THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE October 19, 2016 Staff Report REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

| Applicant: | California Statewide Communities Development Authority | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| ippicane. | | | | | |
| Allocation Amount Requested: | | | | | |
| Tax-exen | \$35,000,000 | | | | |
| Project Information: | | | | | |
| Na | me: Harbor Park Apartments | | | | |
| Project Addr | ess: 969 Porter Street | | | | |
| Project City, County, Zip Co | ode: Vallejo, Solano, 94590 | | | | |
| Project Sponsor Information: | | | | | |
| | me: Harbor Park Apartments, L.P. (Vallejo Apartments GP, LLC | | | | |
| | and Casa Major, Inc.) | | | | |
| Princip | als: Robert N. Klein for Vallejo Apartments GP, LLC and Nancy A | | | | |
| | Riley, Carol A. Bradley and Mary Ruth Virgil for Casa Major, | | | | |
| | Inc. | | | | |
| Property Management Compa | ny: Alliance Residential Company | | | | |
| Project Financing Information: | | | | | |
| Bond Cour | sel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP | | | | |
| Private Placement Purcha | ser: Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch | | | | |
| Public S | ale: Not Applicable | | | | |
| Underwri | Not Applicable | | | | |
| TEFRA Noticing D | ate: August 26, 2016 | | | | |
| TEFRA Adoption D | | | | | |
| Description of Proposed Project: | | | | | |
| State Ceiling P | bol: Mixed Income | | | | |
| Total Number of Un | | | | | |
| Ту | pe: Acquisition and Rehabilitation | | | | |
| Type of Ur | - | | | | |

Harbor Park Apartments is an existing project located in Vallejo on a 13 acre site. The project consists of 73 restricted rental units, 108 market rate units and 1 manager unit. The project will have a one-bedroom unit, 85 twobedroom units and 95 three-bedroom units. The scope of the rehabilitation includes renovation of interiors of all units. The units will be enhanced with new cabinet doors and drawers, new paint, new windows and fixtures, upgraded flooring, upgraded appliance packages, and other enhanced interior finishes. The exterior renovation includes paint, plumbing, roofs on selected buildings, added new garages for the residents and photovoltaic solar system to offset the common area electric and other energy efficient items. **Description of Public Benefits:**

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 40%

10% (19 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

30% (54 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: 1, 2 & 3 bedrooms

The proposed project will not be providing service amenities.

| Term of Restrictions: Income and Rent Restrictions: | 55 | 5 years | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Details of Project Financing: | | | | | |
| Estimated Total Development Cost: | \$ | 40,551,325 | | | |
| Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: | \$ | 16,185 | (\$2,929,425 | /181 unit | s) |
| Estimated per Unit Cost: | \$ | 222,809 | (\$40,551,325 | /182 unit | s including mgr. units) |
| Allocation per Unit: | \$ | 193,370 | (\$35,000,000 | /181 unit | s) |
| Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit: | \$ | 479,452 | (\$35,000,000 | /73 restrie | cted units) |
| Sources of Funds: | | Construction | | Perma | anent |
| Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds | \$ | 35,000 | ,000 \$ | 3 | 5,000,000 |
| Developer Equity | \$ | 1,605 | ,408 \$ | | 1,605,408 |
| LIH Tax Credit Equity | \$ | 3,945 | ,408 \$,917 <u>\$</u> ,325 \$ | | 3,945,917 |
| Total Sources | \$ | 40,551 | ,325 \$ | 4 | 0,551,325 |
| Uses of Funds: | | | | | |
| Land Cost/Acquisition | \$ | 28,100 | ,000 | | |
| Rehabilitation | \$ | 3,309,770 | | | |
| Relocation | \$ | 75 | ,000 | | |
| Contractor Overhead | \$ | 58 | ,580 | | |
| Architectural Fees | \$ | 29 | ,783 | | |
| Construction Interest and Fees | \$ | 2,128 | ,000 | | |
| Permanent Financing | \$ | 1,000 | ,000 | | |
| Legal Fees | \$ | 500 | ,000 | | |
| Appraisal | \$ | 5 | ,000 | | |
| Contingency Cost | \$ | 170 | ,000 | | |
| Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.) | \$ | 1,175 | ,192 | | |
| Developer Costs | <u>\$</u> \$ | 4,000 | ,000 | | |
| Total Uses | \$ | 40,551 | ,325 | | |

Analyst Comments:

None

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points:

34.5 out of 110 [See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$35,000,000 in tax exempt bond allocation.

EVALUATION SCORING:

| Point Criteria | Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects | Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects | Points Scored |
|---|--|--|---------------|
| Preservation Project | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions: | 35 | 15 | 5 |
| Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions | | | |
| [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project] | [10] | [10] | 0 |
| Gross Rents | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Large Family Units | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Leveraging | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Community Revitalization Area | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Site Amenities | 10 | 10 | 2.5 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| New Construction or Substantial Renovation | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Sustainable Building Methods | 10 | 10 | 2 |
| Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only) | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only) | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Negative Points (No Maximum) | -10 | -10 | 0 |
| Total Points | 140 | 110 | 34.5 |

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.