CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2017 First Round June 7, 2017

PICO ROBERTSON SENIOR COMMUNITY, located at 8866-8876 W. Pico Blvd. in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,736,616 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 47 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Mercy Housing California and will be located in Senate District 30 and Assembly District 50.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD VASH Vouchers and Section 8 Projectbased Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the VHHP program of HCD.

Project Number	CA-17-044		
Project Name Site Address:	PICO ROBERTSON SENIOR COMMUNITY 8866-8876 W. Pico Blvd		
Census Tract:	Los Angeles, CA 4328.020	. 90035	County: Los Angeles
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Ar	nual	State/Total
Requested:	\$1,73	6,616	\$0
Recommended:	\$1,73	6,616	\$0
Applicant Information			
Applicant:	MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA		NIA
Contact:	Erika Villablanca		
Address:	1500 S Grand Ave, Suite 100		
	Los Angeles, CA 90015		
Phone:	213-743-5826	F	Fax: (213) 743-5828
Email:	evillablanca@me	ercyhousing.org	5
General Partner(s) / Principal	l Owner(s):	MERCY HO	USING CALWEST
General Partner Type:	~ /	Nonprofit	
Parent Company(ies):		-	ng California
Developer:		•	ng California
Investor/Consultant:	*		ousing Partnership Corporation
Management Agent(s):			ng Management Group

Project Information

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	48
No. & % of Tax Credit Units:	47 100%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	HOME / HUD VASH vouchers: 10 Units (21%)
	HUD Project-based vouchers: 2 Units (4%)
Affordability Breakdown by U	Units and % (Lowest Income Points):
30% AMI: 12	25 %
45% AMI: 5	10 %

4370 AIVII.	5	10 70
50% AMI:	19	40 %

Information

Set-Aside:	N/A
Housing Type:	Seniors
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
TCAC Project Analyst:	Zhuo Chen

Unit Mix

3 SRO/Studio Units 44 1-Bedroom Units 1 2-Bedroom Units 48 Total Units

Unit	t Type & Number	2016 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2016 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	SRO/Studio	30%	30%	\$456
10	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$487
1	SRO/Studio	45%	45%	\$684
4	1 Bedroom	45%	45%	\$732
19	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$814
11	1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$976
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Projected Lifetime Rent Benefit: \$39,042,960

Project Cost Summary at Application

<u>I i oject cost Builliur y ut i i ppircution</u>	
Land and Acquisition	\$4,504,210
Construction Costs	\$13,441,397
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Contingency	\$1,394,829
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$936,524
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$961,508
Legal Fees, Appraisals	\$50,749
Reserves	\$223,622
Other Costs	\$1,066,951
Developer Fee	\$1,627,159
Commercial Costs	\$4,544,291
Total	\$28,751,240

Project Financing

Project Financing	
Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$28,751,240
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$23,911,672
Estimated Commercial Project Cost:	\$4,839,568

Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$546
Per Unit Cost:	\$498,160
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$406,971

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Bank of America	\$15,651,125	Bank of America	\$231,000
HCIDLA ¹ - HOME	\$2,789,400	HCD - VHHP	\$1,643,310
LADOT ² - Ground Lease	\$4,460,000	HCIDLA ¹ - HOME	\$2,789,400
LADOT ² - Grant (GP Loan)	\$1,430,000	LADOT ² - Ground Lease	\$4,460,000
AHP	\$470,000	LADOT ² - Grant (GP Loan)	\$1,430,000
GP Equity	\$100	AHP	\$470,000
Deferred Costs	\$702,684	GP Equity	\$100
Deferred Developer Fee	\$802,931	Deferred Developer Fee	\$802,931
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,445,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$16,924,499
_ •		TOTAL	\$28,751,240

*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

¹HCIDLA: Los Angeles Housing and Community Investment Department

²LADOT: City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

	· · ·	
Requested Eligible Basis:		\$14,842,871
130% High Cost Adjustmen	nt:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:		100.00%
Qualified Basis:		\$19,295,733
Applicable Rate:		9.00%
Total Maximum Annual Fee	deral Credit:	\$1,736,616
Approved Developer Fee in	Project Cost:	\$1,627,159
Approved Developer Fee in	Eligible Basis:	\$1,331,882
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing	Partnership Corporation
Federal Tax Credit Factor:		\$0.97457

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis:	\$14,842,871
Actual Eligible Basis:	\$14,842,871
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$10,019,213
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$14,842,871

Adjustments to Basis Limit

Required to Pay State or Federal Prevailing Wages/Financed by labor-affiliated organization employing construction workers paid at least state or federal prevailing wages

Parking Beneath Residential Units or On-Site Parking Structure of Two or More Levels One or More Energy Efficiency/Resource Conservation/Indoor Air Quality Features:

• New construction: project buildings are at least 15% more energy efficient that current CA Code Energy Efficiency Standards as indicated in TCAC Regulations.

- Install bamboo, cork, salvaged or FSC-Certified wood, natural linoleum, natural rubber, or ceramic tile in all kitchens, living rooms, and bathrooms where no VOC adhesives or backing is used
- Install bamboo, stained concrete, cork, salvaged or FSC-Certified wood, ceramic tile, or natural linoleum in all common areas
- Project meets all requirements of US EPA Indoor Air Plus Program.
- Local Development Impact Fees

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

Initial:	Letter of Support
First:	Seniors
Final:	42.631%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.0% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.23%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None.

Legal Status

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Los Angeles, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual	State Tax Credits/Total
\$1,736,616	\$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within ³ / ₄ mile of public park or community center open to general public	2	2	2
Within 1 mile of public library	2	2	2
Within 1.5 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	3	3	3
Within ¹ / ₂ mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	5	5	5
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting - at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	15	15	15
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
Smoke Free Residence	2	2	2
Total Points	138	138	138

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.