THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

March 15, 2017

Revised Staff Report

REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Felicity Wood

Applicant: California Municipal Finance Authority

Allocation Amount Requested:

Tax-exempt: \$39,559,500

Project Information:

Name: 3706 San Pablo Apartments

Project Address: 3706 San Pablo Avenue

Project City, County, Zip Code: Emeryville, Alameda, 94608

Project Sponsor Information:

Name: 3706 San Pablo Emeryville, L.P. (3706 San Pablo Emeryville EAH, LLC)

Principals: Mary Murtagh, Alvin Bonnett, Laura Hall, Errol Dominguez and Cathy

Macy for 3706 San Pablo Emeryville EAH, LLC

Property Management Company: EAH Inc.

Project Financing Information:

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Construction), and

California Community Reinvestment Corporation (Permanent)

Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable

Public Sale: Not Applicable
Underwriter: Not Applicable
Not Applicable

Credit Enhancement Provider:Not Applicable
January 27, 2017 **TEFRA Adoption Date:**February 7, 2017

Description of Proposed Project:

State Ceiling Pool: General Total Number of Units: 87

Manager's Units: 1 Unrestricted

Type: New Construction

Population Served: Family

3706 San Pablo Apartments is a new construction project located in both the City of Emeryville and the City of Oakland on a 1.125-acre site. The project consists of 86 rental units and 1 unrestricted manager unit. The project will have 4 studios, 8 one-bedroom units, 45 two-bedroom units, 22 three-bedroom units and 7 four-bedroom units. The building will be four stories of wood-framed construction on a two-level podium. Common amenities include a lounge and music/teen room, laundry room and a large community room featuring a demonstration kitchen, a fitness/wellness room and a homework/computer room. Each unit will have a refrigerator, stove and dishwasher. There are 98 parking spaces provided. The project will be pursuing LEED certification. Green features include solar hot water and photovoltaic panels to offset common hot water and energy loads. The construction is expected to begin in April 2017 and to be completed in February 2019.

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 98.9%

80.5% (70 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

18.4% (16 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: Studio, 1,2,3 and 4 bedrooms

The proposed project will be providing service amenities, including an on-site service coordinator.

| Term of | Restr | <mark>ictions:</mark> |
|---------|-------|-----------------------|
|---------|-------|-----------------------|

Income and Rent Restrictions: 55 years

Details of Project Financing:

| Estimated Total Development Cost: | \$ | 63,791,436 |
|--|----|------------|
|--|----|------------|

Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: \$ 456,326 (\$39,700,325 /87 units)

Estimated per Unit Cost: \$ 733,235 (\$63,791,436 /87 units including mgr. units)

Allocation per Unit: \$ 454,707 (\$39,559,500 /87 units)

Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit: \$ 459,994 (\$39,559,500 /86 restricted units)

| Sources of Funds: | Construction | | Permanent | |
|---|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds | \$ | 39,559,500 | \$ | 10,396,100 |
| LIH Tax Credit Equity | \$ | 0 | \$ | 26,844,907 |
| Taxable Bond Proceeds | \$ | 1,440,500 | | |
| GP Equity | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 1,027,875 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$ | 0 | \$ | 3,000,000 |
| Interest accrued on soft loans | \$ | 0 | \$ | 241,896 |
| LPEquity | \$ | 1,500,000 | \$ | 0 |
| Emeryville land donation | \$ | 4,500,000 | \$ | 4,500,000 |
| HCD Grant | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 2,500,000 |
| HCD AHSC | \$ | 0 | \$ | 5,400,000 |
| City of Oakland | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 |
| City of Emeryville | \$ | 4,500,000 | \$ | 4,500,000 |
| County of Alameda | \$ | 2,520,658 | \$ | 2,520,658 |
| AHP | \$ | 860,000 | \$ | 860,000 |
| Total Sources | \$ | 59,630,658 | \$ | 63,791,436 |
| | | | | |
| Uses of Funds: | | | | |
| Land Cost/Acquisition | \$ | 4,587,206 | | |
| New Construction | \$ | 40,637,401 | | |
| Contractor Overhead & Profit | \$ | 1,554,188 | | |
| Architectural Fees | \$ | 1,177,453 | | |
| Survey and Engineering | \$ \$ | 354,550 | | |
| Construction Interest and Fees | | 3,490,222 | | |
| Permanent Financing | | 196,961 | | |
| Legal Fees | \$ | 90,000 | | |
| Reserves | \$ | 780,086 | | |
| Appraisal | \$ | 16,000 | | |
| Hard Cost Contingency | \$ | 2,109,579 | | |
| Local Development Impact Fees | \$ | 1,003,398 | | |
| Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.) | \$ | 3,294,392 | | |
| Developer Costs | \$ | 4,500,000 | | |
| Total Uses | \$ | 63,791,436 | | |

Analyst Comments:

Stated reasons for high cost per unit include City of Emeryville Design Objectives, Davis Bacon Wage Rates, Local Hire requirements, and the heated construction market.

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points:

95 out of 140

[See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$39,559,500 in tax exempt bond allocation.

ATTACHMENT A

EVALUATION SCORING:

| Point Criteria | Maximum Points Allowed for Non-Mixed Income Projects | Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects | Points Scored |
|---|--|--|------------------|
| Preservation Project | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions: | 35 | 15 | 35 |
| Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project] | [10] | [10] | 10 |
| Gross Rents | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Large Family Units | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Leveraging | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Community Revitalization Area | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Site Amenities | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| New Construction or Substantial Renovation | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Sustainable Building Methods | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only) | 10 | 10 | N/A |
| Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only) | 10 | 10 | N/A |
| Negative Points (No Maximum) | -10 | -10 | 0 |
| Total Points | 140 | 120 | 95 |

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.