#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

### March 15, 2017 Staff Report

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Richard Fischer

**Applicant:** City of Los Angeles

**Allocation Amount Requested:** 

**Tax-exempt:** \$7,690,000

**Project Information**:

**Name:** Rampart Mint Apartments

**Project Address**: 252 S. Rampart Boulevard

**Project City, County, Zip Code**: Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 90057

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: 252 Rampart Apartments, L.P. (West Hollywood Community Housing

Corporation & Affordable Living for the Aging, Inc.)

**Principals**: Robin Conerly, Karl Lott, Edward Campbell, Clara Denson, Ken

Sofge and James Duke Mason for West Hollywood Community Housing Corporation; David Grunwald, Antonio Manning, Edward Slatkin, Michael Beckson, Jeffrey Dinkin, Matthew C. Gammel, Charles Muttillo, Lawrence H. Parks for Affordable Living for the

Aging, Inc.

**Property Management Company:** Affordable Living for the Aging

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Kutak Rock LLP

**Private Placement Purchaser:** JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA (construction)

Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable

Public Sale: Not Applicable
Underwriter: Not Applicable
Credit Enhancement Provider: Not Applicable

TEFRA Noticing Date: December 13, 2016
TEFRA Adoption Date: January 18, 2017

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

**State Ceiling Pool:** General **Total Number of Units:** 23

Manager's Units: 1 Unrestricted

Type: New Construction
Population Served: Family/Special Needs

Rampart Mint Apartments is a new construction project located in the City of Los Angeles on a .16-acre site. The project consists of 22 rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 22 studio units. The building will be 6 stories tall with five levels of Type III modified wood frame over a Type I concrete podium. Common amenities include a community room, laundry facilities, management offices and mail area. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range, microwave and fully accesible complete bathrooms. The project will be pursuing LEED Gold certification. The construction is expected to begin in June 2017 and to be completed in December 2018.

# **Description of Public Benefits:**

96% **Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project:** 

96% (22 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

**Unit Mix:** Studio

The proposed project will not be providing service amenities.

# **Term of Restrictions:**

**Income and Rent Restrictions:** 55 years

# **Details of Project Financing:**

<b>Estimated Total Development Cost:</b> \$	12,296,486
---	------------

**Sources of Funds:** 

**Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:** (\$7,609,642 /22 units) 345,893

(\$12,296,486 /23 units including mgr. unit) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 534,630

Permanent

**Allocation per Unit:** 349,545 (\$7,690,000 /22 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** 349,545 (\$7,690,000 /22 restricted units)

Construction

Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$ 7,690,000	\$ 0
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 0	\$ 474,469
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 654,482	\$ 4,363,216
Deferred Costs	\$ 621,944	\$ 0
MHP	\$ 0	\$ 3,116,344
HCID/HOPWA	\$ 3,110,060	\$ 3,110,060
Los Angeles County Department of		
Mental Helath Special Needs Housing	\$ 0	\$ 1,012,397
Program		
AHP	\$ 220,000	\$ 220,000
Total Sources	\$ 12,296,486	\$ 12,296,486
Uses of Funds:		

Land Cost/Acquisition	\$ 0
New Construction	\$ 6,595,660
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 422,490
Architectural Fees	\$ 520,000
Survey and Engineering	\$ 183,700
Construction Interest and Fees	\$ 747,608
Permanent Financing	\$ 7,500
Legal Fees	\$ 185,000
Reserves	\$ 354,301
Appraisal	\$ 10,000
Hard Cost Contingency	\$ 701,815
Local Development Impact Fees	\$ 83,375
Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.)	\$ 992,496
Developer Costs	\$ 1,492,541
Total Uses	\$ 12,296,486

#### **Analyst Comments:**

The reasons for High Cost Per Unit, according to the Project Sponsor, were due to an increase in costs in the field conditions identified during the plan check process. An exploratory soils investigation revealed that the depth of the footings of the existing retaining wall is shallower than expected. More soil than originally anticipated will have to be excavated and exported to accommodate the revised grading and foundation plan.

### **Legal Questionnaire:**

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

#### **Total Points**:

77.5 out of 140

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$7,690,000 in tax exempt bond allocation.

# ATTACHMENT A

# **EVALUATION SCORING:**

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non-Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Preservation Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project]	[10]	[10]	10
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	5	5	0
Site Amenities	10	10	7.5
Service Amenities	10	10	0
New Construction or Substantial Renovation	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	0
Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Negative Points (No Maximum)	-10	-10	0
Total Points	140	120	77.5

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.