

**CRSB01-22 PROPOSAL SCORES\***

Bidder	Minimum Qualifications Met	Points Awarded						Final Score	
		Qualifications and Experience of Firm/Personnel (35 Points)	Fund Management (20 Points)	Performance (15 Points)	Cost (39 Points)		Round 1 Total Score		Interview (20 Points)
					bps	points			
Eaton Vance - Calvert	Y	35	20	12	19	39	106	20	126
MassMutual	Y	28	20	9	69	11	68		68
Boston Partners Global Investors	Y	28	8	9	90	8	53		53
T. Rowe Price	Y	28	8	6	78	10	52		52

\*Incomplete proposals not shown.

Points Awarded	Interpretation	General Basis for Point Assignment	Quals Firm/Ppl	Fund Mgmt	Perf.
100%	Exceptional	Category is addressed with the highest degree of confidence in the proposal response. The response exceeds the Board's needs, requirements or expectations with superior background/experience/expertise	35	20	15
80%	Excellent	Response fully meets the Board's needs, requirements or expectations with a high degree of confidence in the proposal response. Proposal offers one or more enhancing feature, method, or approach exceeding basic expectations.	28	16	12
60%	Good	Response fully addresses category being scored. Good degree of confidence in the proposal response. Minimal weaknesses are acceptable.	21	12	9
40%	Adequate	Response (i.e. content and/or explanation offered) is adequate to meet the Board's needs, requirements or expectations. Any omission(s), flaw(s), or defect(s), are inconsequential and acceptable.	14	8	6
20%	Minimally adequate	Minimally addresses the category being scored, but one or more major considerations of the category are not addressed or are addressed in such a limited way that it results in a low degree of confidence in the proposal response.	7	4	3
0%	Inadequate	Fails to address any portion of the category being scored. The omission(s), flaw(s), or defect(s) are significant and unacceptable.	0	0	0