

**MATT FONG**  
*State Treasurer and Chairman*

**CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION**

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 400  
P.O. BOX 942809  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94209-0001  
TELEPHONE: (916) 653-3269  
FAX: (916) 654-7440  
Executive Director  
Peter W. Schaafsma

March 7, 1997

**To All Interested Parties:**

This report presents the statewide results of bond and tax measures submitted to the voters at the November 1996 General Election. This is the eighth in a series of reports on statewide elections in California prepared by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC).

Of the one hundred fifty-one State and local bond and tax measures tracked by the Commission, 74 (49 percent) passed and 77 (51 percent) failed. Two of three State general obligation bond proposals and 14 of 27 local G.O. bond proposals were approved. Special tax measures, designed to fund public services such as senior programs, libraries, police, emergency medical and fire, were defeated at a fairly high rate, with only 11 of 42 passing (26 percent).

This general election's results are notable for two reasons:

- Bond elections fared better than in 1994, when no state G.O. bonds and 37 of 93 local measures were approved; and
- Of the 13 defeated local G.O. bond proposals, 11 would have succeeded under a 50% majority approval standard.

Twelve of the local ballot measures on the November ballot were placed there as a direct result of the anticipated approval of Proposition 218, the *Right to Vote on Taxes Act*, and 21 were in response to the California Supreme Court's recent decision on Proposition 62, originally passed in 1986. Voters approved all of the local measures motivated by Proposition 218, and 13, or 62 percent, of the Proposition 62 validation measures.

This report includes a summary of the statewide election results, as well as data on the individual tax and bond ballot measures themselves. The Commission would like to recognize the assistance of the Secretary of State's Office and the elections departments of the 58 county clerks' offices in preparing this report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Matt Fong". The signature is stylized and written over a horizontal line.

MATT FONG  
State Treasurer and Chairman

**STATE AND LOCAL  
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES  
RESULTS OF GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

March 1997

**CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION  
P.O. Box 942809  
Sacramento, CA 94209-0001  
(916) 653-3269**

## CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission was created by the Legislature to assist state and local agencies with the issuance, monitoring and management of public debt and the investment of public funds through its research and technical assistance programs. CDIAC also acts as the state's clearinghouse for public debt issuance information.

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission members include:

**Matt Fong**  
California State Treasurer  
and Chairman

**Pete Wilson**  
Governor  
or  
**Craig Brown**  
Director  
Department of Finance

**Kathleen Connell**  
State Controller

**Vacancy**  
State Senator

**Vacancy**  
State Senator

**Jan Goldsmith**  
State Assemblyman

**Gary Miller**  
State Assemblyman

**Donald W. Merz**  
Treasurer-Tax Collector  
Sonoma County

**Robert Leland**  
Finance Director  
City of Fairfield

**Additional information concerning this report  
or the programs of the California Debt and  
Investment Advisory Commission may be  
obtained by contacting:**

**Peter W. Schaafsma**  
Executive Director  
California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission  
(916) 653-3269

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission wishes to thank the staff of the California Secretary of State's Office and county clerks' election departments for their assistance in providing the information contained in this publication.

The report was prepared by Hardy Gunnor with the assistance of Janae Davis and Eileen Marxen of the Commission staff.

## CONTENTS

I.	Introduction .....	1
II.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Purpose .....	1
	A. Education .....	1
	B. Capital Improvements and Public Works .....	2
	C. Life Support .....	2
	D. General Government.....	3
	E. Miscellaneous Purposes .....	3
III.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Type .....	4
	A. Bonds .....	4
	1. State General Obligation Bonds.....	4
	2. Local General Obligation Bonds.....	4
	B. Tax Measures.....	5
	1. Special Taxes .....	5
	2. General Taxes .....	5
	a) Proposition 62 Measures.....	6
	b) Proposition 218 Measures.....	6
	3. Benefit Assessments.....	7
	a) Proposition 218 Measures.....	7
IV.	Counties Not Reporting Local Bond or Tax Measures .....	7

## TABLES & CHARTS

Table 1	Bond and Tax Measures Results.....	1
Table 2	Results of Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	1
Table 3	Results of Bond and Tax Measures by Type.....	5
Chart 1	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	2
Chart 2	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures by Type.....	4

## SUMMARY TABLES

### Appendix A November 5, 1996 General Election

Table A-1	State and Local General Obligation Bond Measures.....	A-1
Table A-2	Local Special Tax Measures.....	A-3
Table A-3	Local General Tax Measures.....	A-5
Table A-4	Local Benefit Assessment Measures.....	A-7
Table A-5	Proposition 62 Tax Measures.....	A-9
Table A-6	Proposition 218 Tax Measures.....	A-10
Table A-7	State and Local Bond and Assessment/Tax Measures..... Summary of Election Results	A-11
Table A-8	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures..... Summary of Types and Purposes	A-16

# STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

## Summary of General Election Results November 5, 1996

### I. INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the bond and tax measures which were decided at the November 5, 1996 General Election in California. The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State's Office and the 58 county clerks' elections departments. The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) has been reporting the results of bond and tax measures in statewide elections since 1986.

#### General Election Results

One hundred fifty-one State and local bond and tax measures were tracked by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) in the November General Election. Of that total, 74 (49 percent) were approved by voters and 77 (51 percent) failed. The number of measures in this election was up considerably from the last general election held in November 1994, when 93 State and local bond and tax measures were reported to CDIAC. The results are included in Table 1.

	STATE	LOCAL	TOTALS
Passed	2	72	74
Failed	1	76	77
Totals	3	148	151

### II. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE


Table 2 shows the results of the 151 bond and tax measures by purpose—education, capital improvements, life support, general government and miscellaneous by purpose. Chart 1 (see page 2) provides a graphic portrayal of these measures by purpose.

	Education	Capital imp.	Life Support	General Gov't	Misc	Totals
Passed	16	23	5	24	6	74
Failed	10	25	11	23	8	77
Totals	26	48	16	47	14	151

#### A. Education

There were 26 measures affecting education funding presented to the voters in the November election. Of that number, 16 were successful. The 62 percent approval rate for

education measures in the November election is a notable increase over the 42 percent approval rate in the 1994 General Election.



### B. Capital Improvements and Public Works

Of the 48 measures proposed for capital improvements and public works, 23 were approved. The successful measures included:

- The continuation of two flood control assessments for the Sonoma County Water Agency in Sonoma County to finance the construction, operation, and maintenance of flood control works and services;

- A special tax for the maintenance of a brick wall in the Rossmoor Community Services District in Orange County, which is considered a local landmark;
- A special tax for street maintenance in the Butler-Keys Community Services District in Lake County;
- A \$995 million State of California G.O. Bond measure to improve water reliability and supply;
- Eight parks and open space funding measures;
- Six proposals for multiple capital improvements and public works; and,
- Four proposals for public buildings and recreation facilities.

Within this category, voters rejected proposals for prisons and jails, a community center, public transit, and street construction. The 48 percent passing rate for capital improvements and public works measures reflects an increase from the 31 percent approval rate in the November 1994 General election when eight of 26 measures were approved.

### C. Life Support

Of the 16 issues proposed to increase or enhance police, fire or emergency medical services, five were approved. Successful measures included:

- A special tax increase for the purchase of fire apparatus in Santa Cruz County's Branciforte Fire Protection District;

- A new assessment to strengthen fire protection in Rhonert Park in Sonoma County;
- An advisory vote for a new assessment for fire suppression improvements in the Marin Municipal Water District;
- An increase in the fire suppression benefit assessment by the consumer price index to maintain the required level of fire protection and emergency services in the Placer Hills Fire Protection District in Placer County; and
- A new fire suppression assessment to provide fire protection, prevention and rescue services in the Nevada County Consolidated Fire District.

The 31 percent approval rate for life support measures represents a decrease from the 48 percent approval rate witnessed in the November 1994 General election, when 10 of the 21 measures were approved.

#### **D. General Government**

Of the 47 measures for general government purposes, 24 were approved. All of the measures were general taxes, which require a majority vote. The 51 percent passing rate for general government purpose measures contrasts sharply with the 17 percent approval rate in the November 1994 election, when one of the six measures passed.

The approved measures include a Santa Clara County sales tax measure, proposed for *general government* purposes, which passed with 52 percent of the votes cast. This measure was accompanied by a complementary measure containing advisory language to the effect that any new sales tax revenue approved by the voters would be used for transportation improvements.


#### **E. Miscellaneous Purposes**

This category includes measures for veterans' housing, a hospital, library facilities and services, mosquito abatement, and youth/senior activities. The State of California G.O. \$400 million bond proposal for veterans' single-family housing was approved by 54 percent of the voters. Voters in Calexico, Imperial County, approved the repeal of a half cent sales tax, which presently pays the debt service on bonds used to finance the Calexico Hospital. The city will cease collection of the sales tax when the sales tax revenue bonds are paid in full. Of the 10 library measures proposed, four passed.

The Coalinga-Huron Library District boundaries spans portions of three counties, so there were three special tax measures for the operations of the district's library services on the ballot, one each in Fresno, Monterey, and San Benito counties. Only the San Benito measure passed. However, San Benito's tax will not be levied, since the cumulative number of votes in the three counties failed to meet the two-thirds approval level necessary for passage.

### III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY TYPE

State and local measures by type are divided into four categories: general tax, general obligation bonds, benefit assessment and special tax measures as displayed in Chart 2 (below). Table 3, on page 5, presents the results of these measures.



#### A. BONDS

##### 1. State of California General Obligation Bonds

Voters approved two of the three California general obligation (G.O.) bond measures on the ballot. Proposition 204 (\$995 million for water reliability and supply) and Proposition 206 (\$400 million for veterans' single-family housing), were approved by 62.9 percent and 53.6 percent, respectively. Voters rejected Proposition 205 (\$700 million for

adult and youth correctional facilities) decisively with 60 percent of voters voting no. The passage of these G.O. bond measures contrasts favorably the statewide elections of 1994, when none of the five proposed State G.O. bond measures were approved.

##### 2. Local General Obligation Bonds

Local agencies' general obligation bond measures on the November ballot showed mixed results, as 14 of 27 (52 percent) proposals were approved. Of the 13 measures that failed, eleven received over 50 percent of the votes but fell short of the two-thirds necessary for passage.

Two of the G.O. bond measures closely hugged the two-thirds threshold as the final results were tabulated on election evening, but only one was ultimately victorious. Voters in Berkeley approved their \$49 million G.O. bond by three-tenths of one percent while voters in the Sacramento City Unified School District defeated their \$225 million G.O. bond by two-tenths of one percent. The 52 percent passing rate for local G.O. bonds contrasts sharply with the 25 percent approval rate in the November 1994 election, when only six of the 24 G.O. bond measures passed.

The 14 local general obligation bonds approved totaled \$306.7 million. Eleven of these are earmarked for K-12 school facilities. The others include one measure that will provide funding for multiple capital improvements, one for a public building, and one for a community college facility. The details of the State and local General Obligation bond measures are in Table A-1, beginning on page A-1.

	G.O. Bonds	Special Tax	General Tax	Benefit Ass't	Totals
Passed	16	11	24	23	74
Failed	14	31	23	9	77
Totals	30	42	47	32	151

## B. TAX MEASURES

The detailed tables for the tax measures begin on page A-2.

### 1. Special Tax Measures

Support for special tax measures was weak, with only 26 percent (11 of 31) receiving the necessary 2/3 voter approval. Special tax measures for education fared best, with three of five passing. Three of nine special tax measures for library services and facilities were approved, while one of the nine special tax measures for life support services passed. Four other special tax measures were approved for street maintenance, a hospital, parks and open space, and maintenance of a brick wall. The defeated special tax measures included taxes for public transit, a community center, mosquito abatement, youth and senior activities, multiple capital improvements and public works, recreation and sports facilities, parks and open space, and street construction.

There were five sales tax measures on the November ballot that were proposed as *special taxes*. The two which were approved by voters were for a hospital and for library services. Two other sales tax measures for library services and for parks and open space received over 50 percent approval but fell short of the two-thirds necessary for passage. The last of these was a sales tax measure for life support services, which was defeated by seventy-four percent of the voters in Morro Bay.

### 2. General Tax Measures

There were more general tax measures than special tax measures submitted to the voters in this election. Local voters passed 24 of the 47 general tax measures, which needed a simple majority for passage. The business license tax was the most successful type of general tax proposal, with an 80 percent approval rate, while the utility users' tax was the least successful, with a 40 percent approval rate. Transient occupancy tax (TOTs) proposals showed a 48 percent approval rate, a substantial decline from the 82 percent approval rate for TOT proposals in the March 1996 Primary election.

As previously mentioned, a Santa Clara County sales tax measure, proposed for *general government* purposes, passed with 52 percent of the votes cast. The measure was

accompanied by a complementary measure, which also passed, that indirectly designates any new sales tax revenue approved by voters for transportation improvements. (The sales tax measure is being challenged on the grounds that it requires a 2/3 vote as a special tax.)

#### **a. Proposition 62 Measures**

Local voters faced a second round of elections to validate general tax measures in response to the California Supreme Court's decision on Proposition 62 (*Santa Clara County Local Transportation Authority v. Guardino*). The first round took place in the March 1996 Primary Election.

Proposition 62, passed in 1986, states that *special* tax increases require two-thirds voter approval and *general* tax increases require majority voter approval. That measure had been generally viewed as inoperative for many years, based upon a decision by a California appellate court in *Woodlake v. Logan*. Relying on this appellate court decision, many California municipalities adopted general tax increases without voter approval. However, the *Guardino* decision has called into question the legality of these measures. As a result, a number of municipalities (other than charter cities) chose to voluntarily put these previously-adopted general tax measures before the voters for validation.

Voters approved 13 of 21 (62 percent) Proposition 62 validation measures on the November ballot. This represents a slight decline from the March Primary election, where 10 of 14 (71 percent) of these validation measures were approved. Of the eight taxes that were defeated, five were utility users' taxes, two were transient occupancy taxes, and one was a business license tax.

It should be noted that Proposition 62 validation measures listed in Table A-5 are also identified in the general tax measures table listed on pages A-5 and A-6.

#### **b. Proposition 218 Measures**

The approval of Proposition 218, the *Right to Vote on Taxes Act*, in the November General Election dramatically increases existing voter approval requirements for *general* taxes, property-related fees, and assessments. It also imposes restrictions and new procedural requirements for the passage of local fees and assessments. Additionally, Proposition 218 allows voters to repeal by initiative previously approved taxes.

In anticipation of Proposition 218's passage, the City of Anaheim placed a general tax measure on the November ballot for voter approval. Assuming voter approval, this strategy allowed them to take advantage of Proposition 218's grandfathering provisions for previously voter-approved taxes and assessments. Anaheim voters decisively approved (74 percent) the general tax measure motivated by Proposition 218.

### **3. Benefit Assessment Measures**

Local agencies were successful with 23 out of 32 (72 percent) benefit assessment measures on the November ballot. This result contrasts with the March 1996 Primary election, when only five of 28 (18 percent) proposed assessment measures were approved by voters. These measures need only a simple majority for passage. Five of the assessment measures were advisory only, and three of these five passed.

- Assessment measures for parks and open space were most successful, with seven of nine passing.
- Three of four measures for recreation/sports facilities were also approved.
- Of the eight assessment measures for multiple capital improvements, five passed.
- Four of seven measures were approved for life support services.
- The other approved assessment measures were for a K-12 school facility, library services, and two flood control/storm drainage projects.

#### **a. Proposition 218**

As previously mentioned, Proposition 218 will have a significant impact on future taxes, fees, and assessments measures due to its implementation of more stringent voter approval requirements, other restrictions and procedural requirements, and its provision that voters may appeal previously approved taxes, fees and assessments through the initiative process.

Even though voter approval of Proposition 218 caught many observers by surprise, a number of local agencies anticipated its passage. In a strategic move, local agencies placed 11 measures representing on-going assessments on the November ballot for voter approval. If they received voter approval, this strategy would allow them to take advantage of Proposition 218's grandfathering provisions for previously voter-approved taxes and assessments.

Voters approved all 11 of these local assessment measures motivated by Proposition 218. Of the 11, five measures were for parks and open space; four measures for multiple capital improvements and public works; and, the remaining two were for a K-12 school facility and library services.

### **IV. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES**

Twenty-one of the state's 58 counties reported no local bond or tax measures. They are: Alpine, Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Inyo, Mariposa, Merced, Mono, Napa, Plumas, San Joaquin, Sierra, Siskiyou, Solano, Stanislaus, Tehema, Trinity, Tulare and Tuolumne.

TABLE A-1  
**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES  
 NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>		<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
<b>2 STATE MEASURES PASSED</b>						
STATE	SAFE CLEAN RELIABLE WATER SUPPLY ACT	204	62.9%	37.1%	995,000,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
	VETERANS' BOND ACT OF 1996	206	53.6%	46.4%	400,000,000	SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING
	TOTAL				1,395,000,000	
<b>1 STATE MEASURE FAILED</b>						
	YOUTHFUL & ADULT OFFENDER LOCAL FACILITIES BOND ACT	205	40.6%	59.4%	700,000,000	PRISONS/JAILS
	TOTAL				700,000,000	
<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
<b>14 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED</b>						
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	S	66.9%	33.1%	49,000,000	MULTIPLE CIPW
	OAKLAND	I	77.6%	22.4%	45,420,000	PUBLIC BUILDING
	PERALTA CCD	A	77.8%	22.2%	8,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIV FAC
LOS ANGELES	CULVER CITY USD	T	80.0%	20.0%	40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
RIVERSIDE	SAN JACINTO USD	T	67.9%	32.1%	6,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN MATEO	RAVENSWOOD CITY ESD	U	86.8%	13.2%	6,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	SEQUOIA UN HSD	V	79.3%	20.7%	45,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA BARBARA	COLD SPRING ESD	O96	78.9%	21.1%	2,900,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	GOLETA UN SD	M96	74.0%	26.0%	26,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	LOS OLIVOS ESD	K96	74.6%	25.4%	2,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SONOMA	BELLEVUE UNION ESD	A	72.2%	27.8%	11,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	HORICON SD	C	71.1%	28.9%	4,200,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
 COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-1  
**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES  
 NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

	<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
VENTURA	OXNARD UN HSD	Y	68.0%	32.0%	57,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
YOLO	ESPARTO USD	A	75.1%	24.9%	3,300,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
				TOTAL	306,720,000	
<b>13 LOCAL MEASURES FAILED</b>						
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA CCD	D	65.3%	34.7%	145,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIV FAC
KINGS	LEMOORE UN ESD	L	60.8%	39.2%	3,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES USD	BB	65.5%	34.5%	2,400,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	PARAMOUNT USD	S	64.1%	35.9%	23,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	SANTA MONICA	EE	62.4%	37.6%	29,500,000	PUBLIC BUILDING
MADERA	MADERA COUNTY	J	63.1%	36.9%	15,200,000	PRISONS/JAILS
ORANGE	CYPRESS	G	63.5%	36.5%	10,000,000	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
RIVERSIDE	MURRIETA VALLEY USD	S	65.8%	34.2%	30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SACRAMENTO	FOLSOM	F	44.4%	55.6%	8,250,000	REC/SPORTS FAC
	FOLSOM	G	48.3%	51.7%	9,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	SACRAMENTO CITY USD	E	66.4%	33.6%	225,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN BERNARDINO	CHINO USD	X	53.6%	46.4%	85,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA BARBARA	COLLEGE ESD	P96	57.0%	43.0%	8,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
				TOTAL	2,991,250,000	

TABLE A-2  
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	SPECIAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE/MISC
11 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED						
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND USD	B	80.8%	19.2%	\$75 PER PARCEL/5YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
IMPERIAL	CALEXICO	E	61.6%	38.4%	REPEAL \$.005 SALES TAX WHEN BONDS REPAID	HOSPITAL
LAKE	BUTLER-KEYS CSD	U	69.0%	31.0%	\$30 PER PARCEL/4YR	STREET MAINTENANCE
LOS ANGELES	WHITTIER SCHOOLS CFD	GG	67.0%	33.0%	\$22.50 PER PARCEL/40 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MARIN	MILL VALLEY CFD NO 1996-1	E	73.9%	26.1%	\$64 PER DWELLING UNIT	LIBRARY
	SHORELINE USD	B	72.9%	27.1%	\$120 PER PARCEL/4YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
NEVADA	W GATEWAY REG REC & PARK DIST	J	69.9%	30.1%	RAISE PARCEL TAX FROM \$7.95 TO \$12.95	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
ORANGE	ROSSMOOR CSD	V	68.0%	32.0%	\$24 PER PARCEL/20YR	BRICK WALL
SAN BENITO	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	B	100.0%	00.0%	\$12 SFR/\$18 COMM, IND, AG	LIBRARY SERVICES
SANTA CRUZ	BRANCIORTE FIRE PROTECTION DIST	F	77.8%	22.2%	\$60 SFR/\$300 BUSINESS/6YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	B	72.1%	27.9%	\$.025 SALES TAX/16YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
31 LOCAL MEASURES FAILED						
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TD	JJ	66.2%	33.8%	\$8.50 PER PARCEL/6YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT
CONTRA COSTA	ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TD	JJ	64.5%	35.5%	\$8.50 PER PARCEL/6YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT
EL DORADO	TAHOE CITY PLD CFD 1996-1	T	33.9%	66.1%	\$15 PER PARCEL/\$1,400,000 BONDS	MULTIPLE CIPW
FRESNO	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	B	62.9%	37.1%	\$12 PER RES/\$18 COMM	LIBRARY SERVICES
	REEDLEY	J	24.2%	75.8%	3% UTILITY USERS TAX/6YR	LIFE SUPPORT
LASSEN	BIG VALLEY REC DIST	C	53.5%	46.5%	\$10 PER LANDOWNER	REC/SPORTS FAC
	SUSANVILLE LIBRARY DIST	D	53.7%	46.3%	\$28 INHABITABLE DWELLING UNIT	LIBRARY SERVICES
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH CFD NO 4	Z	57.6%	42.4%	\$12.35 DWELLING UNIT	LIFE SUPPORT
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	A	57.6%	42.4%	\$.0025 SALES TAX/10YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	MESA PARK	J	64.2%	35.8%	\$24 IMPROV PARCEL/2YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
MODOC	BIG VALLEY REC DIST	C	37.5%	62.5%	\$10 PER LANDOWNER	REC/SPORTS FAC
MONTEREY	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	B	38.9%	61.1%	\$12 RESIDENTIAL/\$18 COMM	LIBRARY SERVICES
NEVADA	BEYERS LANE CSD	H	55.6%	44.4%	\$250 PER PARCEL/4YR	MULTIPLE CIPW
ORANGE	PLACENTIA LIBRARY DIST	W	49.6%	50.4%	\$29 SFR/\$24 APT UNIT	LIBRARY SERVICES
PLACER	LINCOLN	S	14.4%	85.6%	\$59 PER DWELLING	COMMUNITY CENTER

TABLE A-2  
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	SPECIAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE/MISC
PLACER	PLACER CO MOSQUITO ABATE DIST	M	59.5%	40.5%	\$12.55 PER PARCEL	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
	PLACER COUNTY (CSA 28, ZONE 120)	U	27.0%	73.0%	\$134 PER PARCEL/4YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	TAHOE CITY PUD CFD 1996-1	T	57.3%	42.7%	\$15 PER PARCEL/\$1,400,000 BONDS	MULTIPLE CIPW
RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	DD	43.6%	56.4%	\$260 SFR/\$120+\$.10 SF COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
	HEMET USD IDYLWILD CFD	Q	43.9%	56.1%	\$45 PER PARCEL/\$3,500,000 BONDS	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SACRAMENTO	GALT	H	35.4%	64.6%	\$63.28 SFR/5YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	D	58.5%	41.5%	\$22 SF PARCEL/10YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
SAN BERNARDINO	LOMA LINDA	A	49.6%	50.4%	1.25% UTILITY USERS TAX	LIFE SUPPORT
	LOMA LINDA	B	47.0%	53.0%	.75% UTILITY USERS TAX	STREET CONSTRUCTION
	REDLANDS	C	49.1%	50.9%	3% TICKET TAX/\$.25 FREE PASS	YOUTH/SENIOR ACTIVITIES
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	A	59.1%	40.9%	\$.0025 SALES TAX/5YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
SAN LUIS OBISPO	MORROW BAY	M	26.1%	73.9%	\$.005 SALES TAX	LIFE SUPPORT
SHASTA	SHASTA LAKE	B	23.4%	76.6%	\$10 SFR/5YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SONOMA	SHORELINE USD	B	62.6%	37.4%	\$120 PER PARCEL/4YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
VENTURA	OXNARD	Z	41.4%	58.6%	3.5% UTILITY USERS TAX	LIFE SUPPORT
	THOUSAND OAKS	D	57.8%	42.2%	INCREASE CONSTRUCTION TAX	PARKS/OPEN SPACE

TABLE A-3  
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	GENERAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE
24 MEASURES PASSED						
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA CO*	D	67.7%	32.3%	5.5% UTILITY USERS TAX/4YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	FOWLER	D	50.2%	49.8%	RAISE TOT FROM 4% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
LOS ANGELES	AGOURA HILLS	L	67.3%	32.7%	RAISE TOT FROM 10% TO 12%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	RANCHO PALOS VERDES*	CC	51.4%	48.6%	3% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN MARINO*	DD	67.8%	32.2%	6% UTILITY USERS TAX/10YR (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SIERRA MADRE*	FF	55.8%	44.2%	6% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ORANGE	ANAHEIM**	B	74.0%	26.0%	RAISE TOT FROM 13% TO 15%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	GARDEN GROVE*	I	64.5%	35.5%	REDUCE BUS LIC TAX BY 15%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	LOS ALAMITOS	P	72.9%	27.1%	BUS LICENSE CPI ADJUSTMENT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
RIVERSIDE	MORENO VALLEY*	AA	52.4%	47.6%	6% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MORENO VALLEY*	BB	52.8%	47.2%	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SACRAMENTO	ISLETON	J	63.6%	36.4%	\$1 SHORT-TERM COMM PARKING	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON	K	68.5%	31.5%	RAISE TOT FROM 7% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON	L	78.3%	21.7%	\$76 APP/\$125 1ST TABLE/\$60 ADD	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON	M	69.1%	30.9%	\$150 WEAPON LICENSE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON*	I	52.4%	47.6%	\$100 BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO*	J	71.6%	28.4%	8% TOT (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ESCONDIDO*	P	56.6%	43.3%	10% TOT (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ATASCADERO*	K	64.6%	35.4%	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 9%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MORRO BAY	N	62.3%	37.7%	RAISE TOT FROM 9% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA BARBARA	SOLVANG	N96	53.7%	46.3%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	B	51.8%	48.2%	ENACT \$.005 SALES TAX/9YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CRUZ	CAPITOLA*	A	61.1%	38.9%	RAISE TOT FROM 8.5% TO 9.5%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	PORT HUENEME*	B	62.2%	37.8%	4% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
23 MEASURES FAILED						
BUTTE	PARADISE	P	47.4%	52.6%	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY*	J	47.6%	52.4%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	ORANGE COVE*	H	41.2%	58.7%	7% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

\*PROPOSITION 62

\*\*PROPOSITION 218

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-3  
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES  
 NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	GENERAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE
IMPERIAL	PARLIER	I	37.0%	63.0%	7% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	WESTMORLAND*	D	19.4%	80.6%	5% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	LOS ANGELES	ARTESIA*	N	46.1%	53.9%	2% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)
MARIN	AVALON	Q	48.8%	51.2%	\$120 BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	NOVATO	D	49.4%	50.6%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY*	A	49.6%	50.4%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	AUBURN	R	46.0%	54.0%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	LINCOLN	E	39.1%	60.9%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER COUNTY	LOOMIS	N	46.3%	53.7%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ROCKLIN	L	35.4%	64.6%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ROSEVILLE	H	47.2%	52.8%	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ROSEVILLE	J	49.8%	50.2%	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	CC	31.5%	68.5%	\$160 SINGLE-FAMILY RES
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	F	33.0%	67.0%	2% UTILITY USERS TAX/4YR (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN BENITO COUNTY*	E	39.0%	61.0%	\$.05 PER TON MINING BUS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA BARBARA	GUADALUPE*	L96	37.2%	62.8%	7% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	SARATOGA	L	49.7%	50.3%	3.5% UTILITY USERS TAX/4YR (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CRUZ	SCOTTS VALLEY	E	37.7%	62.3%	RAISE UTILITY TAX FROM 5% TO 6%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	CC	44.2%	55.8%	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
YUBA	WHEATLAND*	L	42.0%	58.0%	35% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

\*PROPOSITION 62

\*\*PROPOSITION 218

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-4  
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL BENEFIT ASSESSMENT MEASURES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	AMOUNT	PURPOSE
23 MEASURES PASSED						
ALAMEDA	ALBANY	R	61.5%	38.5%	\$69 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST*	KK	79.0%	21.0%	\$5.44 PER RES PARCEL (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
CONTRA COSTA	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST*	KK	78.0%	22.0%	\$5.44 PER RES PARCEL (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST*	LL	67.8%	32.2%	\$19.70 PER RES UNIT (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	EL CERRITO*	G	66.7%	33.3%	\$72 SINGLE-FAMILY UNIT (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	PITTSBURG*	I	68.2%	31.8%	\$77.18 PER PARCEL (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	SAN PABLO*	J	78.2%	21.8%	\$57-\$107 PER SFR (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	SAN RAMON*	K	69.7%	30.3%	\$53 PER SFR (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	W CONTRA COSTA USD	E	67.8%	32.2%	\$72 SINGLE-FAMILY UNIT	REC/SPORTS FAC
LOS ANGELES	LA CO REG PARK & OPEN SPACE DIST	A	65.1%	34.9%	54% INCREASE FROM 1992 ACT/22YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	LOS ANGELES	K	50.2%	49.8%	\$18.45-\$161.48 PER PARCEL/30 YR	REC/SPORTS FAC
MARIN	MARIN MUNICIPAL WD	L	62.1%	37.9%	\$75 PARCEL/15 YR (ADVISORY)	LIFE SUPPORT
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO USD*	D	64.9%	35.1%	\$30 PER PARCEL (CONTINUE)	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
NEVADA	NEVADA CO CON FIRE DIST	I	56.8%	43.2%	\$30 SFR/\$50 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
PLACER	PLACER HILLS FIRE PROTECTION DIST	F	71.7%	28.3%	INCREASE \$45 SF DWELLING BY CPI	LIFE SUPPORT
SACRAMENTO	ARDEN MANOR REC AND PARK DIST	S	54.2%	45.8%	\$27 EQUIVALENT DWELLING UNIT	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	SACRAMENTO*	O	71.8%	28.2%	\$10.89 SFR/\$7.41 MF UNIT (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	SACRAMENTO*	P	62.8%	37.2%	\$22 PER PARCEL/10 YR (CONFIRM)	LIBRARY SERVICES
SAN BERNARDINO	REDLANDS LANDSCAPE & ST LGHT DIST 1	D	50.5%	49.4%	\$6 LNDSCP/\$5.47+ UOB LIGHT	MULTIPLE CIPW
SANTA CRUZ	SAN LORENZO VALLEY USD	G	57.2%	42.8%	\$49.70 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	REC/SPORTS FAC
SONOMA	ROHNERT PARK	M	56.5%	43.5%	\$22.94 SFR/\$16.00 APT UNIT	LIFE SUPPORT
	SONOMA CO WA (FC ZONE 1A)	U	63.6%	36.4%	\$10 SFR/10YR (CONTINUE)	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
	SONOMA CO WA (FC ZONE 2A)	V	66.2%	33.8%	\$10 PER PARCEL/10YR	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
9 MEASURES FAILED						
CALAVERAS	CITY OF ANGELS	B	44.3%	55.7%	\$37 AVG PER PARCEL/7YR	LIFE SUPPORT
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA CO EL SOBRANTE Z66	B	47.5%	52.5%	\$30 SINGLE-FAMILY PARCEL	MULTIPLE CIPW

\*PROPOSITION 218  
 SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-4  
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL BENEFIT ASSESSMENT MEASURES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA CO PACHECO 25	C	49.1%	50.9%	\$30 SINGLE-FAMILY PARCEL	PARKS OPEN SPACE
	EL CERRITO	H	41.0%	59.0%	\$125 SFR	LIFE SUPPORT
KERN	CALIFORNIA CITY	M	44.2%	55.8%	\$21.09 PER IMPROV PAR/\$19 UNIMPROV	LIFE SUPPORT
	FRAZIER PARK PUD	P	49.8%	50.2%	\$5 SFR (ADVISORY)	MULTIPLE CIPW
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES CCD	AA	48.4%	51.6%	\$12 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	MULTIPLE CIPW
ORANGE	HUNTINGTON BEACH	J	46.4%	53.4%	\$24 SFR/\$10 MF/\$5 COMM/10YR	REC/SPORTS FAC
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO	O	48.8%	51.2%	\$4.40 SFR/\$3.30 APT (ADVISORY)/4YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE

TABLE A-5  
**SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 62 TAX MEASURES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	TYPE OF TAX	TAX AMOUNT	PURPOSE
<b>13 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED</b>							
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA CO	D	67.7%	32.3%	GENERAL TAX	5.5% UTILITY USERS TAX/4YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
LOS ANGELES	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	CC	51.4%	48.6%	GENERAL TAX	3% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN MARINO	DD	67.8%	32.2%	GENERAL TAX	6% UTILITY USERS TAX/10YR (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SIERRA MADRE	FF	55.8%	44.2%	GENERAL TAX	6% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	I	64.5%	35.5%	GENERAL TAX	REDUCE BUS LIC TAX BY 15%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
RIVERSIDE	MORENO VALLEY	AA	52.4%	47.6%	GENERAL TAX	6% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MORENO VALLEY	BB	52.8%	47.2%	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SACRAMENTO	ISLETON	I	52.4%	47.6%	GENERAL TAX	\$100 BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO	J	71.6%	28.4%	GENERAL TAX	8% TOT (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ESCONDIDO	P	56.6%	43.3%	GENERAL TAX	10% TOT (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ATASCADERO	K	64.6%	35.4%	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 9%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CRUZ	CAPITOLA	A	61.1%	38.9%	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8.5% TO 9.5%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	PORT HUENEME	B	62.2%	37.8%	GENERAL TAX	4% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
<b>8 LOCAL MEASURES FAILED</b>							
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	J	47.6%	52.4%	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	ORANGE COVE	H	41.2%	58.7%	GENERAL TAX	7% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
IMPERIAL	WESTMORLAND	D	19.4%	80.6%	GENERAL TAX	5% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
LOS ANGELES	ARTESIA	N	46.1%	53.9%	GENERAL TAX	2% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	A	49.6%	50.4%	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	E	39.0%	61.0%	GENERAL TAX	\$.05 PER TON MINING BUS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA BARBARA	GUADALUPE	L96	37.2%	62.8%	GENERAL TAX	7% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
YUBA	WHEATLAND	L	42.0%	58.0%	GENERAL TAX	35% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-6  
**SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 218 ASSESSMENT/TAX MEASURES  
 NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	ASSESSMENT/ TAX TYPE	ASSESSMENT/TAX AMOUNT	PURPOSE
12 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED							
ALAMEDA	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	KK	79.0%	21.0%	ASSESSMENT	\$5.44 PER RES PARCEL (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
CONTRA COSTA	EL CERRITO	G	66.7%	33.3%	ASSESSMENT	\$72 SINGLE-FAMILY UNIT (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	PITTSBURG	I	68.2%	31.8%	ASSESSMENT	\$77.18 PER PARCEL (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	SAN PABLO	J	78.2%	21.8%	ASSESSMENT	\$57-\$107 PER SFR (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	SAN RAMON	K	69.7%	30.3%	ASSESSMENT	\$53 PER SFR (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	KK	78.0%	22.0%	ASSESSMENT	\$5.44 PER RES PARCEL (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	LL	67.8%	32.2%	ASSESSMENT	\$19.70 PER RES UNIT (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO USD	D	64.9%	35.1%	ASSESSMENT	\$30 PER PARCEL (CONTINUE)	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
ORANGE	ANAHEIM	B	74.0%	26.0%	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT. FROM 13% TO 15%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	O	71.8%	28.2%	ASSESSMENT	\$10.89 SFR/\$7.41 MF UNIT (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	SACRAMENTO	P	62.8%	37.2%	ASSESSMENT	\$22 PER PARCEL/10 YR (CONFIRM)	LIBRARY SERVICES
SAN BERNARDINO	REDLANDS LANDSCPE & ST LGHT DIST 1	D	50.5%	49.4%	ASSESSMENT	\$6 LNDSCP/\$5.47+ UOB LIGHT	MULTIPLE CIPW

TABLE A-7  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND ASSESSMENT/TAX MEASURES  
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS  
NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	YES		NO	TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
STATE	SAFE CLEAN RELIABLE WATER SUPPLY ACT	204	5,780,463	62.9%	3,410,321	37.1%	9,190,784	PASS MAJORITY
	YOUTHFUL & ADULT OFFENDER LOCAL FACILITIES BOND ACT	205	3,685,314	40.6%	5,370,343	59.4%	9,055,657	FAIL MAJORITY
	VETERANS' BOND ACT OF 1996	206	4,790,028	53.6%	4,153,816	46.4%	8,943,844	PASS MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA CD	D	242,259	67.7%	115,586	32.3%	357,845	PASS MAJORITY
	ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TD	JJ	209,455	66.2%	106,722	33.8%	316,177	FAIL 2/3RDS
	ALBANY	R	4,094	61.5%	2,558	38.5%	6,652	PASS MAJORITY
	BERKELEY	S	29,442	66.9%	14,574	33.1%	44,016	PASS 2/3RDS
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	KK	289,744	79.0%	76,942	21.0%	366,686	PASS MAJORITY
	OAKLAND	I	79,347	77.6%	22,868	22.4%	102,215	PASS 2/3RDS
	OAKLAND USD	B	86,272	80.8%	20,541	19.2%	106,813	PASS 2/3RDS
	PERALTA CCD	A	134,719	77.8%	38,459	22.2%	173,178	PASS 2/3RDS
BUTTE	PARADISE	P	5,551	47.4%	6,165	52.6%	11,716	FAIL MAJORITY
CALAVERAS	CITY OF ANGELS	B	536	44.3%	675	55.7%	1,211	FAIL MAJORITY
CONTRA COSTA	ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TD	JJ	30,453	64.5%	16,770	35.5%	47,223	FAIL 2/3RDS
	CONTRA COSTA CCD	D	211,299	65.3%	112,302	34.7%	323,601	FAIL 2/3RDS
	CONTRA COSTA CO EL SOBRANTE 266	B	2,163	47.5%	2,393	52.5%	4,556	FAIL MAJORITY
	CONTRA COSTA CO PACHECO 25	C	660	49.1%	684	50.9%	1,344	FAIL MAJORITY
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	KK	239,634	78.0%	67,495	22.0%	307,129	PASS MAJORITY
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	LL	10,882	67.8%	5,151	32.2%	16,033	PASS MAJORITY
	EL CERRITO	G	7,103	66.7%	3,550	33.3%	10,653	PASS MAJORITY
	EL CERRITO	H	4,336	41.0%	6,229	59.0%	10,565	FAIL MAJORITY
	PITTSBURG	I	9,081	68.2%	4,225	31.8%	13,306	PASS MAJORITY
	SAN PABLO	J	3,528	78.2%	984	21.8%	4,512	PASS MAJORITY
	SAN RAMON	K	11,720	69.7%	5,095	30.3%	16,815	PASS MAJORITY
	W CONTRA COSTA USD	E	44,078	67.8%	20,931	32.2%	65,009	PASS MAJORITY
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	J	27,767	47.6%	30,522	52.4%	58,289	FAIL MAJORITY
	TAHOE CITY PUD CFD 1996-1	T	126	33.9%	246	66.1%	372	FAIL 2/3RDS
FRESNO	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	B	1,942	62.9%	1,146	37.1%	3,088	FAIL 2/3RDS
	FOWLER	D	301	50.2%	299	49.8%	600	PASS MAJORITY
	ORANGE COVE	H	389	41.2%	553	58.7%	942	FAIL MAJORITY
	PARLIER	I	467	37.0%	795	63.0%	1,262	FAIL MAJORITY

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND ASSESSMENT/TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES		NO		TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
FRESNO	REEDLEY	J	999	24.2%	3,122	75.8%	4,121	FAIL	2/3RDS
IMPERIAL	CALEXICO	E	2,092	61.6%	1,302	38.4%	3,394	PASS	MAJORITY
	WESTMORLAND	D	64	19.4%	266	80.6%	330	FAIL	MAJORITY
KERN	CALIFORNIA CITY	M	1,229	44.2%	1,552	55.8%	2,781	FAIL	MAJORITY
	FRAZIER PARK PUD	P	385	49.8%	388	50.2%	773	FAIL	MAJORITY
KINGS	LEMOORE UN ESD	L	2,802	60.8%	1,804	39.2%	4,606	FAIL	2/3RDS
LAKE	BUTLER-KEYS CSD	U	40	69.0%	18	31.0%	58	PASS	2/3RDS
LASSEN	BIG VALLEY REC DIST	C	201	53.5%	175	46.5%	376	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SUSANVILLE LIBRARY DIST	D	456	53.7%	393	46.3%	849	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	AGOURA HILLS	L	5,496	67.3%	2,669	32.7%	8,165	PASS	MAJORITY
	ARTESIA	N	1,420	46.1%	1,661	53.9%	3,081	FAIL	MAJORITY
	AVALON	Q	537	48.8%	563	51.2%	1,100	FAIL	MAJORITY
	CULVER CITY USD	T	12,441	80.0%	3,112	20.0%	15,553	PASS	2/3RDS
	LA CO REG PARK & OPEN SPACE DIST	A	1,439,597	65.1%	772,783	34.9%	2,212,380	PASS	MAJORITY
	LONG BEACH CFD NO 4	Z	62,700	57.6%	46,139	42.4%	108,839	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LOS ANGELES	K	366,446	50.2%	363,632	49.8%	730,078	PASS	MAJORITY
	LOS ANGELES CCD	AA	498,720	48.4%	531,088	51.6%	1,029,808	FAIL	MAJORITY
	LOS ANGELES USD	BB	588,077	65.5%	309,585	34.5%	897,662	FAIL	2/3RDS
	PARAMOUNT USD	S	7,542	64.1%	4,228	35.9%	11,770	FAIL	2/3RDS
	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	CC	9,481	51.4%	8,970	48.6%	18,451	PASS	MAJORITY
	SAN MARINO	DD	3,728	67.8%	1,772	32.2%	5,500	PASS	MAJORITY
	SANTA MONICA	EE	21,048	62.4%	12,692	37.6%	33,740	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SIERRA MADRE	FF	3,059	55.8%	2,423	44.2%	5,482	PASS	MAJORITY
	WHITTIER SCHOOLS CFD	GG	11,642	67.0%	5,731	33.0%	17,373	PASS	2/3RDS
MADERA	MADERA COUNTY	J	18,358	63.1%	10,725	36.9%	29,083	FAIL	2/3RDS
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	A	62,254	57.6%	45,708	42.4%	107,962	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MARIN MUNICIPAL WD	L	48,967	62.1%	29,706	37.9%	78,673	PASS	MAJORITY
	MESA PARK	J	497	64.2%	273	35.8%	770	FAIL	2/3RDS
	HILL VALLEY CFD NO 1996-1	E	5,385	73.9%	1,912	26.1%	7,297	PASS	2/3RDS
	NOVATO	D	9,314	49.4%	9,517	50.6%	18,831	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SHORELINE USD	B	1,586	72.9%	601	27.1%	2,187	PASS	2/3RDS
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	A	15,151	49.6%	15,365	50.4%	30,516	FAIL	MAJORITY

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND ASSESSMENT/TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES		NO		TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO USD	D	1,976	64.9%	1,069	35.1%	3,045	PASS	MAJORITY
MODOC	BIG VALLEY REC DIST	C	139	37.5%	232	62.5%	371	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	B	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18	FAIL	2/3RDS
NEVADA	BEYERS LANE CSD	H	45	55.6%	36	44.4%	81	FAIL	2/3RDS
	NEVADA CO CON FIRE DIST	I	5,882	56.8%	4,470	43.2%	10,352	PASS	MAJORITY
	W GATEWAY REG REC & PARK DIST	J	5,087	69.9%	2,190	30.1%	7,277	PASS	2/3RDS
ORANGE	ANAHEIM	B	46,840	74.0%	16,439	26.0%	63,279	PASS	MAJORITY
	CYPRESS	G	10,108	63.5%	5,800	36.5%	15,908	FAIL	2/3RDS
	GARDEN GROVE	I	21,982	64.5%	12,083	35.5%	34,065	PASS	MAJORITY
	HUNTINGTON BEACH	J	32,064	46.4%	36,760	53.4%	68,824	FAIL	MAJORITY
	LOS ALAMITOS	P	2,672	72.9%	991	27.1%	3,663	PASS	MAJORITY
	PLACENTIA LIBRARY DIST	W	7,207	49.6%	7,323	50.4%	14,530	FAIL	2/3RDS
	ROSSMOOR CSD	V	3,795	68.0%	1,789	32.0%	5,584	PASS	2/3RDS
PLACER	AUBURN	R	2,352	46.0%	2,756	54.0%	5,108	FAIL	MAJORITY
	LINCOLN	E	988	39.1%	1,537	60.9%	2,525	FAIL	MAJORITY
	LINCOLN	S	359	14.4%	2,139	85.6%	2,498	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LOOMIS	N	1,157	46.3%	1,341	53.7%	2,498	FAIL	MAJORITY
	PLACER CO MOSQUITO ABATE DIST	M	34,168	59.5%	23,259	40.5%	57,427	FAIL	2/3RDS
	PLACER COUNTY	L	11,361	35.4%	20,755	64.6%	32,116	FAIL	MAJORITY
	PLACER COUNTY (CSA 28, ZONE 120)	U	2,172	27.0%	5,870	73.0%	8,042	FAIL	2/3RDS
	PLACER HILLS FIRE PROTECTION DIST	F	3,055	71.7%	1,208	28.3%	4,263	PASS	MAJORITY
	ROCKLIN	H	4,440	47.2%	4,964	52.8%	9,404	FAIL	MAJORITY
	ROSEVILLE	J	12,250	49.8%	12,347	50.2%	24,597	FAIL	MAJORITY
	TAHOE CITY PUD CFD 1996-1	T	1,155	57.3%	862	42.7%	2,017	FAIL	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	CC	934	31.5%	2,030	68.5%	2,964	FAIL	MAJORITY
	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	DD	1,285	43.6%	1,662	56.4%	2,947	FAIL	2/3RDS
	HEMET USD IDYLWILD CFD	Q	838	43.9%	1,070	56.1%	1,908	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MORENO VALLEY	AA	16,149	52.4%	14,668	47.6%	30,817	PASS	MAJORITY
	MORENO VALLEY	BB	16,186	52.8%	14,483	47.2%	30,669	PASS	MAJORITY
	MURRIETA VALLEY USD	S	10,255	65.8%	5,323	34.2%	15,578	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN JACINTO USD	T	4,678	67.9%	2,215	32.1%	6,893	PASS	2/3RDS
SACRAMENTO	ARDEN MANOR REC AND PARK DIST	S	1,392	54.2%	1,178	45.8%	2,570	PASS	MAJORITY

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND ASSESSMENT/TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES		NO		TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
SACRAMENTO	FOLSOM	F	6,737	44.4%	8,445	55.6%	15,182	FAIL	2/3RDS
	FOLSOM	G	7,376	48.3%	7,889	51.7%	15,265	FAIL	2/3RDS
	GALT	H	1,543	35.4%	2,812	64.6%	4,355	FAIL	2/3RDS
	ISLETON	I	177	52.4%	161	47.6%	338	PASS	MAJORITY
	ISLETON	J	213	63.6%	122	36.4%	335	PASS	MAJORITY
	ISLETON	K	233	68.5%	107	31.5%	340	PASS	MAJORITY
	ISLETON	L	271	78.3%	75	21.7%	346	PASS	MAJORITY
	ISLETON	M	241	69.1%	108	30.9%	349	PASS	MAJORITY
	SACRAMENTO	O	74,803	71.8%	29,366	28.2%	104,169	PASS	MAJORITY
	SACRAMENTO	P	66,951	62.8%	39,679	37.2%	106,630	PASS	MAJORITY
	SACRAMENTO CITY USD	E	61,478	66.4%	31,077	33.6%	92,555	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	D	135,188	58.5%	95,998	41.5%	231,186	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN BENITO	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	B	2	100.0%	-	00.0%	2	PASS
HOLLISTER		F	2,281	33.0%	4,624	67.0%	6,905	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BENITO COUNTY		E	4,991	39.0%	7,815	61.0%	12,806	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BERNARDINO	CHINO USD	X	17,900	53.6%	15,476	46.4%	33,376	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LOMA LINDA	A	2,355	49.6%	2,393	50.4%	4,748	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LOMA LINDA	B	2,212	47.0%	2,491	53.0%	4,703	FAIL	2/3RDS
	REDLANDS	C	10,483	49.1%	10,889	50.9%	21,372	FAIL	2/3RDS
	REDLANDS LANDSCAPE & ST LGHT DIST 1	D	10,689	50.5%	10,439	49.4%	21,128	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO	J	5,765	71.6%	2,286	28.4%	8,051	PASS	MAJORITY
	ESCONDIDO	P	16,559	56.6%	12,671	43.3%	29,230	PASS	MAJORITY
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	A	486,432	59.1%	336,858	40.9%	823,290	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ATASCADERO	K	6,177	64.6%	3,387	35.4%	9,564	PASS	MAJORITY
	MORRO BAY	N	3,043	62.3%	1,840	37.7%	4,883	PASS	MAJORITY
	MORROW BAY	M	1,248	26.1%	3,526	73.9%	4,774	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	O	8,491	48.8%	8,898	51.2%	17,389	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN MATEO	RAVENSWOOD CITY ESD	U	4,112	86.8%	624	13.2%	4,736	PASS	2/3RDS
	SEQUOIA UN HSD	V	65,253	79.3%	17,077	20.7%	82,330	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA BARBARA	COLD SPRING ESD	O96	1,410	78.9%	377	21.1%	1,787	PASS	2/3RDS
	COLLEGE ESD	P96	1,617	57.0%	1,220	43.0%	2,837	FAIL	2/3RDS
	GOLETA UN SD	M96	21,209	74.0%	7,470	26.0%	28,679	PASS	2/3RDS

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND ASSESSMENT/TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES		NO		TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED	
SANTA BARBARA	GUADALUPE	L96	395	37.2%	667	62.8%	1,062	FAIL	MAJORITY	
		LOS OLIVOS ESD	K96	553	74.6%	188	25.4%	741	PASS	2/3RDS
		SOLVANG	N96	1,213	53.7%	1,046	46.3%	2,259	PASS	MAJORITY
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	B	240,610	51.8%	223,679	48.2%	464,289	PASS	MAJORITY	
	SARATOGA	L	6,687	49.7%	6,764	50.3%	13,451	FAIL	MAJORITY	
SANTA CRUZ	BRANCIFORTE FIRE PROTECTION DIST	F	746	77.8%	213	22.2%	959	PASS	2/3RDS	
		A	2,527	61.1%	1,606	38.9%	4,133	PASS	MAJORITY	
		G	6,677	57.2%	5,001	42.8%	11,678	PASS	MAJORITY	
		B	71,577	72.1%	27,661	27.9%	99,238	PASS	2/3RDS	
SHASTA	SCOTTS VALLEY	E	1,741	37.7%	2,873	62.3%	4,614	FAIL	MAJORITY	
		B	677	23.4%	2,214	76.6%	2,891	FAIL	2/3RDS	
		A	2,404	72.2%	926	27.8%	3,330	PASS	2/3RDS	
SONOMA	HORICON SD	C	533	71.1%	217	28.9%	750	PASS	2/3RDS	
		M	7,242	56.5%	5,581	43.5%	12,823	PASS	MAJORITY	
		B	772	62.6%	461	37.4%	1,233	FAIL	2/3RDS	
		U	58,476	63.6%	33,489	36.4%	91,965	PASS	MAJORITY	
		V	14,850	66.2%	7,590	33.8%	22,440	PASS	MAJORITY	
		SONOMA CO WA (FC ZONE 1A)								
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	CC	4,130	44.2%	5,222	55.8%	9,352	FAIL	MAJORITY	
		Z	13,356	41.4%	18,909	58.6%	32,265	FAIL	2/3RDS	
VENTURA	OXNARD UN HSD	Y	46,554	68.0%	21,949	32.0%	68,503	PASS	2/3RDS	
		B	2,982	62.2%	1,814	37.8%	4,796	PASS	MAJORITY	
		D	24,941	57.8%	18,241	42.2%	43,182	FAIL	2/3RDS	
YOLO	ESPARTO USD	A	1,147	75.1%	380	24.9%	1,527	PASS	2/3RDS	
YUBA	WHEATLAND	L	306	42.0%	423	58.0%	729	FAIL	MAJORITY	

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE	
STATE	SAFE CLEAN RELIABLE WATER SUPPLY ACT	GO-BOND	\$995,000,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE	
	VETERANS' BOND ACT OF 1996	GO BOND	\$400,000,000	SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING	
	YOUTHFUL & ADULT OFFENDER LOCAL FACILITIES BOND ACT	GO BOND	\$700,000,000	PRISONS/JAILS	
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA CO	GENERAL TAX	5.5% UTILITY USERS TAX/4YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TD	SPECIAL TAX	\$8.50 PER PARCEL/6YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT	
	ALBANY	ASSESSMENT	\$69 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE	
	BERKELEY	GO BOND	\$49,000,000	MULTIPLE CIPW	
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	ASSESSMENT	\$5.44 PER RES PARCEL (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE	
	OAKLAND	GO BOND	\$45,420,000	PUBLIC BUILDING	
	OAKLAND USD	SPECIAL TAX	\$75 PER PARCEL/5YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS	
	PERALTA CCD	GO BOND	\$8,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIV FAC	
BUTTE	PARADISE	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	CITY OF ANGELS	ASSESSMENT	\$37 AVG PER PARCEL/7YR	LIFE SUPPORT	
CALAVERAS CONTRA COSTA	ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA TD	SPECIAL TAX	\$8.50 PER PARCEL/6YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT	
	CONTRA COSTA CCD	GO BOND	\$145,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIV FAC	
	CONTRA COSTA CO EL SOBRANTE 266	ASSESSMENT	\$30 SINGLE-FAMILY PARCEL	MULTIPLE CIPW	
	CONTRA COSTA CO PACHECO Z5	ASSESSMENT	\$30 SINGLE-FAMILY PARCEL	PARKS OPEN SPACE	
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	ASSESSMENT	\$5.44 PER RES PARCEL (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE	
	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	ASSESSMENT	\$19.70 PER RES UNIT (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE	
	EL CERRITO	ASSESSMENT	\$72 SINGLE-FAMILY UNIT (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW	
	EL CERRITO	ASSESSMENT	\$125 SFR	LIFE SUPPORT	
	PITTSBURG	ASSESSMENT	\$77.18 PER PARCEL (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW	
	SAN PABLO	ASSESSMENT	\$57-\$107 PER SFR (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW	
	CONTRA COSTA	SAN RAMON	ASSESSMENT	\$53 PER SFR (CONTINUE)	MULTIPLE CIPW
		W CONTRA COSTA USD	ASSESSMENT	\$72 SINGLE-FAMILY UNIT	REC/SPORTS FAC
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	TAHOE CITY PUD CFD 1996-1	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL/\$1,400,000 BONDS	MULTIPLE CIPW	
FRESNO	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$12 PER RES/\$18 COMM	LIBRARY SERVICES	
	FOWLER	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 4% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	ORANGE COVE	GENERAL TAX	7% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
FRESNO	PARLIER	GENERAL TAX	7% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	REEDLEY	SPECIAL TAX	3% UTILITY USERS TAX/6YR	LIFE SUPPORT
IMPERIAL	CALEXICO	SPECIAL TAX	REPEAL \$.005 SALES TAX WHEN BONDS REPAID	HOSPITAL
	WESTMORLAND	GENERAL TAX	5% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
KERN	CALIFORNIA CITY	ASSESSMENT	\$21.09 PER IMPROV PAR/\$19 UNIMPROV	LIFE SUPPORT
	FRAZIER PARK PUD	ASSESSMENT	\$5 SFR (ADVISORY)	MULTIPLE CIPW
KINGS	LEMOORE UN ESD	GO BOND	\$3,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LAKE	BUTLER-KEYS CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER PARCEL/4YR	STREET MAINTENANCE
LASSEN	BIG VALLEY REC DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$10 PER LANDOWNER	REC/SPORTS FAC
	SUSANVILLE LIBRARY DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$28 INHABITABLE DWELLING UNIT	LIBRARY SERVICES
LOS ANGELES	AGOURA HILLS	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 10% TO 12%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ARTESIA	GENERAL TAX	2% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	AVALON	GENERAL TAX	\$120 BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	CULVER CITY USD	GO BOND	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	LA CO REG PARK & OPEN SPACE DIST	ASSESSMENT	54% INCREASE FROM 1992 ACT/22YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	LONG BEACH CFD NO 4	SPECIAL TAX	\$12.35 DWELLING UNIT	911 SYSTEM
	LOS ANGELES	ASSESSMENT	\$18.45-\$161.48 PER PARCEL/30 YR	REC/SPORTS FAC
	LOS ANGELES CCD	ASSESSMENT	\$12 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	MULTIPLE CIPW
	LOS ANGELES USD	GO BOND	\$2,400,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	PARAMOUNT USD	GO BOND	\$23,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	GENERAL TAX	3% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN MARINO	GENERAL TAX	6% UTILITY USERS TAX/10YR (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SANTA MONICA	GO BOND	\$29,500,000	PUBLIC BUILDING
	SIERRA MADRE	GENERAL TAX	6% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	WHITTIER SCHOOLS CFD	SPECIAL TAX	\$22.50 PER PARCEL/40 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MADERA	MADERA COUNTY	GO BOND	\$15,200,000	PRISONS/JAILS
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$.0025 SALES TAX/10YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	MARIN MUNICIPAL WD	ASSESSMENT	\$75 PARCEL/15 YR (ADVISORY)	LIFE SUPPORT
	MESA PARK	SPECIAL TAX	\$24 IMPROV PARCEL/2YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	MILL VALLEY CFD NO 1996-1	SPECIAL TAX	\$64 PER DWELLING UNIT	LIBRARY
	NOVATO	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SHORELINE USD	SPECIAL TAX	\$120 PER PARCEL/4YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	TYPE DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE	
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	MENDOCINO USD	ASSESSMENT	\$30 PER PARCEL (CONTINUE)	K-12 SCHOOL FAC	
MODOC	BIG VALLEY REC DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$10 PER LANDOWNER	REC/SPORTS FAC	
MONTEREY	COALINGA-KURON LIBRARY DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$12 RESIDENTIAL/\$18 COMM	LIBRARY SERVICES	
NEVADA	BEYERS LANE CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$250 PER PARCEL/4YR	MULTIPLE CIPW	
	NEVADA CO CON FIRE DIST	ASSESSMENT	\$30 SFR/\$50 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT	
ORANGE	W GATEWAY REG REC & PARK DIST	SPECIAL TAX	RAISE PARCEL TAX FROM \$7.95 TO \$12.95	PARKS/OPEN SPACE	
	ANAHEIM	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 13% TO 15%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	CYPRESS	GO BOND	\$10,000,000	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN	
	GARDEN GROVE	GENERAL TAX	REDUCE BUS LIC TAX BY 15%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	HUNTINGTON BEACH	ASSESSMENT	\$24 SFR/\$10 MF/\$5 COMM/10YR	REC/SPORTS FAC	
	LOS ALAMITOS	GENERAL TAX	BUS LICENSE CPI ADJUSTMENT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	PLACENTIA LIBRARY DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$29 SFR/\$24 APT UNIT	LIBRARY SERVICES	
	ROSSMOOR CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$24 PER PARCEL/20YR	BRICK WALL	
	PLACER	AUBURN	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
		LINCOLN	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
LINCOLN		SPECIAL TAX	\$59 PER DWELLING	COMMUNITY CENTER	
LOOMIS		GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
PLACER CO MOSQUITO ABATE DIST		SPECIAL TAX	\$12.55 PER PARCEL	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT	
PLACER COUNTY		GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
PLACER COUNTY (CSA 28, ZONE 120)		SPECIAL TAX	\$134 PER PARCEL/4YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE	
PLACER HILLS FIRE PROTECTION DIST		ASSESSMENT	INCREASE \$45 SF DWELLING BY CPI	LIFE SUPPORT	
ROCKLIN		GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
ROSEVILLE		GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
RIVERSIDE	TAHOE CITY PUD CFD 1996-1	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL/\$1,400,000 BONDS	MULTIPLE CIPW	
	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	GENERAL TAX	\$160 SINGLE-FAMILY RES	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	SPECIAL TAX	\$260 SFR/\$120+\$.10 SF COMM	LIFE SUPPORT	
	HEMET USD IDYLWILD CFD	SPECIAL TAX	\$45 PER PARCEL/\$3,500,000 BONDS	K-12 SCHOOL FAC	
	MORENO VALLEY	GENERAL TAX	6% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	MORENO VALLEY	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
	MURRIETA VALLEY USD	GO BOND	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC	
	SAN JACINTO USD	GO BOND	\$6,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC	

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SACRAMENTO	ARDEN MANOR REC AND PARK DIST	ASSESSMENT	\$27 EQUIVALENT DWELLING UNIT	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	FOLSOM	GO BOND	\$8,250,000	REC/SPORTS FAC
	FOLSOM	GO BOND	\$9,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	GALT	SPECIAL TAX	\$63.28 SFR/5YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	ISLETON	GENERAL TAX	\$100 BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON	GENERAL TAX	\$1 SHORT-TERM COMM PARKING	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 7% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON	GENERAL TAX	\$76 APP/\$125 1ST TABLE/\$60 ADD	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ISLETON	GENERAL TAX	\$150 WEAPON LICENSE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SACRAMENTO	ASSESSMENT	\$10.89 SFR/\$7.41 MFR PER UNIT (CONTINUE)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	SACRAMENTO	ASSESSMENT	\$22 PER PARCEL/10 YR (CONFIRM)	LIBRARY SERVICES
	SACRAMENTO CITY USD	GO BOND	\$225,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$22 SF PARCEL/10YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$12 SFR/\$18 COMM, IND, AG	LIBRARY SERVICES
SAN BENITO	COALINGA-HURON LIBRARY DIST	GENERAL TAX	2% UTILITY USERS TAX/4YR (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	HOLLISTER	GENERAL TAX	\$12 SFR/\$18 COMM, IND, AG	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BENITO COUNTY	SAN BENITO COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	\$12 SFR/\$18 COMM, IND, AG	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN BENITO COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	\$12 SFR/\$18 COMM, IND, AG	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	CHINO USD	GO BOND	\$85,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	LOMA LINDA	SPECIAL TAX	1.25% UTILITY USERS TAX	LIFE SUPPORT
	LOMA LINDA	SPECIAL TAX	.75% UTILITY USERS TAX	STREET CONSTRUCTION
	REDLANDS	SPECIAL TAX	3% TICKET TAX/\$.25 FREE PASS	YOUTH/SENIOR ACTIVITIES
REDLANDS LANDSCAPE & ST LGHT DIST 1	REDLANDS LANDSCAPE & ST LGHT DIST 1	ASSESSMENT	\$6 LNDSCP/\$5.47+ UOB LIGHT	MULTIPLE CIPW
	REDLANDS LANDSCAPE & ST LGHT DIST 1	ASSESSMENT	\$6 LNDSCP/\$5.47+ UOB LIGHT	MULTIPLE CIPW
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO	GENERAL TAX	8% TOT (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	ESCONDIDO	GENERAL TAX	10% TOT (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ATASCADERO	GENERAL TAX	\$100 BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MORRO BAY	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 9%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MORROW BAY	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 9% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MORROW BAY	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO	ASSESSMENT	\$4.40 SFR/\$3.30 APT (ADVISORY)/4YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	ASSESSMENT	\$4.40 SFR/\$3.30 APT (ADVISORY)/4YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN MATEO	RAVENSWOOD CITY ESD	GO BOND	\$6,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	RAVENSWOOD CITY ESD	GO BOND	\$6,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SAN MATEO	SEQUOIA UN HSD	GO BOND	\$45,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	SEQUOIA UN HSD	GO BOND	\$45,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA BARBARA	COLD SPRING ESD	GO BOND	\$2,900,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	COLD SPRING ESD	GO BOND	\$2,900,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA BARBARA	COLLEGE ESD	GO BOND	\$8,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	COLLEGE ESD	GO BOND	\$8,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,  
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8  
**STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES**  
**SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SANTA BARBARA	GOLETA UN SD	GO BOND	\$26,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	GUADALUPE	GENERAL TAX	7% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	LOS OLIVOS ESD	GO BOND	\$2,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	SOLVANG	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	\$.005 SALES TAX/9YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SARATOGA	GENERAL TAX	3.5% UTILITY USERS TAX/4YR (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CRUZ	BRANCIFORTE FIRE PROTECTION DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$60 SFR/\$300 BUSINESS/6YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	CAPITOLA	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 8.5% TO 9.5%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN LORENZO VALLEY USD	ASSESSMENT	\$49.70 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	REC/SPORTS FAC
	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$.025 SALES TAX/16YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
	SCOTTS VALLEY	GENERAL TAX	RAISE UTILITY TAX FROM 5% TO 6%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SHASTA	SHASTA LAKE	SPECIAL TAX	\$10 SFR/5YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SONOMA	BELLEVUE UNION ESD	GO BOND	\$11,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	HORICON SD	GO BOND	\$4,200,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	ROHNERT PARK	ASSESSMENT	\$22.94 SFR/\$16.00 APT UNIT	LIFE SUPPORT
	SHORELINE USD	SPECIAL TAX	\$120 PER PARCEL/4YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
	SONOMA CO WA (FC ZONE 1A)	ASSESSMENT	\$10 SFR/10YR (CONTINUE)	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
	SONOMA CO WA (FC ZONE 2A)	ASSESSMENT	\$10 PER PARCEL/10YR	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
	YUBA CITY	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	GENERAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	OXNARD	SPECIAL TAX	3.5% UTILITY USERS TAX	LIFE SUPPORT
VENTURA	OXNARD UN HSD	GO BOND	\$57,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	PORT HUENEME	GENERAL TAX	4% UTILITY USERS TAX (CONTINUE)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	THOUSAND OAKS	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE CONSTRUCTION TAX	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	ESPARTO USD	GO BOND	\$3,300,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
YOLO	ESPARTO USD	GO BOND	\$3,300,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
YUBA	WHEATLAND	GENERAL TAX	35% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

**CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION**  
**915 Capitol Mall, Room 400**  
**Sacramento, CA 95814**  
**(916) 653-3269**