

Geographic Apportionment
Methodology considered but not selected

Weighting Two Datasets Equally (combining and dividing by 2) and including Construction Cost Factors*

Region	Geographic Apportionment: VLI & High Housing Cost Burden Percentages Weighted 50/50**	Geographic Apportionment using Average of RS Means Index	Geographic Apportionment using Highest of RS Means Index	Geographic Apportionment using Updated TCAC Eligible Basis Limit	Current Apportionment
Los Angeles County***					33%
City of Los Angeles	15.7%	15.6%	15.4%	15.5%	
Balance of Los Angeles County	<u>16.3%</u> 32.0%	<u>15.8%</u> 31.4%	<u>15.7%</u> 31.1%	<u>16.1%</u> 31.6%	
Central Region					10%
	8.9%	8.7%	8.8%	8.2%	
North and East Bay Region					10%
	10.7%	11.5%	11.6%	12.4%	
San Diego County					10%
	8.8%	8.4%	8.3%	9.6%	
Inland Empire Region					8%
	9.5%	9.1%	9.2%	8.5%	
Orange County					8%
	7.8%	7.5%	7.5%	6.8%	
South and West Bay Region					6%
	6.4%	6.9%	6.9%	6.1%	
Capital and Northern Region					6%
	7.0%	7.1%	7.1%	6.1%	
Central Coast Region					5%
	5.5%	5.5%	5.7%	5.6%	
San Francisco County					4%
	3.4%	3.9%	3.8%	5.1%	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100%

***Sources: American Communities Survey 2006-2010**

Table S1901, Household Income. 50% AMI households are determined using this source.

Table B25070, Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income. Renters paying 50% or more of household income determined using this source.

RS Means 2012 City Cost Indexes, RS Means Building Construction Cost Data, 2012.

Dataset used to compute 2012 TCAC Threshold Basis Limits.

****Rural population has been excluded by discounting the source data by the percentage of rural population. Rural data source: 2010 U.S. Census, "Percentage urban and rural in 2010 by state and county"**

*****The combined total for City of Los Angeles and Balance of County of Los Angeles is shown for informational purposes and for comparison to the current Los Angeles County apportionment. As proposed, these are two separate and distinct regions.**

Not Selected