Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data VERY LOW INCOME DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - RS MEANS

Region	Counties	Percentage of Households with Very Low Income LESS RURAL POPULATION	RS Means City Cost Index: Region Construction Cost Compared to State Average of 35 Cities	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
Los Angele	es County				33%
•	City of Los Angeles	13.7%	-1.7%	13.6%	
	Balance of County	<u>14.9%</u>	-3.9%	<u>14.5%</u>	
		28.6%		28.1%	
Central Re	egion				10%
	Fresno	2.3%			
	Kern	1.9%			
	Kings	0.3%			
	Madera	0.2%			
	Merced	0.6%			
	San Joaquin	1.6%			
	Stanislaus	1.3%			
	Tulare	0.9%			
		9.1%	-3.2%	8.9%	
North and	East Bay Region				10%
	Alameda	4.8%			
	Contra Costa	3.1%			
	Marin	0.9%			
	Napa	0.4%			
	Solano	1.1%			
	Sonoma	1.3%			
	Johna	11.6%	7.0%	12.5%	
San Diego	County				10%
Juli Diego	San Diego	8.6%			10/0
		8.6%	-5.2%	8.2%	
Inland Fm	pire Region				8%
a.ia Eiii	Imperial	0.3%			3/0
	Riverside	5.3%			
	San Bernardino	4.7%			
	Tan Bernaramo	10.3%	-5.2%	9.9%	
Orange Co					8%
	Orange	<u>8.1%</u>			
		8.1%	-4.4%	7.8%	
South and	West Bay Region				6%
200011 0110	San Mateo	2.1%			
	Santa Clara	5.1%			
		7.2%	6.4%	7.7%	

Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data VERY LOW INCOME DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - RS MEANS

RS Means City Cost

Region	Counties	Percentage of Households with Very Low Income LESS RURAL POPULATION	Index: Region Construction Cost Compared to State Average of 35 Cities	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
Capital and N	orthern Region				6%
Bu	tte	0.6%			
El	Dorado	0.4%			
Pla	acer	0.9%			
Sa	cramento	4.1%			
Sh	asta	0.4%			
Su	tter	0.2%			
Yo	lo	0.6%			
Yu	ba	<u>0.2%</u>			
		7.4%	-0.5%	7.4%	
Central Coast	Region				5%
Me	onterey	0.9%			
Sa	n Luis Obispo	0.8%			
Sa	nta Barbara	1.2%			
Sa	nta Cruz	0.7%			
Ve	ntura	<u>2.1%</u>			
		5.7%	-1.0%	5.7%	
San Francisco	County				4%
Sa	n Francisco	<u>3.4%</u>			
		3.4%	13.5%	3.8%	
		100.0%		100.0%	100%

Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data RENTER HIGH HOUSING COST DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - RS MEANS

RS Means City Cost Index: Region

Region	Counties	Renter High Housing Cost Burden LESS RURAL POPULATION	Index: Region Construction Cost Compared to State Average of 35 Cities	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
	es County	RONALTOTOLATION	Average of 33 cities	Apportionment	33%
LOS Aligei	City of Los Angeles	17.7%	-1.7%	17.6%	33/6
	Balance of County	<u>17.7%</u>	-3.9%	17.2%	
	bulance or county	35.4%	3.370	34.8%	
Central R	egion				10%
	Fresno	2.4%			
	Kern	1.7%			
	Kings	0.2%			
	Madera	0.2%			
	Merced	0.6%			
	San Joaquin	1.6%			
	Stanislaus	1.3%			
	Tulare	0.8%			
		8.8%	-3.2%	8.6%	
North and	East Bay Region				10%
	Alameda	4.6%			
	Contra Costa	2.2%			
	Marin	0.7%			
	Napa	0.3%			
	Solano	0.9%			
	Sonoma	<u>1.2%</u>			
		9.9%	7.0%	10.8%	
San Diego	County				10%
	San Diego	<u>9.0%</u>			
		9.0%	-5.2%	8.6%	
Inland Em	pire Region				8%
	Imperial	0.3%			
	Riverside	4.2%			
	San Bernardino	<u>4.2%</u>			
		8.7%	-5.2%	8.3%	
Orange Co	ountv				8%
J. alige C	Orange	7.5%			570
		7.5% 7.5%	-4.4%	7.3%	
South and	d West Bay Region				6%
Journ and	San Mateo	1.6%			U/0
	Santa Clara	4.0%			
		4.0% 5.6%	6.4%	6.0%	
		5.070	J. 770	3.070	

Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data RENTER HIGH HOUSING COST DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - RS MEANS

RS Means City Cost Index: Region

Region	Counties	Renter High Housing Cost Burden LESS RURAL POPULATION	Construction Cost Compared to State Average of 35 Cities	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
Capital an	d Northern Region				6%
	Butte	0.6%			
	El Dorado	0.2%			
	Placer	0.5%			
	Sacramento	4.0%			
	Shasta	0.4%			
	Sutter	0.2%			
	Yolo	0.6%			
	Yuba	<u>0.1%</u>			
		6.6%	-0.5%	6.7%	
Central Co	ast Region				5%
	Monterey	0.8%			
	San Luis Obispo	0.8%			
	Santa Barbara	1.3%			
	Santa Cruz	0.7%			
	Ventura	<u>1.6%</u>			
		5.2%	-1.0%	5.2%	
San Franci	sco County				4%
	San Francisco	<u>3.3%</u>			
		3.3%	13.5%	3.7%	
		100.0%		100.0%	100%

Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data

VERY LOW INCOME DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - TCAC ELIGIBLE BASIS LIMIT DATA

Percentage of

Region	Counties	Households with Very Low Income LESS RURAL POPULATION	Region \$/SF of Structures Compared to State Average	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
Los Angel	es County				33%
	City of Los Angeles	13.7%	0.98	13.6%	
	Balance of County	<u>14.9%</u>	0.98	<u>14.8%</u>	
		28.6%		28.4%	
Central Re	egion				10%
	Fresno	2.3%			
	Kern	1.9%			
	Kings	0.3%			
	Madera	0.2%			
	Merced	0.6%			
	San Joaquin	1.6%			
	Stanislaus	1.3%			
	Tulare	0.9%			
		9.1%	0.92	8.4%	
North and	l East Bay Region				10%
	Alameda	4.8%			
	Contra Costa	3.1%			
	Marin	0.9%			
	Napa	0.4%			
	Solano	1.1%			
	Sonoma	<u>1.3%</u>			
		11.6%	1.15	13.5%	
San Diego	County				10%
•	San Diego	8.6%			
		8.6%	1.08	9.4%	
Inland Em	pire Region				8%
	Imperial	0.3%			
	Riverside	5.3%			
	San Bernardino	4.7%			
		10.3%	0.89	9.2%	
Orange Co	nunty				8%
Ji dinge CC	Orange	8.1%			3/0
	Orange	8.1%	0.87	7.1%	
South and	l West Bay Region				6%
Journ and	San Mateo	2.1%			J/6
	Santa Clara	5.1% 5.1%			
	Janua Glara	7.2%	0.92	6.7%	
		7. 2 /0	0.32	0.7/0	

Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data VERY LOW INCOME DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - TCAC ELIGIBLE BASIS LIMIT DATA

Percentage of

Region	Counties	Households with Very Low Income LESS RURAL POPULATION	Region \$/SF of Structures Compared to State Average	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
Capital and	Northern Region				6%
P	Butte	0.6%			
E	El Dorado	0.4%			
P	Placer	0.9%			
S	Sacramento	4.1%			
S	Shasta	0.4%			
S	Sutter	0.2%			
Υ	Yolo	0.6%			
Υ	r uba	0.2%			
		7.4%	0.86	6.4%	
Central Coas	st Region				5%
	Monterey	0.9%			
S	San Luis Obispo	0.8%			
S	Santa Barbara	1.2%			
S	Santa Cruz	0.7%			
V	/entura	<u>2.1%</u>			
		5.7%	1.01	5.8%	
San Francisc	co County				4%
S	San Francisco	<u>3.4%</u>			
		3.4%	1.51	5.1%	
		100.0%		100.0%	100%

Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data RENTER HIGH HOUSING COST DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - TCAC ELIGIBLE BASIS LIMIT DATA

Region	Counties	Renter High Housing Cost Burden LESS RURAL POPULATION	Region \$/SF of Structures Compared to State Average	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
Los Angel	es County				33%
	City of Los Angeles	17.7%	0.98	17.5%	
	Balance of County	<u>17.7%</u>	0.98	<u>17.5%</u>	
		35.4%		35.0%	
Central Re	egion				10%
	Fresno	2.4%			
	Kern	1.7%			
	Kings	0.2%			
	Madera	0.2%			
	Merced	0.6%			
	San Joaquin	1.6%			
	Stanislaus	1.3%			
	Tulare	0.8%			
		8.8%	0.92	8.1%	
North and	l East Bay Region				10%
	Alameda	4.6%			
	Contra Costa	2.2%			
	Marin	0.7%			
	Napa	0.3%			
	Solano	0.9%			
	Sonoma	<u>1.2%</u>			
		9.9%	1.15	11.5%	
San Diego	County				10%
	San Diego	9.0%			
		9.0%	1.08	9.8%	
Inland Em	pire Region				8%
	Imperial	0.3%			
	Riverside	4.2%			
	San Bernardino	4.2%			
		8.7%	0.89	7.8%	
Oronas Ci					90/
Orange Co		7 [0/			8%
	Orange	7.5%	0.07	C C9/	
		7.5%	0.87	6.6%	
South and	West Bay Region				6%
	San Mateo	1.6%			
	Santa Clara	4.0%			
		5.6%	0.92	5.1%	

Geographic Apportionment - Preliminary Data RENTER HIGH HOUSING COST DATA LESS RURAL POPULATION WITH HOUSING COST FACTOR - TCAC ELIGIBLE BASIS LIMIT DATA

Region	Counties	Renter High Housing Cost Burden LESS RURAL POPULATION	Region \$/SF of Structures Compared to State Average	Resulting Geographic Apportionment	Current Apportionment
Capital and	Northern Region				6%
В	Butte	0.6%			
E	l Dorado	0.2%			
P	Placer	0.5%			
S	Sacramento	4.0%			
S	Shasta	0.4%			
S	Sutter	0.2%			
Y	⁄olo	0.6%			
Y	/uba	0.1%			
		6.6%	0.86	5.8%	
Central Coas	st Region				5%
N	Monterey	0.8%			
S	San Luis Obispo	0.8%			
S	Santa Barbara	1.3%			
S	Santa Cruz	0.7%			
V	/entura	<u>1.6%</u>			
		5.2%	1.01	5.3%	
San Francisc	co County				4%
S	San Francisco	<u>3.3%</u>			
		3.3%	1.51	5.0%	
		100.0%		100.0%	100%