CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2017 First Round June 7, 2017 REVISED

Magill Terrace, located at 401 Nelson Street in Fowler, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,710,192 in annual federal tax credits and \$5,700,639 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 59 units of housing serving large families with rents affordable to households earning 30-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA and will be located in Senate District 14 and Assembly District 30.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Project-based Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD).

Project Number CA-17-046

Project Name Magill Terrace
Site Address: 401 Nelson Street

Fowler, CA 93625 County: Fresno

Census Tract: 16.000

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$1,710,192
 \$5,700,639

 Recommended:
 \$1,710,192
 \$5,700,639

Applicant Information

Applicant: Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA

Contact: Preston Prince
Address: 1331 Fulton Mall
Fresno, CA 93721

Phone: 559-443-8475 Fax: 559-445-8981

Email: pprince@fresnohousing.org

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): Silvercrest, Inc

Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA

General Partner Type: Nonprofit
Parent Company(ies): Silvercrest, Inc

Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA

Developer: Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA

Investor/Consultant: City Real Estate Advisors
Management Agent(s): GSF Properties, Inc.

^{*} The applicant made an irrevocable election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 5 Total # of Units: 60

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 59 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Project-based Rental Assistance Demonstration (20 units - 33%) /

HOME

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 8 10 % 45% AMI: 15 25 % 50% AMI (Rural): 24 40 %

Information

Set-Aside: Rural apportionment (HOME)

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: N/A TCAC Project Analyst: Lucy Vang

Unit Mix

8 1-Bedroom Units

26 2-Bedroom Units

24 3-Bedroom Units

2 4-Bedroom Units

60 Total Units

Unit	t Type & Number	2016 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2016 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Rent (including utilities)
1	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$331
_				
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$398
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$459
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$513
2	1 Bedroom	45%	45%	\$497
2	2 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$597
5	2 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$597
1	3 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$689
4	3 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$689
1	4 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$769
3	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$553
9	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$663
12	3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$766
2	1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$663
6	2 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$796
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$919
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Proposed

Projected Lifetime Rent Benefit: \$9,064,440

Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$1,959,500
Construction Costs	\$14,246,484
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Contingency	\$731,799
Relocation	\$280,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$570,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,257,843
Legal Fees, Appraisals	\$148,000
Reserves	\$232,639
Other Costs	\$1,677,328
Developer Fee	\$2,378,813
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$23,482,406

Project Financing

Project Financing		Residential	
Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$23,482,406	Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$210
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$23,482,406	Per Unit Cost:	\$391,373
Estimated Commercial Project Cost:	\$0	True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$370,707

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

Constitution I maneing		T crimation T mancing		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
US Bank	\$15,544,089	US Bank - Tranche B	\$212,098	
HAFC - Seller Carryback Loan	\$1,240,000	HAFC - Seller Carryback Loan	\$1,240,000	
County of Fresno HOME	\$1,000,000	County of Fresno HOME	\$1,000,000	
Housing Relinquished Fund Corp.	\$2,200,000	Housing Relinquished Fund Corp.	\$2,200,000	
Deferred Costs	\$1,791,286	Federal Home Loan Bank AHP	\$590,000	
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,707,031	Tax Credit Equity	\$18,240,308	
		TOTAL	\$23,270,308	

^{*}Less Dontated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$19,002,129
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$19,002,129
Applicable Rate:	9.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,710,192
Total State Credit:	\$5,700,639
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$2,378,813
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$1,400,000
Investor/Consultant:	City Real Estate Advisors
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85143
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.64540

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis:	\$19,002,129
Actual Eligible Basis:	\$19,144,126
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$16,736,370
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$20,840,723

Adjustments to Basis Limit

Required to Pay State or Federal Prevailing Wages/Financed by labor-affiliated organization employing construction workers paid at least state or federal prevailing wages

• Project subject to a project labor agreement or Project will use skilled and trained workforce performing within an apprenticeable occupation.

Local Development Impact Fees

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Final: 28.063%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.0% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.23%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None.

Legal Status

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Fowler, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$1,710,192 State Tax Credits/Total \$5,700,639

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
1 omts System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Cost Efficiency	20	1	1
Public Funds	20	19	19
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 1/3 mile of transit (van or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of public library	3	3	3
Within ¼ mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf	4	4	4
Within 1/2 mile of a public middle school	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
SPECIAL NEEDS AND SRO HOUSING TYPES			
Sustainable Building Methods	5	5	5
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of GreenPoint Rated Program	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	15	15	15
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	138	138	138

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.