

## CDLAC

# Committee Meeting Tuesday, August 6, 2024 1:00 PM



901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814 p (916) 654-6340 f (916) 654-6033 www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdlac

#### MEETING NOTICE AGENDA

**MEETING DATE:** August 6, 2024

> TIME: 1:00 p.m.

**BOARD MEMBERS** (voting) FIONA MA, CPA, CHAIR State Treasurer

> MALIA M. COHEN State Controller

GAVIN NEWSOM Governor

ADVISORY MEMBERS (non-voting) GUSTAVO VELASQUEZ Director of HCD

> **TIENA JOHNSON-HALL Executive Director of CalHFA**

LOCATION: State Treasurer's Office 901 P Street, Room 102 Sacramento, CA 95814

DIRECTOR MARINA WIANT Interim Executive Director

#### Members of the public are invited to participate in person, remotely via TEAMS, or by telephone.\*

Click here to join the TEAMS meeting (full link below)

**Public Participation Call-In Number** (888) 557-8511 **Participant Code:** 5651115

The California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) may take action on any item. Items may be taken out of order. There will be an opportunity for public comment at the end of each item, prior to any action.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call Approval of the Minutes of the May 15, 2024, Meeting Action Item: 2. Informational: 3. **Executive Director's Report** Presented by: Marina Wiant Action Item: 4. Appeals for Round 1 Award of Allocation of Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Qualified Residential Rental Projects (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5038) Presented by: D.C. Navarrette Action Item: 5. Round 1 Award of Allocation of Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Qualified Residential Rental Projects (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5037) **Qualified Residential Rental Projects Round 1 Final Recommendation List** Presented by: Christina Vue

Action Item:	6.	Round 2 Award of Allocation of Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Exempt Facility (EXF) Projects (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5440) EXF Recommendation List Presented by: Christina Vue			
Action Item:	7.		adoption of Regular Rulemaking for Amendments to the ocation Committee Regulations (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 rrette or Marina Wiant		
Action Item:	8.	(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §         Application Number       P         CA-24-584       V         CA-24-586       7         CA-24-588       V         CA-24-588       V         CA-24-589       K	Project Name West Harbor Park 710 Broadway Vintage at Folsom Apartments Kawana Springs Apartments Rancho Sierra Senior Apartments		
Action Item:	9.	Rental Project and Reque (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §§ <u>Application Number</u> CA-23-401, 23-679, CA-24 (supplemental) CA-23-612 CA-23-646 CA-23-653 CA-23-656 CA-23-657 CA-23-659	4-579       Project Name         4-579       803 E 5 <sup>th</sup> Street         West Harbor Park         Citrus Grove Apartments         One San Pedro Phase I         Two Worlds Apartments         St. Andrews Arms & Second Avenue Apartments         Panorama View Apartments         Pacific Street Apartments Four		
Action Item:	10.	for the Return of Alloca (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § Application Number	iture of the Performance Deposit and Negative Points tion for Qualified Residential Rental Project §§5052, 5230) Project Name Grisham Community Housing		

Presented by: D.C. Navarrette

 

 Action Item:
 11.
 Request to Waive the Maximum Bond Allocation Amount (\$75,000,000) for Round 2 Qualified Residential Rental Project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §5232)

 Application Number TBD
 Project Name Santa Monica Christian Towers

 Presented by: D.C. Navarrette

- 12. Public Comment
- 13. Adjournment

#### FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

CDLAC 901 P Street, Suite 213A, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 654-6340

This notice may also be found on the following Internet site: <u>https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdlac</u>

\*Interested members of the public may use the call-in number or TEAMS to listen to and/or comment on items before CDLAC. Additional instructions will be provided to participants once they call the indicated number or join via TEAMS. The call-in number and TEAMS information are provided as an option for public participation.

CDLAC complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) by ensuring that the facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities, and providing this notice and information given to the members of CDLAC in appropriate alternative formats when requested. If you need further assistance, including disability-related modifications or accommodations, please contact CDLAC staff no later than five calendar days before the meeting at (916) 654-6340. From a California Relay (telephone) Service for the Deaf or Hearing Impaired TDD Device, please call (800) 735-2929 or from a voice phone, (800) 735-2922.

Full TEAMS Link

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-

join/19%3ameeting\_MjgxMmJlZjUtY2NmNy00YmQzLWExOTEtY2IyN2E5YjMwZGEz%40thread.v2/0?context =%7b%22Tid%22%3a%223bee5c8a-6cb4-4c10-a77b-cd2eaeb7534e%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22838e980bc8bc-472b-bce3-9ef042b5569b%22%7d



## AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of the Minutes of the May 15, 2024, Meeting



901 P Street, Room 102 Sacramento, CA 95814

May 15, 2024

#### **CDLAC** Committee Meeting Minutes

#### 1. Agenda Item: Call to Order and Roll Call

The California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m. with the following Committee members present:

Voting Members:	Fiona Ma, CPA, State Treasurer Evan Johnson for State Controller Malia M. Cohen Michele Perrault for Governor Gavin Newsom
Advisory Members:	Anthony Sertich for Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Director Gustavo Velasquez Kate Ferguson for Tiena Johnson Hall, Executive Director for the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA)

#### 2. Agenda Item: Approval of the Minutes of the April 3, 2024, Meeting – (Action Item)

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Ms. Perrault motioned to approve the minutes of the April 3, 2024, meeting, and Mr. Johnson seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

3. Agenda Item: Executive Director's Report Presented by: Marina Wiant

Marina Wiant, Interim Executive Director, announced Norma Velarde's promotion to CDLAC Program Manager. Norma joined CDLAC in 2020 as an Associate Governmental Program Analyst. In that position, she reviewed tax exempt private activity bond applications for multifamily housing and worked on several special projects. Prior to CDLAC, she worked at California Housing Opportunities Corporation and was also an intern with California Coalition for Rural Housing. She brings a well-rounded knowledge of affordable housing to the role.

Earlier this month, Ms. Wiant spoke on a panel at the Novogradac Affordable Housing Conference with CalHFA Executive Director Tiena Johnson Hall. She also attended the Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California (NPH) Annual Affordable Housing Leadership Awards. Tomorrow, she will be speaking at California Housing Consortium's Annual Policy Forum in Los Angeles.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.



4. Agenda Item: Recommendation for Award of Allocation to Qualified Private Activity Bonds for **Exempt Facility (EXF) Projects (Round 1)** – (Action Item) Presented by: Christina Vue

Ms. Vue reported that CDLAC received one EXF application in Round 1. The request is for \$44.5 million of tax-exempt bond allocation for MarBorg Industries to acquire compressed natural gas-powered collection vehicles, upgrade and improve an existing solid waste recycling and processing facility in Santa Maria, and construct a new operations depot to service customers throughout Santa Barbara County. Staff recommends approval of the allocation.

Chairperson Ma invited a representative to speak on behalf of the project.

Mark Holmstedt from Piper Sandler spoke on behalf of MarBorg Industries. He expressed appreciation for the Committee's consideration of this allocation. The project will contribute to the waste diversion goals of the state.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

MOTION: Mr. Johnson motioned to approve staff's recommendation, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

5. Agenda Item: Recommendation for Award of Allocation to the California Department of **Veterans Affairs for Single Family Housing (SFH)** – (Action Item) Presented by: Christina Vue

Ms. Vue reported that at the January 17, 2024, meeting, the Committee reserved \$80 million of the state ceiling for the California Department of Veterans Affairs (CalVet). CalVet has submitted its formal application for bond allocation totaling \$80 million, which it estimates will serve 237 first-time homebuyers who are active-duty military personnel or veterans. Staff recommends approval of the allocation.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

MOTION: Mr. Johnson motioned to approve staff's recommendation, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

6. Agenda Item: Request to Waive the Maximum Bond Allocation Amount (\$75,000,000) for **Qualified Residential Rental Project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4** §5232) – (Action Item) Presented by: D.C. Navarrette

Mr. Navarrette reported that there are six requests for allocation above the \$75 million limit.



Chairperson Ma said the Committee seems to be receiving more requests to waive the maximum bond allocation amount and extend issuance deadlines.

Ms. Wiant said those items could be addressed individually under their respective agenda items. Staff has had discussions about the purpose of setting the \$75 million maximum bond allocation amount. The competitive system encourages applicants to keep their allocation requests as low as possible, but there are certain circumstances in which projects require a higher amount. The reasons for these requests in particular are due to higher regional construction costs or more complicated construction sites. Staff has discussed whether it still makes sense to have a maximum bond allocation amount and has concluded that it probably still makes sense so that the Committee has an opportunity to see what is happening. In non-competitive years, staff would still want to see projects limiting their allocation requests as much as possible, even though they would not be required to compete in the tiebreaker.

Mr. Johnson thanked Ms. Wiant for sharing that perspective. He has thought a lot about this over the past couple of days and has come to some of the same conclusions. There is already downward pressure because of the scoring rubric, so these waivers serve as an opportunity for the Committee to have insight into trends and processes. It is good for the Committee to have the opportunity to approve these waivers. He understands the reason for the requests and appreciates the awareness of the increasing number of waiver requests. He expects that the Committee will have a similar conversation regarding the extension requests.

Chairperson Ma expressed that there have been difficulties both during the pandemic and moving forward.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Ms. Perrault motioned to approve the waiver of the maximum bond allocation amount for all projects, and Mr. Johnson seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

 Agenda Item: Supplemental Bond Allocation Request Above the Executive Director's Authority (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5240) – (Action Item) Presented by: D.C. Navarrette

Mr. Navarrette reported that two projects requested supplemental allocations, but one of the requests was withdrawn. The remaining request is from Southside Senior Housing (CA-24-569), which was originally allocated \$15,120,422 in Round 3 of 2021. The project is now requesting a supplemental allocation of \$2,291,000, which is within the 52% aggregate basis limit but is 15.15% of the Committee-approved allocation. This is a 50-unit special needs project located in Los Angeles. The applicant is the City of Los Angeles and the developer is John Stanley.

Chairperson Ma asked if this allocation would impact any other applications.

Mr. Navarrette said it would not impact any other applications since there is a lot of allocation left in the supplemental pool.

Chairperson Ma asked if staff supports the supplemental allocation request.



Mr. Navarrette responded affirmatively.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Mr. Johnson motioned to approve the supplemental allocation request for Southside Senior Housing, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

 Agenda Item: Request to Transfer Allocation to Another Applicant (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §5120) – (Action Item) Presented by: Christina Vue

Ms. Vue reported that two projects are requesting a transfer of allocation to another applicant. The first project is U.S. VETS-WLAVA Building 210, which is a 38-unit, special needs, new construction project located in the City of Los Angeles. The applicant and original bond issuer, California Municipal Finance Authority (CMFA), received the award of allocation on December 6, 2023. CMFA and CalHFA are jointly requesting a transfer of allocation to CalHFA, thereby resulting in a transfer of the original bond allocation of \$14,219,583 and a supplemental bond allocation of \$4,936,246. CalHFA is here to explain the need to transfer the allocation.

Ms. Vue said the second project requesting a transfer of allocation is Avenue 34 Apartments, which is a 66-unit, large family, new construction project located in the City of Los Angeles. The applicant and original bond issuer, The California Statewide Communities Development Authority (CSCDA), received a supplemental bond allocation for the project on February 6, 2024. CSCDA and CalHFA are jointly requesting a transfer of the supplemental bond allocation of \$1.4 million. CalHFA and the developer are available to explain further and answer questions.

Chairperson Ma said that she previously voted against these transfers, so she would like to understand what has happened with these projects.

Ms. Ferguson said that in the case of U.S. VETS-WLAVA Building 210, CMFA has gone through the project with the assumption that the land was owned by the City of Los Angeles, but the land is actually owned by the federal government. Because it is federal land, CMFA, as a joint powers authority (JPA), cannot be the issuer and asked CalHFA to step in.

Chairperson Ma said that sounds like a jurisdiction issue and not a workload issue.

Mr. Ferguson confirmed that is correct; it is unrelated to Chairperson Ma's concerns at the previous meeting.

Ms. Perrault asked for clarification that this project also withdrew its second supplemental request under the last agenda item.

Ms. Vue confirmed that is correct.

Ms. Perrault asked if the transfer request, therefore, only applies to the original supplemental allocation.

Ms. Vue responded affirmatively.



Ms. Ferguson explained that the second project requesting a transfer of allocation, Avenue 34 Apartments, originally received bond cap via carryforward in 2020. The project is getting close to completion and received additional bond cap recently. After CSCDA did the initial bond issuance, CalHFA issued recycled bonds and taxable bonds. CalHFA is currently taking those bonds out, and right now there are two different regulatory agreements from both CSCDA and CalHFA. The CalHFA regulatory agreement is not recorded with CDLAC because only recycled bonds were used, not new bonds. CalHFA is trying to achieve consistency by requesting a transfer of the CSCDA allocation to CalHFA. When the project is placed in service, which should be soon, CalHFA will ask CDLAC to combine the regulatory agreements so that only CalHFA is responsible for the regulatory and reporting requirements going forward, rather than having two separate issuers doing the same reporting. The circumstances for the two projects requesting a transfer of allocation are not similar.

Mr. Johnson asked if staff has any concerns about potential challenges or workload issues regarding these transfers.

Ms. Vue said the transfers would require a minimal amount of work for staff.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

MOTION: Ms. Perrault motioned to approve the transfer of allocation for both projects, and Mr. Johnson seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

9. Agenda Item: Request to Extend the Bond Allocation Issuance Deadline for Qualified Residential Rental Project and Request to Waive Forfeiture of the Performance Deposit (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §§5052, 5100, 5132) – (Action Item) Presented by: D.C. Navarrette

Mr. Navarrette reported that there are 28 extension requests.

Chairperson Ma asked Ms. Wiant to comment on the number of extension requests.

Ms. Wiant said staff has had several conversations with the issuers on these projects in an attempt to discern whether any particular issue was impacting all the projects. While all the projects have slightly different circumstances, the schedule itself seems to have been a challenge. There have been a lot of closings happening at the same time, and there will probably be a similar outcome from this year's schedule. Also, a lot of the extension requests were submitted by projects that might have been able to make the deadline but were worried about a potential need for an extension. There is not an easy process to obtain an extension other than coming to the Committee, and since the Committee will not be meeting again until August, there were a lot of requests submitted. Staff has been talking internally and seeking input from stakeholders as well as the Committee about whether there should be more discretion given to the Executive Director to grant some of these extensions in the next regulations package so that the Committee does not have to consider extensions for projects that may only be requesting a week or two.

Ms. Perrault asked for confirmation that the Executive Director currently only has delegated authority to grant extensions up to five days.



Ms. Wiant responded affirmatively.

Ms. Perrault asked how many of these extensions are being requested because projects are having to seek additional funding sources due to cost increases.

Ms. Wiant said that is a trend. Additionally, a lot of projects applied during the final round last year when they saw that the round was not going to be very competitive, but they actually needed more time.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

MOTION: Ms. Perrault motioned to extend the bond allocation issuance deadline and waive forfeiture of the performance deposit for all projects, and Mr. Johnson seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

10. Agenda Item: Request to Waive Forfeiture of the Performance Deposit and Negative Points for the Return of Allocation for Qualified Residential Rental Project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §§5052, 5230) – (Action Item) Presented by: D.C. Navarrette

Mr. Navarrette explained that The OTC by Vintage Project was originally allocated \$45,599,495 in Round 3 of 2023 and is now requesting to return the allocation and waive forfeiture of the performance deposit and negative points. The project is a 228-unit large family development in Chula Vista. The applicant is CMFA and the developer is Hearthstone Housing.

Chairperson Ma invited a representative to speak on behalf of the project.

Stephen Strain from Sabelhaus & Strain LLP said he represents the developers on this project. He explained that this project is being developed on a piece of land adjacent to the Olympic training center in Chula Vista. It is owned by the City of Chula Vista. One catalyst for this project was that many of the athletes that train at the training center have had to move away from Chula Vista in recent years due to the lack of affordable housing in the area. The idea behind this project was to give preference to the athletes and coaches so they could live close to where they train while also satisfying the fair housing and general public use rules by being open to the general public, subject to that preference. The site control documents discussed the preference, and the project applied and was awarded. Six weeks later, the city reached out to the project and stated that they had looked at the documents they had with the training center and its governing body, and they were found to be more restrictive than the city had originally thought. The city stated that the preference was not enough, and the development actually needed a restriction to only be occupied by athletes and coaches. That would present challenges with complying with the fair housing and general public use rules. Since then, the project has been working with bond counsel, investor counsel, and the city to find a path forward by either implementing a restriction that would comply with all the requirements or to locate an alternative site that would not be subject to the same restrictions.

Mr. Strain believes the project has found a couple of paths forward, but both of them would take a great deal of time. Even with the possibility of a 90-day extension, it would not be enough time. One path



would involve the city adopting an ordinance specific to this project, which would take time. The other path would involve entitling a new site, which would also take time. Therefore, the project has elected to return its allocation and is requesting a waiver of the negative points and forfeiture of the performance deposit since these issues arose a month and a half after the allocation was awarded.

Mr. Johnson said that Committee's practice in the past has been to waive negative points but keep the performance deposit.

Ms. Perrault said this situation does not appear to have been within the control of the applicant, and it might be appropriate to waive both the negative points and forfeiture of the performance deposit since the situation is in the hands of the city, and the project is trying to move forward.

Chairperson Ma said there have also been circumstances out of the developers' control in the past.

Ms. Perrault said that is fair.

Chairperson Ma said this would set a new precedent.

Ms. Perrault said she does not want to do that.

Mr. Johnson said he is inclined to stick with precedent. There are often good causes, but it is important to keep pressure on projects to get to a certain distance in the process before applying for an allocation. He is not implying that this was not done in this situation, but it is still important to keep the precedent unless there is a significant extenuating circumstance.

Chairperson Ma expressed agreement because there are other projects waiting for an allocation.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Mr. Johnson motioned to waive negative points but not to waive forfeiture of the performance deposit, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

#### 11. Public Comment

Robert Lutz [? last name unclear] from Nor Cal Carpenters Union (NCCU) said his organization has submitted comment letters to CDLAC about the tiebreaker, specifically regarding how the scoring guidelines reward projects required to pay prevailing wages. It is important to incorporate the positive social and economic benefits of prevailing wages, apprenticeship training opportunities, and healthcare coverage into the tiebreaker formula. NCCU believes the tiebreaker should be amended. Currently, the only impact prevailing wage has on the CDLAC scoring guidelines is a 15% basis adjustment in the tiebreaker formula. At the very least, NCCU is asking the Committee to raise the basis adjustment to 20% for projects paying state prevailing wages, which would be in line with the cost adjustment in the CTCAC application. NCCU also recommends that the 15% basis adjustment be kept or even lowered for projects paying federal Davis-Bacon prevailing wages, which can be equal to or less than unregulated construction wages. The 20% basis adjustment may not move the needle for every type of project, but it would create continuity between the CDLAC and CTCAC regulations, as well as adding some additional benefit to prevailing wage projects. While any improvement is good, this framing ultimately ignores the fact that higher wages allow construction workers to live and spend more readily in their own communities, which



has a ripple effect far beyond any one individual household. This is why NCCU's second recommended change is to incorporate the positive social and economic outcomes created by prevailing wages into the numerator of the tiebreaker formula, which calculates public benefit. The three categories of measurable public benefit created by prevailing wage projects are: monetary contributions to apprenticeship training programs, increased earnings associated with apprenticeship completion, and public cost savings from increased health insurance coverage. These three measures are clear public benefits that are easily quantifiable and should be included in the tiebreaker calculation in the same way rent savings and location benefits are included. NCCU urges CDLAC to incorporate these suggestions in the next round of regulation updates and is ready to work with staff on this issue.

#### 12. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 1:29 p.m.



## AGENDA ITEM 3 Executive Director's Report (section left blank)



### **AGENDA ITEM 4**

## Appeals for Round 1 Award of Allocation of Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Qualified Residential Rental Projects (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5038)

# As of July 26, 2024, no appeals have been received by CDLAC.



## AGENDA ITEM 5 Round 1 Award of Allocation of Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Qualified Residential Rental Projects (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5037)

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Final Staff Recommendations\* To be Considered on August 6, 2024 QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECTS

NON-GEOGRAPHIC POOLS		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING												
BIPOC		\$72,909,899	S4 100 186				2024	2023	2022	TOTAL				STATE CREDIT	FEDERAL CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	HOUSING TYPE		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	CARRYFORWARD	REQUESTES	POINTS	TIE BREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
A-24-497	California Municipal Finance Authority	El Camino Real Affordable Apartments		Oceanside		San Diego		\$28,230,090.00	\$831.00	\$28,230,921	120	87.586%	0.000%	\$14,729,951	\$1,964,19
-24-528	California Municipal Finance Authority	La Costa Family Apartments	Large Family 0	Carlsbad		San Diego		\$5,116,651.00		\$5,116,651	120	76.793%	0.000%	\$3,009,494	\$521,69
A-24-441	California Housing Finance Agency	The Pardes 2	Large Family E	Elk Grove		Sacramento		\$35,462,141.00		\$35,462,141	120	68.315%	0.000%	\$20,584,139	\$3,567,91
	,		5 ,			-		\$68,808,882.00	\$831.00	\$68,809,713				\$38,323,584	\$6,053,80
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING												
RESERVATION		\$131,237,819	(\$5,369,376)				2024	2023	2022	TOTAL					FEDERAL CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME Aubum Falls	HOUSING TYPE Non-Targeted F		CITY	COUNTY Sacramento	BOND CAP \$28,376,305.00	CARRYFORWARD	CARRYFORWARD	REQUESTES \$28.376.305	POINTS 110	TIE BREAKER 154.209%	HOMELESS % 0.000%	REQUESTED \$0	REQUESTED \$2.027.079
A-24-524	Sacramento Housing & Redevelopment Agency				Heights; Carmichael		\$28,376,305.00								
A-24-558 A-24-408	California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority	Seaside Apartments Parnow Friendship House		Santa Cruz San Rafael		Santa Cruz Marin		\$37,316,600.00 \$24,470,000.00		\$37,316,600 \$24,470,000	110 110	122.396% 119.271%	0.000%	\$0 \$0	\$2,744,050 \$2,037,584
		Rose Hill Courts Phase II				Marin Los Angeles	\$46 444 290 00	\$24,470,000.00		\$24,470,000 \$46,444,290	110	119.271%	0.000%	\$U \$0	\$2,037,584
CA-24-547	Housing Authority of City of Los Angeles	Rose Hill Courts Phase II	Large Family L	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$74,820,595.00	\$61,786,600.00		\$136,607,195	110	109.443%	0.000%	\$0	\$4,230,372 \$11,047,084
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING												
THER REHABILITATION		\$87,491,879	\$1,823,986				2024	2023	2022	TOTAL					FEDERAL CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	HOUSING TYPE		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	CARRYFORWARD	REQUESTES	POINTS	TIE BREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
A-24-409	California Municipal Finance Authority	Marina Towers		Vallejo		Solano		\$25,400,000.00		\$25,400,000	110	178.236%	0.000%	\$0	\$2,112,952
CA-24-442	California Municipal Finance Authority	Witmer Manor		Los Angeles		Los Angeles		\$42,207,981.00		\$42,207,981	110	164.702%	0.000%	\$0	\$3,404,747
CA-24-470	California Municipal Finance Authority	Seniors on Broadway Apartments		Chula Vista		San Diego		\$5,559,912.00		\$5,559,912	110	138.170%	0.000%	\$724,131	\$382,829
CA-24-549	California Statewide Communities Development Authority	Vacaville Gables Apartments	Non-Targeted	Vacaville		Solano	\$12,500,000.00	670 407 000 00		\$12,500,000	110	127.993%	0.000%	\$0	\$766,927
							\$12,500,000.00	\$73,167,893.00		\$85,667,893.00				\$724,131	\$6,667,455
RURAL NEW CONSTRUCTION		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$72,909,899	REMAINING (\$626,212)				2024	2023	2022	TOTAL				STATE CREDIT	FEDERAL CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	HOUSING TYPE		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	CARRYFORWARD	REQUESTES	POINTS	TIE BREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
CA-24-434	California Municipal Finance Authority	Wildomar Cottages		Wildomar		Riverside		\$38.620.493.00		\$38,620,493	120	83.630%	0.000%	\$20,132,669	\$3.874.336
CA-24-554	California Municipal Finance Authority	Windsor Park		Windsor		Sonoma		\$12,991,250.00		\$12,991,250	119	78.363%	25.000%	\$5,734,169	\$1,236,998
CA-24-455	California Municipal Finance Authority	El Dorado Senior Village Apartments I	Seniors E	El Dorado		El Dorado		\$21,924,368.00		\$21,924,368	119	59.368%	0.000%	\$8,158,746	\$1,924,725
		5 .				-		\$73,536,111.00		\$73,536,111				\$34,025,584	\$7,036,059
NEW CONSTRUCTION SET ASIDE	S														
HOMELESS		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$364,549,496	REMAINING (\$741.368)				2024	2023	2022	TOTAL				STATE CREDIT	FEDERAL CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDI AC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	HOUSING TYPE		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	CARRYFORWARD	REQUESTES	POINTS	TIF BREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
CA-24-485	California Municipal Finance Authority	Jubilo Village		Culver City	0111	Los Angeles	\$14,528,579,56	\$24,771,840,44	oracle oracle of	\$39,300,420	120	94 874%	45 161%	\$16,703,612	\$3 103 269
CA-24-443	California Municipal Finance Authority	69th Street Apartments		Sacramento		Sacramento	\$46,000,000.00			\$46,000,000	120	95.403%	46.512%	\$16.811.236	\$4,494,886
CA-24-525	California Housing Finance Agency	Kindred		San Diego		San Diego		\$45.819.803.00		\$45,819,803	120	88.461%	50,400%	\$17,271,066	\$4,425,081
CA-24-414	California Municipal Finance Authority	20th Street Apartments	Special Needs S	Santa Monica		Los Angeles	\$36,027,000.00			\$36,027,000	120	80.723%	50.000%	\$11,973,801	\$2,879,946
CA-24-516	California Housing Finance Agency	Residency at Sky Village Hollywood - Phase II	Special Needs L	Los Angeles		Los Angeles		\$71,000,000.00		\$71,000,000	119	125.045%	50.000%	\$2,595,782	\$6,781,717
CA-24-515	California Housing Finance Agency	Residency at Sky Village Hollywood - Phase I	Special Needs L	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$27,901,108.56	\$46,098,891.44		\$74,000,000	119	123.140%	49.787%	\$0	\$7,113,617
CA-24-511	California Statewide Communities Development Authority	Costa Mesa M6	Non-Targeted (	Costa Mesa		Orange	\$23,185,979.00			\$23,185,979	119	121.118%	46.512%	\$0	\$1,904,479
CA-24-541	Los Angeles County Development Authority	Toyon Gardens	Special Needs U	Unincorporated Le	os Angeles County	Los Angeles	\$29,956,974.00		\$688.00	\$29,957,662	119	98.783%	77.922%	\$0	\$2,765,924
							\$177,599,641.12	\$187,690,534.88	\$688.00	\$365,290,864				\$65,355,497	\$33,468,918
ELIVLI		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$437,459,395	REMAINING (\$327,719)				2024	2023	2022	TOTAL				STATE CREDIT	FEDERAL CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	HOUSING TYPE		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	CARRYFORWARD	REQUESTES	POINTS	TIE BREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
CA-24-467	California Municipal Finance Authority	College Community Courts		Ventura	GITT	Ventura	\$23,752,406.00	CARTIFORMARD	CARTINORMARD	\$23,752,406	120	105.310%	0.000%	\$1.000.000	\$2.097.297
CA-24-424	California Municipal Finance Authority	1241 North Main		Manteca		San Joaquin	\$38.323.293.00			\$38,323,293	120	63.316%	0.000%	\$1,000,000	\$3,493,293
CA-24-478	California Municipal Finance Authority	Bana at Palmdale		Palmdale		Los Angeles	\$10,000,000.00			\$10,000,000	119	179.285%	0.000%	\$0	\$937.331
CA-24-535	City and County of San Francisco	Casa Adelante		San Francisco		San Francisco	\$82,142,319.00			\$82,142,319	119	167.464%	25.150%	\$0	\$7,697,150
CA-24-469	City of Los Angeles	Peak Plaza Apartments	Large Family L	Los Angeles		Los Angeles			\$39,799,383.00	\$39,799,383	119	108.966%	0.000%	\$0	\$3,362,455
CA-24-471	California Municipal Finance Authority	Midway Village Phase 2	Large Family [	Daly City		San Mateo	\$65,352,000.00			\$65,352,000	119	108.750%	26.126%	\$0	\$6,418,635
CA-24-545	California Municipal Finance Authority	Lincoln Avenue Apartments		Buena Park		Orange	\$20,600,000.00			\$20,600,000	119	102.202%	24.074%	\$0	\$1,427,812
CA-24-482	City of Los Angeles	Rosa's Place		Los Angeles		Los Angeles			\$40,500,000.00	\$40,500,000	119	97.386%	100.000%	\$0	\$3,545,168
CA-24-476	California Municipal Finance Authority	La Passeggiata		Stockton		San Joaquin	\$36,867,534.00			\$36,867,534	119	94.620%	0.000%	\$0	\$3,485,653
CA-24-477	California Municipal Finance Authority	Bella Vista Apartments		Merced		Merced	\$36,656,693.00			\$36,656,693	119	87.146%	0.000%	\$0	\$3,402,171
CA-24-474	California Municipal Finance Authority	Casa Roseland		Santa Rosa		Sonoma	\$35,548,000.00			\$35,548,000	119	84.747%	0.000%	\$0	\$3,285,961
CA-24-459	California Municipal Finance Authority	Sunrise at Bogart	Special Needs F	Riverside		Riverside	\$8,245,486.00 \$357,487,731.00		\$80.299.383.00	\$8,245,486 \$437,787,114	119	84.696%	100.000%	\$0 \$1.000.000	\$778,169 \$39,931,094
							\$337,407,731.00		400,288,303.00	<i>a</i> 437,707,114				a 1,000,000	430,031,094
MIXED INCOME		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$571,842,346	REMAINING \$54,880,880				2024	2023	2022	TOTAL				STATE CREDIT	FEDERAL CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	HOUSING TYPE		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	CARRYFORWARD	REQUESTES	POINTS	TIE BREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
CA-24-539	California Housing Finance Agency	North City Affordable	Large Family S	San Marcos		San Diego	\$55,700,000.00			\$55,700,000	120	89.166%	0.000%	\$20,581,563	\$5,385,018
CA-24-509	California Housing Finance Agency	831 Water Street		Santa Cruz		Santa Cruz	\$50,273,157.00			\$50,273,157	120	80.008%	25.185%	\$12,477,384	\$4,227,025
CA-24-481	California Housing Finance Agency	Sandstone Valley Apartments		Murrieta		Riverside	\$25,652,201.00			\$25,652,201	120	79.906%	0.000%	\$14,503,816	\$2,574,781
CA-24-489	California Housing Finance Agency	BUSD Workforce Housing		Berkeley		Alameda	\$39,443,118.00			\$39,443,118	120	78.800%	0.000%	\$9,131,113	\$2,559,765
CA-24-494	California Housing Finance Agency	Sutter Street	Non-Targeted S	San Francisco		San Francisco	\$40,195,709.00			\$40,195,709	119	135.571%	0.000%	\$20,400,000	\$3,620,905
CA-24-500	California Housing Finance Agency	The Walk Residences	Non-Targeted N	Norwalk		Los Angeles	\$22,540,611.00			\$22,540,611	119	116.201%	0.000%	\$0	\$2,335,628
CA-24-493	California Housing Finance Agency	Vera Avenue Apartments	Non-Targeted F	Redwood City		San Mateo	\$45,365,000.00			\$45,365,000	119	110.044%	0.000%	\$23,969,101	\$4,388,644
A-24-502	California Housing Finance Agency	Maison's Village - Phase II		Palmdale		Los Angeles	\$34,410,000.00			\$34,410,000	119	106.632%	0.000%	\$9,573,068	\$3,237,659
A-24-503	California Housing Finance Agency	Julian Street Studios		San Jose		Santa Clara	\$65,400,000.00			\$65,400,000	119	103.074%	0.000%	\$36,054,485	\$6,379,444
CA-24-483	California Housing Finance Agency	Holt & Main		Pomona		Los Angeles	\$37,500,000.00			\$37,500,000	119	93.899%	0.000%	\$21,000,000	\$3,710,315
A-24-522	California Housing Finance Agency	St. Luke's Affordable	Non-Targeted S	San Diego		San Diego	\$16,750,000.00			\$16,750,000	119	83.490%	0.000%	\$3,839,198	\$1,162,991
	California Housing Finance Agency	Monterey Family Apartments	Large Family (	Gilroy		Santa Clara	\$28,570,598.00			\$28,570,598	119	81.619%	0.000%	\$15,209,048	\$2,661,199
CA-24-492	Calibria Housing Finance Agency														
A-24-492 A-24-504	California Housing Finance Agency	Monarch		Sacramento		Sacramento	\$55,161,072.00 \$516,961,466.00			\$55,161,072 \$516,961,466	119	79.418%	8.368%	\$8,811,593 \$195,550,369	\$5,394,131 \$47,637,505

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Final Staff Recommendations\* To be Considered on August 6, 2024 QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECTS

NEW CONSTRUCTION GEOGRAPH	IIC REGIONS													
BAY AREA REGION APPLICATION NUMBER CA-24-490 CA-24-521 CA-24-473	CDLAC APPLICANT City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority	ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$216,794,036 PROJECT NAME Golden Gate Avenue Phase 1 LIHTC View at San Bruno Regional Street Apartments	REMAINING \$16,458,668 HOUSING TYPE Large Family San Francisco Large Family San Bruno Seniors Dublin	CITY	COUNTY San Francisco San Mateo Alameda	2024 BOND CAP \$31,132,689.00 \$125,000,000.00 \$44,202,679.00 <b>\$200,335,368.00</b>	2023 CARRYFORWARD	2022 CARRYFORWARD	TOTAL REQUESTES \$31,132,689 \$125,000,000 \$44,202,679 \$200,335,368	POINTS 119 119 119	TIE BREAKER 116.294% 85.647% 67.953%	HOMELESS % 0.000% 0.000% 30.357%	STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	FEDERAL CREDI REQUESTED \$3,061,15 \$10,871,87 \$3,736,22 \$17,669,25
COASTAL REGION APPLICATION NUMBER CA-24-552 CA-24-472 CA-24-480 CA-24-564 CA-24-564 CA-24-427	COLAC APPLICANT Califonia Housing Finance Agency Califonia Public Finance Authority Califonia Manicopal Finance Apency Califonia Housing Finance Apency Califonia Housing Finance Apency	ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$216,734,036 PROJECT NAME The Trails at Carriel Mountain Ranch Chus Aras Seniore Ontai Vata Seniore S4m and E Logion Guince Street Seniors	REMAINING \$60.457.395 HOUSING TYPE Large Family San Diego Large Family Santa Paula Semiors Chula Vista Non-Targeted San Diego Semiors Escondido	CITY	COUNTY San Diego Ventura San Diego San Diego San Diego	2024 BOND CAP \$40,600,000.00 \$12,300,000.00 \$12,300,000.00 \$22,366,641.00 \$156,336,641.00	2023 CARRYFORWARD	2022 CARRYFORWARD	TOTAL REQUESTES \$40,600,000 \$39,050,000 \$12,300,000 \$12,386,641 \$42,000,000 \$156,336,641	POINTS 120 119 119 119 111	TIE BREAKER 92.184% 126.693% 97.809% 93.336% 72.027%	HOMELESS % 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,941,060 \$770,211 \$2,195,122 \$2,989,522 \$13,562,593
CITY OF LOS ANGELES APPLICATION NUMBER CA-24-428 CA-24-426	CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency California Housing Finance Agency	ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$175,499,934 PROJECT NAME 6018 Brynhurat 121 Mathews	REMAINING \$162,384,934 HOUSING TVPE Non-Targeted Los Angeles Non-Targeted Los Angeles	CITY	COUNTY Los Angeles Los Angeles	2024 BOND CAP \$7,170,000.00 \$5,945,000.00 <b>\$13,115,000.00</b>	2023 CARRYFORWARD	2022 CARRYFORWARD	TOTAL REQUESTES \$7,170,000 \$5,945,000 \$13,115,000	POINTS 119 119	TIE BREAKER 153.345% 152.721%	HOMELESS % 0.000% 0.000%	STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$0 \$0	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$596,833 \$492,134 \$1,088,967
BALANCE OF LA COUNTY APPLICATION NUMBER CA-24-527	CDLAC APPLICANT California Municipal Finance Authority	ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$165,176,409 PROJECT NAME 910 Wetherly Drive	REMAINING \$130,825,114 HOUSING TYPE Non-Targeted West Hollywood	CITY	COUNTY Los Angeles	2024 BOND CAP \$25,251,295.00 <b>\$25,251,295.00</b>	2023 CARRYFORWARD \$9,100,000.00 \$9,100,000.00	2022 CARRYFORWARD	TOTAL REQUESTES \$34,351,295 \$34,351,295	POINTS 119	TIE BREAKER 60.690%	HOMELESS % 0.000%	STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$0	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,856,287 \$2,856,287
INLAND REGION APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$165,176,409 PROJECT NAME	REMAINING \$165,176,409 HOUSING TYPE	CITY	COUNTY	2024 BOND CAP	2023 CARRYFORWARD	2022 CARRYFORWARD	TOTAL REQUESTES	POINTS	TIE BREAKER	HOMELESS %	STATE CREDIT REQUESTED	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED
NORTHERN REGION APPLICATION NUMBER CA-24-433 CA-24-553 CA-24-435	CDLAC APPLICANT Sacramento Housing & Redevelopment Agency California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority	ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$92,911,730 PROJECT NAME Terracina at Wildhawk Padilo Street Apartments Four Sugar Pine Village Phase 1B	REMAINING \$22,630,581 HOUSING TYPE Large Family Sacramento Non-Targeted Rocklin Non-Targeted South Lake Taho	CITY	COUNTY Sacramento Placer El Dorado	2024 BOND CAP \$34,000,000.00 \$10,693,101.00 \$25,588,048.00 \$70,281,149.00	2023 CARRYFORWARD	2022 CARRYFORWARD	TOTAL REQUESTES \$34,000,000 \$10,693,101 \$25,588,048 <b>\$70,281,149</b>	POINTS 120 119 119	TIE BREAKER 75.269% 104.100% 72.715%	HOMELESS % 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,131,912 \$1,031,819 \$1,922,198 \$6,085,929

TOTALS

Awards	61
2024 Bond Cap	\$1,604,688,886.12
2023 Carryforward	\$474,090,020.88
2022 Carryforward	\$80,300,902.00
Total Bond Allocation	\$2,159,079,809.00
New Construction State Credit	\$137,704,665
Mixed Income State Credit	\$195,550,369
Farmerworker State Credit	\$1,000,000
Other State Credit	\$724,131
Federal Credit	\$193 104 950

\*The information presented here is preliminary and is made available for informational purposes only. The information is not binding on the Committee or its staff. It does not represent any final decision of the Committee and should not be relied upon as such. Interested parties are cautioned that any action taken in reliance on this preliminary information is take at the parties' own risk as the information presented is subject to charge at any time until formally adopted by the Committee at a duly noticed meeting.

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Parnow Friendship House, located at 164 North San Pedro Road in San Rafael on a 2.42 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,037,584 in annual federal tax credits and \$24,470,000 of taxexempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 72 units of housing, consisting of 71 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 71 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The renovations will include building exterior and interior upgrades. Interior renovations will include accessibility improvements to the bathrooms and kitchens to ensure all residents can enjoy them. The proposed renovation will also focus on sustainable and green building elements, including Energy Star Appliances, energy-efficient mechanical systems, low-flow fixtures, and a new Cool Roof. Lastly, there are no planned off-site improvements, complex or costly structural or site/topographical requirements, or other unique or abnormal features. The construction is expected to begin in October 2024 and be completed in May 2025. The project will be developed by SP Tax Credit Developer I LLC and is located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 12.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number	CA-24-408	
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Parnow Friendship House 164 North San Pedro Road San Rafael, CA 94903 Marin 1060.02	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$2,037,584	\$0
Recommended:	\$2,037,584	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$24,470,000	
<b>CTCAC</b> Applicant Information		
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sp	oonsor: Parnow Pre	servation LP
Contact:	Sean Burro	wes
Address:	701 5th Ave	nue, Suite 5700
	Seattle, WA	98104
Phone:	206-878-848	31
Email:	seanb@sec	prop.com
Bond Financing Information		
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Public Sale: Underwriter: Credit Enhancement Provide	Orrick, Herr Credit Enha Stifel, Nicola	aus & Company

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Parnow GP LLC Las Palmas Housing & Development Corporation Joint Venture Security Properties Las Palmas Housing & Development Corporation SP Tax Credit Developer I LLC PNC The John Stewart Company

Construction Type:	Acquisition & Rehabilitation
Total # Residential Buildings:	9
Total # of Units:	72
No. / % of Low Income Units:	71 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	50.59%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (71 Units -
	100%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	At-Risk
Geographic Area:	Northern Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Preservation
Set Aside:	N/A
Homeless Set Aside Units:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Cynthia Compton

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	11	15%
50% AMI:	25	35%
60% AMI:	35	49%

#### Unit Mix

71 1-Bedroom Units

1 2-Bedroom Units

72 Total Units

Unit Type & Number		2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)				
11	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,044				
25	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,741				
35	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,004				
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0				

#### Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$38,125,000
Rehabilitation Costs	\$4,378,040
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$434,304
Soft Cost Contingency	\$22,500
Relocation	\$252,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$237,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$2,467,143
Legal Fees	\$12,000
Reserves	\$853,393
Other Costs	\$445,287
Developer Fee	\$6,358,997
Total	\$53,585,664

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$93
Per Unit Cost:	\$744,245
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$52,000
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$667,558
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$339,861
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$344,648

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount
PNC: Tax-Exempt	\$24,470,000
PNC: Recycled Bonds	\$3,869,000
General Partner Loan	\$2,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,521,455
Tax Credit Equity	\$14,180,166

#### Permanent Financing

Source	Amount
PNC: Tax-Exempt	\$24,470,000
PNC: Recycled Bonds	\$3,869,000
General Partner Loan	\$2,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,521,456
Tax Credit Equity	\$17,725,208
TOTAL	\$53,585,664

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$7,290,968
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$41,461,342
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$9,478,258
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$41,461,342
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$379,130
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$1,658,454
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,037,584
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,358,997
Investor/Consultant:	PNC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$744,245. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to escalating costs of construction materials, as well as increases in labor costs, including prevailing wages.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 119.271%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Marina Towers, located at 601 Sacramento Street in Vallejo on a 0.64 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,112,952 in annual federal tax credits and \$25,400,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 155 units of housing, consisting of 154 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has and 155 one-bedroom units, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The renovations will include building exterior and interior upgrades. Building exterior renovations will consist of parking lot improvements, roofing upgrades, door replacements, and a fresh coat of paint. Interior renovations will be updated with new refrigerators, cabinets, countertops, bathroom vanities, and upgraded plumbing fixtures. Lastly, common or site area renovations will consist of concrete repairs, asphalt replacement, and ADA updates. The construction is expected to begin in November 2024 and be completed in November 2025. The project will be developed by Marina Towers Investments, LP, LLC and is located in Senate District 3 and Assembly District 11.

Marina Towers is a re-syndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Marina Tower (CA-2005-853). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

Project Number	CA-24-409		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Marina Towers 601 Sacramento Vallejo, CA 9459 Solano 2509.00		
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:		<b>nnual</b> 12,952 12,952	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$25,40	000	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sp Contact: Address: Phone: Email:		Marina Towers Ir Evan Laws 2607 2nd Avenue Seattle, WA 981 (206) 832-1311 evan.laws@vitus	e, Suite 300 21
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer Bond Counsel: Public Sale: Underwriter: Credit Enhancement Provider Rating:		California Munici Orrick, Herrington Credit Enhanced Colliers Securitie U.S. Treasury Se AAA	s LLC

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

IH Marina Towers Vallejo LLC Marina Towers Investments, LLC Joint Venture Integrity Housing Vitus & LIHC Marina Towers Investments, LP, LLC R4 Capital LLC John Stewart Company

Construction Type:	Acquisition & Rehabilitation
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	155
No. / % of Low Income Units:	154 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	49.01%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Contracts (136 Units -
-	88%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Seniors
Geographic Area:	Northern Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Other Rehabilitation
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Brett Andersen

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	16	10%
50% AMI:	84	55%
60% AMI:	54	35%

#### **Unit Mix**

155 1-Bedroom Units 155 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
16 1 Bedroom	30%	\$643
26 1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,073
58 1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,073
36 1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,287
18 1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,287
1 1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$17,912,685
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$18,397,737
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,816,476
Soft Cost Contingency	\$75,000
Relocation	\$1,014,758
Architectural/Engineering	\$326,750
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,315,161
Legal Fees	\$300,000
Reserves	\$2,024,018
Other Costs	\$489,180
Developer Fee	\$4,435,564
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$50,107,329

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$148
Per Unit Cost:	\$323,273
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$102,800
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$310,668
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$163,871
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$164,935

#### **Construction Financing Permanent Financing** Source Amount Source Amount Rockport: HUD 221D4 \$25,400,000 Rockport: HUD 221D4 \$27,100,000 Rockport: HUD 221D4 \$1,700,000 Net Operating Income \$1,500,000 Colliers Equity Bridge Loan \$8,000,000 General Partner Loan \$750,000 Tax Credit Equity \$3,760,678 General Partner Equity \$100 Deferred Developer Fee \$1,953,837 Tax Credit Equity \$18,803,392 TOTAL

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)	
Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$26,539,311
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$18,322,694
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$34,501,104
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$18,322,694
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$1,380,044
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$732,908
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,112,952
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,435,564
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital LLC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

\$50,107,329

#### CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-05-853). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s).

Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-05-853) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission. The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event with distribution of Net Project Equity, which is otherwise required to set aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve in the amount of \$775,000. In lieu of a Short Term Work Capitalized Reserve, the applicant is allowed to use the Short Term Work Reserve Amount to fund rehabilitation expenses. The Short Term Work Reserve Amount of \$777,442 is excluded from eligible basis.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	kimum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 178.236%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The project, 20th Street Apartments, located at 1634 20th Street in Santa Monica on a 0.46 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,879,946 in annual federal tax credits and \$11,973,801 in total state tax credits and \$36,027,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 78 units of housing, consisting of 76 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 38 one-bedroom units, 21 two-bedroom units, and 19 three-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be a Type I-V construction. Common amenities include large community room, laundry facilities, and on-site property management and resident services. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, and dishwasher. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in April 2027. The project will be developed by Venice Community Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 51.

The project financing includes state funding from the Housing for a Healthy California (HHC), Multifamily Housing Program (MHP), National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF), and Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) programs of HCD.

State/Total \*

\$11,973,801

\$11,973,801

Project Number	CA-24-414	
Project Name	20th Street Apartments	
Site Address:	1634 20th Street	
	Santa Monica, CA 90404	
County:	Los Angeles	
Census Tract:	7018.02	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	
Requested:	\$2,879,946	

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

\$2,879,946

Recommended:	\$36,027,000
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor:	Venice Community Housing Corporation
Contact:	Rebecca Dennison
Address:	200 Lincoln Boulevard
	Venice, CA 90291
Phone:	310-573-8399
Email:	bdennison@vchcorp.org
Bond Financing Information	
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel:	Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citi Community Capital

Recommended:

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### 1634 20th Street MGP LLC Nonprofit Venice Community Housing Corporation & Community Corporation of Santa Monica Venice Community Housing Corporation California Housing Partnership Venice Community Housing Corporation

100.00%

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 78 No. / % of Low Income Units: 76 Average Targeted Affordability: 31.67% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Special Needs
Geographic Area:	Balance of Los Angeles County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Homeless Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	38
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	53	70%
60% AMI:	23	30%

#### Unit Mix

38 1-Bedroom Units

21 2-Bedroom Units

19 3-Bedroom Units

78 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
34	1 Bedroom	30%	\$355
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$468
2	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$851
9	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$983
2	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,419
11	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,702
10	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,967
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$9,427,168
Construction Costs	\$39,071,274
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,977,881
Soft Cost Contingency	\$800,000
Relocation	\$731,926
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,482,207
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$8,735,532
Legal Fees	\$230,000
Reserves	\$17,594,901
Other Costs	\$3,744,966
Developer Fee	\$2,800,000
Total	\$87,595,855

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$536
Per Unit Cost:	\$1,123,024
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$441,496
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$1,110,914
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$461,885
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$474,039

#### **Construction Financing**

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
CitiBank: Tax-Exempt	\$36,027,000	HCD: NHTF	\$14,752,796
HCD: NHTF	\$6,287,642	HCD: HHC	\$15,300,000
HCD: HHC	\$15,300,000	HCD: IIG	\$4,291,749
HCD: IIG	\$4,291,749	HCD: MHP	\$10,495,619
Accrued Deferred Interest	\$362,278	HCD: HHC COSR <sup>1</sup>	\$5,100,000
Impact Fee Waiver	\$944,570	Impact Fee Waiver	\$944,570
Deferred Costs	\$19,101,990	Accrued Deferred Interest	\$362,278
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,280,626	Tax Credit Equity	\$36,348,843
		TOTAL	\$87,595,855

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Housing for a Healthy California Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve

Determination of Credit Amount(s)	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$55,383,568
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$71,998,638
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,879,946
Total State Credit:	\$11,973,801
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$2,800,000
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.91289
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84000

#### CA-24-414

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$1,110,914. Applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to increased construction cost due to construction design elements required by the locality, as well as material and holding costs.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker:

80.723%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The project, 1241 North Main, located at 1241 North Main Street in Manteca on a 3.53 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,493,293 in annual federal tax credits and \$38,323,293 of taxexempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 100 units of housing, consisting of 99 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 24 one-bedroom units, 50 two-bedroom units, and 26 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). There will be four 3-story concrete slab on grade foundation / stucco exterior / Type V-A residential buildings. The buildings will be designed and built to achieve LEED Gold status. Common amenities include a large community room, laundry facilities, management offices and 143 uncovered surface parking spaces, which will include 16 code compliant ADA parking spaces. Three of these ADA parking spaces will be van accessible. Additionally, the project will also include a playground, an indoor ping pong table (or similar) and a lounge area. Each unit will have blinds, carpet/vinyl flooring, and central air conditioning. Appliances will include a refrigerator, oven, dishwasher, and garbage disposal. The construction is expected to begin in November 2024 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by Bold Communities and will be located in Senate District 5 and Assembly District 9.

The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP), the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG), and Joe Serna Jr. (FWHG) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-424		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	1241 North Main 1241 North Main Street Manteca, CA 95336 San Joaquin 51.26		
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	\$3	l/ <b>Annual</b> ,493,293 ,493,293	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:		\$38,323,293	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Contact: Address: Phone: Email:		Bold Mantec Michael Mille 4915 Gambie Los Angeles 650-464-131 mike@boldc	er er Street , CA 90032
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Iss Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchas			unicipal Finance Authority A Professional Law Corporation A.

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	Bold Manteca LLC
General Partner Type:	Nonprofit
Parent Company(ies):	Bold Communities
Developer:	Bold Communities
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Management Agent:	AWI Management Corporation

#### **Project Information**

New Construction	
4	
100	
99	100.00%
47.58%	
40%/60%	
Tax-Exe	mpt
	4 100 99 47.58% 40%/60%

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Central Valley Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Chris Saenz

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	11	11%
40% AMI:	25	25%
50% AMI:	40	40%
60% AMI:	23	23%

#### Unit Mix

24 1-Bedroom Units

50 2-Bedroom Units

26 3-Bedroom Units 100 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
3	1 Bedroom	30%	\$493
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$592
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$684
6	1 Bedroom	40%	\$658
13	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$790
6	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$912
9	1 Bedroom	50%	\$822
21	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$987
10	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,140
6	1 Bedroom	60%	\$987
11	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,185
6	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,368
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
CA-24	-424	2	Au

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$3,464,300
Construction Costs	\$44,348,523
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,209,088
Soft Cost Contingency	\$376,768
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,227,350
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$9,304,173
Legal Fees	\$45,000
Reserves	\$202,838
Other Costs	\$4,624,013
Developer Fee	\$8,762,441
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$74,564,494

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$425
Per Unit Cost:	\$745,645
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$382,236
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$693,895
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$383,233
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$387,104

Construction F	inancing	Permanent Finance	cing
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
CitiBank: Tax-Exempt	\$38,323,293	HCD: IIG	\$5,469,400
CitiBank: Taxable	\$16,303,111	HCD: Joe Serna Jr. FWHG	\$10,547,446
HCD: IIG	\$5,469,400	HCD: MHP	\$21,798,150
Deferred Costs	\$3,147,822	Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,174,961
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,174,961	Tax Credit Equity	\$31,574,537
Tax Credit Equity	\$6,145,907	TOTAL	\$74,564,494

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$67,178,715
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$87,332,330
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,493,293
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$8,762,441
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90386

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$745,645. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages, interest rates, and material costs.

When contracting with a California-experienced property management company as described in CTCAC regulation section 10325(c)(1) (A)(ii) and (B)(ii), the general partner and property co-management entity must obtain training in: project operations, on-site certification training in federal fair housing law, and manager certification in IRS Section 42 program requirements from a CTCAC-approved, nationally recognized entity. Additionally, the experienced property management agent or an equally experienced substitute, must remain for a period of at least 3 years from the placed-in-service date (or, for ownership transfers, 3 years from the sale or transfer date) to allow for at least one (1) CTCAC monitoring visit to ensure the project is in compliance with IRC Section 42. Thereafter, the experienced property manager may transfer responsibilities to the remaining general partner or property management firm following formal written approval from CTCAC.

# **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum 0		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

#### **Tie Breaker:** 63.316%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The project, 121 Mathews, located at 119 North Mathews Street in Los Angeles on a 0.2 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$492,134 in annual federal tax credits and \$5,945,000 of taxexempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 40 units of housing, consisting of 39 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 8 one-bedroom units, and 32 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 4 stories and Type V construction. Common amenities include a shared laundry room, secured access, bike storage room, and a landscaped perimeter. Each unit will have hard surface floors, window coverings, refrigerator/stove/cooktop, and individual HVAC. The construction is expected to begin in November 2024 and be completed in February 2026. The project will be developed by HVN Development, LLC and will be located in Senate District 26 and Assembly District 54.

Project Number	CA-24-426
----------------	-----------

Project Name	121 Mathews
Site Address:	119 North Mathews Street
	Los Angeles, CA 90033
County:	Los Angeles
Census Tract:	2042.00

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$492,134	\$0
Recommended:	\$492,134	\$0

# **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$5,945,000

# **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor:	HVN Development, LLC
Contact:	Tommy Beadel
Address:	7700 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 780
	Irvine, CA 92618
Phone:	949-979-0833
Email:	tommy@hvndevelopment.com

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser: California Housing Finance Agency Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citibank, N.A.

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

## **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 40 No. / % of Low Income Units: 39 Average Targeted Affordability: 56.43% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

## Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	N/A
Homeless Set Aside Units:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Danielle Stevenson
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	4	10%
50% AMI:	4	10%
60% AMI:	23	59%
80% AMI*:	8	21%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

8 1-Bedroom Units 32 2-Bedroom Units 40 Total Units

HVN 121 Mathews LLC Affordable Housing Alliance II, Inc. Joint Venture HVN Holdings GP, LLC Affordable Housing Alliance II, Inc. HVN Development, LLC R4 Capital Aperto Property Management, Inc.

100.00%

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Target Area Median Inc		Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$851
4 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,418
8 1 Bedroom	60%		\$1,418
15 2 Bedrooms	60%		\$1,702
8 2 Bedrooms	80%		\$1,775
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Ur	nit	\$0
Project Cost Summary at Applica	ition		
Land and Acquisition	\$1,349,7	50	
Construction Costs	\$5,976,2		
Construction Hard Cost Contingend			
Soft Cost Contingency	\$181,0		
Architectural/Engineering	\$591,9		
Legal Fees	\$327,8		
Reserves	\$164,5		
Developer Fee	\$1,234,5		
Total	\$11,983,9		
Residential			
Construction Cost Per Square Foot	:	\$265	
Per Unit Cost:		\$299,599	
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$129,091	
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$299,599	
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$148,625	
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Ren	tal Unit:	\$191,774	
Construction Fina	Incing		Permanent Financir
Source	Amount	Source	
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$5,945,000	Citibank: Tax	k-Exempt
Citibank: Recycled	\$2,055,000	General Part	•
General Partner Loan	\$2,151,713	Tax Credit E	
Deferred Costs	\$164,584	TOTAL	<b>/</b>
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,234,572		
Tax Credit Equity	\$433,077		
*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carrybao	ck Loans, and Defer	red Developer F	ee
Determination of Credit Amount(	s)		
Requested Eligible Basis:	-		\$9,465,054

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$9,465,054
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$12,304,570
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$492,134
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$1,234,572
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Amount \$5,756,000

\$1,897,170 \$4,330,776 **\$11,983,946**  Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

# **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker:

152.721%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Quince Street Seniors, located at 220 North Quince Street in Escondido on a 1.48 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,989,528 in annual federal tax credits and \$42,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 145 units of housing, consisting of 142 restricted rental units, and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 4 studio units, 129 one-bedroom units, and 12 two-bedroom units, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be a new Type V-A & III-A (garage) construction project consisting of a 145-unit, four-story, 205,670 square feet senior, podium-style apartment building with dual-elevator services. Common amenities include accessible front-loading laundry appliances on all floors, landscaped outdoor courtyards, podium parking, a Community Center for gatherings/events, unit heating/air conditioning, and common area mailboxes with mobility features. All units will have fire-sprinklers with easy-access kitchens with dishwasher and cabinetry including toe-board & removable shelving, easy-operation kitchen, bathtub & lavatory controls, and shower/tub surrounds with built-in grab-bar reinforcement. The construction is expected to begin in August 2024 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by San Diego Interfaith Housing Foundation and will be located in Senate District 38 and Assembly District 75.

#### Project Number

CA-24-427

Project Name	Quince Street Seniors 220
Site Address:	North Quince Street
	Escondido, CA 92025 San
County:	Diego
Census Tract:	203.07

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$2,989,528	\$0
Recommended:	\$2,989,528	\$0

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$42,000,000

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address:

Phone: Email:

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:California Municipal Finance AuthorityBond Counsel:Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLPPublic Sale:Credit EnhancedUnderwriter:Lument Securities, LLCCredit Enhancement Provider:Fannie Mae

220 Quince, L.P.

Matthew Jumper

619-668-1532

7956 Lester Avenue Lemon Grove, CA 91945

mjumper@sdihf.org

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: Quince Interfaith Housing Corporation Nonprofit San Diego Interfaith Housing Foundation Redstone Equity Partners Interfaith Housing Assistance Corp.

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Con	struction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1	
Total # of Units:	145	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	142	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	55.79%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	)
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exer	npt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Seniors
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
Set Aside:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	15	11%
50% AMI:	15	11%
60% AMI:	112	79%

#### Unit Mix

4 SRO/Studio Units

- 129 1-Bedroom Units
- 12 2-Bedroom Units
- 145 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,447
1	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,206
1	SRO/Studio	30%	\$723
104	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,551
12	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,292
13	1 Bedroom	30%	\$775
6	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$809
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,861
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,551
3	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

\$2,300,000
\$52,249,548
\$3,111,881
\$236,230
\$1,000,000
\$4,854,074
\$140,000
\$526,805
\$5,285,840
\$9,800,000
\$79,504,378

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$390
Per Unit Cost:	\$548,306
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$319,608
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$503,754
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$289,655
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$295,775

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$42,000,000	Lument	\$16,878,756
General Partner Loan	\$20,900,593	General Partner Loan	\$30,455,684
Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,913,241	Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,460,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$7,690,544	Tax Credit Equity	\$25,709,938
		TOTAL	\$79,504,378

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$75,328,659
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$75,328,659
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,989,528
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,800,000
Investor/Consultant:	Redstone Equity Partners
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	0
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	111

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 72.027%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The project, 6018 Brynhurst, located at 6018 Brynhurst Avenue in Los Angeles on a 0.26 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$596,833 in annual federal tax credits and \$7,170,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 50 units of housing, consisting of 49 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 12 one-bedroom units, and 38 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be a 4-story wood frame (Type V) structure with slab on grade foundation and stucco interior. Common amenities include a shared laundry room, bike storage, and a landscaped perimeter. An area within the manager's unit will be designed for use by the project's supportive service provider to enable private meetings with residents and delivery of the committed classes and services. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, and garbage disposal. The construction is expected to begin in November 2024 and be completed in February 2026. The project will be developed by HVN Development, LLC and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 61.

Project Number	CA-24-428
Project Name	6018 Brynhurst
Site Address:	6018 Brynhurst Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90043
County:	Los Angeles
Census Tract:	2347.00

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$596,833	\$0
Recommended:	\$596,833	\$0

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$7,170,000

# **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address: HVN Development, LLC Tommy Beadel 7700 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 780 Irvine, CA 92618 949-979-0833 tommy@hvndevelopment.com

Phone: Email:

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:California Housing Finance AgencyBond Counsel:Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLPPrivate Placement Purchaser:Citibank, N.A.

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

# **Project Information**

HVN 6018 Brynhurst LLC Affordable Housing Alliance II, Inc. Joint Venture HVN Holdings GP, LLC Affordable Housing Alliance II, Inc. HVN Development, LLC R4 Capital Aperto Property Management, Inc.

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:50No. / % of Low Income Units:49Average Targeted Affordability:55.50%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

## Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Franklin Cui

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

 Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	5	10%
50% AMI:	5	10%
60% AMI:	29	59%
80% AMI*:	10	20%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

12 1-Bedroom Units 38 2-Bedroom Units

50 Total Units

	Unit Type2023 Rents Targeted % of& NumberArea Median Income		Proposed Rent (including utilities)
1	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$851
1	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
10	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,369
19	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,702
10	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$1,702
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

\$1,566,250
\$7,295,805
\$366,790
\$218,726
\$612,099
\$1,885,332
\$305,878
\$198,571
\$461,418
\$1,497,073
\$14,407,942

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$229
Per Unit Cost:	\$288,159
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$131,023
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$288,159
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$143,400
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$146,327

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$7,170,000
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$2,830,000
Deferred Costs	\$198,571
General Partner Loan	\$2,187,138
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,497,073
Tax Credit Equity	\$525,160

Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$7,000,000	
General Partner Loan	\$2,156,336	
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,251,606	
TOTAL	\$14,407,942	

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$11,477,559
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$14,920,827
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$596,833
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$1,497,073
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$6,300. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$5,846 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

# **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 153.345%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Terracina at Wildhawk, located south of Gerber Road and east of Bradshaw Road in Sacramento on a 6.92 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,131,912 in annual federal tax credits and \$34,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 145 units of housing, consisting of 144 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 36 one-bedroom units, 73 two-bedroom units, and 36 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The building will be six 3-story walk-up buildings with 24 total units each, all of which are Type V-A construction. Common amenities include a 5,436+ sf two-story clubhouse/recreation center near the project entry with a multi-purpose clubroom with lounge area that includes a large-screen television, training/learning space with resident computer stations, restrooms, laundry facilities, leasing office and manager's office. Each unit will have a full kitchen, living space, indoor storage energy efficient appliances and low flow plumbing faucets and fixtures. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in July 2026. The project will be developed by USA Multi-Family Development, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 8 and Assembly District 10.

Project Number	CA-24-433		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Terracina at Wil South of Gerber Sacramento, C/ Sacramento 93.30	r Road and East c	of Bradshaw Road
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	Federal/Aı \$3,13 \$3,13	1,912	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$34,00	0,000	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sp Contact: Address: Phone: Email:		Sacramento 726, Darren Bobrowsk 3200 Douglas Blv Roseville, CA 95 916865-3981 dbobrowsky@usa	y /d., Suite 200 661
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:		Housing Authority Orrick, Herringtor Citi Community C	

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant:

# **Project Information**

Construction Type: **New Construction** Total # Residential Buildings: 6 Total # of Units: 145 No. / % of Low Income Units: 144 100.00% Average Targeted Affordability: 60.00% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

# Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dianne Myers

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	15	10%
50% AMI:	30	21%
60% AMI:	24	17%
70% AMI*:	75	52%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

36 1-Bedroom Units 73 2-Bedroom Units 36 3-Bedroom Units 145 Total Units

Management Agent:

USA Sacramento 726, Inc. **Riverside Charitable Corporation** Joint Venture USA Properties Fund, Inc. Not Applicable USA Multi-Family Development, Inc. WNC USA Multifamily Management, Inc.

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
8	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,005
5	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,206
19	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,407
8	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$723
16	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
19	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,447
29	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$1,688
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$836
6	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,393
27	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$1,951
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$2,277,233
Construction Costs	\$41,510,765
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,029,409
Soft Cost Contingency	\$273,423
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,014,850
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,611,507
Legal Fees	\$85,000
Reserves	\$554,351
Other Costs	\$7,309,882
Developer Fee	\$7,855,968
Total	\$67,522,388

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$353
Per Unit Cost:	\$465,672
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$244,086
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$422,714
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$234,483
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$492,754

# **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount
Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$34,000,000
Citi: Taxable	\$5,200,000
SHRA <sup>1</sup>	\$8,280,000
Sacramento County: Waived Fees	\$1,226,995
Deferred Costs	\$8,469,359
Tax Credit Equity	\$6,016,316

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount
Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$20,975,000
SHRA <sup>1</sup>	\$9,200,000
Sacramento County: Waived Fees	\$1,226,995
Net Operating Income	\$1,036,902
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,001,909
Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$954,800
Tax Credit Equity	\$29,126,782
TOTAL	\$67,522,388

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Sacramento Housing Redevelopment Agency

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$60,229,092
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$78,297,820
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,131,912
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,855,968
Investor/Consultant:	WNC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	kimum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 75.269%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Wildomar Cottages, located at 32650 Mesa Drive in Wildomar on a 8.98 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,874,336 in annual federal tax credits and \$20,132,669 in total state tax credits and \$38,620,493 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 130 units of housing, consisting of 128 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 36 two-bedroom units, 63 three-bedroom units, and 31 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The building will be type V-B construction. Common amenities include large community room, laundry facilities, parcel lockers, and computer room. Each unit will have refrigerator, range/oven, and dishwasher. The construction is expected to begin in December 2024 and be completed in December 2026. The project will be developed by Affirmed Housing Group, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 32 and Assembly District 71.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number	CA-24-434
Project Name Site Address:	Wildomar Cottages 32650 Mesa Drive Wildomar, CA 92530
County: Census Tract:	Riverside 464.04

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$3,874,336	\$20,132,669
Recommended:	\$3,874,336	\$20,132,669

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

\$38,620,493

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Applicant for State Credits: Contact: Address: Phone: Email: CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Compass for Affordable Housing Compass for Affordable Housing Robin Martinez 13520 Evening Creek Dr. N. Suite 560 San Diego, CA 92128 (858) 679-2463 robin@compassfah.org

# CA-24-434

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

Construction Type:

Total # of Units:

Federal Subsidy:

Total # Residential Buildings:

No. / % of Low Income Units:

Federal Set-Aside Elected:

#### **Project Information**

**New Construction** 13 130 128 100.00% Average Targeted Affordability: 53.76% 40%/60% Average Income Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (32 Units -25%)

### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Inland Empire Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Rural
Set Aside:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dianne Myers

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	32	25%
50% AMI:	40	31%
70% AMI*:	56	44%

\*CTCAC restricted only

California Housing Finance Agency Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation Citibank, N.A.

AHG Wildomar LLC CFAH Housing, LLC Joint Venture Affirmed Housing Group, Inc. Compass for Affordable Housing Affirmed Housing Group, Inc. WNC, Inc. Solari Enterprises

# Unit Mix

36 2-Bedroom Units

63 3-Bedroom Units

31 4-Bedroom Units

130 Total Units

		Pro	oposed Rent	
Unit Type	2023 Rents Target	ed % of	including	
& Number	Area Median In	come	utilities)	
10 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$629	
26 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,048	
11 3 Bedrooms	30%		\$727	
12 3 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,211	
38 3 Bedrooms	70%		\$1,696	
11 4 Bedrooms	30%		\$811	
2 4 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,352	
18 4 Bedrooms	70%		\$1,893	
2 3 Bedrooms	Manager's U	nit	\$0	
Project Cost Summary at Application	on			
Land and Acquisition	\$2,420,00	0		
Construction Costs	\$47,300,00	0		
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,365,00	0		
Soft Cost Contingency	\$797,10	3		
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,900,00	0		
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$8,295,64	1		
Legal Fees	\$332,00	0		
Reserves	\$593,00	0		
Other Costs	\$4,414,42	9		
Developer Fee	\$9,718,23			
Total	\$79,135,40			
Residential				
Construction Cost Per Square Foot:		\$318		
Per Unit Cost:		\$608,734		
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$321,633		
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$564,025		
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$297,081		
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental	Unit:	\$536,396		
Construction Finar	ncing	Perma	nent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	-	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$38,620,493	Citibank		\$18,765,718
Citibank: Taxable	\$23,142,874	Deferred Developer F	ee	\$5,812,156
Tax Credit Equity	\$17,372,039	Tax Credit Equity		\$54,557,532

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

\$79,135,406

TOTAL

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$74,506,457
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$96,858,394
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,874,336
Total State Credit:	\$20,132,669
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,718,233
Investor/Consultant:	WNC, Inc.
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.94050
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

# CDLAC Analyst Comments

None

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitati on Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximu	im	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 83.630%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Sugar Pine Village Phase 1B, located at 1860 Lake Tahoe Boulevard in South Lake Tahoe on a 2.48 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,922,198 in annual federal tax credits and \$25,588,048 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 60 units of housing, consisting of 59 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 12 studio units, 12 one-bedroom units, 20 two-bedroom units, and 16 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The buildings will consists of two 3-story walk up style buildings (30 units each) both of which are Type V (wood frame) construction with either slab-on-grade or raised perimeter foundation. Common amenities include community room, laundry room, picnic area, playground, on-site manager and surveillance cameras. Each unit will have dishwashers, refrigerator, stove/oven, carpet, exterior decks or patios, storage cabinets, solid-surface countertops, air conditioning and central heating. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in February 2027. The project will be developed by Related Irvine Development Company and will be located in Senate District 1 and Assembly District 5.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG), Multifamily Housing Program (MHP), and Local Government Matching Grants (LGMG) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-435
----------------	-----------

Project Name	Sugar Pine Village Phase 1B
Site Address:	1860 Lake Tahoe Boulevard
	South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150
County:	El Dorado
Census Tract:	304.02

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$1,922,198	\$0
Recommended:	\$1,922,198	\$0

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$25,588,048

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

Applicant:	Sugar Pine Phase 1B Housing Partners, L.P.
Contact:	Ann Silverberg
Address:	44 Montgomery Street Suite 1310
	San Francisco, CA 94104
Phone:	415-677-9000
Email:	asilverberg@related.com

## Bond Financing Information

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

# **Project Information**

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citibank, N.A. Sugar Pine Phase 1B Development Co., LLC

California Municipal Finance Authority

St Joseph Sugar Pine Village Phase 1B LLC Joint Venture The Related Companies of California, LLC Saint Joseph Community Land Trust Related Irvine Development Company Red Stone Equity Partners The John Stewart Company

Joot mornation	
Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	2
Total # of Units:	60
No. / % of Low Income Units:	59 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	48.72%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (16
-	Units - 27%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	18	31%
50% AMI:	12	20%
60% AMI:	29	49%

#### Unit Mix

12 SRO/Studio Units 12 1-Bedroom Units 20 2-Bedroom Units 16 3-Bedroom Units 60 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent _(including utilities)
2	SRO/Studio	30%	\$563
2	SRO/Studio	30%	\$562
4	SRO/Studio	50%	\$938
4	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,125
4	1 Bedroom	30%	\$602
4	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,005
4	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,206
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$711
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
13	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,447
5	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$824
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,393
8	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,671
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Construction Costs	\$33,601,565
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,680,078
Soft Cost Contingency	\$507,564
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,760,800
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,929,440
Legal Fees	\$148,000
Reserves	\$226,814
Other Costs	\$3,856,465
Developer Fee	\$4,800,000
Total	\$50,510,726

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$588
Per Unit Cost:	\$841,845
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$477,572
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$809,006
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$426,467
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$433,696

# **Construction Financing**

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$25,588,048	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$4,667,000
HOME	\$5,162,446	HOME	\$5,162,446
HCD: IIG	\$2,953,700	HCD: IIG	\$2,953,700
HCD: LGMG	\$9,999,999	HCD: LGMG	\$9,999,999
City of South Lake Tahoe: HOME	\$625,000	HCD: MHP	\$7,448,016
Deferred Costs	\$158,554	City of South Lake Tahoe: HOME	\$625,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,370,346	Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,970,346
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,652,633	Tax Credit Equity	\$17,684,219
		TOTAL	\$50,510,726

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

\$48,059,748 **Requested Eligible Basis:** 130% High Cost Adjustment: No Applicable Fraction: 100.00% Qualified Basis: \$48.059.748 Applicable Rate: 4.00% **Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:** \$1,922,198 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$4,800,000 Investor/Consultant: **Red Stone Equity Partners** Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.92000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-24-435 must be completed as part of the placed in service package.

Development costs are roughly \$808,278 per unit. The factors affecting this cost include a condensed building season, limited supply of subcontractors, and transportation of building materials.

Projects with funding and/or subsidy(ies) from HUD are required to use Utility Allowances (UAs) approved by HUD. The applicant has proposed to use the Utility Allowances approved by the Public Housing Authority for the 16 units with Project-based Vouchers and the CUAC for all remaining units.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 72.715%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

The Pardes 2, located at 8335 Tarak Drive in Elk Grove on a 3.95 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,567,918 in annual federal tax credits and \$20,584,139 in total state tax credits and \$35,462,141 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 140 units of housing, consisting of 139 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 42 onebedroom units, 48 two-bedroom units, and 50 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). This project will consist of two 3-and 4-story walk-up Type VA, wood framed over concrete slab constructed buildings. Common amenities include a multipurpose room, computer rooms, laundry rooms and bike storage. There will be 190 surface parking spaces (1.35 spaces per unit). Van, handicapped, EV, motorcycles and bicycle parking spaces will be provided to conform to government code and building code requirements. Each unit will include blinds, carpeting and vinyl flooring, coat closets, ceiling fan, and central heating and air conditioning. Appliances will include a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal and dishwasher. The construction is expected to begin in November 2024 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 8 and Assembly District 10.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

#### **Project Number** CA-24-441

Project Name	The Pardes 2
Site Address:	8335 Tarak Drive
	Elk Grove, CA 95757
County:	Sacramento
Census Tract:	96.52

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$3,567,918	\$20,584,139
Recommended:	\$3,567,918	\$20,584,139

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### Тах

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$35,462,141
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor:	CRP The Pardes 2 LP
Applicant for State Credits:	Bold Pardes II LLC
Contact:	Michael Miller
Address:	4915 Gambier Street
	Los Angeles, CA 90032
Phone:	650-464-1319
Email:	mike@boldcommunities.org

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

CA-24-441

mike@polacommunitles.org

California Housing Finance Agency Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citibank, N.A.

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	CRP The Pardes 2 AGP LLC
	Enright Pardes, LLC
	Bold Pardes II LLC
General Partner Type:	Joint Venture
Parent Company(ies):	CRP The Pardes 2 AGP LLC
	Enright Pardes, LLC
	Bold Communities
Developer:	CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC
Investor/Consultant:	CREALLC
Management Agent:	John Stewart Company
Project Information	

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	2
Total # of Units:	140
No. / % of Low Income Units:	139 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	56.19%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (8 Units - 6%)

# Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	BIPOC
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Brett Andersen

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	42	30%
60% AMI:	24	17%
70% AMI*:	73	53%

\*CTCAC restricted only

# Unit Mix

42 1-Bedroom Units 48 2-Bedroom Units 50 3-Bedroom Units 140 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
14	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
8	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,206
20	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,407
10	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$724
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$724
8	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,447
26	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$1,688
10	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$836
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$836
8	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,672
27	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$1,950
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Project Cost Summary at Application				
Land and Acquisition	\$1,957,627			
Construction Costs	\$41,300,000			
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,065,000			
Soft Cost Contingency	\$647,840			
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,727,000			
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$6,051,581			
Legal Fees	\$250,000			
Reserves	\$676,887			
Other Costs	\$10,189,581			
Developer Fee	\$8,949,626			
Total	\$73,815,142			

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$219
Per Unit Cost:	\$527,251
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$251,402
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$481,776
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$253,301
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$537,305

# **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$35,462,141	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$16,197,385
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$6,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,366,461
Citibank: Taxable	\$15,142,503	Tax Credit Equity	\$51,251,296
Deferred Costs	\$9,183,486	TOTAL	\$73,815,142
Tax Credit Equity	\$8,027,012		

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Permanent Financing** 

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$68,613,798
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$89,197,938
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,567,918
Total State Credit:	\$20,584,139
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$8,949,626
Investor/Consultant:	CREA LLC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10326(g)(5), general partners lacking documented experience with Section 42 requirements using the minimum scoring standards in Section 10325(c)(1)(A) shall be required to complete training as prescribed by CTCAC prior to a project placing in service.

# **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 68.315%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Witmer Manor , located at 1501 Miramar Street in Los Angeles on a 1.37 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,404,747 in annual federal tax credits and \$42,207,981 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 238 units of housing, consisting of 236 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project has 142 studio units, and 96 one-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The renovations will include building exterior and interior upgrades. Building exterior renovations will consist of renewing roofing, windows, upgraded heat pumps, and new LED lighting throughtout. Interior renovations will include laundry upgrades, corridor flooring replacement, drywall and painting repairs. Individual apartment units will be updated with kitchen updates, vanity replacements, vinyl plank flooring, drywall repairs, new paint, bathroom upgrades and replacements, new energy efficient appliances, new blinds, as well as new LED interior lighting. Lastly, common or site area renovations will consist of ADA accessibility repairs, new mailboxes, new lighting in the parking garage, and updates to courtyard amenities. The construction is expected to begin in October 2024 and be completed in October 2025. The project will be developed by Community Preservation Partners, LLC and is located in Senate District 26 and Assembly District 54.

Witmer Manor is a re-syndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Witmer Manor Preservation Project (CA-2004-860). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

#### Project Number CA-24-442

Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Witmer Manor 1501 Miramar S Los Angeles, C Los Angeles 2083.02		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal	/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$3,4	404,747	\$0
Recommended:	\$3,4	404,747	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation			
Recommended:	\$42,3	207,981	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Contact: Address:	Sponsor:	Witmer Mano Seth Gellis 17782 Sky Pa Irvine, CA 92	
Phone:		949-278-3658	3
Email:		sgellis@cpp-ł	nousing.com
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issu Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchase			nicipal Finance Authority gton & Sutcliffe LLP

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Witmer Manor GP, LLC FFAH V Witmer Manor, LLC Joint Venture WNC Development Partners 4, LLC Foundation for Affordable Housing V, Inc Community Preservation Partners, LLC WNC & Associates FPI Management Corporation

Construction Type:	Acquisition & Rehabilitation		
Total # Residential Buildings:	8		
Total # of Units:	238		
No. / % of Low Income Units:	236	100.00%	
Average Targeted Affordability:	49.45%		
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%		
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exem	pt /	
	HUD Sect	ion 8 Project-based Vouchers (236 Units - 99%)	

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted	
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles	
State Ceiling Pool:	Other Rehabilitation	
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Danielle Stevenson	
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dylan Hervey	

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

	Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
_	30% AMI:	83	35%
	60% AMI:	153	65%

#### **Unit Mix**

142 SRO/Studio Units 96 1-Bedroom Units

Unit Type & Number		2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)	
94	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,324	
2	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,418	
57	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,418	
3	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709	
47	SRO/Studio	30%	\$662	
33	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709	
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0	
1	SRO/Studio	Manager's Unit	\$0	

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

\$48,450,000
\$17,888,449
\$1,806,710
\$150,000
\$595,000
\$358,300
\$7,762,664
\$215,000
\$1,357,000
\$542,482
\$6,203,268
\$85,328,873

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$157
Per Unit Cost:	\$358,525
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$65,273
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$340,268
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$177,344
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$178,847

#### **Construction Financing**

#### Source Amount Citibank: Tax-Exempt \$42,207,981 Citibank: Taxable \$31,011,475 Net Operating Income \$928,217 Seller Credit \$800,000 \$4,345,177 Deferred Developer Fee General Partner Equity \$43,669 Tax Credit Equity \$5,992,354

# Permanent Financing

Source	Amount
Citbank: Tax-Exempt	\$42,207,981
Citibank: Taxable	\$7,042,060
Net Operating Income	\$928,217
Seller Credit	\$800,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,345,177
General Partner Equity	\$43,669
Tax Credit Equity	\$29,961,769
TOTAL	\$85,328,873

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$31,367,178
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$44,349,842
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$40,777,331
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$44,349,842
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$1,630,753
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$1,773,994
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,404,747
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,203,268
Investor/Consultant:	WNC & Associates
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver under TCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(K) to reduce the 10% mobility feature requirement down to 5%, to provide fully compliant units with mobility features, to provide accessible parking, and to provide an accessible common use landry room.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-04-860). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement for the duration of the new regulatory agreement.

• Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-04-860) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event with distribution of Net Project Equity, which is otherwise required to set aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve in the amount of \$1,233,125. In lieu of a Short Term Work Capitalized Reserve, the seller of the project will give a credit in the amount of \$800,000, allowing the applicant to use Short Term Work Reserve Amount to fund rehabilitation expenses and to receive eligible basis. The Short Term Work Reserve Amount of \$433,125 is excluded from eligible basis.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 164.702%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The project, 69th Street Apartments, located at 6661 Folsom Boulevard in Sacramento on a 1.42 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,494,886 in annual federal tax credits and \$16,811,236 in total state tax credits and \$46,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 130 units of housing, consisting of 129 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 30 one-bedroom units, 60 two-bedroom units, and 40 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be a 5-story Type III-A construction over 1 story Type 1 garage podium. Common amenities include a community room, mail room, lobby, lounge area, leasing office, and restrooms. Each unit will have a stove/oven, refrigerator, microwave, and dishwasher. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 8 and Assembly District 6.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) and Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-443
Project Name	69th Street Apartments
Site Address:	6661 Folsom Boulevard Sacramento, CA 95819
County:	Sacramento
Census Tract:	16.01
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$4,494,886	\$16,811,236
Recommended:	\$4,494,886	\$16,811,236

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$46,000,000
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Community Revitalization and Development Corporation
Contact:	David Rutledge
Address:	1918 West Street
	Redding, CA 96001
Phone:	530-241-6960
Email:	david@crdc-housing.org
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	California Municipal Finance Authority Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citibank, N.A.

#### **Development Team**

Ge	neral Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	69th Street Apartments AGP LLC
		Community Revitalization and Development Corporation
Ge	neral Partner Type:	Joint Venture
Pai	rent Company(ies):	CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC
		Community Revitalization and Development Corporation
De	veloper:	CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC
Inv	estor/Consultant:	Enterprise Housing Credit Investments
Ma	nagement Agent:	Cambridge Real Estate Services, Inc.
		-

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	130
No. / % of Low Income Units:	129 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	38.92%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (60 Units - 46%)

# Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Homeless Set-Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	60
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Paixao

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	82	64%
50% AMI:	26	20%
60% AMI:	21	16%

### Unit Mix

30 1-Bedroom Units 60 2-Bedroom Units 40 3-Bedroom Units 130 Total Units

Unit Type & Number		2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
5	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,206
4	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,005
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
15	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
10	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,447
15	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
10	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$724
25	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$724
6	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,672
7	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,393
6	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$836
20	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$836
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$5,537,836
Construction Costs	\$60,815,143
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,107,418
Soft Cost Contingency	\$576,350
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,957,345
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$8,889,840
Legal Fees	\$325,000
Reserves	\$1,043,200
Other Costs	\$3,437,344
Developer Fee	\$11,274,798
Total	\$96,964,274

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$473
Per Unit Cost:	\$745,879
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$405,902
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$678,381
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$353,846
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$356,589

# **Construction Financing**

# Permanent Financing

		- 5
Amount	Source	Amount
\$46,000,000	Citibank: Conventional	\$9,005,229
\$25,825,431	HCD: MHP	\$21,500,000
\$11,917,998	HCD: IIG	\$4,800,867
\$13,220,845	Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,774,798
	Tax Credit Equity	\$52,883,380
	TOTAL	\$96,964,274
	\$46,000,000 \$25,825,431 \$11,917,998	\$46,000,000Citibank: Conventional\$25,825,431HCD: MHP\$11,917,998HCD: IIG\$13,220,845Deferred Developer FeeTax Credit Equity

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$86,440,117
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$112,372,152
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$4,494,886
Total State Credit:	\$16,811,236
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible	Basis): \$11,274,798
Investor/Consultant:	Enterprise Housing Credit Investments
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.83992
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$678,381. Applicant stated this cost is related to increased construction costs due to construction design elements, including materials and consultation costs. Applicant also noted project is subject to prevailing wages and is being built in a DDA.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Prioritie	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	aximum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 95.403%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

El Dorado Senior Village Apartments I, located at 6464 Koki Lane, Unit A in El Dorado on a 3.57 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,924,725 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,158,746 in total state tax credits and \$21,924,368 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 72 units of housing, consisting of 71 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 57 one-bedroom units, and 15 two-bedroom units, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). There will be five 2-story buildings, all of which will be Type VA construction. Common amenities include a community building that includes a large community gardens, bocce ball court and other recreation areas throughout the community. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, microwave, garbage disposal, and washer/dryer hook-ups. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by SNO Foundation and will be located in Senate District 1 and Assembly District 5.

The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-455		
Project Name El Dorado Senior Village Apartments I			
Site Address:	6464 Koki Lane, Unit A		
	El Dorado, CA 95623		
County:	El Dorado		
Census Tract:	315.04		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *	
Requested:	\$1,924,725	\$8,158,746	

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

\$1.924.725

\$8.158.746

#### Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended:

Recommended: \$21,924,368

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor:	Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation
Applicant for State Credits:	Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation
Contact:	Sergei Oleshko
Address:	8863 Greenback Lane, Suite 324
	Orangevale, CA 95662
Phone:	(916) 949-8882
Email:	sergei@snofoundation.org
Bond Financing Information	
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citibank, N.A.

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

### **Project Information**

Jeet		
Construction Type:	New Construction	
Total # Residential Buildings:	4	
Total # of Units:	72	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	71	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	40.00%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exem	pt

# Information

Housing Type:	Seniors
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Rural
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	25	35%
40% AMI:	21	30%
50% AMI:	25	35%

#### Unit Mix

57 1-Bedroom Units 15 2-Bedroom Units

72 Total Units

12 TOTAL OHIES

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
18	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
16	1 Bedroom	40%	\$804
2	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
21	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,005
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$724
5	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$965
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	<b>\$</b> 0

Developer:

CA-24-455

PSCDC El Dorado One, LLC SNO Foundations Kingdom El Dorado I, LLC Nonprofit Pacific Southwest Community Development Corp Kingdom Development, Inc. SNO Foundation CREA Barker Management Inc.

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$4,520,000
Construction Costs	\$26,299,756
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,423,883
Soft Cost Contingency	\$373,324
Architectural/Engineering	\$700,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,027,260
Legal Fees	\$630,479
Reserves	\$424,246
Other Costs	\$4,044,878
Developer Fee	\$5,341,511
Total	\$48,785,337

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$507
Per Unit Cost:	\$677,574
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$308,124
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$612,410
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$304,505
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$308,794

#### **Construction Financing**

Construction	manonig	r crinanent i ma	nonng
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$21,924,368	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$604,857
Citibank: Taxable	\$15,140,200	HCD: MHP	\$20,195,872
Waived Impact Fees	\$450,432	Waived Impact Fees	\$450,432
Deferred Cost	\$7,776,423	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,241,417
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,493,914	Tax Credit Equity	\$23,292,759
		TOTAL	\$48,785,337

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$23,888,426
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$48,118,116
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,924,725
Total State Credit:	\$8,158,746
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$5,341,511
Investor/Consultant:	CREA
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84992

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$612,410. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the requirement of prevailing wage payments and construction supply chain issues.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker:

59.368%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Sunrise at Bogart, located at 11049 Bogart Avenue in Riverside on a 0.76 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$778,169 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,245,486 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 23 units of housing, consisting of 22 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 22 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The building will be one to two story new construction. Common amenities include large community building, laundry facilities, and on-site services specialist. Each unit will have a refrigerator, and range/oven. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by Many Mansions and will be located in Senate District 31 and Assembly District 58.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) program of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-459		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Sunrise at Bogart 11049 Bogart Avenue Riverside, CA 92501 Riverside 413.02		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annua	••••••	otal
Requested:	\$778,169		\$0
Recommended:	\$778,169	9	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$8,245,486	3	
<b>CTCAC</b> Applicant Information			
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC S	ponsor: Sunr	rise at Bogart, LP	
Contact:		e Ibarra	
Address:		Pittsburgh Avenue	04700
Phone:		cho Cucamonga, CA 988-5274	91730
Email:		e@nphsinc.org	
Eman.	jeeed	Souther the second s	
Bond Financing Information			
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue		ornia Municipal Finan	•
Bond Counsel:		es Hall, A Professional	Law Corporation
Private Placement Purchaser	: Walk	ker & Dunlop	

#### Development Team

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

# **Project Information**

NPHS Sunrise at Bogart, LLC Sunrise at Bogart, LLC Nonprofit Neighborhood Partnership Housing Services, Inc. Many Mansions California Housing Partnership Many Mansions

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	2
Total # of Units:	23
No. / % of Low Income Units:	22 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	29.98%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HOME / HUD Community Project Funding (CPF) / HUD
-	Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (22 Units - 100%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Special Needs
Geographic Area:	Inland Empire Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	22
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Brett Andersen

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	11	50%
50% AMI:	11	50%

#### **Unit Mix**

22 1-Bedroom Units 1 2-Bedroom Units 23 Total Units

Unit Type<br/>& Number2023 Rents Targeted % of<br/>Area Median IncomeProposed Rent<br/>(including utilities)111 Bedroom30%\$524111 Bedroom50%\$52412 BedroomsManager's Unit\$0

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$500,649
Construction Costs	\$9,531,286
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$670,945
Soft Cost Contingency	\$110,978
Architectural/Engineering	\$593,651
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,362,771
Legal Fees	\$150,000
Reserves	\$232,812
Other Costs	\$430,632
Developer Fee	\$2,494,132
Total	\$16,077,856

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$442
Per Unit Cost:	\$699,037
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$356,685
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$686,429
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$358,499
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$374,795

#### **Construction Financing**

	e en et a et		9
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Walker & Dunlop: Tax-Exempt	\$8,245,486	County of Riverside: HOME-ARP <sup>1</sup>	\$1,800,000
County of Riverside: HOME-ARP <sup>1</sup>	\$1,800,000	City of Riverside: HOME	\$1,119,437
HOME: Accrued Deferred Interest	\$71,035	HOME: Accrued Deferred Interest	\$71,035
Lessor Carryback Note	\$290,000	HCD: NPLH	\$1,148,527
Deferred Costs	\$1,191,859	Riverside County: HHAP <sup>2</sup>	\$2,018,434
General Partner Loan: HUD CPF	\$3,000,000	Lessor Carryback Note	\$290,000
General Partner Equity	\$100	General Partner Loan: HUD CPF	\$3,000,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,479,376	General Partner Equity	\$100
		Tax Credit Equity	\$6,630,323
		TOTAL	\$16,077,856

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>HOME American Rescue Plan <sup>2</sup>Riverside County Homeless Housing, Assistance and Prevention

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$14,964,794
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$19,454,232
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$778,169
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$2,494,132
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85204

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$686,429. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to escalating costs of construction materials, as well as increases in labor costs, including prevailing wages.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 84.696%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Chula Vista Seniors, located at 178 3rd Avenue in Chula Vista on a 0.91 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$770,213 in annual federal tax credits and \$12,300,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 58 units of housing, consisting of 57 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 20 studio units, 37 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). This new construction project will consist of a Type V-A constructed slab on grade 3-story, 37,780 sqaure feet building. Common amenities will include front-loading laundry appliances, a community room & kitchen with common area "Marmoleum" plank linoleum for gatherings/events, common area mailboxes with mobility features, raised community lounge outdoor sun deck, and a fenced recreational walking path/garden area on the exterior grounds. The proposed project will include 36 uncovered parking stalls, of which 22 are standard, 12 are compact and 2 accessible (1 accessible van). Each unit will have all fire-sprinklered with accessible kitchen routes, minimum 30" countertop lengths, and cabinetry including toe-board & removable shelving, with easyoperation kitchen, bathtub & lavatory controls and 12 mil vinyl plank over underlayment throughout, and shower/tub surrounds with built-in grab-bar reinforcement. The construction is expected to begin in August 2023 and be completed in November 2024. The project will be developed by San Diego Interfaith Housing Foundation and will be located in Senate District 80 and Assembly District 40.

Project Number CA	-24-460
-------------------	---------

Project Name	Chula Vista Seniors
Site Address:	178 3rd Avenue
	Chula Vista, CA 91910
County:	San Diego
Census Tract:	124.02

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$770,213	\$0
Recommended:	\$770,213	\$0

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$12,300,000

# **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address: C.V. Senior Housing Partners, L.P. Matthew Jumper 7956 Lester Avenue Lemon Grove, CA 91945 619-668-1532 mjumper@sdihf.org

Phone:

Email:

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Public Sale: Underwriter: Rating:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Const	truction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1	
Total # of Units:	58	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	57	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	55.80%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exem	ot

#### Information

Housing Type:	Seniors
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Cynthia Compton

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	6	11%
50% AMI:	6	11%
60% AMI:	45	79%

#### **Unit Mix**

20 SRO/Studio Units 37 1-Bedroom Units

1 2-Bedroom Units

58 Total Units

California Municipal Finance Authority Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Rated Lument Real Estate Capital, LLC AAA

C.V. Interfaith Housing Corporation Nonprofit San Diego Interfaith Housing Foundation San Diego Interfaith Housing Foundation Redstone Equity Partners Interfaith Housing Assistance Corp.

	Unit Type & Number		ents Targete Median Inc		Proposed Rent (including utilities)	
4	SRO/Studio		30%		\$723	
4	SRO/Studio		50%		\$1,206	
12	SRO/Studio		60%		\$1,447	
2	1 Bedroom		30%		\$775	
2	1 Bedroom		50%		\$1,292	
33	1 Bedroom		60%		\$1,551	
1	2 Bedrooms	Ν	lanager's Un	it	\$0	
Project C	ost Summary at Applica	ation				
Land and	Acquisition		\$2,350,0	00		
Construct	tion Costs		\$12,415,6	53		
Construct	tion Hard Cost Contingend	су	\$937,9	79		
Soft Cost	Contingency		\$148,0	09		
Architectu	ural/Engineering		\$858,5	00		
Const. Int	erest, Perm. Financing		\$1,653,4	03		
Legal Fee	es		\$140,0	00		
Reserves			\$200,3	64		
Other Cos	sts		\$1,940,4	67		
Develope	r Fee		\$2,500,0	00		
Total		_	\$23,144,3	75		
Resident	ial					
Construct	tion Cost Per Square Foot	:		\$329	9	
Per Unit C	Cost:			\$399,04 <i>°</i>	1	
Estimated	d Hard Per Unit Cost:			\$183,902	2	
True Casl	h Per Unit Cost*:			\$399,04 <sup>-</sup>	1	
Bond Allo	ocation Per Unit:			\$212,069	9	
Bond Allo	ocation Per Restricted Rer	ntal Unit:		\$215,789	9	
	<b>Construction Fina</b>	ancing			Permanent Financing	
Source			Amount	Source		
SDIHF <sup>1</sup> : T	Tax-Exempt	\$12	,300,000	Lument: Ta	x-Exempt	ç
		<b>*</b> ~	~~~~~		· ·	•

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
SDIHF <sup>1</sup> : Tax-Exempt	\$12,300,000	Lument: Tax-Exempt	\$5,269,490
CMFA: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$2,000,000	General Partner Loan	\$10,913,053
General Partner Loan	\$7,114,738	General Partner Equity	\$338,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,729,637	Tax Credit Equity	\$6,623,832
		TOTAL	\$23,144,375

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>San Diego Interfaith Housing Foundation

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis: \$20.095.964 130% High Cost Adjustment: No **Applicable Fraction:** 100.00% Qualified Basis: \$20.095.964 Applicable Rate: 4.00% **Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:** \$770,213 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$2,500,000 **Redstone Equity Partners** Investor/Consultant: Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.86000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	0
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	111

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 97.809%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

College Community Courts, located at 4300 Telegraph Road in Ventura on a 2.16 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,097,297 in annual federal tax credits and \$1,000,000 in total state tax credits and \$23,752,406 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 57 units of housing, consisting of 56 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 14 one-bedroom units, 28 two-bedroom units, and 15 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be two to three stories and type VB construction. Common amenities include laundry room, playground, courtyard with barbeque, community building for management, resident services, and activities. Each unit will have central air/cooling, refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, blinds, ceiling fan, and storage closet. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in February 2027. The project will be developed by People's Self-Help Housing and will be located in Senate District 19 and Assembly District 38.

The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and Joe Serna, Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant (FHWG) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-467	
Project Name	College Community Courts	
Site Address:	4300 Telegraph Road Ventura, CA 93003	
County:	Ventura	
Census Tract:	27.00	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$2,097,297	\$1,000,000
Recommended:	\$2,097,297	\$1,000,000

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$23,752,406
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Applicant for State Credits: Contact:	People's Self-Help Housing Corporation People's Self-Help Housing Corporation Kenneth Trigueiro
Address:	1060 Kendall Road San Luis Obispo, CA   93401
Phone:	805-548-2343
Email:	Kennetht@pshhc.org
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	California Municipal Finance Authority Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation Citi Community Capital

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: College Community Courts LLC Nonprofit People's Self-Help Housing Corporation People's Self-Help Housing California Housing Partnership The Duncan Group

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction	
Total # Residential Buildings:	7	
Total # of Units:	57	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	56	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	46.07%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exem	pt / CDBG

# Information

Large Family
Central Coast Region
New Construction
Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Christine Shephard
Brett Andersen

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	21	38%
50% AMI:	15	27%
60% AMI:	20	36%

#### **Unit Mix**

- 14 1-Bedroom Units
- 28 2-Bedroom Units
- 15 3-Bedroom Units
- 57 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
14	1 Bedroom	30%	\$747
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$897
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,036
10	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,495
5	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,726
13	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,794
7	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,072
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$640,426
Construction Costs	\$26,144,574
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,261,905
Soft Cost Contingency	\$281,340
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,465,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,692,558
Legal Fees	\$308,000
Reserves	\$199,383
Other Costs	\$3,021,792
Developer Fee	\$5,260,778
Total	\$42,275,756

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$462
Per Unit Cost:	\$741,680
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$386,158
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$693,245
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$416,709
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$424,150

# **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing** Source Source Amount Amount Citibank \$23,752,406 Citibank \$3,408,000 HCD: Joe Serna Farmworker \$2,346,432 HCD: Joe Serna Farmworker \$9,051,573 HCD: IIG \$3,115,600 HCD: IIG \$3,115,600 County of Ventura County of Ventura \$2,056,080 \$2,056,080 City of Ventura<sup>1</sup> City of Ventura<sup>1</sup> \$576,622 \$576,622 City of Ventura CDBG<sup>2</sup> \$503,869 City of Ventura CDBG<sup>2</sup> \$503,869 **Deferred Costs** \$1,152,753 \$322,516 BUILD Incentive<sup>3</sup> **Deferred Developer Fee** \$2,760,778 Deferred Developer Fee \$2,760,778 Tax Credit Equity \$6,011,216 Tax Credit Equity \$20,480,718 TOTAL \$42,275,756

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>City of Ventura Deferred Impact Fee Loan <sup>2</sup>City of Ventura: Community Development Block Grant

<sup>3</sup>California Energy Commission: Low-Emissions Development (BUILD) Program Grant

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$40,332,629
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$52,432,417
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,097,297
Total State Credit:	\$1,000,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$5,260,778
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93600
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85000

# CA-24-467

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$693,245. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages and the local market suffering high inflation.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 105.310%

CA-24-467

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Peak Plaza Apartments, located at 316 East Washington Boulevard in Los Angeles on a 0.64 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,362,455 in annual federal tax credits and \$39,799,383 of taxexempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 104 units of housing, consisting of 102 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 8 studio units, 41 one-bedroom units, 28 two-bedroom units, and 27 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 7 stories and Type I-A and Type III-A construction. Common amenities include bicycle parking, auto parking, community room, courtyard, tot-lot, patios, dog run, laundry rooms, property management and service coordinator offices. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, microwave, and sink disposal. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in February 2027. The project will be developed by Hollywood Community Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 57.

The project financing includes state funding from the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) program of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-469		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Peak Plaza Apar 316 East Washir Los Angeles, CA Los Angeles 2240.20	ngton Boulevard	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A	Annual	State/Total
Requested:		62,455	\$0
Recommended:	\$3,30	62,455	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation			
Recommended:	\$39,79	99,383	
CTCAC Applicant Information			
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC S	oonsor:	Hollywood Comr	munity Housing Corporation
Contact:		Sarah Letts	
Address:		5020 Santa Mon	
Dhanai		Los Angeles, CA	x 90029
Phone: Email:		323.454.6210	
		SLetts@Hollywo	our lousing.org
Bond Financing Information			
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue	r:	City of Los Ange	les
Bond Counsel:		Kutak Rock LLP	0
Private Placement Purchaser:		Citi Community (	Capital

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: HCHC Peak Plaza GP, LLC Nonprofit Hollywood Community Housing Corporation Hollywood Community Housing Corporation California Housing Partnership Barker Management, Inc

## **Project Information**

New Cons	struction
1	
104	
102	100.00%
49.91%	
40%/60%	
Tax-Exem	ipt
	102 49.91%

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Christine Shephard
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	27	26%
50% AMI:	22	22%
60% AMI:	53	52%

#### Unit Mix

8 SRO/Studio Units 41 1-Bedroom Units 28 2-Bedroom Units 27 3-Bedroom Units 104 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
3	SRO/Studio	30%	\$662
10	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
7	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$851
7	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$983
1	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,103
4	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
14	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,639
4	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,324
27	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,419
17	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,702
5	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,967
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$7,944,903
Construction Costs	\$48,034,410
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,426,253
Soft Cost Contingency	\$383,659
Relocation	\$550,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,658,180
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$8,449,460
Legal Fees	\$195,000
Reserves	\$348,004
Other Costs	\$2,122,054
Developer Fee	\$4,500,000
Total	\$76,611,923

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$639
Per Unit Cost:	\$736,653
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$412,755
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$736,653

## **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
CitiBank: Tax-Exempt	\$39,799,383	CitiBank: Tax-Exempt	\$8,076,000
CitiBank: Taxable	\$15,705,069	LAHD: ULA AP <sup>1</sup>	\$10,080,000
LAHD: ULA AP <sup>1</sup>	\$10,080,000	LAHD: (HOME) AHMP <sup>2</sup>	\$4,000,000
LAHD: (HOME) AHMP <sup>2</sup>	\$4,000,000	HCD: AHSC	\$20,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$1,925,788	General Partner Contribution	\$2,000,000
General Partner Contribution	\$2,000,000	General Partner Equity	\$100
General Partner Equity	\$100	Tax Credit Equity	\$32,455,823
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,101,583	TOTAL	\$76,611,923

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>1</sup> Los Angeles Housing Department: United to Fund Los Angeles Accelerator Program

<sup>2</sup> Los Angeles Housing Department: (HOME) Affordable Housing Managed Pipeline

Permanent Financing

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$64,662,612
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$84,061,396
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,362,455
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,500,000
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.96524
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

There is commercial space that is included as part of the structures cost and taken into eligible basis. Pursuant to the Eligible Basis Certification provided by a third-party tax attorney, this space is a community service facility that meets the requirements of IRC § 42(d)(4)(C) and the cost is includible in eligible basis. The community space will be designed to serve primarily individuals whose income is 60% or less of area median income.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 108.966%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Seniors on Broadway Apartments, located at 845 Broadway in Chula Vista on a 0.91 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$382,829 in annual federal tax credits and \$724,131 in total state tax credits and \$5,559,912 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 42 units of housing, consisting of 41 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 41 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The renovations will include building exterior and interior upgrades. Building exterior renovations will consist replacement of the existing windows with vinyl-framed insulated window units. Installation of humidistat-controlled exhaust fans in the bathrooms, and replacement of flowing with durable, hard-surfaced flooring such as vinyl plank throughout. Individual apartment units will be updated with updated kitchens, bathrooms, cabinetry, plumbing fixtures, electrical switches and receptacles, and thermostat controls. Lastly, common or site area renovations will consist of sealing and striping of the drive aisles and parking stalls, repairment/replacement of landscape as needed to reduce water consumption and prevent erosion and repairment/replacement of elevator components. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in April 2026. The project will be developed by Metropolitan Area Advisory Committee on Anti-Poverty of San Diego County, Inc. and is located in Senate District 18 and Assembly District 80.

Seniors on Broadway Apartments is a re-syndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Seniors on Broadway Apartments (CA-2004-112). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information.

Project Number	CA-24-470
Proiect Name	Seniors on Broadway Apartments

Project Name	Seniors on Broadway Apartments
Site Address:	845 Broadway
	Chula Vista, CA 91911
County:	San Diego
Census Tract:	130.00

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$382,829	\$724,131
Recommended:	\$382,829	\$724,131

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$5,559,912
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Metropolitan Area Advisory Committee on Anti-Poverty of San Diego County, Inc.
Contact:	Arnulfo Manriquez
Address:	1355 Third Ave Chula Vista, CA 91911
Phone:	619.426.3595
Email:	REDFunding@maacproject.org

Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	California Municipal Finance Authority Anzel Galvan LLP Citibank, N.A.
Development Team	
General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	Metropolitan Area Advisory Committee on Anti-Poverty of San Diego County, Inc.
General Partner Type:	Nonprofit
Parent Company(ies):	Metropolitan Area Advisory Committee on Anti-Poverty of San Diego County, Inc.
Developer:	Metropolitan Area Advisory Committee on Anti-Poverty of San Diego County, Inc.
Investor/Consultant:	National Equity Fund Inc
Management Agent:	Hyder Property Management Professionals

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	Acquisition & Rehabilitatio	n
Total # Residential Buildings:	1	
Total # of Units:	42	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	41 100.00%	
Average Targeted Affordability:	45.61%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HOME	

## Information

Seniors
San Diego County
Other Rehabilitation
Amit Sarang
Franklin Cui

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate	Number of	Percentage of
 Targeting	Units	Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	5	12%
45% AMI:	16	39%
50% AMI:	20	49%

## Unit Mix

41 1-Bedroom Units

1 2-Bedroom Units 42 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
5	1 Bedroom	30%	\$775
16	1 Bedroom	45%	\$1,163
20	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,292
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

## Project Cost Summary at Application

r reject contrary at reprivation	
Land and Acquisition	\$4,730,000
Rehabilitation Costs	\$3,057,101
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$148,455
Soft Cost Contingency	\$88,369
Relocation	\$420,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$415,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$670,168
Legal Fees	\$177,000
Reserves	\$124,438
Other Costs	\$440,413
Developer Fee	\$917,052
Total	\$11,187,996

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$76
Per Unit Cost:	\$266,381
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$62,095
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$233,917
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$132,379
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$135,608

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$5,559,912	Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$3,020,000
City of Chula Vista: HOME	\$2,760,748	City of Chula Vista: HOME	\$2,760,748
Seller Carryback	\$354,376	Seller Carryback	\$1,115,305
Deferred Costs	\$785,229	Deferred Interest	\$16,095
Deferred Interest	\$16,095	Deferred Developer Fee	\$248,161
Deferred Developer Fee	\$248,161	Tax Credit Equity	\$4,027,687
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,463,475	TOTAL	\$11,187,996

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$5,570,235
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$4,000,500
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$5,570,235
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$4,000,500
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$222,809
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$160,020
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$382,829
Total State Credit:	\$724,131
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$917,052
Investor/Consultant:	National Equity Fund Inc
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88563
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-04-112). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s).

Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-04-112) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

## Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 138.170%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Midway Village Phase 2, located at 47 Midway Drive in Daly City on a 1.79 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,418,635 in annual federal tax credits and \$65,352,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 113 units of housing, consisting of 111 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 24 studio units, 24 one-bedroom units, 31 two-bedroom units, 30 three-bedroom units, and 4 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 4 stories and Type V wood frame construction. Common amenities include a lobby, two community rooms, property management offices, resident services offices, a bike storage room, and a landscaped courtyard. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, and washer and dryer appliances. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in February 2027. The project will be developed by MidPen Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 19.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number	CA-24-471		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Midway Village Phase 2 47 Midway Drive Daly City, CA 94014 San Mateo 6002.00		
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:		<b>Annual</b> 18,635 18,635	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$65,3	52,000	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address: Phone: Email:		MP Midway Associates 2, L.P. Abigail Goldware Potluri 303 Vintage Park Dr Ste 250 Foster City, CA 94404 650.356.2900 agoldware@midpen-housing.org	
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:		California Municipal Finance Authority Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation Wells Fargo, N.A.	

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: MP Midway 2, LLC Nonprofit Mid-Peninsula Half Moon Bay, Inc. MidPen Housing Corporation California Housing Partnership MidPen Property Management Corporation

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	113
No. / % of Low Income Units:	111 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	40.89%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (76 Units -
	68%)

# Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	South and West Bay Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	29
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Couch

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	41	37%
50% AMI:	50	45%
60% AMI:	20	18%

# Unit Mix

24 SRO/Studio Units
24 1-Bedroom Units
31 2-Bedroom Units
30 3-Bedroom Units
4 4-Bedroom Units
113 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
18	SRO/Studio	30%	\$487
2	SRO/Studio	30%	\$975
4	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,626
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$522
1	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,045
10	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,742
6	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,003
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,254
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,254
25	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,091
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,449
9	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,449
7	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,415
13	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,898
4	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,695
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Project Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$1,087,161
Construction Costs	\$78,495,390
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,166,713
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,579,019
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$11,431,687
Legal Fees	\$91,998
Reserves	\$695,692
Other Costs	\$4,645,319
Developer Fee	\$14,517,646
Commercial Costs	\$11,848,023
Total	\$130,994,476

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$655
Per Unit Cost:	\$1,044,113
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$649,542
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$1,017,810
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$578,336
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$588,757

# **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Wells Fargo: Tax-Exempt	\$65,352,000	CCRC <sup>6</sup> : Tax-Exempt	\$23,582,000
Wells Fargo: Taxable	\$11,916,651	San Mateo County: AHF <sup>1</sup>	\$14,762,723
San Mateo County: AHF <sup>1</sup>	\$14,762,723	FHLB: AHP <sup>2</sup>	\$1,000,000
FHLB: AHP <sup>2</sup>	\$1,000,000	HACSM <sup>3</sup>	\$11,279,806
HACSM <sup>3</sup>	\$11,279,806	HACSM <sup>3</sup> : Gap Loan	\$2,720,194
Daly City: PLHA⁴	\$486,230	Daly City: PLHA⁴	\$486,230
PFS <sup>₅</sup> Contribution	\$1,150,000	PFS <sup>₅</sup> Contribution	\$1,150,000
Accrued Interest	\$657,996	Accrued Interest	\$657,996
Deferred Costs	\$2,913,908	Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,300,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,300,000	General Partner Contribution	\$8,717,646
General Partner Contribution	\$8,717,646	Tax Credit Equity	\$63,337,881
		TOTAL	\$130,994,476

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>1</sup>Affordable Housing Fund (Housing for a Healthy California)

<sup>2</sup>Federal Home Loan Bank: Affordable Housing Program

<sup>3</sup>Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo

<sup>4</sup>Permanent Local Housing Allocation

<sup>5</sup>Peninsula Family Service: Commercial Space Contribution

<sup>6</sup>California Community Reinvestment Corporation

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$123,435,286
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$160,465,872
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$14,517,646
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.98678

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

There is commercial space that is included as part of the structures cost and taken into eligible basis. Pursuant to the Eligible Basis Certification provided by a third-party tax attorney, this space is a community service facility that meets the requirements of IRC § 42(d)(4)(C) and the cost is includible in eligible basis. The community space will be a child care center.

# **Permanent Financing**

This project is Phase 2 of Midway Village Phase 1 (CA-20-668). The parking for Phases 1 and 2 is provided in a design-build, four-level, gated parking garage constructed in Phase 1. The structure contains 371 parking spaces, 185 of which are allocated to Phase 2. The cost of the garage is split between the two phases – Phase 1 does not include in its depreciable basis the Phase 2 share of costs – and there is an easement and insurance agreement between the two phases that includes these details. Other shared components will be a two-classroom after-school program space constructed in Phase 1, and an attached outdoor accessible play area for children aged 2 to 17, also constructed in Phase 1. Resident courtyards and community rooms in both Phase 1 and Phase 2 will also be shared. There will be a joint use agreement in place before the placed-in-service application.

# **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored	
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0	
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10	
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20	
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10	
General Partner Experience	7	7	7	
Management Company Experience	3	3	3	
Housing Needs	10	0	10	
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8	
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10	
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9	
Site Amenities	10	10	10	
Service Amenities	10	10	10	
Cost Containment	12	12	12	
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0	
Total Points	120	110	119	

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 108.861%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Citrus Flats, located at 18004 East Telegraph Road in Santa Paula on a 5.45 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,666,667 in annual federal tax credits and \$39,050,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 166 units of housing, consisting of 164 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 60 one-bedroom units, 32 two-bedroom units, 56 three-bedroom units, and 18 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The buildings will be eight 3-story walk buildings. Building A will have 22 units, Buildings C, E, and F, G, and H will have 24 units each, and Buildings B and D will have 12 units each. The building type will adhere to Type V-A construction standards with wood frame and an exterior finish system complying with the California building code chapters 14 and 25 and will not have any elevator access. Common amenities include a clubhouse with community center, dog park, outdoor playground area for children, outdoor fitness area for teenagers, 223 parking spaces as well as various social services programs offered by an experienced social service provider. Each unit will have a balcony, blinds, carpeting, ceiling fan, central/AC, coat closet, dishwasher, disposal, microwave, oven, refrigerator, LVP flooring, walk-incloset and washer/dryer. The construction is expected to begin in November 2024 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by Telegraph Partners GP, LLC and will be located in Senate District 19 and Assembly District 38.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

# Project Number CA-24-472

Proj	j <b>ect Name</b> Site Address:	Citrus Flats 18004 East Telegraph Road Santa Paula, CA 93060 Ventura 4.00			
	County: Census Tract:				
Тау	Credit Amounts		Federal/A	nnual	State/Total
ιαλ	Requested:			66,667	\$0
	Recommended:			66,667	\$0
Тах	-Exempt Bond Allocation				
Iax	Recommended:		\$	39,050,000	
сто	CAC Applicant Information				
	CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sp Contact: Address:	onsor:		FLT Telegraph Pa Ron Wu 2082 Michelson D	
				Irvine, CA 92612	
	Phone:			(415) 757-8639	
	Email:			rwu@rtacq.com	
Bon	d Financing Information				
	CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser			California Public I Orrick, Herringtor Citibank, N.A.	

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	AHA Santa Paula MGP, LLC Telegraph Partners GP, LLC
General Partner Type:	Joint Venture
Parent Company(ies):	Affordable Housing Access, Inc. FLT Equity, LLC
Developer:	Telegraph Partners GP, LLC
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Management Agent:	VPM Management, Inc.

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	8
Total # of Units:	166
No. / % of Low Income Units:	164 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	59.03%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (8 Units - 5%)

## Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Central Coast Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nicholas White

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	18	11%
40% AMI:	18	11%
50% AMI:	18	11%
60% AMI:	18	11%
70% AMI*:	92	56%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

60 1-Bedroom Units 32 2-Bedroom Units 56 3-Bedroom Units 18 4-Bedroom Units 166 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$747
6	1 Bedroom	40%	\$996
6	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,245
6	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,494
36	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,743
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$897
4	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,196
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,495
4	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,794
15	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,093
6	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,036
6	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,381
6	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,726
6	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,072
31	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,417
2	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,155
2	4 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,541
2	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,926
2	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,311
10	4 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,696
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Project Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$3,600,000
Construction Costs	\$46,181,183
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,263,784
Soft Cost Contingency	\$100,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,100,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,680,636
Legal Fees	\$202,500
Reserves	\$2,668,231
Other Costs	\$9,940,209
Developer Fee	\$9,221,718
Total	\$79,958,261

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$286
Per Unit Cost:	\$481,676
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$239,250
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$426,855
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$235,241
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$542,361

**Construction Financing** 

#### Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank, N.A.: Tax-Exempt	\$39,050,000	Citibank, N.A.: Tax-Exempt	\$28,300,000
Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$6,750,000	IHF <sup>1</sup>	\$5,500,000
Seller Carryback	\$1,280,000	Seller Carryback	\$1,280,000
Deferred Impact Fees	\$5,157,949	Deferred Impact Fees	\$5,157,949
Deferred Costs	\$24,524,312	Deferred Developer Fee	\$7,820,312
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,196,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$31,900,000
		TOTAL	\$79,958,261

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Inclusionary Housing Funds

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$70,699,840
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$91,909,792
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,666,667
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,221,718
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel. The new legal description and APN have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-24-472 must be completed as part of the Placed In Service Package.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 126.693%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Regional Street Apartments, located at 6541 - 6543 Regional Street in Dublin on a 1.33 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,736,224 in annual federal tax credits and \$44,202,679 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 113 units of housing, consisting of 112 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 55 studio units, 57 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The building will be five story Type 1-A and Type V-A construction. Common amenities include large community room, laundry facilities, community kitchen, and computer room. Each unit will have refrigerator, and range/oven/cooktop. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in September 2026. The project will be developed by Eden Housing, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 7 and Assembly District 20.

The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) and Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-473		
Project Name Site Address: County:	Regional Street 6541 - 6543 Reg Dublin, CA 9456 Alameda	gional Street	
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	<b>Federal/An</b> \$3,736 \$3,736	5,224	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$44,202	.,679	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Spe Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	4 2 1 5	Regional Street Ir Andrea Osgood 22645 Grand Stre Hayward, CA 945 510-247-8103 aosgood@edenhe	eet 541
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	J		oal Finance Authority fessional Law Corporation e Bank, N. A.

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

Regional Street, LLC Nonprofit Eden Housing, Inc. Eden Housing, Inc. Hudson Housing Capital Eden Housing Management, Inc.

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Const	truction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1	
Total # of Units:	113	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	112	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	38.75%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exemp	ot

#### Information

Geographic Area:	East Bay Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	N/A
Homeless Set Aside Units:	34
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	34	30%
50% AMI:	78	70%

#### Unit Mix

- 55 SRO/Studio Units
- 57 1-Bedroom Units
- 1 2-Bedroom Units
- 113 Total Units

		2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	•	
17	SRO/Studio	30%	\$326	
38	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,295	
17	1 Bedroom	30%	\$368	
40	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,387	
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0	

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$8,846,865
Construction Costs	\$52,099,894
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,630,854
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,100,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$9,151,951
Legal Fees	\$155,000
Reserves	\$1,103,646
Other Costs	\$3,508,377
Developer Fee	\$3,500,000
Total	\$84,896,587

## Residential

\$615
\$751,297
\$421,628
\$670,766
\$391,174
\$394,667

#### **Construction Financing**

oonot dotton i manonig		r ormanont r manong	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$44,202,679	CCRC <sup>2</sup>	\$2,740,015
Chase: Taxable	\$16,693,460	HCD: MHP	\$19,919,853
Alameda County: A-1	\$5,048,319	HCD: NPLH	\$9,776,465
Alameda County: LHTF <sup>1</sup>	\$3,333,333	Alameda County: A-1	\$5,048,319
General Partner Contribution: Land	\$8,400,000	Alameda County: LHTF <sup>1</sup>	\$3,333,333
Deferred Costs	\$3,148,646	General Partner Contribution: Land	\$8,400,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$700,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$700,000
General Partner Equity	\$100	General Partner Equity	\$100
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,370,050	Tax Credit Equity	\$34,978,502
		TOTAL	\$84,896,587

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>1</sup> Local Housing Trust Fund

<sup>2</sup> California Community Reinvestment Corporation

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$71,850,454
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$93,405,590
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,736,224
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$3,500,000
Investor/Consultant:	Hudson Housing Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93620

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$670,766. Factors affecting this cost include prevailing wages and construction design elements encouraged by the local Specfic Plan.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# CDLAC Additional Conditions

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker: 67.

67.953%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Casa Roseland, located at 665 and 883 Sebastopol Road in Santa Rosa on a 1.53 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,285,961 in annual federal tax credits and \$35,548,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 75 units of housing, consisting of 74 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 24 one-bedroom units, 31 two-bedroom units, and 20 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). This new construction project is a part of a large master development. It will consist of one 5-story elevator serviced building. It will be a Type V-A over Type 1-A building type on mat slab foundation with cement plaster exterior and a total residential area of 56,060 square feet. Common amenities include a large community room, management office(s), laundry facilities, learning center, bike storage, landscaped barbecue area and a play area. There will be 108 off-street parking spaces, 33 of which are located within the covered podium parking garage. Each unit will have central heating/cooling, a range/oven, window blinds and a refrigerator. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by MidPen Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 12.

The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-474		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Casa Roseland 665 and 883 Se Santa Rosa, CA Sonoma 1531.04	bastopol Road	
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:		<b>Annual</b> 85,961 85,961	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$35,54	48,000	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address: Phone: Email:		MidPen Housing Corporation Joanna Carman 303 Vintage Park Drive, Suite 250 Foster City, CA 94404 831-707-2141 joanna.carman@midpen-housing.org	
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser			pal Finance Authority ofessional Law Corporation nal Association

#### Development Team

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:75No. / % of Low Income Units:74Average Targeted Affordability:50.00%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60%Federal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Northern Region
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert

MP Roseland Village LLC Mid-Pen Housing Corporation

Mid-Peninsula Hermanas, Inc. MidPen Housing Corporation

MidPen Housing Corporation California Housing Partnership

MidPen Property Management Corporation

Nonprofit

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

	regate jeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30%	AMI:	24	32%
50%	AMI:	2	3%
60%	AMI:	48	65%

#### **Unit Mix**

24 1-Bedroom Units

31 2-Bedroom Units

20 3-Bedroom Units

75 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
8	1 Bedroom	30%	\$708
9	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$849
7	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$981
1	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,180
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,416
15	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,416
20	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,699
13	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,962
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$962,500
Construction Costs	\$45,757,609
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,287,880
Soft Cost Contingency	\$481,627
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,464,405
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,210,452
Legal Fees	\$125,000
Reserves	\$267,300
Other Costs	\$1,778,166
Developer Fee	\$8,242,378
Total	\$67,577,317

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$585
Per Unit Cost:	\$901,031
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$551,559
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$803,118
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$473,973
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$480,378

## **Construction Financing**

Construction i marcing		r ennanent i mancing		
Amount	Source	Amount		
\$35,548,000	RED Housing Loan Fund	\$4,200,000		
\$7,573,265	HCD: AHSC	\$15,685,007		
\$4,200,000	Sonoma County: IIG	\$1,784,628		
\$1,784,628	Sonoma County: Land	\$960,000		
\$960,000	Sonoma County: CDC <sup>1</sup>	\$3,750,000		
\$3,750,000	Sonoma County: CFH <sup>2</sup>	\$2,079,447		
\$2,079,447	Accrued Interest	\$230,053		
\$1,342,115	Deferred Developer Fee	\$7,343,476		
\$7,343,476	Tax Credit Equity	\$31,544,706		
\$2,996,386	TOTAL	\$67,577,317		
	Amount \$35,548,000 \$7,573,265 \$4,200,000 \$1,784,628 \$960,000 \$3,750,000 \$2,079,447 \$1,342,115 \$7,343,476	Amount         Source           \$35,548,000         RED Housing Loan Fund           \$7,573,265         HCD: AHSC           \$4,200,000         Sonoma County: IIG           \$1,784,628         Sonoma County: Land           \$960,000         Sonoma County: CDC1           \$3,750,000         Sonoma County: CFH2           \$2,079,447         Accrued Interest           \$1,342,115         Deferred Developer Fee           \$7,343,476         Tax Credit Equity		

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Sonoma County: Community Development Commission <sup>2</sup>Sonoma County: Fund for Housing

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$63,191,565
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$82,149,035
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,285,961
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$8,242,378
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.95456

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-24-474 must be completed as part of the placed in service package. The subject parcel will be partitioned into four separate lots, one of which will include the planned development.

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$803,118. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages, a parking structure, development impact fees, land and holding costs, construction costs, and the site requiring remedial environmental work due to presence of soil gas vapor and PCE in the groundwater.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum 0		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 84.747%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

La Passeggiata, located at 622 East Lindsay & 601 East Miner in Stockton on a 0.84 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,485,653 in annual federal tax credits and \$36,867,534 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 94 units of housing, consisting of 93 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 36 one-bedroom units, 23 two-bedroom units, and 35 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 4 stories and Type I construction. Common amenities include community room, playground, management offices, computer room, business center, Head Start Day Care, secured entry, elevators, and parking. Each unit will have central heat and air, blinds, carpet, ceiling fan, storage, coat, and walk-in closets, patio/balcony, pantry cabinets, washers and dryer, refrigerator, stove/oven, dishwasher, and disposal. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in May 2027. The project will be developed by Visionary Home Builders of CA, Inc and will be located in Senate District 5 and Assembly District 13.

The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP), Local Government Matching Grants (LGMG), and Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-476		
Project Name Site Address:	La Passeggiata 622 East Lindsay & 601 East Miner Stockton, CA 95202		
County: Census Tract:	San Joaquin 100.00		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Anr	nual	State/Total
Requested:	\$3,485,	,653	\$0
Recommended:	\$3,485,	653	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation			
Recommended:	\$36	,867,534	
CTCAC Applicant Information			
Applicant:	Visionary Home Builders of California, Inc.		
Contact:	Carol J. Ornelas		
Address:	315 N. San Joaquin		
	Stockton, CA 95202		
Phone:	209-466-6811		
Email:	dev@visionaryhom	ebuilders.org	
Bond Financing Information			
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	J	•	al Finance Authority fessional Law Corpora

Corporation

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: La Passeggiata LLC Nonprofit Visionary Home Builders of CA Visionary Home Builders of CA, Inc California Housing Partnership Visionary Property Management Group

## **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction	
Total # Residential Buildings:	2	
Total # of Units:	94	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	93	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	38.55%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60	%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exe	empt / HOME

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Central Valley Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Christine Shephard
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Paixao

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	27	29%
35% AMI:	29	31%
40% AMI:	6	6%
45% AMI:	6	6%
50% AMI:	25	27%

#### **Unit Mix**

36 1-Bedroom Units 23 2-Bedroom Units 35 3-Bedroom Units 94 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
10	1 Bedroom	30%	\$493
7	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$592
10	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$684
11	1 Bedroom	35%	\$575
7	2 Bedrooms	35%	\$691
11	3 Bedrooms	35%	\$798
3	1 Bedroom	40%	\$658
1	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$790
2	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$912
4	1 Bedroom	45%	\$740
1	2 Bedrooms	45%	\$888
1	3 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,026
8	1 Bedroom	50%	\$822
6	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$987
11	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,140
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

\$2,072,046
\$44,064,446
\$2,304,909
\$404,605
\$1,655,770
\$7,933,301
\$220,000
\$173,823
\$2,906,859
\$8,743,276
\$70,479,035

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$421
Per Unit Cost:	\$749,777
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$420,449
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$683,359
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$392,208
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$396,425

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Banner Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$36,867,534	City of Stockton: HOME	\$600,000
Banner Bank: Taxable	\$10,413,200	HCD: IIG	\$2,400,000
City of Stockton: HOME	\$600,000	HCD: LGMG	\$10,000,000
HCD: LGMG	\$10,000,000	HCD: MHP	\$18,914,643
HCD: IIG	\$2,400,000	County of San Joaquin	\$1,500,000
Deferred Costs	\$1,112,863	La Raza	\$1,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,243,276	Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,243,276
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,842,162	Tax Credit Equity	\$29,821,116
. ,		TOTAL	\$70,479,035

**Permanent Financing** 

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$67,031,782
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$87,141,317
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,485,653
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$8,743,276
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85554

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$683,359. Applicant stated there is an increased construction cost due to constuction design elements including: materials, costs associated with an all-electric building, solar requirements, relocation of existing high voltage lines, and equipment required for an urban infill style project. Applicant also noted project is subject to prevailing wages.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 94.620%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Bella Vista Apartments, located at 1808 Parsons Avenue in Merced on a 4.59 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,402,171 in annual federal tax credits and \$36,656,693 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 108 units of housing, consisting of 106 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 6 one-bedroom units, 60 two-bedroom units, 30 three-bedroom units, and 12 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The buildings will include five buildings with three stories walk-up, garden-style units and one single building story dedicated to the Community Center. Common amenities include a full kitchen with pantry cabinets, interior laundry rooms with full-size washers and dryers provided to the residents, separate dining and family rooms, and private patio areas. Within the community, there will be 183 parking spaces, including designated guest and handicap parking. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in July 2026. The project will be developed by Visionary Home Builders and will be located in Senate District 14 and Assembly District 27.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) and Joe Serna, Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant (FWHG) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-477		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Bella Vista Apartments 1808 Parsons Avenue Merced, CA 95340 Merced 17.00		
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	<b>Federal/Anr</b> \$3,402, \$3,402,	171	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$36	,656,693	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Spe Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	C 3 <sup>.</sup> S 20	isionary Home E arol J. Ornelas 15 North San Jo tockton, CA 952 09-466-6811 ev@visionaryho	202
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	Jo		oal Finance Authority fessional Law Corporation

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

## **Project Information**

VHB Vella Vista LLC Nonprofit Visionary Home Builders of CA Visionary Home Builders California Housing Partnership VPMG

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	4
Total # of Units:	108
No. / % of Low Income Units:	106 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	47.75%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (20 Units - 18%)

## Information

Large Family
Central Valley Region
New Construction
Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Amit Sarang
Jacob Paixao

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	11	10%
40% AMI:	27	25%
50% AMI:	43	41%
60% AMI:	25	24%

## **Unit Mix**

6 1-Bedroom Units 60 2-Bedroom Units 30 3-Bedroom Units 12 4-Bedroom Units 108 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$557
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$643
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$717
2	1 Bedroom	40%	\$619
15	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$743
7	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$858
3	4 Bedrooms	40%	\$957
2	1 Bedroom	50%	\$773
15	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$928
8	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,072
4	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,196
2	1 Bedroom	60%	\$928
14	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,114
5	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,287
1	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,435
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$557
9	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$928
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$643
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,072
2	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,287
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$717
1	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,196
1	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,435
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
	ost Summary at Applica		
Land and	Acquisition	\$2,481,040	
	tion Costs	\$43,676,515	
Construct	tion Hard Cost Contingenc	y \$2,438,920	
Soft Cost	Contingency	\$252,904	
Architectu	ural/Engineering	\$1,268,000	
Const. Int	terest, Perm. Financing	\$6,952,482	
		<b>#040 400</b>	

\$4,541,625

\$8,533,873

\$70,703,476

\$213,138 \$344,979

Legal Fees

Other Costs

**Developer Fee** 

Reserves

Total

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$281
Per Unit Cost:	\$654,662
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$356,222
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$591,788
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$339,414
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$345,818

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Banner Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$36,656,693	Banner Bank	\$4,213,000
Banner Bank: Taxable	\$13,295,312	HCD: MHP	\$19,062,940
HCD: FWHG	\$5,453,375	HCD: FWHG	\$6,059,305
City of Merced: ARPA <sup>1</sup> Grant	\$3,500,000	City of Merced: ARPA <sup>1</sup> Grant	\$3,500,000
City of Merced	\$977,626	City of Merced	\$977,626
Impact Fee Waiver	\$756,522	Impact Fee Waiver	\$756,522
Deferred Costs	\$1,260,036	Raza Development Fund	\$1,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,033,873	Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,033,873
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,770,039	Tax Credit Equity	\$29,100,210
		TOTAL	\$70,703,476

**Permanent Financing** 

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>American Rescue Plan Act

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$65,426,361
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$85,054,269
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,402,171
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$8,533,873
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85534

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$654,662. Applicant stated there is increased contruction costs due to all-electric building requirements and prevailing wages. In addition, applicant noted site is located in a flood zone which has additional building requirements resulting in higher cost.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker: 87.146%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Bana at Palmdale, located at 38732 9th Street East in Palmdale on a 1.02 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$937,331 in annual federal tax credits and \$10,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 48 units of housing, consisting of 47 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 20 two-bedroom units, and 28 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 5 stories and wood frame construction with stucco exterior walls on a concrete slab foundation. Common amenities include a recreation room, a computer/technology room, a management office, and laundry facilities. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, garbage disposal, microwave, and washer/dryer. The construction is expected to begin in December 2024 and be completed in June 2026. The project will be developed by Milare Housing Investments, Inc and will be located in Senate District 21 and Assembly District 39.

The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) program of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-478		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Bana at Palmdale 38732 9th Street East Palmdale, CA 93550 Los Angeles 9105.01		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total	
Requested:	\$937,331	\$0	
Recommended:	\$937,331	\$0	
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation			
Recommended:	\$10,000,0	00	
CTCAC Applicant Information			
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Spo	nsor: MILARI	E Housing Investments, Inc.	
Contact:	Ali Mila	ni	
Address:		st Broadway #10777	
		le, CA 91209	
Phone:	818-550		
Email:	ali.milai	ni@milarehousing.com	
Bond Financing Information			
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer		nia Municipal Finance Authori	
Bond Counsel:		Hall, A Professional Law Corp	poration
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citibanl	κ, Ν.Α.	

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

## **Project Information**

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:48No. / % of Low Income Units:47Average Targeted Affordability:46.06%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60%Federal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

## Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Balance of Los Angeles County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Cynthia Compton

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	5	11%
45% AMI:	17	36%
50% AMI:	25	53%

#### **Unit Mix**

20 2-Bedroom Units 28 3-Bedroom Units

48 Total Units

_	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent _(including utilities)_
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$851
7	2 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,276
10	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$983
10	3 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,475
15	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,639
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

MILARE Housing Investments, Inc. Deep Green Housing and Community Development Joint Venture MILARE Housing Investiments, Inc. Vista West Properties Milare Housing Investments, Inc R4 Capital LLC Quality Management Group, Inc.

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$242,823
Construction Costs	\$12,779,988
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$370,012
Soft Cost Contingency	\$55,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$440,168
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,638,020
Legal Fees	\$61,620
Reserves	\$431,482
Other Costs	\$984,475
Developer Fee	\$2,100,000
Total	\$19,103,588

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$260
Per Unit Cost:	\$397,991
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$243,740
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$366,121
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$208,333
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$212,766

#### **Construction Financing**

## **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank, NA: Tax-Exempt	\$10,000,000	Citibank, NA: Tax-Exempt	\$5,451,213
Citibank, NA :Tax-Exempt Recycled	\$1,528,287	HCD: IIG	\$3,500,000
HCD: IIG	\$3,500,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,529,790
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,714,545	Tax Credit Equity	\$8,622,585
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,360,756	TOTAL	\$19,103,588

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$18,025,601
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$23,433,281
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$937,331
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$2,100,000
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital LLC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.91991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments** 

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 179.285%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report **Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

Sandstone Valley Apartments, located at 41705 Hawthorn Street in Murrieta on a 3.6 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,574,781 in annual federal tax credits and \$14,503,816 in total state tax credits and \$25,652,201 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 96 units of housing, consisting of 95 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 18 one-bedroom units, 48 twobedroom units, and 30 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). Sandstone Valley Apartments will consist of 3-story building, Type VA structure wood framed over concrete slab-on-grade, stucco exteriors and vinyl windows. Common amenities include a play area for children, outdoor BBQ area, community room, computer lounge, leasing office, and restrooms. Each unit will have a balcony/patio, oven, blinds, central A/C, dishwasher, garbage disposal and refrigerator. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 32 and Assembly District 71.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-481	
Project Name	Sandstone Valley Apartments	
Site Address:	41705 Hawthorn Street	
	Murrieta, CA 92562	
County:	Riverside	
Census Tract:	0498.00	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$2,574,781	\$14,503,816
Recommended:	\$2,574,781	\$14,503,816

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$25,652,201
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Community Revitalization and Development Corporation
Contact:	David Rutledge
Address:	635 Parkview Avenue
	Redding, CA 96001
Phone:	530-241-6960
Email:	david@crdc-housing.org
Bond Financing Information	
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Housing Finance Agency
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citi Community Capital

#### Development Team

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Sandstone Valley Apartments AGP LLC Community Revitalization and Development Corporation Joint Venture Bold Capital LLC Community Revitalization and Development Corporation CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC Hunt Capital Partners Cambridge Real Estate Services, Inc

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:4Total # of Units:96No. / % of Low Income Units:95Average Targeted Affordability:43.79%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt / HUD Project-based Vouchers (24 Units - 25%)

## Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Inland Empire Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Franklin Cui

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate	Number of	Percentage of
 Targeting	Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	48	51%
50% AMI:	20	21%
60% AMI:	17	18%
70% AMI*:	10	11%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

18 1-Bedroom Units 48 2-Bedroom Units 30 3-Bedroom Units 96 Total Units

CA-24-481

	linit Trans	2022 Danta Tanata	10/ 56	Duran a and David
	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted Area Median Inco		Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	1 Bedroom	70%		\$1,224
2	1 Bedroom	60%		\$1,049
4	1 Bedroom	50%		\$874
4	1 Bedroom	30%		\$524
	1 Bedroom	30%		\$524
5	2 Bedrooms	70%		\$1,467
9	2 Bedrooms	60%		\$1,258
9 10	2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,048
10	2 Bedrooms	30%		\$629
		30%		-
12	2 Bedrooms			\$629 #4.005
3	3 Bedrooms	70%		\$1,695 \$4,452
5	3 Bedrooms	60%		\$1,453
6	3 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,211
8	3 Bedrooms	30%		\$727
7	3 Bedrooms	30%		\$727
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit		\$0
Proiect C	ost Summary at Applicat	ion		
	Acquisition	\$1,934,46	9	
	ion Costs	\$31,724,36		
	ation Costs	\$01,121,00		
	ion Hard Cost Contingency			
	Contingency	\$486,45		
Relocatio		φ+00,+0 \$		
	iral/Engineering	\$1,620,00		
	erest, Perm. Financing	\$6,761,32		
Legal Fee		\$325,00		
Reserves		\$1,059,71		
Other Co		\$4,743,87		
Develope Commerc		\$6,458,48		
-	Clar Costs	\$ \$		
Total		\$56,733,69	9	
Resident				
	ion Cost Per Square Foot:		\$254	
Per Unit (	Cost:		\$590,976	
	Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$286,476	
True Cas	h Per Unit Cost*:		\$548,878	
	cation Per Unit:		\$267,210	
Bond Allo	cation Per Restricted Renta	al Unit:	\$301,791	
	Construction Final	ncing		Permanent Financing
Source		Amount	Source	
Citi: Tax-l	Exempt	\$25,652,201	Citi: Tax-Exen	npt
Citi: Taxa	•	\$8,747,882	CalHFA: MIP	
Riverside	County: PLHA <sup>1</sup>	\$3,000,000	Riverside Cou	Intv: PLHA <sup>1</sup>
D:		¢2,000,000	D: : : 0	

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$25,652,201	Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$8,012,820
Citi: Taxable	\$8,747,882	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
Riverside County: PLHA <sup>1</sup>	\$3,000,000	Riverside County: PLHA <sup>1</sup>	\$3,000,000
Riverside County: IEHP <sup>2</sup>	\$3,000,000	Riverside County: IEHP <sup>2</sup>	\$3,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$7,663,757	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,041,443
Tax Credit Equity	\$8,669,859	Tax Credit Equity	\$34,679,436
		TOTAL	\$56,733,699

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Permanent Local Housing Allocation <sup>2</sup>Inland Empire Health Plan

CA-24-481

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$49,515,028
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$64,369,536
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,574,781
Total State Credit:	\$14,503,816
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,458,482
Investor/Consultant:	Hunt Capital Partners
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.83992
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

Rosa's Place, located at 501 East 5th Street in Los Angeles on a 0.68 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,545,168 in annual federal tax credits and \$40,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 98 units of housing, consisting of 97 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 97 studio units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The building will be seven story Type III construction. Common amenities include large community room, laundry facilities, learning center, and on-site case manager and service managers. Each unit will have refrigerator, and range/oven. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in August 2027. The project will be developed by Daylight Community Development and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 57.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-482		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Rosa's Place 501 East 5th Stre Los Angeles, CA Los Angeles 2062.02		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A	nnual	State/Total
Requested:	\$3,54	15,168	\$0
Recommended:	\$3,54	15,168	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation			
Recommended:	\$40,50	00,000	
CTCAC Applicant Information			
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC S	ponsor:	DWC Campus, L	P
Contact:		Sonya Falcone	
Address:			r Drive, Suite 350
		Redondo Beach,	CA 90277
Phone:		(303) 775-0147	
Email:		sonya@daylight.l	a
Bond Financing Information			
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue	r:	City of Los Angel	es
Bond Counsel:		Kutak Rock LLP	
Private Placement Purchaser	:	Citibank, N.A.	

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

DWC SP2, LLC GTM DWC AGP, LLC Daylight San Pedro, LLC Joint Venture Downtown Women's Center GTM Holdings, LLC Daylight Community Development Daylight Community Development R4 Capital Barker Management, Inc.

New Construction	
1	
98	
97 100.00%	
33.59%	
40%/60%	
Tax-Exempt / HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (97	
Units - 100%)	

## Information

Housing Type:	Special Needs
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	97
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dylan Hervey

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	73	75%
50% AMI:	24	25%

## Unit Mix

97 SRO/Studio Units 1 2-Bedroom Units

98 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
20	SRO/Studio	30%	\$516
53	SRO/Studio	30%	\$662
24	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,103
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

## **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$7,040,000
Construction Costs	\$48,085,466
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,636,111
Soft Cost Contingency	\$960,874
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,208,925
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$12,161,925
Legal Fees	\$430,648
Reserves	\$1,364,914
Other Costs	\$3,120,648
Developer Fee	\$3,500,000
Total	\$83,509,511

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$678
Per Unit Cost:	\$852,138
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$434,333
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$844,995
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$413,265
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$417,526

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$40,500,000	HOME	\$7,785,104
Citibank: Taxable	\$17,066,552	HCD: IIG	\$4,080,000
HOME	\$7,785,104	HCD: AHSC	\$26,500,000
HCD: IIG	\$4,080,000	City of Los Angeles	\$6,565,000
City of Los Angeles	\$6,565,000	Apple	\$8,100,000
Apple	\$810,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$700,000
Deferred Costs	\$1,624,914	Tax Credit Equity	\$29,779,407
Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,100,000	TOTAL	\$83,509,511
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,977,941		

**Permanent Financing** 

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$70,913,094
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$92,187,022
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,545,168
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$3,500,000
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$844,995. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to an increase in land costs, high borrowing costs, JJJ project labor requirements,, and the costs associated with replacing the existing parking with a parking structure.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event. None.

## Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 97.386%

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

#### Tie Breaker: 79.

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Holt & Main, located at 221 West Holt Avenue and 237 West Holt Avenue in Pomona on a 1.3 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,710,315 in annual federal tax credits and \$21,000,000 in total state tax credits and \$37,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 160 units of housing, consisting of 158 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 76 one-bedroom units, 44 two-bedroom units, and 40 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The proposed project will consist of two parcels located in the Lincoln Park neighborhood of Pomona, California. The building will be five levels of wood-framed Type III-A construction at grade. The building will be serviced by two elevators. Common amenities will include property management and resident service offices, two (2) community rooms, a flex space, a computer/study lounge, and an outdoor courtvard. The project will provide 80 parking spaces, including 75 standard stalls and 5 ADA-compliant stalls. Of the parking spaces provided, 24 will be EV ready. All parking is located in the ground level garage. Additionally, long-term bicycle parking spaces (in the building) will be provided on site. Each unit will have a fully furnished kitchen with a refrigerator, oven, cooking range, cabinetry and hard surface counters; central heating and air conditioning; and ample storage space. Mobility accessible units will provide larger door clearances, grab bars, wheelchair turnaround spaces within the units, removable cabinets at bath vanity and kitchen sink/work surface for wheelchair knee and toe clearance, and hand-held shower heads. Units serving tenants who are visually and /or hearing impaired are built with all lighting and HVAC controls within allowable reach ranges. Hearing and visually impaired units are also equipped with visual fire alarm and doorbell warning systems, and strobe lights. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in February 2027. The project will be developed by Meta Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 22 and Assembly District 53.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-483	
Project Name	Holt & Main	
Site Address:	221 West Holt Avenue and 2 Pomona, CA 91768	237 West Holt Avenue
County:	Los Angeles	
Census Tract:	4023.03	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$3,710,315	\$21,000,000
Recommended:	\$3,710,315	\$21,000,000

<u>\_\_\_\_</u>

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

## Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended:

. . . .

\$37,500,000

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Applicant for State Credits: Contact: Address:

Phone: Email:

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:160No. / % of Low Income Units:158Average Targeted Affordability:52.67%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Balance of Los Angeles County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Chris Saenz

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	40	25%
50% AMI:	12	8%
60% AMI:	90	57%
70% AMI*:	16	10%

\*CTCAC restricted only

Holt & Main, L.P. Western Community Housing, Inc. Chris Maffris 11150 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 620 Los Angeles, CA 90064 310-575-3543 cmaffris@metahousing.com

California Housing Finance Agency Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citibank, N.A.

Holt & Main LLC WCH Affordable LXIV, LLC Joint Venture Meta Development LLC Western Community Housing, Inc Meta Development LLC Boston Financial Investment Management, LP Solari Enterprises, Inc

## Unit Mix

76 1-Bedroom Units

44 2-Bedroom Units

40 3-Bedroom Units

160 Total Units

Unit Type	2023 Rents Targete	d % of	Proposed Rent	
& Number	Area Median Inco	ome	(including utilities)	
20 1 Bedroom	30%		\$709	
6 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,182	
42 1 Bedroom	60%		\$1,419	
8 1 Bedroom	70%		\$1,655	
10 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$851	
3 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,418	
25 2 Bedrooms	60%		\$1,702	
4 2 Bedrooms	70%		\$1,986	
10 3 Bedrooms	30%		\$983	
3 3 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,639	
23 3 Bedrooms	60%		\$1,967	
4 3 Bedrooms	70%		\$2,295	
2 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Uni	t	\$0	
Project Cost Summary at Applica	tion			
Land and Acquisition	\$3,597,93	4		
Construction Costs	\$44,630,24			
Construction Hard Cost Contingend				
Soft Cost Contingency	\$750,00			
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,006,65			
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$8,814,42			
Legal Fees	\$360,00			
Reserves	\$565,48			
Other Costs	\$6,471,64			
Developer Fee	\$9,306,81			
Total	\$78,744,59			
Residential				
Construction Cost Per Square Foot	:	\$243		
Per Unit Cost:	-	\$492,154		
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$246,624		
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$456,623		
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$234,375		
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Ren	tal Unit:	\$264,085		
Construction Fina	ncina		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	r ennanent rinaliciliy	Amoun
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$37,500,000	CalHFA: Tax	-Exempt	\$18,090,000
Citibank: Tax-Exempt Recycled	\$8,000,000	CalHFA: MIP	•	\$4,000,000
				ψ+,000,000

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

\$17,000,000

\$565,486

\$8,033,648

\$7,645,461

Citibank: Taxable

Tax Credit Equity

Deferred Operating Reserves

Deferred Developer Fee

\$5,684,854

\$50,969,741

\$78,744,594

Deferred Developer Fee

Tax Credit Equity

TOTAL

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$71,352,218
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$92,757,883
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,710,315
Total State Credit:	\$21,000,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost &	Eligible Basis): \$9,306,811
Investor/Consultant:	Boston Financial Investment Management, LP
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, gualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review. CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker: 93.899%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Jubilo Village, located at 4460-4464 Sepulveda Boulevard in Culver City on a 0.95 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,103,269 in annual federal tax credits and \$16,703,612 in total state tax credits and \$39,300,420 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 95 units of housing, consisting of 93 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 45 one-bedroom units, 25 two-bedroom units, and 25 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 6 stories - 5 floors of Type III-A over 1 floor Type 1-A garage and Type 1-A subterranean garage construction. Common amenities include laminate flooring, central air conditioning and heating, clubhouse, courtyard, elevators, central laundry facility, on-site management, playground, service coordinator and wireless internet connection in the clubhouse. Each unit will have refrigerators, ovens and dishwashers. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in December 2026. The project will be developed by Community Corporation of Santa Monica and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 55.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

\$3,103,269

Project Number	CA-24-485	
Project Name	Jubilo Village	
Site Address:	4460-4464 Sepulveda Boule	evard
	Culver City, CA 90230	
County:	Los Angeles	
Census Tract:	7027.00	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$3,103,269	\$16,703,612

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt	Bond	Allocation	
_			

Recommended:

Recommended:

\$39,300,420

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address: Community Corporation of Santa Monica Tara Barauskas 1410 2nd Street, Suite 200 Santa Monica, CA 90401 310-394-8487 tbarauskas@communitycorp.org

\$16,703,612

Phone: Email:

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Public Sale: Underwriter: Credit Enhancement Provider:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

## **Project Information**

California Municipal Finance Authority Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation Credit Enhanced Fannie Mae RBC Capital Markets, LLC

4464 Sepulveda Blvd. LLC Nonprofit Community Corporation of Santa Monica Community Corporation of Santa Monica CTY Housing Community Corporation of Santa Monica

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	95
No. / % of Low Income Units:	93 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	50.01%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (93 Units -
	100%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Balance of Los Angeles County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Homeless Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	42
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Erin Deblaquiere
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	10	11%
40% AMI:	24	26%
50% AMI:	35	38%
60% AMI:	14	15%
80% AMI*:	10	11%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

45 1-Bedroom Units

25 2-Bedroom Units

25 3-Bedroom Units

95 Total Units

			Proposed Rent (including utilities)
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$851
19	1 Bedroom	40%	\$946
5	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,135
5	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
13	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,639
9	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
5	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
3	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,419
5	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,702
6	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,967
2	1 Bedroom	80%	\$1,892
3	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,270
5	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,623
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$13,300,000
Construction Costs	\$40,640,131
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,371,448
Soft Cost Contingency	\$294,735
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,575,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$9,196,348
Legal Fees	\$580,500
Reserves	\$668,977
Other Costs	\$2,173,819
Developer Fee	\$7,784,120
Total	\$78,585,078

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$376
Per Unit Cost:	\$827,211
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$365,799
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$782,115
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$413,689
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$473,499

## **Construction Financing**

Amount
\$39,300,420
\$18,742,607
\$4,000,000
\$1,000,000
\$929,544
\$100
\$6,034,120
\$8,578,287

#### Permanent Financing

Source	Amount
CPC Mortgage	\$26,409,422
CCCHA <sup>1</sup>	\$4,000,000
City of Culver City	\$1,000,000
General Partner Equity	\$100
Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,284,120
Tax Credit Equity	\$42,891,436
TOTAL	\$78,585,078

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee CCCHA<sup>1</sup>: City of Culver City Housing Authority

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$59,678,254
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$77,581,730
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,103,269
Total State Credit:	\$16,703,612
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,784,120
Investor/Consultant:	CTY Housing
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Development costs are roughly \$782,115 per unit. The factors affecting this cost include land acquisition cost, construction loan interest, and prevailing wages.

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-24-485 must be completed as part of the placed in service package.

The project will serve 45% Special Needs tenants, consisting of individuals who are homeless.

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 94.874%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

BUSD Workforce Housing, located at 1701 San Pablo Avenue in Berkeley on a 0.78 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,559,765 in annual federal tax credits and \$9,131,113 in total state tax credits and \$39,443,118 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 110 units of housing, consisting of 97 restricted rental units, 12 market-rate units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 60 one-bedroom units, 25 two-bedroom units, and 25 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be a 110-unit, Type III-A over Type I-A construction, six-story new construction project with a contemporary design, featuring exterior building materials such as metal siding, plaster, and masonry finishes. Common amenities include laundry room, elevators, secure bicycle storage, property management offices, a lobby gathering area, a meeting room a fitness center on the ground floor, and a multi-purpose room and offices on the second floor. Each unit will have energy-efficient light fixtures, water-efficient plumbing fixtures, central heating and cooling, ample storage space, a stove and range, and a refrigerator. The construction is expected to begin in December 2024 and be completed in October 2026. The project will be developed by Satellite Affordable Housing Associates and will be located in Senate District 9 and Assembly District 14.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Name	BUSD Workforce Housing
Site Address:	1701 San Pablo Avenue
	Berkeley, CA 94702
County:	Alameda
Census Tract:	4222.00
Tou Cradit Amounto	

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$2,559,765	\$9,131,113
Recommended:	\$2,559,765	\$9,131,113

CA-24-489

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Recommended:	\$39,443,118
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Satellite Affordable Housing Associates
Contact:	Eve Stewart
Address:	1835 Alcatraz Avenue
	Berkeley, CA 94703
Phone:	510-809-2754
Email:	estewart@sahahomes.org
Bond Financing Information	
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Housing Finance Agency
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
	-

Private Placement Purchaser:

Project Number

Tay Exampt Band Allocation

Silicon Valley Bank

# Development Team

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

San Pablo GP LLC 1701 San Pablo LLC Nonprofit Abode Communities Satellite Affordable Housing Associates Satellite Affordable Housing Associates California Housing Partnership Satellite Affordable Housing Associates

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:110No. / % of Low Income Units:97Average Targeted Affordability:56.29%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

# Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	East Bay Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Franklin Cui

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	25	26%
50% AMI:	25	26%
60% AMI:	15	15%
80% AMI*:	32	33%

\*CTCAC restricted only

# Unit Mix

60 1-Bedroom Units 25 2-Bedroom Units

25 3-Bedroom Units

110 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
13	1 Bedroom	30%	\$832
6	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$999
6	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,153
13	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,387
6	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,665
6	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,923
7	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,665
4	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,998
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,307
14	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,220
9	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,664
9	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$3,077
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0
12	1 Bedroom	Market Rate Unit	\$2,554

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$390,725
Construction Costs	\$53,879,276
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,726,754
Soft Cost Contingency	\$412,004
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,636,029
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,771,701
Legal Fees	\$170,000
Reserves	\$699,900
Other Costs	\$4,827,086
Developer Fee	\$4,000,000
Total	\$75,513,475

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$512
Per Unit Cost:	\$686,486
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$443,174
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$662,260
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$358,574
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$606,817

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Silicon Valley Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$39,443,118	CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$10,196,000
Silicon Valley Bank: Taxable	\$3,940,402	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
City of Berkeley	\$22,050,000	City of Berkeley	\$24,500,000
Accrued Interest	\$870,178	Accrued Interest	\$870,178
Deferred Costs	\$1,653,031	General Partner Equity	\$100
General Partner Equity	\$100	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,664,882
Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,664,882	Tax Credit Equity	\$33,282,315
Tax Credit Equity	\$4,891,764	TOTAL	\$75,513,475

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$71,910,930
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	88.99%
Qualified Basis:	\$63,994,130
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,559,765
Total State Credit:	\$9,131,113
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,000,000
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.97028
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.92491

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

Staff noted a per-unit cost of \$662,260. The applicant noted that these costs are driven by many factors; including the requirement for a payment of prevailing wages, higher interest rates, larger unit sizes bringing added project costs, and the increase in insurance premiums for construction.

# **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 78.800%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Golden Gate Avenue Phase 1 LIHTC, located at 750 Golden Gate Avenue in San Francisco on a 0.26 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,061,153 in annual federal tax credits and \$31,132,689 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 55 units of housing, consisting of 54 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 7 studio units, 19 one-bedroom units, 15 two-bedroom units, and 14 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 8 stories and Type I construction. Common amenities include a community room, laundry room, on-site resident services office, and an outdoor landscaped courtyard sitting on top of the concrete podium. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, and garbage disposal. The construction is expected to begin in December 2024 and be completed in September 2026. The project will be developed by MidPen Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

Project	Number		
---------	--------	--	--

CA-24-490

Project Name	Golden Gate Avenue Phase 1 LIHTC	;
Site Address:	750 Golden Gate Avenue	
	San Francisco, CA 94102	
County:	San Francisco	
Census Tract:	160.00	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual S	State

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$3,061,153	\$0
Recommended:	\$3,061,153	\$0

# Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended:

\$31,132,689

# CTCAC Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address:

Phone: Email:

# Bond Financing Information

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: MidPen Housing Corporation Joanna Carman 303 Vintage Park Drive Foster City, CA 94404 831-707-2141 joanna.carman@midpen-housing.org

City and County of San Francisco Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation Silicon Valley Bank, a division of First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company

MP Golden Gate Avenue LLC Nonprofit Mid-Peninsula Hermanas, Inc. MidPen Housing Corporation California Housing Partership MidPen Property Management Corporation

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Cons	truction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1	
Total # of Units:	55	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	54	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	50.93%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	

# Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	San Francisco County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dianne Myers

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

	Aggregate	Number of	Percentage of
	Targeting	Units	Affordable Units
_	30% AMI:	8	15%
	40% AMI:	6	11%
	50% AMI:	13	24%
	60% AMI:	27	50%

# Unit Mix

7 SRO/Studio Units

19 1-Bedroom Units

15 2-Bedroom Units

14 3-Bedroom Units

55 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	SRO/Studio	30%	\$975
2	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,045
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,254
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,449
2	SRO/Studio	40%	\$1,301
4	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,394
3	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,626
4	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,742
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,091
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,415
9	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,091
8	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,509
10	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,898
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

\$480,658
\$41,987,009
\$2,030,487
\$375,669
\$2,120,861
\$4,030,121
\$170,000
\$278,175
\$2,800,794
\$7,678,478
\$61,952,252

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$679
Per Unit Cost:	\$1,126,405
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$650,496
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$1,021,341
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$566,049
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$576,531

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Silicon Valley Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$31,132,689	Silicon Valley Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$6,606,000
SF MOHCD <sup>1</sup>	\$19,500,000	SF MOHCD <sup>1</sup>	\$19,500,000
Accrued/Deferred Interest	\$890,603	Accrued/Deferred Interest	\$890,603
Deferred Costs	\$1,921,675	General Partner Equity	\$100
General Partner Equity	\$100	Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,778,478
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,778,478	Tax Credit Equity	\$29,177,071
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,728,707	TOTAL	\$61,952,252

**Permanent Financing** 

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$58,868,332
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$76,528,832
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,061,153
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,678,478
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.95314

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$1,021,341. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to high labor, design, and construction costs; prevailing wages; site slope requiring shoring; and rigorous structural requirements.

Project site will be subdivided into 2 air rights parcels, which has not yet been finalized. The air rights subdivision, legal description, and APN for the project must be completed as part of the placed in service package.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	aximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 116.294%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Monterey Family Apartments, located at 6730, 6630, 6680 Monterey Road in Gilroy on a 2.86 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,661,199 in annual federal tax credits and \$15,209,048 in total state tax credits and \$28,570,598 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 94 units of housing, consisting of 93 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 59 two-bedroom units, and 35 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). Monterey Family Apartments will consist of four 3-story buildings with a total of 94 units. It will be a Type V construction with slab-on-grade foundation, wood frame, exterior types will be stucco finish with multiple colors. Common amenities include community room, common areas, laundry, recreational areas, tot-lot, leasing office, exercise room, bicycle storage, playground, picnic area, and computer room. Each unit will have a storage closet, coat closet, central heat and cooling, patio or balcony, refrigerator, stove and oven, dishwasher, and garbage disposal. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in December 2026. The project will be developed by ROEM Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 30.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-492	
Project Name	Monterey Family Apartments	;
Site Address:	6730, 6630, 6680 Monterey I	Road
	Gilroy, CA 95020	
County:	Santa Clara	
Census Tract:	5126.03	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$2,661,199	\$15,209,048
Recommended:	\$2,661,199	\$15,209,048

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Recommended:
--------------

\$28,570,598

ROEM West, LLC

Lucky Bhardwaj

408-984-5600

1650 Lafayette Street Santa Clara, CA 95050

lbhardwaj@roemcorp.com

PACH San Jose Holdings, LLC

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Applicant for State Credits: Contact: Address:

Phone: Email:

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Housing Finance Agency
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citi Community Capital

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction Total # Residential Buildings: 4 Total # of Units: 94 No. / % of Low Income Units: 93 100.00% Average Targeted Affordability: 55.70% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

# Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	South and West Bay Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dylan Hervey

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

	ggregate argeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
3	0% AMI:	10	11%
5	60% AMI:	20	22%
6	0% AMI:	53	57%
70	0% AMI*:	10	11%

\*CTCAC restricted only

# Unit Mix

59 2-Bedroom Units

35 3-Bedroom Units

94 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)	
6	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,204	
12	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,007	
35	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,408	
6	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,810	
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,391	
8	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,319	
18	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,783	
4	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,247	
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0	
CA-24	-492	2	A	August 6, 2024

PACH San Jose Holdings, LLC Monterey Family Apartments, LLC Joint Venture Pacific Housing Inc. **ROEM West, LLC ROEM Development Corporation R4** Capital LLC FPI Management Inc

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$5,025,000
Construction Costs	\$30,592,875
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,865,066
Soft Cost Contingency	\$449,180
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,000,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$6,214,920
Legal Fees	\$310,000
Reserves	\$716,899
Other Costs	\$4,642,660
Developer Fee	\$6,651,624
Total	\$59,468,224

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$353
Per Unit Cost:	\$632,641
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$283,129
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$586,378
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$303,943
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$344,224

#### **Construction Financing**

# SourceAmountCitibank: Tax-Exempt\$28,570,598Citibank: Taxable\$9,289,490Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt\$3,500,000Net Operating Income\$237,792Deferred Costs\$7,348,524Tax Credit Equity\$10,521,820

# Permanent Financing

Source	Amount
CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$18,309,000
CalHFA: MIP	\$1,500,000
Net Operating Income	\$237,792
Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,348,698
Tax Credit Equity	\$35,072,734
TOTAL	\$59,468,224

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$51,176,901
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$66,529,971
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,661,199
Total State Credit:	\$15,209,048
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,651,624
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital LLC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81500
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum 0		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 81.619%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Vera Avenue Apartments, located at 112 Vera Avenue in Redwood City on a 0.6 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,388,644 in annual federal tax credits and \$23,969,101 in total state tax credits and \$45,365,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 178 units of housing, consisting of 176 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 25 studio units, and 153 one-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The building will be seven story Type I-A and Type III-A construction. Common amenities include community room, laundry facilities, and fitness center. Each unit will have a refrigerator, and range/oven/cooktop. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in January 2027. The project will be developed by Corporation for Better Housing and will be located in Senate District 13 and Assembly District 21.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-493
Project Number	CA-24-493

Project Name	Vera Avenue Apartments
Site Address:	112 Vera Avenue
	Redwood City, CA 94061
County:	San Mateo
Census Tract:	6102.03

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$4,388,644	\$23,969,101
Recommended:	\$4,388,644	\$23,969,101

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$45,365,000

# CTCAC Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address: Contact: Address: Contact: Co

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Housing Finance Agency
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	U.S. Bank National Association

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	178
No. / % of Low Income Units:	176 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	51.54%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	South and West Bay Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	31	18%
50% AMI:	75	43%
60% AMI:	51	29%
70% AMI*:	19	11%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

25 SRO/Studio Units 153 1-Bedroom Units 178 Total Units Corporation for Better Housing, a Nonprofit Public Benefit Corp. Summix, LLC Joint Venture Corporation for Better Housing, a Nonprofit Public Benefit Corp. Summix, LLC Corporation for Better Housing Walker & Dunlop WinnResidential California LP

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted Area Median Incom		Proposed Rent (including utilities)
5 SRO/Studio	30%		\$975
11 SRO/Studio	50%		\$1,626
6 SRO/Studio	60%		\$1,951
3 SRO/Studio	70%		\$2,276
26 1 Bedroom	30%		\$1,045
64 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,742
45 1 Bedroom	60%		\$2,091
16 1 Bedroom	70%		\$2,439
2 1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit		\$0
Project Cost Summary at App	lication		
Land and Acquisition	\$6,150,000	<u>)</u>	
Construction Costs	\$56,830,425		
Construction Hard Cost Conting			
Soft Cost Contingency	\$790,000		
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,902,000		
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing			
Legal Fees	\$280,000		
Reserves	\$1,055,300		
Other Costs	\$5,449,000		
Developer Fee	\$9,840,000		
Total	\$95,842,387		
Residential			
Construction Cost Per Square F	Foot:	\$542	
Per Unit Cost:		\$538,440	
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$280,064	
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$496,954	
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$254,860	
Bond Allocation Per Restricted	Rental Unit:	\$288,949	
Construction Fi	inancing		Permanent Financing
Source	Amount	Source	,
US Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$45 365 000		

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
US Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$45,365,000	CalHFA	\$26,102,000
US Bank: Recycled	\$8,000,000	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
US Bank: Taxable	\$8,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$7,384,621
Tax Credit Equity	\$23,927,622	Tax Credit Equity	\$58,355,766
		TOTAL	\$95,842,387

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$84,397,007
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$109,716,109
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$4,388,644
Total State Credit:	\$23,969,101
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,840,000
Investor/Consultant:	Walker & Dunlop
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 110.044%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

Sutter Street, located at 1101-1123 Sutter Street in San Francisco on a 0.68 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,620,905 in annual federal tax credits and \$20,400,000 in total state tax credits and \$40,195,709 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 102 units of housing, consisting of 101 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 8 studio units, 9 one-bedroom units, 43 two-bedroom units, 31 three-bedroom units, and 11 four-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The proposed project will be a Type I construction structure. It will be a 14-story building serviced by one elevator with a steel frame structure on a concrete slab foundation with a flat roof. Common amenities will include bike storage, a business center, a clubhouse, concierge, a courtyard, electric vehicle charging stations, a fitness center, hot tub, intercom (buzzer), a library, limited access, on-site management, perimeter fencing, a pet park, picnic areas, a playground, recreational areas, a rooftop deck, a theatre, video surveillance, and common area Wi-Fi. The project does not include any resident parking. Each unit will include balconies/patios, blinds, carpeting, ceiling fans, central air conditioning, coat closets, dishwashers, disposals, exterior storage, grab bars, microwaves, ovens, refrigerators, tile flooring, and walk-in closets. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by Martin McNerney Development, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

<b>Project Name</b> Site Address:	Sutter Street 1101-1123 Sutter Street San Francisco, CA 94109	
County: Census Tract:	San Francisco 120.02	
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	Federal/Annual \$3,620,905 \$3,620,905	<b>State/Total</b> \$20,400,000 \$20,400,000

CA-24-494

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$40,195,709
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Kingdom Development, Inc.
Contact:	William Leach
Address:	6451 Box Springs Blvd.
	Riverside, CA 92507
Phone:	951-538-6244
Email:	william@kingdomdevelopment.net

**Project Number** 

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	102
No. / % of Low Income Units:	101 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	49.57%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	San Francisco County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Chris Saenz

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate	Number of	Percentage of
Targeting	Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	11	11%
50% AMI:	79	78%
70% AMI*:	11	11%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

- 8 SRO/Studio Units
- 9 1-Bedroom Units
- 43 2-Bedroom Units
- 31 3-Bedroom Units
- 11 4-Bedroom Units
- 102 Total Units

California Housing Finance Agency Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citibank, N.A.

> Martin McNerney Development, Inc. Kingdom AK, LLC Joint Venture Martin McNerney Development, Inc. Kingdom Development Inc. Martin McNerney Development, Inc. Boston Financial Greystar

	2023 Rents Targeted		Proposed Rent
& Number	Area Median Inco	me	(including utilities)
1 SRO/Studio	50%		\$1,261
1 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,441
2 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,621
7 SRO/Studio	50%		\$1,626
7 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,742
20 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$2,091
5 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,254
11 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$2,091
5 2 Bedrooms	70%		\$2,927
4 3 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,449
23 3 Bedrooms	50%		\$2,415
4 3 Bedrooms	70%		\$3,381
2 4 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,617
7 4 Bedrooms	50%		\$2,695
2 4 Bedrooms	70%		\$3,773
1 1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit		\$0
Project Cost Summary at Applica	tion		
Land and Acquisition	\$11,015,18	5	
Construction Costs	\$49,187,57	0	
Construction Hard Cost Contingenc	y \$2,422,88	9	
Soft Cost Contingency	\$625,00	0	
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,136,17	2	
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$6,199,56	0	
Legal Fees	\$393,66	6	
Reserves	\$733,19	8	
Other Costs	\$1,723,47	6	
Developer Fee	\$9,082,53	6	
Total	\$84,519,25	2	
Residential			
Construction Cost Per Square Foot:		\$540	
Per Unit Cost:		\$828,620	
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$414,823	
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$761,990	
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$394,076	
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Ren	tal Unit:	\$446,619	
Construction Fina	0	_	Permanent Financing
Source	Amount	Source	
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$40,195,709	CalHFA: Tax-	Exempt

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$40,195,709	CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$12,412,020
Citibank: Taxable	\$23,476,586	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$13,333,078	Master Developer Loan	\$11,500,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$7,513,879	Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,796,258
		Tax Credit Equity	\$49,810,974
		TOTAL	\$84,519,252

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$69,632,779
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$90,522,613
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,620,905
Total State Credit:	\$20,400,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,082,536
Investor/Consultant:	Boston Financial
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87979
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88014

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$761,990. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to Type I construction costs, interest rates, prevailing wages, and supply chain costs.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 135.571%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

El Camino Real Affordable Apartments, located at 2136 El Camino Real in Oceanside on a 2.03 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,964,190 in annual federal tax credits and \$14,729,951 in total state tax credits and \$28,230,921 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 111 units of housing, consisting of 110 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 56 two-bedroom units, and 55 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 4 stories and Type V-A construction. Common amenities include courtyard, on-site management, central laundry, and a playground. Each unit will have ceiling fans, dishwashers, disposals, microwaves, ovens, refrigerators, vinyl plank flooring, and wall air conditioning. The construction is expected to begin in March 2025 and be completed in March 2027. The project will be developed by Mirka Investments, LLC and will be located in Senate District 38 and Assembly District 74.

Project Number	CA-24
----------------	-------

4-497

Project Name	El Camino Real Affordable Apartments
Site Address:	2136 El Camino Real
	Oceanside, CA 92054
County:	San Diego
Census Tract:	185.15

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$1,964,190	\$14,729,951
Recommended:	\$1,964,190	\$14,729,951

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

# **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:	\$28,230,921
--------------	--------------

# **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address:

Mission Neighborhood Centers, Inc. Kursat Misirlioglu 600 B Street, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 599-3852 kursatm@mirkainvest.com

# **Bond Financing Information**

Phone:

Email:

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel:	Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citi Community Capital

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

## **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 111 No. / % of Low Income Units: 110 100.00% Average Targeted Affordability: 59.65% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	BIPOC
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Erin Deblaquiere
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

 Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	12	11%
50% AMI:	12	11%
60% AMI:	64	58%
80% AMI*:	22	20%

\*CTCAC restricted only

# Unit Mix

56 2-Bedroom Units 55 3-Bedroom Units 111 Total Units

Developer:

Mirka Investments, LLC Mission Neighborhood Centers, Inc. Joint Venture Mirka Investments, LLC Mission Neighborhood Centers, Inc. Mirka Investments, LLC **Richman Group** Hyder & Company

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
11	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,482
32	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,861
6	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,551
6	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$930
11	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,867
32	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,150
6	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,791
6	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,075
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$6,268,750
Construction Costs	\$30,977,640
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,516,782
Soft Cost Contingency	\$315,720
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,330,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,746,604
Legal Fees	\$395,000
Reserves	\$528,318
Other Costs	\$3,336,492
Developer Fee	\$6,404,967
Total	\$56,820,273

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$295
Per Unit Cost:	\$511,894
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$245,765
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$470,385
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$254,333
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$320,806

# **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$28,230,921	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$22,335,479
Citibank: Recycled Bonds	\$2,841,014	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,607,544
Citibank: Taxable	\$8,925,744	Tax Credit Equity	\$29,877,250
Deferred Costs	\$3,251,875	TOTAL	\$56,820,273
Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,607,544		
Tax Credit Equity	\$8,963,175		

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Permanent Financing** 

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$49,104,747
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$49,104,747
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,964,190
Total State Credit:	\$14,729,951
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,404,967
Investor/Consultant:	Richman Group
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89500

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10326(g)(5), general partners and management companies lacking documented experience with Section 42 requirements using the minimum scoring standards at Section 10325(c)(2)(A) and (B) shall be required to complete training as prescribed by CTCAC prior to a project's placing in service. The required CTCAC training for the general partner has been completed and the certification of completion has been received by CTCAC.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 87.586%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The Walk Residences, located at 12700 Norwalk Boulevard in Norwalk on a 0.3 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,335,628 in annual federal tax credits and \$22,540,611 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 56 units of housing, consisting of 55 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 32 one-bedroom units, 7 two-bedroom units, and 17 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The project will be six-stories of Type I-B construction, resulting in a protected steel construction, elevator-serviced, midrise building. Common amenities include a business center, a clubhouse, elevator service, a fitness center, central laundry, and common area WiFi. Each unit will have furnished bathrooms & kitchens, including balconies/patios, blinds, carpeting, central air conditioning, coat closets, dishwashers, disposals, unit furnishing, microwaves, ovens, refrigerators, and vinyl plank flooring. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by Primestor Development, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 30 and Assembly District 64.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention (VHHP) program of HCD and Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CaIHFA.

# Project Number CA-24-500

Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	The Walk Reside 12700 Norwalk E Norwalk, CA 906 Los Angeles 5523.01	Boulevard	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A	Annual	State/Total
Requested:		35,628	\$0
Recommended:	\$2,33	35,628	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation	<b>*</b> ~~ =	10.011	
Recommended:	\$22,54	40,611	
CTCAC Applicant Information			
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC S	ponsor:	Residences at the	
Contact:		Blake Coddingtor	
Address:		9950 Jefferson Bl	-
		Culver City, CA	90323
Phone:		213-223-5586	
Email:		bcoddington@pri	mestor.com
Bond Financing Information			
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue	r:	Callifornia Housir	g Finance Agency
Bond Counsel:		Orrick, Herringtor	

Private Placement Purchaser:

Citibank, N.A.

# Development Team

**Project Information** 

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	Primestor Development, LLC Diversity Builders Alliance, Inc.
	Kingdom Golden Circle, LLC
General Partner Type:	Joint Venture
Parent Company(ies):	Primestor Development, Inc.
	Diversity Builders Alliance, Inc.
	Kingdom Development, Inc.
Developer:	Primestor Development, Inc.
Investor/Consultant:	CREA, LLC
Management Agent:	Domus Management Company

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	56
No. / % of Low Income Units:	55 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	42.00%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (32
	Units - 57%)

#### Information

Non-Targeted
Balance of Los Angeles County
New Construction
Mixed Income Set Aside
Amit Sarang
Dylan Hervey

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	28	51%
50% AMI:	21	38%
70% AMI*:	6	11%

# \*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

32 1-Bedroom Units 7 2-Bedroom Units 17 3-Bedroom Units 56 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income		Proposed Rent (including utilities)	
17 1 Bedroom	30%		\$709	
15 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,182	
6 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$851	
5 3 Bedrooms	30%		\$983	
6 3 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,639	
6 3 Bedrooms	70%		\$2,295	
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Uni	it	\$0	
Project Cost Summary at Applic	ation			
Land and Acquisition	\$199,94	43		
Construction Costs	\$29,822,67	76		
Construction Hard Cost Contingen	cy \$1,458,44	46		
Soft Cost Contingency	\$790,00	00		
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,719,74	42		
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,102,46	69		
Legal Fees	\$559,00			
Reserves	\$733,78	39		
Other Costs	\$1,073,06	51		
Developer Fee	\$7,485,98	38		
Total	\$47,945,11	14		
Residential				
Construction Cost Per Square Foo	it:	\$574		
Per Unit Cost:		\$856,163		
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$482,811		
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$728,197		
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$402,511		
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Re	ntal Unit:	\$460,012		
Construction Financing			Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Ŭ	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$22,540,611	CalHFA: Tax-	Exempt	\$7,680,411
Citibank: Taxable	\$10,964,254	CalHFA: MIP		\$2,800,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$11,252,884	HCD: VHHP		\$7,700,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,187,365	City of Norwal	k: HOME	\$1,000,000
. ,	. , ,	City of Norwalk: HOME-ARP <sup>1</sup>		\$1,047,149
		Deferred Developer Fee		\$7,166,083
		Tax Credit Eq	•	\$20,551,471
			5	

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>HOME Investment Partnerships Program-American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP) \$47,945,114

TOTAL

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$44,915,927
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$58,390,705
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,335,628
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,485,988
Investor/Consultant:	CREA, LLC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$728,197. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to increased interest rates, lumber costs, and prevailing wages.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event. None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 116.201%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Maison's Village - Phase II, located at Bell Avenue and Hudsonia Street in Palmdale on a 18.74 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,237,659 in annual federal tax credits and \$9,573,068 in total state tax credits and \$34,410,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 191 units of housing, consisting of 189 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 64 one-bedroom units, 48 two-bedroom units, 57 three-bedroom units, and 22 four-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 1 story and wood frame construction with vinyl siding and pitched roofs. Common amenities include a community pool/spa, community room, gym, education/business center, basketball court, playground, and paseo areas. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, garbage disposal, microwave, and washer/dryer hook-ups. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in September 2026. The project will be developed by Ravello Holdings, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 21 and Assembly District 39.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-502	
Project Name	Maison's Village - Phase II	
Site Address:	Bell Avenue and Hudsonia St Palmdale, CA 93552	reet
County:	Los Angeles	
Census Tract:	9107.13	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$3,237,659	\$9,573,068
Recommended:	\$3,237,659	\$9,573,068

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

dale Blvd 150, LP venue ach, CA 90266
)
noldings.com
sing Finance Agency ton & Sutcliffe LLP nk of Indiana

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction Total # Residential Buildings: Total # of Units: No. / % of Low Income Units: Average Targeted Affordability: Federal Set-Aside Elected: Federal Subsidy:

Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Balance of Los Angeles County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Brett Andersen

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	22	12%
50% AMI:	27	14%
60% AMI:	52	28%
70% AMI*:	88	47%

\*CTCAC restricted only

# Unit Mix

64 1-Bedroom Units 48 2-Bedroom Units 57 3-Bedroom Units 22 4-Bedroom Units

191 Total Units

Ravello MODs Palmdale Blvd 150. LLC AHA High Desert MGP, LLC Joint Venture Ravello Holdings, Inc. Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Ravello Holdings, Inc. WNC Aperto Property Management, Inc.

191 191 189 100.00% 59.74% 40%/60% Average Income Tax-Exempt

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
9	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
18	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,418
30	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,655
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$851
7	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
13	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,702
21	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$1,986
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$983
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,639
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,967
7	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,295
5	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$983
6	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,639
11	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,967
20	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,295
3	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,097
3	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,828
6	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,194
10	4 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,560
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
oject C	ost Summary at A	pplication	
nd and	Acquisition	\$3 400 000	

# Pro

Total	\$70,484,091
Developer Fee	\$6,500,000
Other Costs	\$4,854,483
Reserves	\$1,061,398
Legal Fees	\$645,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,224,750
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,600,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,389,908
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,465,156
Construction Costs	\$42,253,396
Land and Acquisition	\$3,490,000

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$232
Per Unit Cost:	\$369,027
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$191,369
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$341,803
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$180,157
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$340,693

# Construction FinancingSourceAmountMerchant's Capital: Tax-Exempt\$34,410,000Merchant's Capital: Recycled1\$6,000,000Merchant's Capital: Equity Bridge\$6,220,803Deferred Costs\$6,261,086Tax Credit Equity\$17,592,202

Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	
CalHFA	\$28,500,000	
CalHFA - MIP	\$1,600,000	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,199,688	
Solar Equity	\$484,500	
Tax Credit Equity	\$34,699,903	
TOTAL	\$70,484,091	

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Merchant's Capital: Recycled Tax-Exempt

Determination of Credit Amount(s)	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$62,262,668
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$80,941,468
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,237,659
Total State Credit:	\$9,573,068
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,500,000
Investor/Consultant:	WNC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.75000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of 6,090. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of 5,594 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

The applicant anticipates that the water service will be sub-metered and that the tenants will be billed separately for their water usage by a 3rd party company. Accordingly, the units' utility allowances include a component for water. The applicant is aware that sub-metering the water service and direct billing of the tenants by a 3rd party company must follow certain IRS rules in order to be in compliance. In conjunction with the IRS rules, prior to the issuance of the IRS 8609 forms, CTCAC will need to confirm that the water service and tenant billing have been implemented correctly. In addition, the CTCAC Compliance Section will require specific information regarding the master water bill and each tenant's water usage and water bill when they inspect the project.

#### CDLAC Analyst Comments None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 106.632%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Julian Street Studios, located at 1271 & 1279 East Julian Street in San Jose on a 0.97 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,379,444 in annual federal tax credits and \$36,054,485 in total state tax credits and \$65,400,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 305 units of housing, consisting of 301 restricted rental units and 4 manager's units. The project will have 303 studio units, 1 two-bedroom unit, and 1 three-bedroom unit, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). Julian Street Studios will consist of a single seven-story building (5 stories Type III-A over 2 stories Type I-A). Common amenities include a large community room, outdoor patio, fitness room, business center, common area for resident services, bike storage and courtyard. Each unit will have range, frost-free refrigerator, dishwasher, garbage disposal, central heating and air conditioning, granite countertops, closet space, carpeting, vinyl flooring in kitchen and bathrooms. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in January 2027. The project will be developed by Corporation for Better Housing and will be located in Senate District 13 and Assembly District 25.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-503
Project Name	Julian Street Studios
Site Address:	1271 & 1279 East Julian Street
	San Jose, CA 95116
County:	Santa Clara
Census Tract:	5014.01
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$6,379,444	\$36,054,485
Recommended:	\$6,379,444	\$36,054,485

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt	Bond	Allocation
------------	------	------------

Recommended:

\$65,400,000

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address:

Phone: Email:

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser: 1271 E. Julian St., L.P. Lori Koester 20750 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 155 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 818-605-3758 Ikoester@corpoffices.org

California Housing Finance Agency Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP U.S. Bank National Association

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:305No. / % of Low Income Units:301Average Targeted Affordability:51.03%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	South and West Bay Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Cynthia Compton

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	47	16%
50% AMI:	136	45%
60% AMI:	87	29%
70% AMI*:	31	10%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

303 SRO/Studio Units 1 2-Bedroom Units 1 3-Bedroom Units 305 Total Units 1271 E. Julian St., L.P. JSL Real Estate Corporation Joint Venture Corporation for Better Housing, a Nonprofit Public Benefit Corp. JSL Real Estate Corporation Corporation for Better Housing Walker & Dunlop WinnResidential California LP

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted Area Median Incor		Proposed Rent (including utilities)	
47 SRO/Studio	30%		\$936	
136 SRO/Studio	50%		\$1,561	
87 SRO/Studio	60%		\$1,873	
31 SRO/Studio	70%		\$1,946	
2 SRO/Studio	Manager's Unit		\$0	
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit		\$0	
1 3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit		\$0	
Project Cost Summary at Applica	ation			
Land and Acquisition	\$7,850,000	-		
Construction Costs	\$80,143,072			
Construction Hard Cost Contingend	cy \$4,007,154			
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,315,000	1		
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,154,800	1		
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$15,343,970	1		
Legal Fees	\$280,000	)		
Reserves	\$1,645,900	1		
Other Costs	\$12,096,420	1		
Developer Fee	\$12,400,000	1		
Commercial Costs	\$0	_		
Total	\$138,236,316			
Residential				
Construction Cost Per Square Foot	t:	\$557		
Per Unit Cost:		\$453,234		
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$230,495		
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$420,426		
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$214,426		
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rer	ntal Unit:	\$242,222		
Construction Fina	ancing		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source		Amount
US Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$65,400,000	CalHFA: Tax-	Exempt	\$38,360,000
US Bank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$11,250,000	CalHFA: MIP		\$4,000,000
US Bank: Taxable	\$13,000,000	Deferred Dev		\$10,006,241
Tax Credit Equity	\$35,038,123	Tax Equity Cr	edit	\$85,870,075

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

\$138,236,316

TOTAL

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$122,681,616
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$159,486,101
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$6,379,444
Total State Credit:	\$36,054,485
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$12,400,000
Investor/Consultant:	Walker & Dunlop
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

CDLAC Analyst Comments None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Monarch, located at 805 R Street in Sacramento on a 1.2 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$5,394,131 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,811,593 in total state tax credits and \$55,161,072 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 241 units of housing, consisting of 239 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 82 studio units, 136 one-bedroom units, 22 two-bedroom units, and 1 three-bedroom unit, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The building will be five story Type V over Type I construction. Common amenities include large community room, laundry facilities, fitness room, and bike storage. Each unit will have a refrigerator, and range/oven/cooktop. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in April 2027. The project will be developed by Mutual Housing California and will be located in Senate District 8 and Assembly District 10.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Local Government Matching Grants (LGMG) program of HCD and Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-504
Project Name	Monarch
Site Address:	805 R Street
	Sacramento, CA 95811
County:	Sacramento
Census Tract:	11.03

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$5,394,131	\$8,811,593
Recommended:	\$5,394,131	\$8,811,593

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Recommended:	\$55,161,072
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Mutual Housing California
Contact:	Parker Evans
Address:	3321 Power Inn Road, Suite 320
	Sacramento, CA 95826
Phone:	916-453-8400
Email:	parker@mutualhousing.com
Bond Financing Information	
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Housing Finance Agency
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Banner Bank

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: Total # Residential Buildings: Total # of Units: No. / % of Low Income Units: Average Targeted Affordability: Federal Set-Aside Elected: Federal Subsidy: 805 R Mutual Housing Association, LLC 805 R CADA Association, LLC Nonprofit Mutual Housing California Capitol Area Community Development Corporation Mutual Housing California Enterprise Housing Credit Investments Mutual Housing Management

New Construction 1 241 239 100.00% 55.02% 40%/60% Average Income Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (20 Units - 8%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	20
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Paixao

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	24	10%
50% AMI:	75	31%
60% AMI:	112	47%
70% AMI*:	28	12%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

82 SRO/Studio Units

136 1-Bedroom Units

22 2-Bedroom Units

1 3-Bedroom Units

241 Total Units

CA-24-504

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent _(including utilities)
13	SRO/Studio	30%	\$563
4	SRO/Studio	30%	\$563
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
25	SRO/Studio	50%	\$938
44	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,005
6	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
39	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,126
64	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,206
9	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,447
1	SRO/Studio	70%	\$1,314
21	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,407
6	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$1,688
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$29,811
Construction Costs	\$80,122,487
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,006,124
Soft Cost Contingency	\$360,060
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,514,534
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$10,378,264
Legal Fees	\$88,845
Reserves	\$1,035,405
Other Costs	\$4,907,534
Developer Fee	\$6,921,980
Commercial Costs	\$1,297,136
Total	\$110,662,180

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$468
Per Unit Cost:	\$453,428
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$307,369
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$435,310
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$228,884
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$261,427

#### **Construction Financing**

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Banner Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$55,161,072	CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$22,089,000
Banner Bank: Taxable	\$17,946,361	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
HCD: LGMG	\$10,000,000	HCD: LGMG	\$10,000,000
CACDC <sup>1</sup>	\$8,000,000	CACDC <sup>1</sup>	\$8,000,000
City of Sacramento	\$3,000,000	City of Sacramento	\$3,000,000
Accrued Deferred Interest	\$321,725	Accrued Deferred Interest	\$321,725
Deferred Costs	\$2,890,001	Net Operating Income	\$442,916
Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,421,980	MHC <sup>2</sup> : General Partner Loan	\$568,875
Renewable Energy Tax Credit Equity	\$548,275	MHC <sup>2</sup> : General Partner Loan	\$586,800
Tax Credit Equity	\$8,372,766	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,421,980
		Renewable Energy Tax Credit Equity	\$548,275
		Tax Credit Equity	\$56,682,609

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>1</sup>Capitol Area Community Development Corporation

<sup>2</sup>Mutual Housing California

\$110,662,180

TOTAL

Determination of Credit Amount(s)	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$103,733,292
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$134,853,280
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$5,394,131
Total State Credit:	\$8,811,593
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$6,921,980
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$6,833,155
Investor/Consultant:	Enterprise Housing Credit Investments
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90747
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87755

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$5,100. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$4,818 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None

#### Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	No Maximum	
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 79.418%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The project, 831 Water Street, located at 823 - 833 Water Street in Santa Cruz on a 0.91 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,227,025 in annual federal tax credits and \$12,477,384 in total state tax credits and \$50,273,157 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 140 units of housing, consisting of 135 restricted rental units, 4 market-rate units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 64 studio units, 54 one-bedroom units, 19 two-bedroom units, and 3 three-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The proposed project will consist of two elevator serviced buildings, one 4-story building consisting of 71 units and a second 5-story building consisting of 69 units. The type of construction structure will be Type V wood frame. The existing commercial buildings will need to be demolished in order for the Project to be developed. Common amenities include a large community room, business center/computer lab, courtyard, laundry facilities, lobby and mail room, management and on-site services offices, exercise facility and roof decks with open space. The Project will provide a total of 44 parking spaces. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, microwave, balconies/patios, blinds, carpeting, vinyl flooring and coat closets. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in October 2026. The project will be developed by Novin Development Corp. and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 28.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual
Census Tract:	1002.00
County:	Santa Cruz
	Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Site Address:	823 - 833 Water Street
Project Name	831 Water Street

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$4,227,025	\$12,477,384
Recommended:	\$4,227,025	\$12,477,384

CA-24-509

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Recommended:	\$50,273,157
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	831 Water Street LP
Applicant for State Credits:	831 Water Street LP
Contact:	Iman Novin
Address:	1990 North California Boulevard, Suite 800
	Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Phone:	925-344-6244
Email:	inovin@novindevelopment.com

**Project Number** 

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

# Project Information

Citibank, N.A. 831 Water Street LLC Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing Novin Development LLC

California Housing Finance Agency

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Joint Venture Noving Development Corp Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing Novin Development Corp. CREA LLC FPI Management

Construction Type:	New Cons	truction
Total # Residential Buildings:	2	
Total # of Units:	140	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	135	97.12%
Average Targeted Affordability:	58.96%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exem	pt / HUD Project-based Vouchers (64 Units - 47%)

#### Information

le

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	41	30%
50% AMI:	23	17%
60% AMI:	5	4%
80% AMI*:	66	49%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

64 SRO/Studio Units

54 1-Bedroom Units

19 2-Bedroom Units

3 3-Bedroom Units

140 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent _(including utilities)_
22	SRO/Studio	30%	\$864
10	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,441
32	SRO/Studio	80%	\$2,306
17	1 Bedroom	30%	\$926
10	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,544
27	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,470
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,112
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,853
5	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,224
5	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,965
2	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$3,426
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
3	2 Bedrooms	Market Rate Unit	\$3,941
1	3 Bedrooms	Market Rate Unit	\$4,900

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Project Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$10,792,440
Construction Costs	\$60,210,913
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,239,132
Soft Cost Contingency	\$725,184
Relocation	\$313,088
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,780,686
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,833,786
Legal Fees	\$205,464
Reserves	\$1,209,944
Other Costs	\$2,209,620
Developer Fee	\$11,151,393
Commercial Costs	\$1,696,896
Total	\$101,368,546

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$685
Per Unit Cost:	\$711,940
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$375,120
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$607,775
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$359,094
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$728,596

# Construction FinancingSourceAmountCiti: Tax-Exempt\$50,273,157Citi: Taxable\$22,015,297Seller Carryback\$6,900,000Tax Credit Equity\$9,973,746

Permanent Financing			
Source	Amount		
CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$32,668,423		
CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000		
Seller Carryback	\$6,900,000		
Deferred Developer Fee	\$7,931,393		
Tax Credit Equity	\$49,868,730		
TOTAL	\$101,368,546		

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$85,494,011
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	97.12%
Qualified Basis:	\$107,943,877
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$4,227,025
Total State Credit:	\$11,528,843
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$11,151,393
Investor/Consultant:	CREA LLC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.92000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

The applicant's estimate of contractor profit, overhead and general requirement costs exceeds CTCAC limit of 14%. The applicant is cautioned that at final review, prior to the issuance of the IRS 8609 forms, any costs or eligible basis that exceeds the limits will not be allowed.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 80.008%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Costa Mesa M6, located at 2274 Newport Boulevard in Costa Mesa on a 1.17 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,904,479 in annual federal tax credits and \$23,185,979 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the adaptive reuse of 87 units of housing, consisting of 86 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 86 studio units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The building will be four 2-story buildings and Type V-A construction with wood frame and stucco exterior. Common amenities include laundry rooms, community TV lounge and game room, computer business center, dog park, community room with demonstration & warming kitchen, podium deck, fitness room, bicycle parking and storage, community garden, on-site property managment office and on-site supportive services providers. Each unit will have refrigerator, range/oven, microwave. The rehabilitation is expected to begin in December 2024 and be completed in January 2026. The project will be developed by Community Development Partners and is located in Senate District 37 and Assembly District 73.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

CA-24-511	
Costa Mesa M6	
2274 Newport Boulevard Costa Mesa , CA 92627	
Orange	
632.01	
Federal/Annual	
\$1,904,479	
\$1,904,479	
\$23,185,979	

Costa Mesa M6 LP
Teresa Pakalski
3416 Via Oporto, Ste 301
Newport Beach, CA 92663
262-4903939
teresa@communitydevpartners.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Statewide Communities Development Authority
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citibank, N.A.

State/Total

\$0 \$0

#### Development Team

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

# **Project Information**

CDP Costa Mesa M6 LLC CM Mercy House CHDO LLC Joint Venture Community Development Partners Mercy House CHDO, Inc. Community Development Partners R4 Capital FPI Management

Construction Type: Adaptive Reuse Total # Residential Buildings: 4 Total # of Units: 87 No. / % of Low Income Units: 86 100.00% Average Targeted Affordability: 40.69% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (40 Units -47%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Orange County
Set Aside:	Homeless Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	40
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Erin Deblaquiere
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dylan Hervey

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	40	47%
50% AMI:	46	53%

#### Unit Mix

86 SRO/Studio Units 1 2-Bedroom Units 87 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
40	SRO/Studio	30%	\$753
46	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,256
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

\$20,050,000
\$12,310,804
\$1,270,813
\$155,169
\$437,000
\$2,824,646
\$250,000
\$383,526
\$926,362
\$5,459,521
\$44,067,841

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$383
Per Unit Cost:	\$506,527
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$124,126
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$386,746
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$266,506
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$269,604
	<b>~</b> =••,•

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$23,185,979	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$5,870,000
City of Costa Mesa	\$2,350,000	City of Costa Mesa	\$2,350,000
County of Orange	\$5,350,000	County of Orange	\$5,350,000
Seller Carryback	\$7,432,200	Orange County: HFT <sup>1</sup>	\$1,746,191
Cal Optima Grant	\$1,000,000	Seller Carryback	\$7,432,200
Deferred Costs	\$383,526	Cal Optima Grant	\$1,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,633,073	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,988,696
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,733,063	Tax Credit Equity	\$17,330,754
		TOTAL	\$44,067,841

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Orange County: Housing Finance Trust

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$19,201,327
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$22,655,000
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$24,961,725
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$22,655,000
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$998,279
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$906,200
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,904,479
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$5,459,521
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.91000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments** 

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event. None.

# **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker:

121.118%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

Residency at Sky Village Hollywood - Phase I, located at 5645 Fernwood Avenue in Los Angeles on a 0.37 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$7,113,617 in annual federal tax credits and \$74,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 237 units of housing, consisting of 235 restricted rental units, and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 99 studio units, and 138 onebedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be a 17-story Type I Elevator-Serviced highrise. Common amenities include a community room, decking, garden, picnic area, and laundry rooms. Each unit will include wall air conditioning, grab bars, handrails, and vinyl plank flooring. Kitchen appliances will include ovens and refrigerators. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in June 2027. The project will be developed by ABS Properties Inc. and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of The People Concern Project-based Contract.

Project Number	CA-24-515			
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Residency at Sky Village Hollywood - Phase I 5645 Fernwood Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90028 Los Angeles 1909.01			
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal		State/Total	
Requested:	\$7,	113,617	\$0	
Recommended:	\$7,	113,617	\$0	
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$74,	000,000		
CTCAC Applicant Information				
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC S	ponsor:		t Sky Village I, LP	
Contact:		Samir Srivas	stava	
Address:			ood Boulevard	
		Los Angeles		
Phone:		323 464 785	•	
Email:		samir@absl	lc.org	
Bond Financing Information				
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue	r:	California He	ousing Finance Agency	
Bond Counsel:			ngton & Sutcliffe LLP	
Private Placement Purchaser	:		lousing Impact Investors, L.P	
Development Team		,	5	
General Partner(s) or Principa	al Owner(s):	ABS Proper	ties Inc.	
			velopment Inc	
General Partner Type:		Joint Ventur		
Developer:		ABS Proper	ties Inc.	
Investor/Consultant:			ncial Investment Mgmt	
Management Agent:			erty Management Professiona	ıls
CA-24-515		1	. –	Aug
				3

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction	
Total # Residential Buildings:	1	
Total # of Units:	237	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	235	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	47.74%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exem	pt

# Information

Housing Type:	Special Needs
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Homeless Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	117
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	117	50%
50% AMI:	53	23%
70% AMI*:	14	6%
80% AMI*:	51	22%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

99 SRO/Studio Units 138 1-Bedroom Units 237 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent _(including utilities)
55	SRO/Studio	30%	\$662
19	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,103
6	SRO/Studio	70%	\$1,544
18	SRO/Studio	80%	\$1,765
62	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
34	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
8	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,655
33	1 Bedroom	80%	\$1,891
1	SRO/Studio	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$11,544,000
Construction Costs	\$77,297,425
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,864,871
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,250,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,006,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$19,810,860
Legal Fees	\$290,000
Reserves	\$3,450,000
Other Costs	\$7,980,866
Developer Fee	\$22,800,054
Total	\$151,294,076

#### Residential

\$403
\$638,372
\$282,850
\$559,934
\$312,236
\$435,294

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
CalHFA/GreyStone: Tax-Exempt	\$74,000,000	GreyStone	\$64,004,311
CalHFA/GreyStone: Recycled	\$24,000,000	Seller Carryback	\$1,529,556
CalHFA/GreyStone: Taxable	\$12,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$17,060,054
Seller Carryback	\$1,529,556	General Partner Equity	\$2,543,517
Deferred Costs	\$7,349,871	Tax Credit Equity	\$66,156,638
Deferred Developer Fee	\$19,300,054	TOTAL	\$151,294,076
Tax Credit Equity	\$13,114,595		

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$136,800,328
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$177,840,426
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$7,113,617
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis)	\$22,800,054
Investor/Consultant:	Boston Financial Investment Mgmt
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.73000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The project anticipates receiving a 20-year Project Based Contract from The People Concern for 170 of the 235 tax-credit units in 2027.

# CDLAC Analyst Comments

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 123.140%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Residency at Sky Village Hollywood - Phase II, located at 5645 Fernwood Avenue in Los Angeles on a 0.37 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,781,717 in annual federal tax credits and \$2,595,782 in total state tax credits and \$71,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 245 units of housing, consisting of 242 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 103 studio units, and 142 one-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 17 stories and Type I construction. Common amenities include a community room, decking, a garden, picnic area, and laundry rooms on all residential floors. Each unit will have a refrigerator and a range/oven. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in June 2027. The project will be developed by ABS Properties Inc. and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

Project Number	CA-24-516		
Project Name	Residency at Sky Village Ho	llywood - Phase II	
Site Address:	5645 Fernwood Avenue		
	Los Angeles, CA 90028		
County:	Los Angeles		
Census Tract:	1909.01		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total <sup>*</sup>	
Requested:	\$6,781,717	\$2,595,782	

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt	Bond	Allocation	
_	-		

Recommended:

Recommended:

\$71,000,000

\$2,595,782

\$6,781,717

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

Applicant:	Residency at Sky Village II, LP
Contact:	Samir Srivastava
Address:	5500 Hollywood Blvd
	Los Angeles, CA 90028
Phone:	323 464 7853
Email:	samir@absllc.org

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Housing Finance Agency
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Greystone Housing Impact Investors, L.P.

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

ABS Properties Inc. Kingdom Development Inc. Joint Venture ABS Properties Inc. Kingdom Development Inc. ABS Properties Inc. Boston Financial Investment Mgmt Hyder Property Management Professionals

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:245No. / % of Low Income Units:242Average Targeted Affordability:50.00%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt / HUD Project-based Contract (147 Units - 61%)

## Information

Housing Type:	Special Needs
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Homeless Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	121
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Franklin Cui

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	121	50%
50% AMI:	26	11%
70% AMI*:	43	18%
80% AMI*:	52	21%

\*CTCAC restricted only

## Unit Mix

103 SRO/Studio Units 142 1-Bedroom Units 245 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Target Area Median Inc		Proposed Rent (including utilities)
51 SRO/Studio	30%		\$662
13 SRO/Studio	50%		\$1,103
17 SRO/Studio	70%		\$1,544
20 SRO/Studio	80%		\$1,765
70 1 Bedroom	30%		\$709
13 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,182
26 1 Bedroom	70%		\$1,655
32 1 Bedroom	80%		\$1,891
2 SRO/Studio	Manager's Ur	nit	\$2,750
1 1 Bedroom	Manager's Ur	it	\$3,072
Project Cost Summary at Applica	ation		
Land and Acquisition	\$11,600,0	00	
Construction Costs	\$74,669,32	20	
Construction Hard Cost Contingend	cy \$3,733,40	66	
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,250,00	00	
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,086,93	34	
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$18,802,5	00	
Legal Fees	\$290,0	00	
Reserves	\$3,401,8	11	
Other Costs	\$6,810,64	48	
Developer Fee	\$21,736,2 <sup>-</sup>	73	
Total	\$145,380,9	52	
Residential			
Construction Cost Per Square Foot		\$389	
Per Unit Cost:		\$593,392	
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$264,224	
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$521,611	
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$289,796	
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rer	ntal Unit:	\$482,993	
Construction Fina	ancing		Permanent Financing
Source	Amount	Source	· · · · ····
	¢74 000 000		

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Greystone: Tax-Exempt	\$71,000,000	Greystone: Tax-Exempt	\$59,579,790
Greystone: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$22,000,000	Seller Carryback	\$1,750,000
Greystone: Taxable	\$15,218,581	General Partner Equity	\$3,250,000
General Partner Equity	\$3,250,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$15,836,273
Deferred Developer Fee	\$15,836,273	Tax Credit Equity	\$64,964,889
Tax Credit Equity	\$18,076,098	TOTAL	\$145,380,952

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$130,417,641
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$169,542,933
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$6,781,717
Total State Credit:	\$2,595,782
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis	): \$21,736,273
Investor/Consultant:	Boston Financial Investment Mgmt
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.73000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of 6,110. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of 5,200 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum 0		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 125.045%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

View at San Bruno, located at 840 San Bruno Avenue West in San Bruno on a 1.57 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$10,871,877 in annual federal tax credits and \$125,000,000 of taxexempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 341 units of housing, consisting of 337 restricted rental units, and 4 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 86 one-bedroom units, 169 two-bedroom units, and 86 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 10 stories and Type I construction. Common amenities include a community room, bike parking, green space and an outdoor BBQ area. Each unit will have Energy Star appliances, energy efficient lighting, storage closets, assigned parking, air conditioning, and be network-ready. The construction is expected to begin in January 2024 and be completed in June 2027. The project will be developed by JEMCOR Development Partners, LLC and will be located in Senate District 13 and Assembly District 21.

Project	Number
FIUJELL	INUITIDEI

CA-24-521

Project	Name
---------	------

County:

Site Address:

Census Tract

View at San Bruno 840 San Bruno Avenue West San Bruno, CA 94066 San Mateo 6041.02

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$10,871,877	\$0
Recommended:	\$10,871,877	\$0

## **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$125,000,000

## **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:JEMCOR Development Partners, LLCContact:Jonathan EmamiAddress:1700 S. El Camino Real, Suite 400San Mateo, CA 9440294402Phone:415-941-5832Email:jemami@jemcorpartners.com

## Bond Financing Information

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser: California Municipal Finance Authority Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Berkadia Commercial Mortgage LLC

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

## **Project Information**

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:2Total # of Units:341No. / % of Low Income Units:337Average Targeted Affordability:59.94%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

## Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	South and West Bay Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Chris Saenz

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	34	10%
50% AMI:	34	10%
60% AMI:	135	40%
70% AMI*:	134	40%

\*CTCAC restricted only

## Unit Mix

86 1-Bedroom Units 169 2-Bedroom Units 86 3-Bedroom Units 341 Total Units JS IV View at San Bruno, LLC PACH San Jose Holdings, LLC Joint Venture JEMCOR Development Partners, LLC Pacific Housing, Inc. JEMCOR Development Partners, LLC Berkadia FPI Management, Inc.

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent _(including utilities)
9	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,045
8	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,742
35	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,091
34	1 Bedroom	70%	\$2,439
17	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,254
17	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,091
66	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,509
66	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,927
8	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,449
9	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,415
34	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,898
34	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,381
3	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

## Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$21,501,900
Construction Costs	\$137,529,939
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$6,679,814
Soft Cost Contingency	\$606,128
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,843,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$18,661,744
Legal Fees	\$600,000
Reserves	\$2,081,843
Other Costs	\$17,917,549
Developer Fee	\$27,273,323
Total	\$236,695,240

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$442
Per Unit Cost:	\$694,121
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$342,224
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$615,572
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$366,569
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$615,764

## **Construction Financing**

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
Berkadia: Tax-Exempt	\$125,000,000	Berkadia: Tax-Exempt	\$105,000,000	
Berkadia: Taxable	\$22,928,167	Deferred Costs	\$4,948,010	
Berkadia: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$20,000,000	Net Operating Income	\$3,638,429	
Deferred Costs	\$4,948,010	General Partner Equity	\$5,000,000	
Deferred Reserves	\$2,081,843	Deferred Developer Fee	\$26,785,031	
Net Operating Income	\$3,638,429	Tax Credit Equity	\$91,323,770	
General Partner Equity	\$5,000,000	TOTAL	\$236,695,240	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$27,273,323			
Tax Credit Equity	\$25,825,468			

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$209,095,474
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$271,824,116
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$10,871,877
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$27,273,323
Investor/Consultant:	Berkadia
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$694,293. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the large size and Type I concrete construction costs.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 85.647%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

St. Luke's Affordable, located at 30th Street & Gunn Street in San Diego on a 0.2 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,162,991 in annual federal tax credits and \$3,839,198 in total state tax credits and \$16,750,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 78 units of housing, consisting of 77 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 38 studio units, 33 one-bedroom units, and 7 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). St. Luke's Affordable will be an 8-story building with the first through third floors being Type I concrete slab-on-grade foundation and fourth through eighth floor utilizing Type III wood frame construction. The exterior will include stucco, metal, and concrete. Common amenities include a laundry room, an outdoor courtyard area, bicycle storage, a conference room, parcel lockers, and a community room with an outdoor patio on the eighth floor. The building will be all-electric, and will have solar PV panels serving the common spaces. Each unit will have a refrigerator, oven, microwave, dishwasher and sink with garbage disposal. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by Rise Urban Partners LLC and will be located in Senate District 39 and Assembly District 78.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-522		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	St. Luke's Aff 30th Street & San Diego, C San Diego 14.00	Gunn Street	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A	Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$1.1	62,991	\$3,839,198
Recommended:		62,991	\$3,839,198
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$16,7	50,000	
CTCAC Applicant Information			
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Spo	nsor:	Rise 30th St LP	
Contact:		Rob Morgan	
Address: Phone: Email:		3525 Del Mar He San Diego , CA 9 619-540-2859 rob@trestlebuild.	92130
Bond Financing Information			
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel:		California Housin Orrick, Herringtor	g Finance Agency n & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser:

Citi Community Capital

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

Project Information

Construction Type: Total # Residential Buildings: Total # of Units: No. / % of Low Income Units: Average Targeted Affordability: Federal Set-Aside Elected: Federal Subsidy:

Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Brett Andersen

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	8	10%
50% AMI:	36	47%
60% AMI:	20	26%
70% AMI*:	13	17%

\*CTCAC restricted only

## Unit Mix

38 SRO/Studio Units 33 1-Bedroom Units 7 2-Bedroom Units

78 Total Units

CA-24-522

Rise 30th St LLC AOF SD MGP, LLC Joint Venture Rise Urban Partners, LLC Rise Urban Partners LLC Redstone Equity Partners Aperto Property Management

New Construction

100.00%

40%/60% Average Income

1

78

77

53.89%

Tax-Exempt

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4	SRO/Studio	30%	\$724
13	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,206
10	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,447
11	SRO/Studio	70%	\$1,688
3	1 Bedroom	30%	\$775
19	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,292
8	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,550
2	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,809
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$931
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,551
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,861
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0

## **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$2,200,000
Construction Costs	\$20,899,381
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,507,989
Soft Cost Contingency	\$345,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,343,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$2,193,421
Legal Fees	\$160,000
Reserves	\$380,000
Other Costs	\$1,895,823
Developer Fee	\$4,124,529
Commercial Costs	\$622,072
Total	\$35,671,215

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$404
Per Unit Cost:	\$449,348
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$233,936
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$410,865
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$214,744
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$261,719

## **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount
Citi Community Capital: Tax-Exempt	\$16,750,000
General Partner Loan	\$7,500,000
Accrued Interest	\$600,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,054,916
Tax Credit Equity	\$7,894,997

## **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount
CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$7,158,175
CalHFA: MIP	\$3,900,000
General Partner Loan	\$7,500,000
Accrued Interest	\$600,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,054,916
Tax Credit Equity	\$13,458,124
TOTAL	\$35,671,215

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$29,074,785
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$29,074,785
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,162,991
Total State Credit:	\$3,839,198
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$4,124,529
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$2,200,000
Investor/Consultant:	Redstone Equity Partners
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of 6,100. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of 5,554 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	gative Points No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 83.490%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Auburn Falls, located at six sites (see below) in Sacramento County on a total of 5.95 acres, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,027,079 in annual federal tax credits and \$28,376,305 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 104 units of housing, consisting of 99 restricted rental units and 5 market-rate units. The project has 18 one-bedroom units, 46 two-bedroom units, and 40 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 40%-80% of area median income (AMI). The renovations will include building exterior/interior upgrades. Building exterior renovations will consist of stucco repairs, roof replacement, window replacements and a fresh coat of paint. Interior renovations will include laundry room, leasing office and community room upgrades. Individual apartment units will be updated with a new appliance package, countertops, cabinets, fixtures, paint and electrical updates. Lastly, common or site area renovations will consist of concrete repairs, asphalt replacement, ADA updates. The construction is expected to begin in December 2024 and be completed in December 2025. The project will be developed by Sacramento Housing Authority Repositioning Program, Inc. (SHARP) and is located in Senate District 6 and Assembly District 7.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers & HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD).

Project Number	CA-24-524	
<b>Project Name</b> Site Address:	Auburn Falls Site 1 5735 Engle Road Carmichael, CA 95608 County: Sacramento Census Tract: 76.02	Site 2 6010 – 6046 Northcrest Circle Carmichael, CA 95608 County: Sacramento Census Tract: 79.03
	Site 3 6054 Shupe Drive Citrus Heights, CA 95621 County: Sacramento Census Tract: 81.19	Site 4 7501 Sunset Avenue Fair Oaks, CA 95628 County: Sacramento Census Tract: 80.07
	Site 5 7500 Tiara Way Citrus Heights, CA 95610 County: Sacramento Census Tract: 81.40	
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	Federal/Annual \$2,027,079 \$2,027,079	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$28,376,305	

## **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Sacramento Housing Authority Repositioning Program (SHARP)	
Contact:	James Shields, President	
Address:	801 12th Street	
	Sacramento, CA 95814	
Phone:	(916) 444-9120	
Email:	jshields@shra.org	

## **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	Housing Authority of County of Sacramento
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citi Community Capital

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	Auburn Falls, LLC
General Partner Type:	Nonprofit
Parent Company(ies):	SHARP
Developer:	Sacramento Housing Authority Repositioning Program, Inc.
Investor/Consultant:	RBC Community Investments
Management Agent:	Housing Authority of the County of Sacramento

## **Project Information**

Construction Type:	Acquisition & Rehabilitation	
Total # Residential Buildings:	22	
Total # of Units:	104	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	99 95.19%	
Average Targeted Affordability:	47.47%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (42 Units - 40%) / HUD RAD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (62 Units - 60%)	

## Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Preservation
Set Aside:	N/A
Homeless Set Aside Units:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Chris Saenz

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Number of	Percentage of
	Targeting	Units	Affordable Units
	40% AMI:	20	20%
	50% AMI:	65	66%
	60% AMI:	11	11%
	80% AMI*:	3	3%

\*CTCAC restricted only

## Unit Mix

18 1-Bedroom Units

46 2-Bedroom Units

40 3-Bedroom Units

104 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
1	1 Bedroom	40%	\$769
13	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$962
27	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,393
6	1 Bedroom	40%	\$804
1	1 Bedroom	50%	\$844
1	1 Bedroom	80%	\$844
6	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
6	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,672
1	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$954
12	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
6	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$965
1	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$1,930
6	1 Bedroom	50%	\$769
5	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,447
1	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$1,930
1	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,115
5	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,115
1	1 Bedroom	Market Rate Unit	\$699
1	2 Bedrooms	Market Rate Unit	\$882
1	3 Bedrooms	Market Rate Unit	\$1,283
2	1 Bedroom	Market Rate Unit	\$699
oject Cost Summary at Application			

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$22,850,000
Rehabilitation Costs	\$16,095,586
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,414,338
Soft Cost Contingency	\$342,961
Relocation	\$1,236,049
Architectural/Engineering	\$825,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$2,846,839
Legal Fees	\$765,000
Reserves	\$1,288,845
Other Costs	\$1,172,147
Developer Fee	\$6,983,504
Total	\$56,820,269

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$167
Per Unit Cost:	\$546,349
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$132,846
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$409,071
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$272,849
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$292,539

#### **Construction Financing** Amount Source CitiB HAC

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
CitiBank: Tax-Exempt	\$28,376,305	CitiBank	\$9,094,000
HACOS <sup>1</sup> : Seller Carryback	\$13,239,254	HACOS <sup>1</sup> : Seller Carryback	\$13,239,254
City of Citrus Heights: HTF <sup>3</sup>	\$562,665	HACOS <sup>1</sup> : Seller Note	\$9,048,080
Accrued Interest	\$540,051	SHARP <sup>2</sup>	\$1,800,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,365,863	City of Citrus Heights: HTF <sup>3</sup>	\$562,666
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,587,658	Accrued Interest	\$834,474
		General Partner Loan	\$3,365,863
		Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,037,641
		Tax Credit Equity	\$17,838,291
		TOTAL	\$56,820,269

0 ------

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Housing Authority of the County of Sacramento <sup>2</sup>Sacramento Housing Authority Repositioning Program <sup>3</sup>Housing Trust Fund

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

\$27,164,022
No
\$26,376,177
95.19%
\$25,858,059
\$25,108,092
4.00%
\$1,022,755
\$1,004,324
\$2,027,079
\$6,983,504
RBC Community Investments
\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This project involves the substantial rehabilitation of 22 buildings across five scattered-sites. This acquisition/rehabilitation project has a pre-existing Section 8 Project-based Contract in effect for all sites.

At placed-in-service, any units not occupied by income-qualified tenants will be not considered tax credit units and the applicable fraction will be adjusted accordingly. However, these units will be rent-restricted at 60% of area median income (AMI) in the recorded CTCAC regulatory agreement in order to meet the scattered-site requirement of Section 42(g)(7) of the Internal Revenue Code. The recorded CTCAC regulatory agreement will also require that upon turnover the unit(s) must be occupied by income-qualified tenants.

Per CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(J), in lieu of 5 managers' units, the project has committed to employ an equivalent number of on-site full-time property management staff and provide an equivalent number of desk or security staff capable of responding to emergencies for the hours when property management staff is not working. All staff or contractors performing desk or security work shall be knowledgeable of how the property's fire system operates and be trained in, and have participated in, fire evacuation drills for tenants. CTCAC reserves the right to require that one or more on-site managers' units be provided and occupied by property management staff if, in its sole discretion, it determines as part of any on-site inspection that the project has not been adequately operated and/or maintained.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 154.209%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Kindred, located at 1501 6th Avenue in San Diego on a 0.69 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,425,081 in annual federal tax credits and \$17,271,066 in total state tax credits and \$45,819,803 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 126 units of housing, consisting of 125 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 89 one-bedroom units, 19 two-bedroom units, and 18 three-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The proposed project will consist of one 8-story building with 5 levels of Type II over 3 levels of Type I construction partially above grade with a retaining wall on the east side and portions of the north and south sides. Common amenities include two large community garden, barbecue grills with tables and benches, two play structures, laundry facilities, management offices, a central mailing room, services offices and a conference room. Each unit will have energy efficient ranges, refrigertators, dishwashers, HVAC system, dual pane windows, low VOC paints and mobility features. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by BRIDGE Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 39 and Assembly District 77.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number	CA-24-525
----------------	-----------

Project Name	Kindred
Site Address:	1501 6th Avenue
	San Diego, CA 92101
County:	San Diego
Census Tract:	56.02

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$4,425,081	\$17,271,066
Recommended:	\$4,425,081	\$17,271,066

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$45,819,803

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	BRIDGE Housing Corporation
Contact:	Cristina Martinez
Address:	600 California Street, Suite 900
	San Francisco, CA 94108
Phone:	619.677.5828
Email:	cmartinez@bridgehousing.com

## **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: California Housing Finance Agency Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP JP Morgan Chase Bank, N. A.

Kindred Cortez Hill LLC Nonprofit BRIDGE Housing Corporation BRIDGE Housing Corporation California Housing Partnership BRIDGE Property Management Company

## **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	126
No. / % of Low Income Units:	125 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	40.40%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (84 Units -
	67%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Special Needs
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Homeless Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	63
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

	Aggregate	Number of	Percentage of
-	Targeting 30% AMI:	Units 63	Affordable Units 50%
	40% AMI:	22	18%
	50% AMI:	12	10%
	60% AMI:	28	22%

#### **Unit Mix**

89 1-Bedroom Units 19 2-Bedroom Units 18 3-Bedroom Units 126 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
59	1 Bedroom	30%	\$775
4	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,034
7	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,292
5	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,551
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$930
3	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,241
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,551
11	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,861
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,075
1	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,433
3	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,791
12	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,150
14	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,034
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

## Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$90,360
Construction Costs	\$54,876,009
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$5,449,181
Soft Cost Contingency	\$573,393
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,675,777
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$8,983,202
Legal Fees	\$190,881
Reserves	\$902,092
Other Costs	\$4,596,970
Developer Fee	\$11,273,799
Commercial Costs	\$1,492,383
Total	\$92,104,047

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$492
Per Unit Cost:	\$719,140
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$395,840
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$654,695
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$363,649
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$366,558

## **Construction Financing**

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$45,819,803	Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$17,154,000
Chase: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$1,500,000	County of San Diego	\$4,000,000
Chase: Taxable	\$12,074,984	San Diego Housing Commission	\$7,955,600
County of San Diego	\$3,600,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,253,799
San Diego Housing Comission	\$7,160,040	Tax Credit Equity	\$54,740,648
Deferred Costs	\$2,909,291	TOTAL	\$92,104,047
Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,253,799		
Tax Credit Equity	\$10,786,130		

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$85,097,712
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$110,627,026
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$4,425,081
Total State Credit:	\$17,271,066
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$11,273,799
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90530
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$654,695. The applicant noted that this cost is attributed to prevailing wage costs, new shoring, potential contaminated soil, commercial costs and hard cost escalation.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event. None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 88.461%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

The project, 910 Wetherly Drive, located at 910-916 Wetherly Drive in West Hollywood on a 0.33 acre site. requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,856,287 in annual federal tax credits and \$34,351,295 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 89 units of housing, consisting of 86 restricted rental units, 2 market-rate units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 62 studio units, 20 one-bedroom units, 6 two-bedroom units, and 1 three-bedroom unit, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 20%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 6 stories and Type III construction modified wood-frame construction over 2 levels Type I concrete podium. Common amenities include lobby, community room, laundry facilities, management offices, fitness center, resident services offices and automotive & bicycle parking. Each unit will have refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, blinds, laminate flooring, central air conditioning, exterior storage, and ceiling fans. The construction is expected to begin in October 2024 and be completed in October 2026. The project will be developed by West Hollywood Community Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 51.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) and Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) programs of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-527	
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	910 Wetherly Drive 910-916 Wetherly Drive West Hollywood, CA 90069 Los Angeles 7005.01	
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	Federal/Annual \$2,856,287 \$2,856,287	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation		
Recommended:	\$34,351,295	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sp Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	Jesse Slans 7530 Santa	sky Monica Boulevard vood, CA 90046 71
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser	Jones Hall,	lunicipal Finance Authority A Professional Law Corporation nity Capital

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: Wetherly Palms, LLC Nonprofit WHCHC West Hollywood Community Housing Corporation National Equity Fund (NEF) Barker Management, Inc.

## **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	89
No. / % of Low Income Units:	86 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	57.92%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Project-based Vouchers (9 Units - 10%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Balance of Los Angeles County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Erin Deblaquiere
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Franklin Cui

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	23	27%
50% AMI:	2	2%
60% AMI:	30	35%
80% AMI*:	31	36%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

62 SRO/Studio Units

20 1-Bedroom Units

6 2-Bedroom Units

1 3-Bedroom Units

89 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
9	SRO/Studio	20%	\$441
13	SRO/Studio	30%	\$662
30	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,324
1	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
10	SRO/Studio	80%	\$1,766
2	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
16	1 Bedroom	80%	\$1,891
5	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,270
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	1 Bedroom	Market Rate Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Market Rate Unit	\$0

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$3,255,000
Construction Costs	\$40,505,500
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,000,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$615,220
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,415,500
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,103,216
Legal Fees	\$225,000
Reserves	\$330,488
Other Costs	\$3,328,497
Developer Fee	\$7,721,987
Total	\$69,500,408

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$844
Per Unit Cost:	\$780,903
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$405,680
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$769,668
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$385,970
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$624,569

#### **Construction Financing**

#### Source Amount Source Amount Citi: Tax-Exempt \$34,351,295 JLL Real Estate: Tax-Exempt \$6,557,861 Citi: Taxable \$11,414,400 HCD: IIG \$4,334,400 HCD: MHP HCD: IIG \$4,334,400 \$17,679,580 City of West Hollywood City of West Hollywood \$10,000,000 \$10,000,000 General Partner Equity **Deferred Costs** \$5,579,655 \$4,221,987 Deferred Developer Fee \$1,250,000 Deferred Developer Fee \$1,000,000 Tax Credit Equity \$2,570,658 Tax Credit Equity \$25,706,580 TOTAL \$69,500,408

**Permanent Financing** 

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

201011111111111111111111111111111111111	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$60,954,010
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$79,240,213
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,856,287
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,721,987
Investor/Consultant:	National Equity Fund (NEF)
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of 6,300. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of 5,520 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

Staff noted a per-unit cost of \$769,668. The applicant noted factors for this cost include increased land costs in West Hollywood location, comparatively high developer fees, increased interest rates, increased construction material costs, subterranean parking elements, and prevailing wages & sustainability features.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 60.690%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

La Costa Family Apartments, located at La Costa Avenue & Camino de las Coches in Carlsbad on a 0.16 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$521,698 in annual federal tax credits and \$3,009,494 in total state tax credits and \$5,116,651 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 19 units of housing, consisting of 19 restricted rental units. The project will have 5 one-bedroom units, 8 two-bedroom units, and 6 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be Type I construction, a single 3-story building with Spanish style exterior and TOP roofing. Common amenities include a leasing office and a community area to foster a sense of community among families and service providers. In addition, the project will also include an outdoor recreational space. Each unit will include blinds, vinyl laminate flooring, wall air conditioning units, closets, and ceiling fans. Appliances will include a stove/oven, refrigerator, garbage disposal, and dishwashers. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in March 2026. The project will be developed by Mirka Investments, LLC and will be located in Senate District 38 and Assembly District 77.

Project Number	CA-24-528		
Project Name	La Costa Family Apartments		
Site Address:	La Costa Avenue & Camino d	La Costa Avenue & Camino de las Coches	
	Carlsbad, CA 92009		
County:	San Diego		
Census Tract:	171.09		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *	
Requested:	\$521,698	\$3,009,494	
Recommended:	\$521,698	\$3,009,494	

01 04 500

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Due to at Number

Recommended:

\$5,116,651

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:Mirka Investments, LLCApplicant for State Credits:Mission Neighborhood CentersContact:Kursat MisirliogluAddress:600 B Street, Suite 300<br/>San Diego, CA 92101Phone:(619) 599-3852Email:kursatm@mirkainvest.com

## **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	19
No. / % of Low Income Units:	19
Average Targeted Affordability:	56.85%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	BIPOC
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Cynthia Compton

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	3	16%
50% AMI:	3	16%
60% AMI:	10	53%
80% AMI*:	3	16%

\*CTCAC restricted only

Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

Mirka Investments, LLC Mission Neighborhood Centers Joint Venture Mirka Investments, LLC Mission Neighborhood Centers San Francisco

Mirka Investments, LLC Richman Group Hyder & Company

California Municipal Finance Authority

Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

#### Unit Mix

5 1-Bedroom Units

8 2-Bedroom Units

6 3-Bedroom Units

19 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted Area Median Incor		Proposed Rent (including utilities)	
1 1 Bedroom	80%		\$2,068	
2 1 Bedroom	60%		\$1,551	
1 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,292	
1 1 Bedroom	30%		\$775	
1 2 Bedrooms	80%		\$2,482	
5 2 Bedrooms	60%		\$1,861	
1 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,551	
1 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$930	
1 3 Bedrooms	80%		\$2,867	
3 3 Bedrooms	60%		\$2,150	
1 3 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,791	
1 3 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,075	
Project Cost Summary at Applic	ation			
Land and Acquisition	\$10,001	-		
Construction Costs	\$5,740,820			
Construction Hard Cost Contingen				
Soft Cost Contingency	\$96,649			
Architectural/Engineering	\$313,541			
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,740,526			
Legal Fees	\$375,000			
Reserves	\$81,437			
Other Costs	\$718,865			
Developer Fee	\$1,308,606			
Total	\$10,658,986			
Residential				
Construction Cost Per Square Foo	<del>t</del> .	\$314		
Per Unit Cost:	ι.	\$560,999		
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$264,820		
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$204,820 \$544,775		
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$269,297		
Bond Allocation Per Cont. Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rel	atal Lipit:			
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rel	ntal Unit.	\$319,791		
Construction Fin	•		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source		Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$5,116,651	Citibank: Tax-	•	\$3,238,297
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$532,949	Deferred Deve	•	\$308,252
Citibank: Taxable	\$868,048	Tax Credit Eq	uity	\$7,112,437
Deferred Costs	\$988,111	TOTAL		\$10,658,986
Deferred Developer Fee	\$308,252			
	<b>MO 044 075</b>			

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

\$2,844,975

Tax Credit Equity

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$10,032,649
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$521,698
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$1,308,606
Investor/Consultant:	Richman Group
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10326(g)(5), general partners and management companies lacking documented experience with Section 42 requirements using the minimum scoring standards at Section 10325(c)(2)(A) and (B) shall be required to complete training as prescribed by CTCAC prior to a project's placing in service.

As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(J), in lieu of 1 manager's unit, the project has committed to employ an equivalent number of on-site full-time property management staff and provide an equivalent number of desk or security staff capable of responding to emergencies for the hours when property management staff is not working. All staff or contractors performing desk or security work shall be knowledgeable of how the property's fire system operates and be trained in, and have participated in, fire evacuation drills for tenants. CTCAC reserves the right to require that one or more on-site managers' units be provided and occupied by property management staff if, in its sole discretion, it determines as part of any on-site inspection that the project has not been adequately operated and/or maintained.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 76.793%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Casa Adelante 1515 South Van Ness, located at 1515 South Van Ness Avenue in San Francisco on a 0.82 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$7,697,150 in annual federal tax credits and \$82,142,319 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 168 units of housing, consisting of 167 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 15 studio units, 32 one-bedroom units, 77 two-bedroom units, and 44 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 20%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 9 stories and Type I construction. Common amenities include a community room with a kitchen, teen recreation room, computer lab, an early learning center, co-working space, and a mix of outdoor spaces. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, and dishwasher. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in January 2027. The project will be developed by Chinatown Community Development Center and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) of HCD.

Project Number	CA-24-535		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Casa Adelante 1515 South Van Ness 1515 South Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94110 San Francisco 229.01		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A	nnual	State/Total
Requested:	\$7,69	97,150	\$0
Recommended:	\$7,69	97,150	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$82,14	42,319	
CTCAC Applicant Information			
CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC S Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	ponsor:	Angelina Perez 615 Grant Avenu San Francisco, C (415) 935-2458	
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser		City and County o Jones Hall, A Pro JPMorgan Chase	ofessional Law Corporation

#### Development Team

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:168No. / % of Low Income Units:167Average Targeted Affordability:33.16%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60%Federal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

## Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	San Francisco County
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	42
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert
Geographic Area: Set Aside: Homeless Set Aside Units: CDLAC Project Analyst:	San Francisco County Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside 42 Anthony Wey

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
 30% AMI:	59	35%
40% AMI:	10	6%
50% AMI:	80	48%
60% AMI:	18	11%

CCDC Casa Adelante SVN LLC MEDA Casa Adelante SVN LLC Nonprofit Chinatown Community Development Corporation (CCDC) Mission Economic Development Corporation (MEDA) Chinatown Community Development Center California Housing Partnership Chinatown Community Development Center

#### Unit Mix

15 SRO/Studio Units

32 1-Bedroom Units

77 2-Bedroom Units

44 3-Bedroom Units

168 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
16	1 Bedroom	20%	\$358
11	2 Bedrooms	20%	\$390
13	3 Bedrooms	20%	\$421
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$390
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$421
13	SRO/Studio	30%	\$756
4	1 Bedroom	30%	\$865
10	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,153
15	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,621
15	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,801
39	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,945
6	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,161
2	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,261
2	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,441
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,621
9	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,334
9	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,594
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

\$2,634,188
\$117,912,855
\$7,574,274
\$1,707,112
\$3,671,536
\$17,619,795
\$736,038
\$743,697
\$4,483,613
\$3,500,000
\$2,847,754
\$163,430,862

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$615
Per Unit Cost:	\$955,456
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$656,462
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$952,533
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$488,942
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$491,870

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Chase Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$82,142,319	Chase Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$4,749,000
Chase Bank: Taxable	\$21,645,336	City & County of San Francisco	\$45,360,000
City & County of San Francisco	\$45,360,000	HCD: MHP	\$37,930,397
Accrued Interest	\$458,900	Accrued Interest	\$458,900
Deferred Developer Fee	\$500,000	General Partner Contribution	\$500,000
Deferred Costs	\$2,521,297	Deferred Developer Fee	\$500,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$10,803,010	Tax Credit Equity	\$73,932,565
		TOTAL	\$163,430,862

**Permanent Financing** 

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$148,022,106
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$192,428,738
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$7,697,150
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$3,500,000
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$3,433,576
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.96052

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The total land cost/acquisition cost of \$2,685,148 in the sources and uses budget excludes the land value of \$13,200,000. The project is a ground lease.

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$952,533. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wage and local hiring requirements, soil conditions, site-specific structural requirements, demolition cost, Calle 24 design requirements, SF Arts commission, logistics coordination, contractor's general conditions and fee, commercial costs, and construction loan interest.

Per CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(J), in lieu of 1 manager's unit, the project has committed to employ an equivalent number of on-site full-time property management staff and provide an equivalent number of desk or security staff capable of responding to emergencies for the hours when property management staff is not working. All staff or contractors performing desk or security work shall be knowledgeable of how the property's fire system operates and be trained in, and have participated in, fire evacuation drills for tenants. CTCAC reserves the right to require that one or more on-site managers' units be provided and occupied by property management staff if, in its sole discretion, it determines as part of any on-site inspection that the project has not been adequately operated and/or maintained.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker: 167.4

167.464%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

North City Affordable, located at 337 East Carmel Street in San Marcos on a 3.23 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$5,385,018 in annual federal tax credits and \$20,581,563 in total state tax credits and \$55,700,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 224 units of housing, consisting of 222 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 16 studio units, 82 one-bedroom units, 66 two-bedroom units, and 60 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The building will be a 4-story Type V wood-frame construction. Common amenities include a community room, co-work area, fitness, a large outdoor courtyard area with community pavilion, children's play equipment, seating areas, multiple laundry rooms, bicycle storage, a conference room, and parcel lockers. Each unit will have a refrigerator, oven, microwave, dishwasher, and sink with garbage disposal. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in January 2027. The project will be developed by Carmel Enterprise, LLC and will be located in Senate District 40 and Assembly District 76.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number	CA-24-539
Project Name	North City Affordable
Site Address:	337 East Carmel Street
	San Marcos, CA 92078
County:	San Diego
Census Tract:	203.10
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$5,385,018	\$20,581,563
Recommended:	\$5,385,018	\$20,581,563

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

\$55,700,000
Rise Carmel LP
Rob Morgan
5550 Carmel Mountain Rd. #204
San Diego , CA 92130
619-540-2859
rob@trestlebuild.com
California Housing Finance Agency Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citi Community Capital

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:New ConstructionTotal # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:224No. / % of Low Income Units:222Average Targeted Affordability:55.23%Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60% Average IncomeFederal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt

## Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Mixed Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Cynthia Compton

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	30	14%
50% AMI:	86	39%
60% AMI:	36	16%
70% AMI*:	70	32%

#### \*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

16 SRO/Studio Units 82 1-Bedroom Units 66 2-Bedroom Units 60 3-Bedroom Units 224 Total Units Rise Carmel LLC AOF SD MGP, LLC Joint Venture Trestle Build AOF/Pacific Affordable Housing Corp. Carmel Enterprise, LLC Red Stone Equity Partners Aperto Property Management

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
7	SRO/Studio	30%	\$723
9	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,206
9	1 Bedroom	30%	\$775
63	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,292
10	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,551
7	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$930
7	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,551
17	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,861
33	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,171
7	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,075
7	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,791
9	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,150
37	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,508
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$160,000
Construction Costs	\$80,349,380
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,001,219
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,136,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,786,978
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$6,610,176
Legal Fees	\$215,000
Reserves	\$1,344,000
Other Costs	\$8,492,476
Developer Fee	\$14,775,000
Total	\$120,870,229

#### Residential

\$354
\$539,599
\$321,942
\$476,707
\$248,661
\$366,447

## **Construction Financing**

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$55,700,000	CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$31,026,780	
Citi: Taxable	\$12,500,000	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000	
CalHFA: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$4,000,000	Carmel Enterprise, LLC	\$7,000,000	
Carmel Enterprise, LLC	\$7,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$14,087,832	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$14,087,832	Tax Credit Equity	\$64,755,617	
Tax Credit Equity	\$27,582,397	TOTAL	\$120,870,229	

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$103,558,035
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$134,625,446
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$5,385,018
Total State Credit:	\$20,581,563
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$14,775,000
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$3,500,000
Investor/Consultant:	Red Stone Equity Partners
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$5,700. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$5,120 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 89.166%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report **Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

Toyon Gardens, located at 3127 W 147th Street in Gardena on a 0.63 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,765,924 in annual federal tax credits and \$29,957,662 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 78 units of housing, consisting of 77 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 15 studio units, 53 one-bedroom units, and 10 two-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be six story Type III-A construction. Common amenities include large community room, laundry facilities, bike room, and on-site case manager and services specialist. Each unit will have a refrigerator, and range/oven/cooktop. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by Brilliant Corners and will be located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 66.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) program(s) of HCD and the Community Care Expansion (CCE) grant from the California Department of Social Services.

**Development Authority** 

Project Number	CA-24-541		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Toyon Gardens 3127 W 147th S Gardena, CA 90 Los Angeles 6037.06		
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	. ,	<b>Annual</b> 65,924 65,924	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$	29,957,662	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sp Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	onsor:	Toyon Gardens, Vanessa Luna 854 Folsom Stre San Francisco, O 213-378-9154 vluna@brilliantco	et CA 94107
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser		Los Angeles Cor Hawkins, Delafie Citibank, N.A.	

# **Development Team**

Toyon Gardens, LLC
Nonprofit
Brilliant Corners
Brilliant Corners
Enterprise Housing Credit Investments
Solari Enterprises, Inc.

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Cons	struction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1	
Total # of Units:	78	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	77	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	35.58%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exem	npt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (60 Units -
	78%)	

#### Information

Housing Type:	Special Needs
Geographic Area:	Balance of Los Angeles County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Homeless Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	60
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Chris Saenz

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	60	78%
50% AMI:	8	10%
60% AMI:	9	12%

#### Unit Mix

15 SRO/Studio Units 53 1-Bedroom Units

10 2-Bedroom Units 78 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
15	SRO/Studio	30%	\$661
43	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$850
5	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,182
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
5	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,418
4	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,702
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

## Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$3,578,317
Construction Costs	\$37,291,118
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,690,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$670,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,285,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,447,108
Legal Fees	\$150,000
Reserves	\$730,939
Other Costs	\$2,791,092
Developer Fee	\$3,500,000
Total	\$60,133,574

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$716
Per Unit Cost:	\$770,943
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$410,269
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$765,815
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$384,073
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$389,061

#### **Construction Financing**

	i manong		Jing
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$29,957,662	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$3,729,495
HCD: IIG	\$2,588,960	LACDA: AHTF <sup>1</sup>	\$7,005,067
DSS: CCE <sup>1</sup>	\$22,683,505	HCD: IIG	\$2,588,960
Deferred Costs	\$3,875,588	DSS: CCE <sup>2</sup>	\$22,683,505
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,027,859	Developer Fee Contribution	\$600,000
		Deferred Developer Fee	\$400,000
		Tax Credit Equity	\$23,126,547
		TOTAL	\$60,133,574

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Los Angeles County Development Authority: Affordable Housing Trust Fund <sup>2</sup>California Department of Social Services

Determination of Credit Amount(s)	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$53,248,337
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$69,222,838
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,765,924
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$3,500,000
Investor/Consultant:	Enterprise Housing Credit
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.83612

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$770,943. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to construction costs, insurance, prevailing wages, and environmental remediation.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 98.783%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

Lincoln Avenue Apartments, located at 7101 Lincoln Avenue in Buena Park on a 1.35 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,427,812 in annual federal tax credits and \$20,600,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 55 units of housing, consisting of 54 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 14 one-bedroom units, 23 two-bedroom units, and 18 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The proposed new construction project will consists of four elevator serviced 3-story buildings. The construction type will be Type V-A wood framed construction structure. Common amenities include a tot lot, teen area, BBQ pavilion, a community room, technology center, outdoor passive open area, laundry facilities, lobby, management offices, bike parking spaces and a combination of surface and carport parking. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, garbage disposal, central heating and cooling, blinds, carpet, and a patio/balcony. The construction is expected to begin in January 2025 and be completed in November 2026. The project will be developed by C&C Development Co., LLC and will be located in Senate District 36 and Assembly District 67.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Special Needs Housing Program (SNHP) and Mental Health Service Act (MHSA) through CalHFA.

	0/(21010
Project Name	Lincoln Avenue Apartments
Site Address:	7101 Lincoln Avenue
	Buena Park, CA 90620
County:	Orange
Census Tract:	1102.01
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual
Requested:	\$1,427,812

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$1,427,812	\$0
Recommended:	\$1,427,812	\$0

CA-24-545

## **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$20,600,000

Lincoln Buena Park LP

recinda@riversidecharitable.org

14131 Yorba Street Tustin, CA 92780

**Recinda Shafer** 

(714) 628-1654

## **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Contact: Address:

Phone: Email:

Project Number

## **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:	California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser:	Bank of America, N.A.
Public Sale:	Unenhanced/Unrated

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type:

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: C&C Lincoln Buena Park LLC RCC MGP LLC Joint Venture Riverside Charitable Corporation C&C Development Co., LLC National Equity Fund Inc. Advanced Property Services Management, Inc.

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: Total # Residential Buildings: Total # of Units: No. / % of Low Income Units: Average Targeted Affordability: Federal Set-Aside Elected: Federal Subsidy: New Construction 4 55 54 100.00% 45.30% 40%/60% Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (13 Units -20%)

## Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Orange County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
Homeless Set Aside Units:	13
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	17	31%
40% AMI:	9	17%
60% AMI:	13	24%
70% AMI*:	15	28%

#### \*CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

14 1-Bedroom Units

23 2-Bedroom Units

- 18 3-Bedroom Units
- 55 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
10	1 Bedroom	30%	\$355
3	1 Bedroom	30%	\$355
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$863
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$997
7	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,292
2	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,492
1	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,546
7	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,938
5	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,238
7	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,170
8	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,611
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$4,255,000
Construction Costs	\$24,555,002
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$794,172
Soft Cost Contingency	\$320,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,050,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,063,551
Legal Fees	\$262,000
Reserves	\$266,300
Other Costs	\$1,546,181
Developer Fee	\$4,236,213
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$41,348,419

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$563
Per Unit Cost:	\$751,789
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$389,053
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$693,918
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$374,545
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$528,205

## **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount
Bank of America: Tax-Exempt	\$20,600,000
County of Orange: SNHP/MHSA	\$1,759,040
City of Buena Park: Land	\$3,850,000
City of Buena Park	\$1,000,000
CalOptima Grant	\$8,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$324,100
General Partner Equity	\$100
Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,130,884
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,684,295

## Permanent Financing

Source	Amount
Citi Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$7,883,780
County of Orange: SNHP/MHSA	\$1,759,040
County of Orange	\$1,200,000
OCHFT <sup>1</sup>	\$1,154,290
City of Buena Park: Land	\$3,850,000
City of Buena Park	\$1,000,000
CalOptima Grant	\$8,000,000
General Partner Equity	\$100
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,182,919
Tax Credit Equity	\$13,318,290
TOTAL	\$41,348,419

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Orange County Housing Finance Trust

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$35,695,299
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$35,695,299
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,427,812
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,236,213
Investor/Consultant:	National Equity Fund Inc.
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93278

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Development costs are roughly \$693,918 per unit. The applicant noted the factors affecting this cost include high interest rates, construction supply chain issues, soil condition treatment, and relocation costs.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 102.202%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Rose Hill Courts Phase II, located at 3521 North Mckenzie Avenue in Los Angeles on a 3.45 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,238,372 in annual federal tax credits and \$46,444,290 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 96 units of housing, consisting of 95 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 37 one-bedroom units, 33 two-bedroom units, 22 three-bedroom units, and 4 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 3 stories and type V-A construction with stucco and trespa exterior. Common amenities include play spaces, community room, picnic tables, lounge seating, exercise area and vegetable garden. Each unit will have refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher and Units F-I with in-unit washers and dryers. The construction is expected to begin in March 2025 and be completed in March 2027. The project will be developed by Related Irvine Development Company of California and will be located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 51.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number	CA-24-547		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	3521 North Mck	-	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A		State/Total
Requested:	. ,	38,372	\$0
Recommended:	\$4,23	38,372	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$	46,444,290	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC S Contact:	Sponsor:	Rose Hill Courts Frank Cardone	II Housing Partners, L.P.
Address:			nan Ave, Suite 900 2
Phone:		(949) 660-7272	-
Email:		fcardone@relate	d.com
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Iss Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchas		Housing Authorit Kutak Rock LLP JP Morgan Chas	y of City of Los Angeles e Bank, N. A.

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Related/Rose Hill Courts II Development Co., LLC LOMOD RHC II, LLC Joint Venture The Related Companies of CA, LLC La Cienega LOMOD, Inc. Related Irvine Development Company of California Raymond James Related Management Company

Construction Type: New Construction Total # Residential Buildings: 7 Total # of Units: 96 No. / % of Low Income Units: 95 100.00% Average Targeted Affordability: 38.31% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (95 Units -100%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	City of Los Angeles
State Ceiling Pool:	Preservation
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Erin Deblaquiere
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Paixao

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

	Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
_	30% AMI:	47	49%
	40% AMI:	14	15%
	50% AMI:	17	18%
	60% AMI:	17	18%

#### **Unit Mix**

37 1-Bedroom Units
33 2-Bedroom Units
22 3-Bedroom Units
4 4-Bedroom Units
96 Total Units

Unit Type & Number		2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
24	1 Bedroom	30%	\$709
3	1 Bedroom	40%	\$946
5	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,110
5	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,110
15	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$851
5	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,135
6	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,418
6	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,418
7	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$983
5	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,311
5	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,639
5	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,639
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,097
1	4 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,463
1	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,828
1	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,828
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$6,350,000
Construction Costs	\$55,661,467
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,783,073
Soft Cost Contingency	\$350,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,845,262
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,837,250
Legal Fees	\$890,000
Reserves	\$1,461,874
Other Costs	\$4,767,400
Developer Fee	\$10,300,000
Total	\$92,246,326

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$597
Per Unit Cost:	\$960,899
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$513,651
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$860,899
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$483,795
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$488,887

#### **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount
Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$46,444,290
Chase: Taxable	\$10,445,363
HACLA: Gap Loan	\$5,135,000
HACLA: Supplemental Gap Loan	\$9,000,000
Capitalized Lease Prepayment	\$4,950,000
Deferred Costs	\$1,461,874
Deferred Developer Fee	\$9,025,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,784,799

## Permanent Financing

Source	Amount
Chase: Tranche A	\$1,184,000
Chase: Tranche B	\$25,662,000
HACLA: Gap Loan	\$5,135,000
HACLA: Supplemental Gap Loan	\$9,000,000
Capitalized Lease Prepayment	\$4,950,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,650,000
General Partner Equity	\$3,100,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$38,565,326
TOTAL	\$92,246,326

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$81,507,150
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$105,959,295
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$4,238,372
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$10,300,000
Investor/Consultant:	Raymond James
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted per unit development cost of \$860,899. The applicant stated that the per unit cost is attributed to high land cost, extensive site work due to steep sloping, prevailing wages, premium design elements, and funding delays resulting in higher costs. In addition, the applicant noted the project was deemed eligible for the National Register of Historic Places as a Historic District, which requires additional staffing during excavation and a 2 year impact study, resulting in additional costs.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 109.443%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 7, 2024

Vacaville Gables Apartments, located at 131 Gable Avenue in Vacaville on a 3.69 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$766,927 in annual federal tax credits and \$12,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 65 units of housing, consisting of 64 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 56 two-bedroom units, and 9 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The renovations will include building exterior and interior upgrades. Building exterior renovations will consist of stucco and balcony repair, new exterior paint, as well as replacing the roofs. Interior renovations will include new flooring, paint, lighting, kitchen, and bathroom upgrades to the Community Room. Individual apartment units will be updated with new appliances, cabinets, new vinyl plank flooring, electrical fixtures, and interior paint. Lastly, common or site area renovations will consist of concrete repairs, landscape upgrades, new building signage, as well as accessibility upgrades. The construction is expected to begin in December 2024 and be completed in December 2025. The project will be developed by Vacaville Gables AGP, LLC and is located in Senate District 3 and Assembly District 11.

Vacaville Gables Apartments is a re-syndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Vacaville Gables Apartments (CA-98-811). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number	CA-24-549
	0,121010

Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Vacaville Gables 131 Gable Avenu Vacaville, CA 956 Solano 2532.08	ie		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A	nnual	State/Total	
Requested:	\$76	6,927	\$0	
Recommended:	\$76	6,927	\$0	
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation				
Recommended:	\$12,50	0,000		
CTCAC Applicant Information				
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sp	onsor:	Vacaville Gab	les Preservation,	, LP
Contact:		Luyang Liu		
Address:		1200 5th Suite	e 1825	
		Seattle, WA 9	98101	
Phone:		425-652-9653		
Email:		Luyang@ledg	capital.com	
Bond Financing Information				
CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue	er:	California Stat	ewide Communi	ties Development Authority
Bond Counsel:		Orrick, Herring	gton & Sutcliffe L	LP
Public Sale:		Rated		
Underwriter:		Stifel, Nicolaus	s & Company	
Credit Enhancement Provide	r:	Fannie Mae M	IBS	

#### Development Team

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

## **Project Information**

Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing Vacaville Gables AGP, LLC Nonprofit Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing River City Affordable Housing Vacaville Gables AGP, LLC Walker & Dunlop Rainey Property Management

Construction Type:	Acquisition & Rehabilitation
Total # Residential Buildings:	17
Total # of Units:	65
No. / % of Low Income Units:	64 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	55.49%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (26 Units - 40%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Northern Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Other Rehabilitation
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Danielle Stevenson
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate	Number of	Percentage of	
Targeting	Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	7	11%	
50% AMI:	8	13%	
60% AMI:	49	77%	

#### Unit Mix

56 2-Bedroom Units 9 3-Bedroom Units

65 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$772
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,287
4	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,545
29	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,545
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$772
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,287
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,545
9	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,545
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$892
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,487
5	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,785
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

## **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Land and Acquisition	\$13,490,000
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$5,607,440
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$560,744
Soft Cost Contingency	\$50,480
Relocation	\$130,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$245,020
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,119,255
Legal Fees	\$330,000
Reserves	\$292,002
Other Costs	\$173,059
Developer Fee	\$1,736,421
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$23,734,421

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$90
Per Unit Cost:	\$365,145
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$74,369
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$270,234
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$192,308
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$195,313

## **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
CSCDA <sup>1</sup>	\$12,500,000	CBRE Fannie Mae	\$8,047,000
City of Vacaville	\$2,035,998	City of Vacaville	\$2,035,998
Seller Note	\$181,610	Seller Note	\$4,634,610
Seller Credit for STR <sup>2</sup>	\$365,390	Seller Credit for STR <sup>2</sup>	\$365,390
Net Operating Income	\$521,224	Net Operating Income	\$521,224
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,534,623	Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,534,623
Tax Credit Equity	\$6,595,575	Tax Credit Equity	\$6,595,575
		TOTAL	\$23,734,421

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>California Statewide Communities Development Authority <sup>2</sup>Short Term Reserve

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$8,440,393
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$13,345,500
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$8,440,393
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$13,345,500
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$233,107
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$533,820
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$766,927
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$1,736,421
Investor/Consultant:	Walker & Dunlop
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-98-811). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-98-811) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event with distribution of Net Project Equity, which is otherwise required to set aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Reserve in the amount of \$365,390. In lieu of a Short Term Work Capitalized Reserve, there is a credit from the seller of the project in the amount of \$365,390, allowing the applicant to use Short Term Work Reserve Amount to fund rehabilitation expenses and to receive eligible basis for that amount.

#### Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 127.993%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

The Trails at Carmel Mountain Ranch, located at 10152 Rancho Carmel Drive in San Diego on a 2.78 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,941,060 in annual federal tax credits and \$40,600,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 125 units of housing, consisting of 124 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 48 one-bedroom units, 44 two-bedroom units, and 33 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The buildings will be 4 and 5 stories Type V-B construction. Common amenities include three play structures covered with a shade sail, a picnic area with picnic tables and an electric barbecue, recreational outdoor space, and two central laundry rooms with stacked washers/dryers and one set each of non-stacked accessible washers/dryers. Each unit will have Energy Star-rated efficient appliances, such as dishwashers and refrigerators. Each building will include two elevators. The construction is expected to begin in March 2025 and be completed in December 2026. The project will be developed by Chelsea Investment Corporation and will be located in Senate District 40 and Assembly District 76.

Project Number	CA-24-552		
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	The Trails at Carmel Mountain Ranch 10152 Rancho Carmel Drive San Diego, CA 92128 San Diego 170.56		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total	
Requested:	\$3,941,060	\$0	
Recommended:	\$3,941,060	\$0	
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$40,600,000		
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sp Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	Cheri Hoffma 6339 Paseo Carlsbad, C/ 760-456-600	The Trails at CMR CIC, LP Cheri Hoffman 6339 Paseo Del Lago Carlsbad, CA 92011 760-456-6000 cherihoffman@chelseainvestco.com	
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe I		

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

**Project Information** 

Construction Type:	New Cons	struction
Total # Residential Buildings:	2	
Total # of Units:	125	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	124	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	55.81%	
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%	

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	<b>New Construction</b>
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Dianne Myers

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	13	10%
50% AMI:	13	10%
60% AMI:	98	79%

#### **Unit Mix**

48 1-Bedroom Units

- 44 2-Bedroom Units
- 33 3-Bedroom Units
- 125 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4	1 Bedroom	30%	\$775
4	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,292
40	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,551
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$930
5	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,550
34	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,860
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,075
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,791
24	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,150
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corp. CIC The Trails at CMR, LLC Joint Venture Chelsea Investment Corporation Chelsea Investment Corporation Raymond James ConAm Management Corporation

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Total	\$78,617,047
Developer Fee	\$9,886,577
Other Costs	\$2,982,665
Reserves	\$511,157
Legal Fees	\$277,500
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,474,405
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,125,820
Soft Cost Contingency	\$320,993
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,133,900
Construction Costs	\$42,179,180
Land and Acquisition	\$10,724,851
Land and Acquisition	\$10 724 851

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$276
Per Unit Cost:	\$628,936
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$295,994
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$550,901

#### **Construction Financing**

oonot dotton i manoing		r ermanent i manong		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
Citi:Tax-Exempt	\$40,600,000	Citi:Tax-Exempt	\$20,130,000	
Citi: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$5,000,000	CIC Opportunities Fund	\$3,600,000	
Citi: Taxable	\$7,328,226	Master Developer Gap Loan	\$8,724,750	
Master Developer Gap Loan	\$8,724,750	Accrued Interest	\$458,049	
Deferred Interest	\$13,767,426	Deferred Developer Fee	\$9,754,478	
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,566,660	Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$283,174	
		Tax Credit Equity	\$35,666,596	
		TOTAL	\$78,617,047	

Permanent Financing

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$75,797,088
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,941,060
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,886,577
Investor/Consultant:	Raymond James
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90500

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 92.184%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Pacific Street Apartments Four, located at Pacific Street and Pine Street in Rocklin on a 0.42 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,031,819 in annual federal tax credits and \$10,693,101 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 50 units of housing, consisting of 50 restricted rental units. The project will have 26 one-bedroom units, 14 two-bedroom units, and 10 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 3 stories. Common amenities include a community room with 2,384 square feet playground, laundry room, and surface parking. Each unit will have central heat/cool, blinds, ceiling fan, coat closet, stove/oven, dishwasher, and disposal. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by Community HousingWorks and will be located in Senate District 6 and Assembly District 5.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number	CA-24-553		
Project Name Site Address:	Pacific Street Apartments Four Pacific Street and Pine Street Rocklin, CA 95677		
County: Census Tract:	Placer 211.03		
Census Tract.	211.03		
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/A	nnual	State/Total
Requested:	\$1,03	31,819	\$0
Recommended:	\$1,03	31,819	\$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$10,69	93,101	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC S Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	tion		l Rio North, Suite 800 92108
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issue Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser			pal Finance Authority ofessional Law Corporation

# **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

CHW Pacific Street Four LLC Nonprofit Community Housing Works Community HousingWorks California Housing Partnership ConAm Management Corporation

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	3
Total # of Units:	50
No. / % of Low Income Units:	50 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	49.00%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (8 Units - 16%)

#### Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	Capital Region
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Danielle Stevenson
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Brett Andersen

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	14	28%
50% AMI:	13	26%
60% AMI:	23	46%

#### Unit Mix

26 1-Bedroom Units

14 2-Bedroom Units

10 3-Bedroom Units

50 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$603
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$723
6	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$836
7	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,005
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,206
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,393
13	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,206
8	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,447
2	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,672

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$1,050,310
Construction Costs	\$11,691,391
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$592,400
Soft Cost Contingency	\$471,936
Architectural/Engineering	\$850,327
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,789,780
Legal Fees	\$114,136
Reserves	\$222,359
Other Costs	\$2,641,611
Developer Fee	\$2,588,175
Total	\$22,012,425

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$320
Per Unit Cost:	\$440,249
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$205,835
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$420,646
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$213,862
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$213,862

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Banner Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$10,693,101	Banner Bank: Taxable	\$5,176,000
Banner Bank: Recycled Tax-Exem	\$3,000,000	Banner Bank: Recycled Tax-Exem	\$3,000,000
City of Rocklin	\$2,600,000	City of Rocklin	\$2,600,000
City of Rocklin: Ground Lease	\$631,916	City of Rocklin: Gound Lease	\$631,916
Deferred Costs	\$1,343,809	Deferred Developer Fee	\$980,109
Deferred Developer Fee	\$980,109	Tax Credit Equity	\$9,624,400
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,763,490	TOTAL	\$22,012,425

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$19,842,673
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$25,795,475
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,031,819
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$2,588,175
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93276

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant requested the use of the CUAC utility allowance for 42 of the 50 units in this project. The remaining 8 units with project-based vouchers will utilize the County of Placer Housing Authority's Utility Allowance Schedule. CTCAC staff will review the CUAC documentation for this existing project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant requested and has been granted a waiver to not include an onsite manager's unit for this project. This 4% LIHTC project is a portion of a larger hybrid project. The 9% LIHTC portion will be submitted in the second 2024 9% tax credit round. The 9% portion of this hybrid project will include an additional 60 units, which will include the on-site manager's unit. Both the 4% and 9% portion of this hybrid project will be managed by an on-site property manager located at the 9% LIHTC property. Prior to the start of construction, all necessary agreements shall be in place to ensure that the 9% LIHTC project has sufficient property management and access to the required community spaces for both sites. Any Joint Use Agreement shall be provided in the placed in service submission. This waiver is contingent on the 9% portion being awarded 9% tax credits in the second 9% tax credit round of 2024.

# **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 104.100%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Windsor Park, located at 8685 Old Redwood Highway in Windsor on a 1.66 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,236,998 in annual federal tax credits and \$5,734,169 in total state tax credits and \$12,991,250 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 33 units of housing, consisting of 32 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 4 one-bedroom units, 16 two-bedroom units, and 13 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be 3 stories and Type V construction. Common amenities include a community room with a kitchen, a computer center with high-speed internet, laundry room, tot-lot, teen work-out area, and bbq/outdoor lounge area. Each unit will have a refrigerator, range/ oven, dishwasher, garbage disposal, and microwave. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in March 2026. The project will be developed by Linc Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 2.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 and VASH Project-based Vouchers.

State/Total \* \$5,734,169

\$5,734,169

Project Number	CA-24-554
Project Name	Windsor Park
Site Address:	8685 Old Redwood Highway
	Windsor, CA 95492
County:	Sonoma
Census Tract:	1538.09
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual
Requested:	\$1,236,998

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

\$1,236,998

#### Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended:

Recommended:	\$12,991,250
--------------	--------------

#### **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant / CDLAC Sponsor:	Windsor Park, L.P.
Applicant for State Credits:	Linc Windsor LLC
Contact:	Anders Plett
Address:	3590 Elm Avenue
	Long Beach, CA 90807
Phone:	562-684-1131
Email:	aplett@linchousing.org

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: California Municipal Finance Authority Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA

Linc Windsor LLC Nonprofit Linc Housing Corporation Linc Housing Corporation Raymond James FPI Management

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction		
Total # Residential Buildings:	1		
Total # of Units:	33		
No. / % of Low Income Units:	32 100.00%		
Average Targeted Affordability:	49.07%		
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%		
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt / HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers		
	(8 Units - 25%) / VASH Project-based Vouchers (10 Units - 31%)		

#### Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	Northern Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Rural
Homeless Set Aside Units:	8
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Sopida Steinwert

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate	Number of	Percentage of
Targeting	Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	18	56%
70% AMI*:	9	28%
80% AMI*:	5	16%

\*CTCAC restricted only

# **Unit Mix**

4 1-Bedroom Units 16 2-Bedroom Units 13 3-Bedroom Units 33 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted Area Median Inco	-	
2 1 Bedroom	30%	\$708	
2 1 Bedroom	30%	\$708	
4 2 Bedrooms	30%	\$849	
4 3 Bedrooms	30%	\$981	
6 2 Bedrooms	30%	\$849	
5 2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,266	
9 3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,289	
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0	
Project Cost Summary at Applic	ation		
Land and Acquisition	\$1,514,125	5	
Construction Costs	\$14,611,912	2	
Construction Hard Cost Contingen	cy \$762,504	1	
Soft Cost Contingency	\$234,756	6	
Architectural/Engineering	\$565,000	)	
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$2,781,404	1	
Legal Fees	\$339,000	)	
Reserves	\$200,610	)	
Other Costs	\$2,341,497		
Developer Fee	\$3,088,789	—	
Total	\$26,439,597	7	
Residential			
Construction Cost Per Square Foo	t:	\$385	
Per Unit Cost:		\$801,200	
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$389,213	
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$788,396	
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$393,674	
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Re	ntal Unit:	\$721,736	
Construction Fin	• .	Permanent Financing	<b>.</b>
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
JP Morgan Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$12,991,250	Citibank: Traunche A and B	\$6,463,943
JP Morgan Chase: Taxable	\$4,063,595	City of Windsor: Land Loan	\$1,765,000
City of Windsor: Land Loan	\$1,765,000	City of Windsor: Impact Fee Deferral	\$712,000
City of Windsor: Impact Fee Deferr		Sonoma County: HOME	\$598,302
Sonoma County: HOME	\$598,302	Accrued Interest	\$117,631
Accrued Interest	\$117,631	Deferred Developer Fee	\$422,529
Deferred Costs	\$861,160	General Partner Equity	\$100
Deferred Developer Fee	\$422,529	Tax Credit Equity	\$16,360,092
General Partner Equity	\$100	TOTAL	\$26,439,597
Tax Credit Equity	\$4,908,030		

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$23,788,419
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$30,924,945
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,236,998
Total State Credit:	\$5,734,169
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$3,088,789
Investor/Consultant:	Raymond James
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.91000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$788,396. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the lack of economies of scale, the requirement for the payment of federal prevailing wages, and the size and location of the project, which limited the subcontractor pool.

The project will serve 56% (18 units) Special Needs tenants, consisting of households and veterans who are homeless or at-risk of being homeless.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Ma	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 78.363%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 6, 2024

Seaside Apartments, located at 1380 30th Avenue in Santa Cruz on a 4.64 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,744,050 in annual federal tax credits and \$37,316,600 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 84 units of housing, consisting of 83 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 16 one-bedroom units, 52 two-bedroom units, and 16 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The renovations will include building exterior and interior upgrades. Building exterior renovations will consist of replacement of roof, installation of solar panel system, painting of all exterior walls and sidings, exterior lights replacement with LED light fixtures. Interior renovations will include interior door hardware replacement, replacement of water heaters with higher efficiency units; replacement of interior light fixtures. Individual apartment units will be updated with new kitchen cabinets, replacement of kitchen sinks and faucets, replacement of bathroom fixtures with low flow toilets, showerheads, and aerators installed, replacement of appliances with EnergyStar rated units, higher efficiency LED fixtures, replacement of blinds and replacement of vinyl flooring. Lastly, common or site area renovations will consist of upgrade of leasing office (vinyl floor installation, upgrade of light fixtures, etc.). The construction is expected to begin in October 2024 and be completed in December 2025. The project will be developed by Gung Ho - Seaside, LLC and is located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 30.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

Project Number	CA-24-558	
Project Name Site Address: County: Census Tract:	Seaside Apartments 1380 30th Avenue Santa Cruz, CA 95062 Santa Cruz 1217.02	
Tax Credit Amounts Requested: Recommended:	Federal/Annual \$2,744,050 \$2,744,050	State/Total \$0 \$0
Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$37,316,600	
CTCAC Applicant Information CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sp Contact: Address: Phone: Email:	Mike April 601 Californi	a Street, Suite 1150 co, CA 94108 05

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:

#### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

California Municipal Finance Authority Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Citibank, N.A.

Gung Ho - Seaside, LLC Rainbow - Seaside, LLC Joint Venture Gung Ho Partners, LLC Rainbow Housing Assistance Corporation Gung Ho - Seaside, LLC R4 Capital Reliant Property Management

Construction Type:	Acquisi	tion & Rehabilitation
Total # Residential Buildings:	6	
Total # of Units:	84	
No. / % of Low Income Units:	83	100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	49.40	%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60	)% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Ex	empt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (83 Units -
	100%)	

#### Information

Housing Type:	At-Risk
Geographic Area:	Central Coast Region
State Ceiling Pool:	Preservation
Set Aside:	N/A
Homeless Set Aside Units:	N/A
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nick White

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	7	8%
50% AMI:	73	88%
80% AMI*:	3	4%

\*CTCAC restricted only

# Unit Mix

16 1-Bedroom Units

52 2-Bedroom Units

16 3-Bedroom Units

84 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted Area Median Incor		Proposed Rent ncluding utilities)	
2 1 Bedroom	30%	<u></u>	\$926	-
4 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,112	
1 3 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,284	
14 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,544	
47 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,853	
12 3 Bedrooms	50%		\$2,141	
1 2 Bedrooms	80%		\$2,966	
2 3 Bedrooms	80%		\$3,426	
1 3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit		\$0	
<b>Project Cost Summary at Applic</b> Land and Acquisition Rehabilitation Costs Construction Hard Cost Contingen	\$45,000,000 \$11,054,666	3		
Soft Cost Contingency	\$400,000			
Relocation	\$259,875			
Architectural/Engineering	\$250,000			
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$6,285,400			
Legal Fees	\$556,750			
Reserves	\$864,880			
Other Costs	\$887,240			
Developer Fee	\$8,293,598			
Total	\$74,957,876			
Residential				
Construction Cost Per Square For	ot:	\$151		
Per Unit Cost:		\$892,356		
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$112,357		
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$832,347		
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$444,245		
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Re	ntal Unit:	\$466,458		
Construction Fir	ancing	Pe	ermanent Financin	Ig
Source	Amount	Source		Amount
CitiBank: Tax-Exempt	\$37,316,600	CitiBank: Tax-E	xempt	\$26,316,600
CitiBank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$8,183,400	•	cled Tax-Exempt	\$8,183,400
Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,505,747	Subordinate Ta		\$11,000,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$22,952,129	Deferred Develo		\$5,040,717
		Tax Credit Equi	ty	\$24,417,159
		TOTAL		\$74,957,876

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$16,723,318
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$46,860,933
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$21,740,313
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$46,860,933
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$869,613
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$1,874,437
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,744,050
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$8,293,598
Investor/Consultant:	R4 Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88982

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Development costs are roughly \$892,347 per unit. The applicant noted the factors affecting this cost include the acquisition cost, accrued interest costs, and extensive upgrades to the existing infrastructure.

## **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 122.396%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 6, 2024

The project, 54th and El Cajon, located at 5474 El Cajon Boulevard in San Diego on a 0.43 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,195,125 in annual federal tax credits and \$22,386,641 of taxexempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 95 units of housing, consisting of 94 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 14 studio units, 66 one-bedroom units, and 15 twobedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The building will be five story new construction. Common amenities include large community room, laundry facilities, and computer room. Each unit will have refrigerator, and range/oven/cooktop. The construction is expected to begin in February 2025 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by Community Housing Works and will be located in Senate District 39 and Assembly District 79.

Project Number	CA-24-564
Project Name	54th and El Cajon

Site Address: County:

Census Tract:

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$2,195,125	\$0
Recommended:	\$2,195,125	\$0

San Diego 2804.00

# **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended:

\$22,386,641

# **CTCAC** Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Community HousingWorks
Applicant for State Credits:	Community HousingWorks
Contact:	Jonathan Lee
Address:	3111 Camino Del Rio North Suite 800
	San Diego, CA 92108
Phone:	619-795-1034
Email:	jlee@chworks.org
Contact: Address: Phone:	Jonathan Lee 3111 Camino Del Rio North Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92108 619-795-1034

5474 El Cajon Boulevard San Diego, CA 92115

## **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Private Placement Purchaser: **Bellwether Real Estate Capital** 

## **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies): Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

Community HousingWorks Nonprofit **Community HousingWorks Community Housing Works** California Housing Partnership **ConAm Management Corporation** 

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	95
No. / % of Low Income Units:	94 100.00%
Average Targeted Affordability:	59.67%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60% Average Income
Federal Subsidy:	Tax-Exempt

# Information

Housing Type:	Non-Targeted
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Jake Salle
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Nick White

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	11	12%
50% AMI:	11	12%
60% AMI:	51	54%
80% AMI*:	21	22%

\*CTCAC restricted only

#### Unit Mix

- 14 SRO/Studio Units 66 1-Bedroom Units 15 2-Bedroom Units
- 95 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2023 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	SRO/Studio	30%	\$723
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$775
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$930
2	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,206
7	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,292
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,551
7	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,447
38	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,551
6	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,861
3	SRO/Studio	80%	\$1,833
14	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,068
4	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,482
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

······································	
Land and Acquisition	\$5,296,260
Construction Costs	\$28,404,474
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,295,224
Soft Cost Contingency	\$285,414
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,211,699
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,066,823
Legal Fees	\$135,000
Reserves	\$393,745
Other Costs	\$3,528,429
Developer Fee	\$5,506,167
Total	\$50,123,235

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$387
Per Unit Cost:	\$527,613
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$232,806
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$495,991
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$235,649
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$306,666

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Bellwether: Tax-Exempt	\$22,386,641	Bellwether: Tax-Exempt	\$12,675,000
Bellwether: Recycled Bonds	\$10,680,948	Recycled Bonds	\$3,000,000
FHC <sup>1</sup> : Ground Lease	\$5,200,000	FHC <sup>1</sup> : Ground Lease	\$5,200,000
FHC <sup>1</sup> : Infrastructure	\$5,000,000	FHC <sup>1</sup> : Infrastructure	\$5,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$1,966,477	Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,004,111
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,004,111	Tax Credit Equity	\$21,244,124
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,885,057	TOTAL	\$50,123,235

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee FHC<sup>1</sup>: Family Housing Centers

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$42,213,945
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$54,878,129
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,195,125
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$5,506,167
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.96779

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The current site of the project is made up of 4 existing parcels. Prior to the close of construction financing and prior to the commencement of construction, the applicant/sponsor will merge the existing parcels and then simultaneously split them into five parcels. A final tract map will be recorded which will create five vertical parcels, each with a legal description and assessor parcel number. The future Air Rights Parcels 1A, 1B, and 2 will contain a 3 story parking garage. The future Air Rights Parcel 3 will contain a portion of levels 4-8, proposed to be a 41-unit permanent supportive housing development; and the future Air Rights Parcel 4 will contain this 95-unit LIHTC project, the balance of levels 4-8. The legal description and APN for CA-24-564 must be completed as part of the placed in service package.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

None

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120 110 ·		119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker:

93.736%



# AGENDA ITEM 6 Round 2 Award of Allocation of Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Exempt Facility (EXF) Projects (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5440)

CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Exempt Facilities Program 2024 Round 2 Recommendation List					
App. No.	Applicant	Project Name	Requested Amount	Recommended Amount	Round 2
Round 2 Allocation					\$255,500,000
24-103	California Municipal Finance Authority	Recology Inc. Project	\$61,000,000	\$61,000,000	
Remaining allocation being rolled into Round 3			\$194,500,000		
Total Available for Round 3			\$194,500,000		

#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE August 6, 2024 Staff Report REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR AN EXEMPT FACILITY PROJECT

Applicant: California Municipal Finance Authority			
Allocation Amount Requested: \$61,000,000			
Project Information:			
Name:	Recology Inc. Project		
Project Addresses:	Various, CA		
Project Cites, Zip Codes:	Vacaville, CA 95687; Vallejo, CA 94589; Santa Rosa, CA 95407; San Carlos, CA 94070		
County:	Solano; Solano; Sonoma; San Mateo		
roject Sponsor Information:			
Name:	Recology Inc.		
Street Address:	50 California St., 24th Floor		
City:	San Francisco, CA		
Principals:	Gordon Heneweer		
Contact:	Gordon Heneweer		
Phone:	(415) 875-1000		
Project User Information:			
Name:	Same as Project Sponsor		
Address:	Same as Project Sponsor		
Contact:	Same as Project Sponsor		
Phone:	Same as Project Sponsor		
Project Financing Information:			
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Harrington & Sutcliffe LLP		
Financial Advisor Firm:	Bank of America, N.A.		

First Tier Business (Yes/No):	No
Regulatory Mandate (Yes/No):	Yes

#### **Details of Project Financing**

Sources of Funds:	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$ 61,000,000
Total Sources	\$ 61,000,000
Uses of Funds:	
Rehabilitaion of Existing Buildings	\$ 9,237,477
Acquisition/Installation of New Equipment	\$ 51,384,947
Bond Issuance Expenses (Including Discount)	\$ 377,576
Total Uses	\$ 61,000,000

#### **Description of Proposed Project:**

The Recology Inc. Project includes making site improvements and acquiring processing equipment at the Recology Sonoma Marin Materials Recovery Facility (MRF). The project will also acquire vehicles, containers, and maintenance equipment used to provide solid waste collection, transfers and related services to support the operations of Recology Vacaville Solano, Recology Vallejo, Recology Sonoma Marin, and Recology San Mateo County.

#### **Environmental Impact:**

1) Air Quality:

The acquisition of vehicles allows older vehicles to be replaced with newer vehicles with better emission controls or using alternative fuels. Site improvements and acquisition of processing equipment at the Recology Sonoma Marin Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) expands the capacity of the facility, reducing air impacts by reducing the need to transport excess recyclables to distant facilities.

#### 2) Water Quality:

The acquisition of containers facilitates the proper containerization of waste and its delivery to appropriate facilities, avoiding spillage that could impact local water systems. Acquisition of maintenance equipment helps avoid hydraulic or other spills that could impact local water systems.

#### 3) <u>Recycling of Commodities:</u>

Site improvements and acquisition of processing equipment at the Recology Sonoma Marin Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) result in more commodities being recycled.

#### 4) Safety and Compliance:

The acquisition of solid waste collection, transfer and other vehicles supports the collection of solid waste and its delivery to appropriate disposal, recycling and composting facilities, safely and in compliance with applicable laws, and in support of state mandates. Acquisition of containers helps local jurisdictions comply with state mandates under SB 1383 regarding container colors and labels. Acquisition of maintenance equipment helps support safe operation of vehicles used for solid waste collection and transfer.

#### Local Government Support:

The Applicant provided a letter of support from the government entity where their company is currently located.

#### Legal Questionnaire:

No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the Project Sponsor.

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends approval of \$61,000,000 in tax exempt bond allocation.



# AGENDA ITEM 7 Resolution No. 24-006, Adoption of Regular Rulemaking for Amendments to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Regulations (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §5000 – 5259)

#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE August 6, 2024

#### Adoption of Regular Rulemaking for Amendments to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Regulations

(Agenda Item No. 7)

#### ACTION:

Approve the adoption of regular rulemaking for amendments to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) Regulations (California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 5000 – 5259) to make changes necessary for the administration of the state volume ceiling.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

To ensure meaningful impact on the affordable housing shortage in California, CDLAC continues to work to refine the QRRP competitive application process during ongoing discussions at CDLAC public meetings. CDLAC provided a notice of proposed action to the public at least 21 days before the close of the public comment period and held a public hearing before the close of the public comment period, as required by Government Code section 8869.94.

#### List of regulations to be modified:

Title 4, Section 5000. Definitions Title 4, Section 5020. Determination of State Ceiling Pools Title 4, Section 5054. Filing Fees Title 4, Section 5062. Private Placement Sales Title 4, Section 5100. Program Expiration Dates Title 4, Section 5101. Extension to Expiration Dates Title 4, Section 5103. Five Day Hardship Extensions [Repealed] Title 4, Section 5144. Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-Going Compliance Self-Certification Title 4, Section 5170. Definitions Title 4, Section 5190. Readiness Title 4, Section 5193. Debt Service Coverage Ratio Title 4, Section 5205. Minimum Requirements Title 4, Section 5230. Evaluation Criteria Title 4, Section 5231. Ranking Title 4, Section 5233. Allocation Limits Title 4, Section 5241. Realignment of Expiration Dates

The proposed changes can be found in the final statement of reasons and the redline copy of the regulations posted on CDLAC's website.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the approval of the adoption of regular rulemaking for amendments to the CDLAC Regulations.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 24-006 August 6, 2024

#### RESOLUTION OF THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE ADOPTION OF REGULAR RULEMAKING AMENDMENTS TO THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE REGULATIONS

**WHEREAS,** the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee ("CDLAC") is authorized to implement the volume limit for the state on private activity bonds established pursuant to federal law, annually determine a state ceiling on the aggregate amount of private activity bonds that may be issued, and allocate that aggregate amount among state and local agencies (Gov. Code, § 8869.81 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, CDLAC is authorized to adopt, amend and repeal rules and regulations to administer the state volume ceiling established pursuant to federal law (Gov. Code, § 8869.94 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, CDLAC has identified certain programmatic changes necessary to administer the state volume ceiling; and

**WHEREAS,** CDLAC has provided a notice of proposed action to the public at least 21 days before the close of the public comment period and held a public hearing before the close of the public comment period, as required pursuant to Government Code section 8869.94; and

WHEREAS, regulations adopted by CDLAC take effect immediately upon adoption (Gov. Code, § 8869.94).

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee as follows:

<u>Section 1</u>. The regular rulemaking for amendments to California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 5000 - 5259, as listed in Exhibit A, are adopted and take effect immediately.

**Section 2**. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

#### CERTIFICATION

I, Marina Wiant, Interim Executive Director of the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee, hereby certify that the above is a full, true, and correct copy of the Resolution adopted at a meeting of the Committee held in the Paul Bonderson Building, 901 P Street, Room 102, Sacramento, California 95814, on August 6, 2024, at 1:00 pm. with the following votes recorded:

AYES: NOES: ABSTENTIONS: ABSENCES:



901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814 p (916) 653-3255 f (916) 653-6827 cdlac@treasurer.ca.gov www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdlac

#### MEMBERS

FIONA MA, CPA, CHAIR State Treasurer

> GAVIN NEWSOM Governor

MALIA M. COHEN State Controller

INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MARINA WIANT

**DATE:** July 26, 2024

- TO: California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Stakeholders
- FROM: Marina Wiant, Interim Executive Director
- **RE:** Final Proposed Regulation Changes and Response to Comments

On June 28, 2024, the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee ("CDLAC") released proposed regulation changes for a regular rulemaking for the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Program and opened the 21-day public comment period.

CDLAC staff subsequently held an in-person and virtual public hearing in Sacramento on July 18, 2024.

CDLAC accepted written comments on the initial proposed regulation changes through Friday, July 19, 2024. Numerous individuals, organizations, and groups formally commented on the proposed regulation changes in both oral and written form. CDLAC staff reviewed all comments received and finalized the recommendations for consideration and adoption to be presented to the Committee on Tuesday, August 6, 2024.

This memo includes the final proposed regulation changes, the initial statement of reasons, a brief summary of the comments received, staff's responses to comments, including explanations to any proposed revisions to the initially proposed changes, and the final proposed changes. CDLAC staff also received comments on regulation changes outside the scope of this regular rulemaking and will consider those comments for a future possible regulation change package. Those additional comments outside the scope of this regular rulemaking are not included in the document.

#### List of Proposed Regulation Changes, Comments Received, and Responses to Comments July 25, 2024

#### 1. Section 5000. Definitions.

The proposed changes remove references to outdated documents.

#### Initial proposed changes:

#### [...]

"Certificate of Completion for Non-Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 06-01-2017), hereby incorporated by reference, submitted by the Project Sponsor of a Non-Qualified Residential Rental Project, certifies that all work on the Project was substantially completed, along with the aggregate amount disbursed on the loan for qualified project costs. In addition, the officer's signature indicates that no more than 2% of the proceeds of the bonds issued were spent on the cost of the bond issuance.

"Certificate of Completion for Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 06-01-2017), hereby incorporated by reference, submitted by the Project Sponsor of a Qualified Residential Rental Project, and certifies that all work on the Project was substantially completed, along with the aggregate amount disbursed on the loan for qualified project costs. In addition, the officer's signature indicates that no more than 2% of the proceeds of the bonds issued were spent on the cost of the bond issuance.

"Certification of Compliance I" (Revised 11-16-16)", hereby incorporated by reference, means the document provided in the Committee Resolution to be completed by the Project Sponsor in which the Project Sponsor certifies that the Project is in compliance with all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Committee Resolution.

"Certification of Compliance II for Non-Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 9/20/17), hereby incorporated by reference, is a form for Applicant/Issuers awarded allocation in 2017 forward. Applicant/Issuers retain the Certification form for a period of three years in place of the Certification of Compliance I (11-16-16) to ensure that the Project Sponsor is reporting all relevant compliance and possible changes to the Project or program to the Applicant.

"Certification of Compliance II for Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 06-01-2017), hereby incorporated by reference, is a form for Applicant/Issuers

awarded allocation in 2017 forward. Applicant/Issuers retain the Certification form for a period of three years in place of the Certification of Compliance I (11-16-16) to ensure that the Project Sponsor is reporting all relevant compliance and possible changes to the Project or program to the Applicant.

#### [...]

"Report of Action Taken" means a report provided by and due to the Committee not more than <u>fifteen</u> three (153) business days following the use of Allocation to issue Bonds or Mortgage Credit Certificates.

Report of Action Taken for Bonds" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use of Allocation for Qualified Private Activity Bonds (excluding RZBs) titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding the Issuance of Private Activity Bonds." (revised 11-16-16), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Report of Action Taken for MCCs" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use of Allocation to issue Mortgage Credit Certificates Bonds titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding Mortgage Credit Certificate Program." (revised 1-11-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Report of Action Taken for MCCs (Carryforward)" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use and/or Carryforward of Allocation to issue Mortgage Credit Certificates titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding a Carryforward Election and a Mortgage Credit Certificate Program." (revised 11-11-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Report of Action Taken for RZBs" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use of Allocation for RZBs titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding the Issuance of Recovery Zone Bonds." (revised 11-30-18), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

#### [...]

"RZEDB Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Award of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds." (revised 5-5-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"RZFB Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Award of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Recovery Zone Facility Development Bonds." (revised 5-5-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

[...]

**Comments received:** Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 2. Section 5020. Determination of State Ceiling Pools.

The proposed changes fix technical errors by removing references to being applicable to 2021 projects only, replacing "or" with "and", as was originally intended, and removing the reference to a section which was previously removed from CDLAC regulations.

The changes also fix an incorrect section numbering, fixes a typo from CTAC to CTCAC, and changed Set-Aside to Set Aside for consistency within the regulations.

#### Initial proposed changes:

As soon as practicable after the beginning of each calendar year, and before any Applications are considered, the Committee shall: (a) [...]

#### (1) [...]

(1)(A) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Homeless Set-Aside Set <u>Aside</u> to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects in which at least 25% of the tax credit units are designated for homeless households as defined in Section 10315(b)(1)-(4) of the CTCAC regulations at affordable rents consistent with Section 10325(g)(3) of the CT<u>C</u>AC regulations, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(B) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in an Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects that have for Allocation Rounds in 2021, an average AMI of 50% or below or and have received either of the following, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round:

(i) [...]

(ii) [...]

(aa) If the project receives points as a Large Family project pursuant to Section 5230(g) and is located in a High Segregation and Poverty Area as specified on CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, the project shall have income restrictions with a range of at least 30% AMI between the highest and lowest 10% of income-restricted units that meet the requirements of Section 5230(j)(1)(C).

[...]

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment stating the option for "average AMI of 50% or below or have received either of the following…" should stay the same. There may be projects that have an average AMI of 50% or below and don't need specific HCD funding sources.

Staff also received one comment requested to update the use of Set-Aside/Set Aside to be consistent throughout the regulations.

**Response to comments:** Staff clarified the intent of the regulation with the commenter noting there are other leveraged soft resource options besides HCD and the commenter agreed this was acceptable. Staff updated the language to Set Aside.

#### Final proposed changes:

As soon as practicable after the beginning of each calendar year, and before any Applications are considered, the Committee shall: (a) [...]

(1) [...]

(1)(A) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Homeless Set-Aside Set <u>Aside</u> to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects in which at least 25% of the tax credit units are designated for homeless households as defined in Section 10315(b)(1)-(4) of the CTCAC regulations at affordable rents consistent with Section 10325(g)(3) of the CT<u>C</u>AC regulations, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(B) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in an Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects that have for Allocation Rounds in 2021, an average AMI of 50% or below or and

have received either of the following, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round:

(i) [...]

(ii) [...]

(aa) If the project receives points as a Large Family project pursuant to Section 5230(g) and is located in a High Segregation and Poverty Area as specified on CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, the project shall have income restrictions with a range of at least 30% AMI between the highest and lowest 10% of income-restricted units that meet the requirements of Section 5230(j)(1)(C).

[...]

(C) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Mixed Income Set-Aside Set Aside to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects that are Mixed Income Projects, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

#### 3. Section 5035. Preliminary Recommendations

The proposed change fixes a grammatical error staff noticed in the update process.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(a) At least twenty-five (25) calendar days prior to any meeting at which the Committee will-is to award Allocations, the Executive Director shall publish a preliminary list of Applicants for which the Executive Director expects to recommend an Allocation (and the amount of those Allocations). During competitive rounds, the following procedures shall be followed for the Qualified Residential Rental Program:

[...]

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment to update the use of Set-Aside/Set Aside and tiebreaker/tie-breaker/tie breaker to be consistent throughout the regulations.

**Response to comments:** Staff updated the language to Set Aside and tiebreaker.

Final proposed changes:

(a) At least twenty-five (25) calendar days prior to any meeting at which the Committee will-is to award Allocations, the Executive Director shall publish a preliminary list of Applicants for which the Executive Director expects to recommend an Allocation (and the amount of those Allocations). During competitive rounds, the following procedures shall be followed for the Qualified Residential Rental Program:

(1) Within ten (10) calendar days after the application due date, a list of applicants, project names, project locations, selected pools and set-asides <u>set asides</u>, geographic regions, and requested Allocations and all reported self-scoring totals and <u>tie-breaker\_tiebreaker</u> scores shall be published on the Committee's website as provided in section 5140.

[...]

#### 4. Section 5054. Filing Fees.

The proposed change clarifies the fee due when applying for allocation is separate from the DDA application fee.

#### Initial proposed changes:

Each Applicant shall submit a filing fee in an amount equal to the product of the amount of Allocation actually used to issue Bonds, or Mortgage Credit Certificates multiplied by .00035. The payment of the fee shall be in two installments as follows:

#### [...]

(c) Initial filing fee for Difficult Development Area/Qualified Census Tract (DDA/QCT) designation retention in the amount of \$1,200 shall accompany the filing of an Application to cover the Committee's reasonable costs associated with reviewing Applications. This portion of the filing fee shall not be refundable under any circumstances <u>and is separate from the initial filing fee required when requesting an allocation</u>.

**Comments received:** Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 5. Section 5062. Private Placement Sales.

The proposed changes add information to clarify the requirements of Private Placement Sale documentation.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(a) Subject to subsection (b) below, applications for Bonds to be issued and sold through a private placement will be deemed to have provided satisfactory evidence of a Bond sale plan required in section 5060 if documentation from the Bond purchaser(s) includes the following:

(1) Project Sponsor (borrower).

(2) Project name and location.

(3) Bond purchase amount.

(4) Salient terms and conditions, including but not limited to the fee structure, term, rate, security, collateral, guarantee, <u>expiration date of the commitment</u>, and recourse of the commitment including the interest rate of the agreement.

(5) Evidence that the lender is committed to move forward with the transaction if the terms and conditions in the commitment letter are met.

#### (6) The commitment is fully executed by the bond purchaser and project sponsor.

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment stating updates are not needed. The commenter stated the letters function as placeholders for applications. Lenders and investors don't fully commit to a project until after a CDLAC award is made due to the delay between an application submission and CDLAC award and the delay between application submission and bond issuance/construction loan closing.

**Response to comments:** The intent of this change is to ensure the commitment is as firm as possible. CDLAC already requires the letters be fully executed and can be contingent on a bond allocation. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 6. Section 5100. Program Expiration Dates.

The proposed changes remove the reference to Section 5103 as this section is being proposed to be repealed with these updates and makes a third expiration date standard for all allocation rounds.

Additionally, the proposed changes set three weeks between deadlines and align deadlines for hybrid projects to simplify the process. This change was made to clarify that if a 9% phase of a hybrid has a Readiness Closing deadline from TCAC that is later than the 4% phase's bond allocation expiration date imposed by CDLAC, the later 9% deadline will apply to both phases of the hybrid project.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(b) Notwithstanding extensions as provided in sections 5101 or 5103; the limitations prescribed by section 5104; or Allocations awarded on a carry-forward basis as provided in section 5131; the expiration dates for issuing Bonds or converting Bonds to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority shall be:

[...]

(3) For Qualified Residential Rental Project Bonds, the following expiration dates shall be assigned by the Executive Director within five (5) business days following each Allocation Round:

(i) Projects receiving an allocation shall be assigned an expiration date of onehundred eighty (180) days, or <del>one-hundred ninety-four</del>two-hundred one (201<del>19</del>4) days, <u>or two-hundred twenty-two (222) days</u>. If the Committee allocates more than 50% of the year's QRRP Allocation in any one round, there shall be a third expiration date of two-hundred eight (208) days, and the Executive Director shall assign approximately one-third of the projects in that round to each expiration date whenever possible. In the case of hybrid projects, the expiration date shall be the later of the deadline assigned by CDLAC or CTCAC.

#### **Comments received:**

Staff received one comment requesting clarification in the statement of reasons to state this change was made to clarify that if a 9% phase of a hybrid has a Readiness Closing deadline from CTCAC that is later than the 4% phase's bond allocation expiration date imposed by CDLAC, the later 9% deadline will apply to both phases of the hybrid project.

Staff also received one comment requesting the regulations include hybrid and simultaneous applications.

There was also one comment stating there should be no change to the expiration dates as the current expiration dates of 180 and 194 should be sufficient to allow borrowers and lenders to close projects timely.

#### **Response to comments:**

Staff determined including simultaneous applications will be considered for a future change after discussion with CTCAC staff. Additionally, staff clarified the expiration dates are chosen at random not creating any advantages for specific developments with

longer expiration dates and the commenter agreed this was acceptable. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 7. Section 5101. Extensions to Expiration Dates.

The proposed changes update the existing extension request process during open applications to all application rounds to address an increase in requested extensions beyond the existing five-day hardship. Staff believes this will allow for quicker response to extension requests on a flow basis rather than waiting for Committee meetings for approval.

For allocations during an Open Application Process, Tthe Executive Director may grant <u>an</u> extensions of up to ninety (90) days <u>for all allocations</u>. <u>Any additional</u> extensions must be granted by the Committee. Extension requests must demonstrate that the circumstances were entirely outside the control of the <u>owner</u>.

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment in support of the change. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 8. Section 5103. Five Day Hardship Extensions. [Repealed]

The proposed change to Section 5101 makes Section 5013 unnecessary and is thus being proposed to be repealed.

#### Initial proposed changes:

The Committee may grant an extension to the expiration dates provided in sections 5100 and 5101 up to five (5) additional business days for extreme hardship cases. The Committee may delegate this authority to the Executive Director.

**Comments received:** Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

### 9. Section 5144. Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-Going Compliance Self-Certification.

The proposed change updates TCAC references to CTCAC.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(d) For all QRRP projects receiving allocation after December 31, 2016, compliance with the income and rental requirements of the Federally Bond-

Restricted Units identified in the Committee Resolution and the Bond Regulatory Agreement must be demonstrated by the Applicants initial review of 20% of all management files associated with the Federally Bond-Restricted units and subsequent review every three years of 20% of all management files associated with the Federally Bond-Restricted units. Federally Bond-Restricted units will include a distribution of unit locations, sizes and income levels (if applicable) and must be identified in the PSR. For this 20% of files, Applicants must review each initial or subsequent occupant/s and their associated TIC in conjunction with the supporting income verification documentation of each occupant's initial occupancy and make a determination if the project is complying with the income and affordability standards. Additionally, Applicants must ensure a lease is in place and executed. This review may be performed on-site or may be performed through an electronic file audit. Completion of this task in addition to a valid Certification of Compliance II or equivalent form will provide Issuers with the ability to report annually to CDLAC regarding compliance with the Federally Bond-Restricted unit restrictions. Information pertaining to the income verification process will be kept on file for 10 years. Applicants must retain documentation memorializing review and determination of income eligibility for 10 years. Source income documentation must be retained for 1 year. These guidelines rely on the compliance monitoring process and procedures in place for CTCAC. To the extent CTCAC is to alter their compliance policies and procedures, these guidelines shall be reviewed by CDLAC for consistency and changes made where appropriate.

(e) For all QRRP projects receiving allocation after December 31, 2016, Sponsors requesting an allocation of bonds absent the receipt of a <u>C</u>TCAC reservation will be identified at the time of application and will have the following compliance options which will be represented in the Committee Resolution:

- (1) [...]
- (2) [...]

(3) A Sponsor can enter into contract with CDLAC or a designee to monitor the Federally Bond-Restricted units for consistency with the bond regulatory agreement and the Committee Resolution. The charge for this service will be equivalent to the compliance fee charged by <u>C</u>TCAC at the time the project submits their application to CDLAC.

Comments received: Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 10. Section 5170. Definitions.

The proposed changes clarify the definition of BIPOC Entity and Project and require all BIPOC projects to allocate at least 51% of developer fee, cash flow, and sale proceeds to the BIPOC Entity and gives the BIPOC Entity an option to purchase the property, to allow more emerging BIPOC Entities to have access to the pool. Additionally, the proposed changes update references to CTCAC regulations and strike the definition of "Supplemental Allocation Request Letter" as this was superseded by the Supplemental Allocation process in Section 5240.

#### Initial proposed changes:

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

[...]

"BIPOC Entity" means an entity that is at least 51% owned, <u>managed</u>, and <u>controlled</u> by one or more Black, Indigenous, or Other People of Color or by a non-profit organization with a Black, Indigenous, or Other Person of Color executive director/Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and board membership that is comprised of at least 51% Black, Indigenous, and Other People of Color. For purposes of this paragraph, Black, Indigenous, or Other People of Color means "a person who checked the Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, or Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islanders race category or who answered yes to the Hispanic Origin question on the 2020 United States Census.

"BIPOC Project" means a Qualified Residential Rental Project for which the sponsor is a BIPOC Entity. A BIPOC Project may be a New Construction Project, Rural Project, Preservation Project, or Other Rehabilitation Project. <u>The partnership agreement of a BIPOC Project must allocate at least 51% of the developer fee, cash flow, and net sale proceeds to the BIPOC Entity and provide the BIPOC Entity an option to purchase the development. A BIPOC Project does not include a project for which any principal, partner, <u>affiliate</u>, or member of the sponsor entity is eligible to receive maximum General Partner Experience points are awarded to a principal of the BIPOC Entity who no longer is employed by the developer of, or has an ownership interest in, the project(s) which form the basis of the experience points.</u>

[...]

"CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map" shall have the same meaning as in Section 10302(zzccc) of the CTCAC regulations. An applicant may choose to utilize the census tract or census block group resource designation, as applicable, from the

CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps in effect when the initial site control was obtained up to seven calendar years prior to the application. Projects located in map areas designated as "Missing/Insufficient Data" or similar designation shall be considered to be in the resource area that most frequently surrounds the perimeter of the Project's map area.

#### [...]

"Other Rehabilitation Project" means a QRRP Project applying for an allocation of tax-exempt private activity bonds from the General Pool that is not eligible for treatment as a New Construction Project or a Preservation Project. In a Competitive Application Process, an Other Rehabilitation Project shall meet all of the following criteria:

1. Shall complete at least \$60,000 in hard construction costs per <u>tax credit</u> unit, as defined in CTCAC Regulation Section  $\frac{10320}{10302}(x)$ ; and

2. At least 60% of hard construction costs shall be expended only on immediate health and safety improvements, seismic and accessibility improvements, and/or the replacement of major systems with a remaining useful life of less than ten years, as evidenced by a CTCAC Capital Needs Assessment.

#### [...]

"Supplemental Allocation Request Letter" means the written request from the Applicant for Supplemental Allocation for Projects having been awarded Allocation within the last thirty six (36) months that may be submitted in lieu of a complete Application. The letter must be signed by the Applicant and include information about the Project including the date and amount of prior Allocation, the current status of the Project, revised sources and uses of funds, justification for the request for additional Allocation, and any additional information the Committee or Executive Director deems necessary.

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment stating the General Partner Experience points must come from a Black, Indigenous, and Other Person of Color or a non-profit organization with a Black, Indigenous, or Other Person of Color executive director/Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and board membership that is comprised of at least 51% Black, Indigenous, and Other People of Color.

There was one comment stating the BIPOC entity option to purchase may come after project purchase options or option to purchase the LP for another member of the development team.

Staff also received one comment requesting the regulations define the sponsor of a BIPOC project.

There was also one comment requesting to add parent company to the BIPOC Project definition.

Additionally, there were five comments suggesting CDLAC create a pre-certification process for applicants in the BIPOC Pool.

**Response to comments:** Staff added parent company to the BIPOC Project definition. The suggestion for defining the project sponsor would be a larger change defining a sponsor for all project types and considered for a future change. The option to purchase was suggested by several stakeholders in the initial change process and will remain with this update. The comments for General Partners experience points and precertifying BIPOC Pool applicants will require further discussion with stakeholders and considered for a future change.

#### Final proposed changes:

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

[...]

"BIPOC Entity" means an entity that is at least 51% owned, managed, and <u>controlled</u> by one or more Black, Indigenous, or Other People of Color or by a non-profit organization with a Black, Indigenous, or Other Person of Color executive director/Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and board membership that is comprised of at least 51% Black, Indigenous, and Other People of Color. For purposes of this paragraph, Black, Indigenous, or Other People of Color means "a person who checked the Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, or Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islanders race category or who answered yes to the Hispanic Origin question on the 2020 United States Census.

"BIPOC Project" means a Qualified Residential Rental Project for which the sponsor is a BIPOC Entity. A BIPOC Project may be a New Construction Project, Rural Project, Preservation Project, or Other Rehabilitation Project. <u>The partnership agreement of a BIPOC Project must allocate at least 51% of the developer fee, cash flow, and net sale proceeds to the BIPOC Entity and provide the BIPOC Entity an option to purchase the development. A BIPOC Project does not include a project for which any principal, partner, <u>affiliate, parent organization</u>, or member of the sponsor entity is eligible to receive maximum General Partner</u>

Experience points pursuant to Section 10325(c)(1)(A) of the CTCAC regulations unless those points are awarded to a principal of the BIPOC Entity who no longer is employed by the developer of, or has an ownership interest in, the project(s) which form the basis of the experience points.

#### [...]

"CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map" shall have the same meaning as in Section 10302(zzccc) of the CTCAC regulations. An applicant may choose to utilize the census tract or census block group resource designation, as applicable, from the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps in effect when the initial site control was obtained up to seven calendar years prior to the application. Projects located in map areas designated as "Missing/Insufficient Data" or similar designation shall be considered to be in the resource area that most frequently surrounds the perimeter of the Project's map area.

#### [...]

"Other Rehabilitation Project" means a QRRP Project applying for an allocation of tax-exempt private activity bonds from the General Pool that is not eligible for treatment as a New Construction Project or a Preservation Project. In a Competitive Application Process, an Other Rehabilitation Project shall meet all of the following criteria:

1. Shall complete at least \$60,000 in hard construction costs per <u>tax credit</u> unit, as defined in CTCAC Regulation Section 1032010302(x); and

2. At least 60% of hard construction costs shall be expended only on immediate health and safety improvements, seismic and accessibility improvements, and/or the replacement of major systems with a remaining useful life of less than ten years, as evidenced by a CTCAC Capital Needs Assessment.

#### [...]

"Supplemental Allocation Request Letter" means the written request from the Applicant for Supplemental Allocation for Projects having been awarded Allocation within the last thirty six (36) months that may be submitted in lieu of a complete Application. The letter must be signed by the Applicant and include information about the Project including the date and amount of prior Allocation, the current status of the Project, revised sources and uses of funds, justification for the request for additional Allocation, and any additional information the Committee or Executive Director deems necessary.

#### 11. Section 5190. Readiness.

The proposed change requires site control through the application deadline rather than allocation, to be consistent with CTCAC requirements.

#### Initial proposed changes:

In its Application, the Project Sponsor shall demonstrate its readiness to use the Allocation as set forth in this section.

(a) [...]

(1) [...]

(D) A valid, current, and enforceable contingent purchase and sale agreement or option agreement between the Project Sponsor and the owner of the subject property, including evidence that all extensions necessary to keep the agreement current through the date of the award of Allocation have been executed. Evidence must be provided at the time of the application that all extensions and other conditions necessary to keep the agreement current through the application filing deadline have been executed.

#### [...]

**Comments received:** Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 12. Section 5193. Debt Service Coverage Ratio.

The proposed change aligns debt coverage ratio requirements with CTCAC requirements.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(a) For Qualified Residential Rental Projects, a-the minimum debt service coverage ratio (the ratio of the net operating income from the Project divided by the required debt service on the debt associated with the Project) shall be no less than 1.15 in at least one of the project's first three years meet the requirements outlined in Section 10327(g)(6) of CTCAC Regulations, except for FHA/HUD projects, RHS projects or projects financed by the California Housing Finance Agency.

**Comments received:** Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 13. Section 5205. Minimum Requirements.

The proposed change updates a previous drafting error that omitted one construction standard from CTCAC regulations.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(a) Applicants shall provide a certification that the minimum specifications pursuant to Section 10325(f)(7)(A) thru-(J) (K) of the CTCAC Regulations will be incorporated into the project design for all new construction and rehabilitation projects.

**Comments received:** Staff received fifteen comments stating this was not an error and was intentionally excluded from the requirements.

**Response to comments:** Staff revised the update to reference CTCAC regulations section 10326(g)(6) as this is a current requirement for CDLAC projects applying for 4% tax credits.

#### Final proposed changes:

(a) Applicants shall provide a certification that the minimum specifications pursuant to Section 10325(f)(7)(A) thru (K) 10326(g)(6) of the CTCAC Regulations will be incorporated into the project design for all new construction and rehabilitation projects.

#### 14. Section 5230. Evaluation Criteria.

The proposed changes modify the eligibility criteria for receiving 7 experience points by (1), for joint venture projects that include a BIPOC Entity, increasing the allocation of developer fee, cash flow, and sale proceeds from 50 to 51% and requiring the BIPOC Entity be given an option to purchase the property and (2), for BIPOC Entities that are the sole sponsor, changing the eligibility for BIPOC Entity experience from one project within five years to one project in California in the past 10 years. These changes will allow more emerging California BIPOC Entities to have greater access to the pool.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(f) General Partner and Management Company Experience (10 Points Maximum)

(1) A project shall receive general partner experience points in one of the following manners:

(A) [...]

(B) 7 points if the project is a joint venture between an entity that receives maximum general experience points pursuant to Section 10325(c)(1)(A) of the

CTCAC regulations and a BIPOC Entity, provided that the partnership agreement (i) allocates a share of the <u>at least 51% of the</u> developer fee, cash flow, and net sale proceeds to the BIPOC Entity that is equal to or greater than the share to the entity with maximum general experience points and (ii) provides the BIPOC Entity an option to purchase the development.

(C) 7 points if the sole sponsor is a BIPOC Entity that

(i) is a general partner in at least one <u>California</u> Low-Income Housing Tax Credit development that has received a certificate of occupancy, or if a rehabilitation project, completed rehabilitation, within five ten years of the date of application,

[...]

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment stating the BIPOC entity option to purchase may come after project purchase options or option to purchase the LP for another member of the development team.

There was one comment to update the use of Set-Aside/Set Aside to be consistent throughout the regulations.

**Response to comments:** The option to purchase was suggested by several stakeholders in the initial change process and will remain with this update. Staff updated the language to Set Aside.

#### Final proposed changes:

(f) General Partner and Management Company Experience (10 Points Maximum)

(1) A project shall receive general partner experience points in one of the following manners:

(A) [...]

(B) 7 points if the project is a joint venture between an entity that receives maximum general experience points pursuant to Section 10325(c)(1)(A) of the CTCAC regulations and a BIPOC Entity, provided that the partnership agreement (i) allocates a share of the <u>at least 51% of the</u> developer fee, cash flow, and net sale proceeds to the BIPOC Entity that is equal to or greater than the share to the entity with maximum general experience points and (ii) provides the BIPOC Entity an option to purchase the development.

(C) 7 points if the sole sponsor is a BIPOC Entity that

(i) is a general partner in at least one <u>California</u> Low-Income Housing Tax Credit development that has received a certificate of occupancy, or if a rehabilitation project, completed rehabilitation, within five <u>ten</u> years of the date of application,

[...]

(j) Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (10 points maximum).

(1) A New Construction project shall receive points in only one of the following manners:

[...]

(ii) Using the sort order described in Section 5231, after projects receiving 10 points pursuant to this subdivision have been recommended for allocations that meet or exceed the following 50% threshold, all remaining projects in each pool or set-aside set aside shall receive 9 points for meeting the requirements of this subdivision. For the purpose of awarding points per round, (excluding an established waiting list), pursuant to this subdivision, 10 points shall be awarded until approximately 50% of the amount available to a pool or set-aside set aside has been allocated. Subsequently, all remaining projects in each pool or set-aside set aside shall receive 9 points for meeting the requirements of this subdivision.

#### 15. Section 5231. Ranking.

The proposed changes limit the number of awards in the BIPOC Pool to one per round and two per year unless the pool is undersubscribed, to allow more Emerging BIPOC Entities to have access to the Pool.

The proposed changes also update the Homeless Set Aside to transfer funds to the Extremely/Very Low Income Set Aside when the Homeless Set Aside is undersubscribed, since many Homeless Projects also qualify for Extremely/Very Low Income, updates the use of surplus bond allocation to be available to all projects rather than new construction only, eliminating the need for (4), and makes the tie breaker boost for prevailing wages applicable only to projects where prevailing wages are paid on the entire project.

#### Initial proposed changes:

After all Applications for Qualified Residential Rental Projects are evaluated pursuant to Section 5230, the Applications shall be ranked and may be awarded an Allocation as follows, except that a project shall not receive a bond allocation if it had requested and is not scheduled to receive an award of State Tax Credits:

[...]

(d) Applications for BIPOC Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for BIPOC Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the BIPOC Pool. Applications for BIPOC Projects not receiving an Allocation pursuant to this subdivision shall be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivisions (a), (b), (c), and (e) of this section. The number of awards received by individuals, entities, affiliates, and related entities is limited to no more than one (1) per competitive round and two (2) per year unless the pool is undersubscribed. This limitation is applicable to a project applicant, developer, sponsor, owner, general partner, and to parent companies, principals of entities, and family members.

(e) Applications for Qualified Residential Rental Projects that are New Construction Projects, exclusive of Rural Projects, will then be ranked together. Applications receiving the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the New Construction Pool in the following manner.

(1)(A) Set Aside application selection. Beginning with the top ranked application from the Homeless Set Aside, subject to the conditions in Section 5231(e)(1)(B), followed by the Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside, and the Mixed Income Set Aside, the highest scoring applications in each Set Aside shall be awarded an Allocation pursuant to the procedures in Section 5231(f). A project that meets the criteria of both the Homeless Set Aside and the Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside shall be eligible for an allocation from either Set Aside. If the Homeless Set Aside is undersubscribed in a competitive round the remaining funds will transfer to the Extremely/Very Low Income Set Aside. All New Construction Projects, exclusive of Rural Projects, that do not receive an allocation from a Set Aside shall be eligible for an allocation from their respective geographic region pursuant to subdivision (e)(2).

- (B) [...]
- (2) [...]

(3) In the final allocation round of the year, any bonds remaining in any QRRP pool, Set Aside, or geographic region shall be allocated to the highest-ranking New Construction Project or Projects. Those amounts shall not be added to the respective QRRP pool, Set Aside, or geographic region in the following year, and any allocations pursuant to this paragraph shall not be subtracted from the geographic allocations in the following year.

(4) At the last allocation meeting of the year, the Committee shall establish a waiting list of new Construction Projects that have not received an allocation in the final allocation round, ordered from highest to lowest ranking.

[...]

(g) [...]

(2) The cost-adjusted Bond and State Credit Allocation shall be calculated by reducing the unadjusted Bond and State Credit Allocation request by the following, as applicable:

(A) 15% for projects that are paid for in whole or in part out of public funds and are subject to a legal requirement for the payment of state or federal prevailing wages <u>on the entire project</u>.

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment to note the award limit is in the BIPOC Pool. There was also one comment to clarify who the limit applies to. There was one comment objecting to the limit on awards in the BIPOC Pool.

There were sixteen comments recommending adding a priority to New Construction Projects when allocating funds in the final funding round of the year.

Staff also received one comment to update the use of Set-Aside/Set Aside and tiebreaker/tie-breaker/tie breaker to be consistent throughout the regulations.

**Response to comments:** Staff added clarification to the limit being in the BIPOC Pool, who the limit applies to, and updated the language to tiebreaker. The limit on awards was recommended by several stakeholders and will remain in the current set of changes. Further changes will be considered in the future.

#### Final proposed changes:

After all Applications for Qualified Residential Rental Projects are evaluated pursuant to Section 5230, the Applications shall be ranked and may be awarded an Allocation as follows, except that a project shall not receive a bond allocation if it had requested and is not scheduled to receive an award of State Tax Credits:

(a) Applications for Rural Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for Rural Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker tiebreaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the Rural Pool. Applications for Rural Projects not receiving an Allocation will not be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivisions (b), (c) or (e) of this section.

#### [...]

(c) Applications for Other Rehabilitation Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for Other Rehabilitation Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker tiebreaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the Other Rehabilitation Projects not receiving an Allocation pursuant to this subdivision will not be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivisions (a), (b) or (e) of this section.

(d) Applications for BIPOC Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for BIPOC Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker tiebreaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the BIPOC Pool. Applications for BIPOC Projects not receiving an Allocation pursuant to this subdivision shall be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivisions (a), (b), (c), and (e) of this section. The number of awards received by individuals, entities, affiliates, and related entities in the BIPOC Pool is limited to no more than one (1) per competitive round and two (2) per year unless the pool is undersubscribed. This limitation is applicable to project applicants, developers, sponsors, owners, general partners, and to parent companies, principals of entities, and family members.

(e) Applications for Qualified Residential Rental Projects that are New Construction Projects, exclusive of Rural Projects, will then be ranked together. Applications receiving the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker tiebreaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the New Construction Pool in the following manner.

(1)(A) Set Aside application selection. Beginning with the top ranked application from the Homeless Set Aside, subject to the conditions in Section 5231(e)(1)(B), followed by the Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside, and the Mixed Income Set Aside, the highest scoring applications in each Set Aside shall be awarded an Allocation pursuant to the procedures in Section 5231(f). A project that meets the criteria of both the Homeless Set Aside and the Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside shall be eligible for an allocation from either Set Aside. If the Homeless Set Aside is undersubscribed in a competitive round the remaining funds will transfer to the Extremely/Very Low Income Set Aside. All New Construction Projects, exclusive of Rural Projects, that do not receive an allocation from a Set Aside shall be eligible for an allocation from their respective geographic region pursuant to subdivision (e)(2). (B) [...]

(2) [...]

(3) In the final allocation round of the year, any bonds remaining in any QRRP pool, Set Aside, or geographic region shall be allocated to the highest-ranking New Construction Project or Projects. Those amounts shall not be added to the respective QRRP pool, Set Aside, or geographic region in the following year, and any allocations pursuant to this paragraph shall not be subtracted from the geographic allocations in the following year.

(4) At the last allocation meeting of the year, the Committee shall establish a waiting list of new Construction Projects that have not received an allocation in the final allocation round, ordered from highest to lowest ranking.

[...]

(g) [...]

(2) The cost-adjusted Bond and State Credit Allocation shall be calculated by reducing the unadjusted Bond and State Credit Allocation request by the following, as applicable:

(A) 15% for projects that are paid for in whole or in part out of public funds and are subject to a legal requirement for the payment of state or federal prevailing wages <u>on the entire project</u>.

#### 16. Section 5233. Allocation Limits.

The proposed change clarifies that the allocation limit applies to all units in the development and removes the reference of being adjusted for the number of bedrooms, since the table showing the limits does this.

#### Initial proposed changes:

(a) Limit CDLAC bond allocation on <u>all units on</u> a per-unit basis <del>(adjusted by the number of bedrooms)</del> in the QRRP Pools as follows:

Studio and SRO:	\$522,000
One-bedroom:	\$544,000
Two-bedroom:	\$580,000
Three-bedroom:	\$638,000
Four- or more bedroom:	\$671,000

**Comments received:** Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 17. Section 5241. Realignment of Expiration Dates.

The proposed change would reset the bond issuance deadline on all unissued allocations to the most recent allocation, in order to provide more clarity for projects receiving multiple Supplemental Allocations.

#### Initial proposed changes:

Projects awarded a Supplemental Allocation for which no Bonds were issued from the original award, or any prior Supplemental of Allocation, shall have the expiration date of the most recent original allocation.

**Comments received:** Staff received no comments on this section. The changes remain as proposed.

#### 18. Section 5314. Program Provisions.

This was not included in the preliminary changes.

#### Initial proposed changes:

The Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program proposed by the Applicant must, at a minimum, include all of the following:

[...]

(f) A priority system such that:

(1) In the event an Applicant's program is oversubscribed, the Applicant must provide assistance to Eligible Teachers and Eligible Administrators before providing such assistance to other eligible Program Participants.

(2) Eligible Teachers with National Board Certification shall have priority over Eligible Teachers without such certification.

(3) Applicants may determine how each priority will be implemented (e.g., a program set-aside set aside) and shall indicate such in the Application.

**Comments received:** Staff received one comment to update the use of Set-Aside/Set Aside.

**Response to comments:** Staff updated the language to Set Aside. The changes remain as proposed.

Exhibit A



# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE (CDLAC) REGULATIONS

August 6, 2024

CALIFORNIA STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE



### Table of Contents

Chapter 1. General Provisions	1
Article 1. Definitions	1
§ 5000. Definitions	1
Article 2. State Ceiling and Application Process	9
§ 5010. Determination of State Ceiling, Competitiveness, and Minimum Points.	9
Article 3. State Ceiling Pools	
§ 5020. Determination of State Ceiling Pools	
§ 5021. Rescheduling of Calendar	
§ 5022. Geographic Apportionments.	
Article 4. Application Schedule and Procedures	
§ 5030. Announcement of Application Deadlines.	
§ 5031. Eligible Applicants	
§ 5032. Applicant Responsibilities.	
§ 5033. Minimum Application Requirements	
§ 5034. Ranking	
§ 5035. Preliminary Recommendations.	15
§ 5036. Appeals to Preliminary Recommendations.	15
§ 5037. Final Recommendations.	15
§ 5038. Appeals to Final Recommendations.	
§ 5039. Publishing of Agenda.	
Article 5. Performance Deposits and Fees	
§ 5050. Performance Deposit Requirements.	
§ 5051. Release of Performance Deposit.	
§ 5052. Forfeiture of Performance Deposit	
§ 5053. Withdrawn or Denied Applications.	
§ 5054. Filing Fees.	
§ 5055. [Repealed]	
§ 5056. [Repealed]	
Article 6.Bond Sale Structure Requirements	



§ 5060. Minimum Requirements	19
§ 5061. Credit Enhanced Sales.	19
§ 5062. Private Placement Sales	20
§ 5063. Unenhanced Bond Sales with an A Category or Higher Rating Including Sales Where Cash is the Collateral	21
§ 5064. Unenhanced Bond Sales with a BBB Category Credit Rating	21
§ 5065. Unenhanced Sales with Unrated or Non-Investment Grade Credit Rating.	22
§ 5066. Qualifying Bond Defaults	<u>23<del>23</del></u>
Article 7.Committee Resolution; Use of State Ceiling Allocations	23
§ 5080. Granting of Allocation	23
§ 5081. Changes in Use of Allocation	23
§ 5082. Certification of Bond Issuance	24
Article 8.Expiration of Allocations	24
§ 5100. Program Expiration Dates	24
§ 5101. Extensions to Expiration Dates.	<u>25<del>25</del></u>
§ 5102. Recovery Zone Bond Extensions. [Repealed]	25
§ 5103. Five Day Hardship Extensions. [Repealed]	25
§ 5104. Year-End Allocations	25
§ 5105. Reversion to Committee	25
§ 5106. State Single Family Programs	<u>26<del>26</del></u>
§ 5107. Veterans Home Loan Programs. [Renumbered]	26
Article 9. Transfers of Allocation	26
§ 5120. Transfer Requirements	26
Article 10. Carry-Forward Allocations	26
§ 5130. Prohibitions	<u>27</u> 27
§ 5131. Granting of Carryforward Allocations	<u>27</u> 27
§ 5132. Expiration of Carryforward Allocations	27
§ 5133. Use of Carryforward	27
Article 11.Reporting and Compliance Requirements	<u>28<del>28</del></u>
§ 5140. Contact Information	<u>28</u> 28
§ 5141. Notification of Bond Issue.	28
§ 5142. Report of Action Taken.	28



§ 5143. Notification of Carryforward Election	28
§ 5144. Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-Going Compliance Self Certification	28
§ 5145. Certification of Compliance.	30
§ 5146. Disqualification.	<u>31</u> 31
Article 12. Universal Evaluation Criteria for All Applications	31
§ 5150. Satisfactory Evidence.	31
§ 5151. Evaluation of Points.	31
§ 5152. Readiness Threshold	<u>32<del>32</del></u>
§ 5153. Measurement of Distance.	32
§ 5154. Discovery of Erroneous Information	32
§ 5155. [Repealed]	32
Chapter 2.Qualified Residential Rental Projects	33
Article 1.Definitions	33
§ 5170. Definitions	33
Article 2.Applications	37
§ 5180. Application Process	37
§ 5181. Concurrent Application with Other Agencies.	37
§ 5182. Concurrent Application with CTCAC.	37
§ 5183. Subsequent Application with CTCAC.	<u>38</u> 37
Article 3.Minimum Requirements	38
§ 5190. Readiness	38
§ 5191. Income and Rent Restrictions	40
§ 5192. Minimum Term of Restrictions.	<u>42</u> 41
§ 5193. Debt Service Coverage Ratio	42
§ 5194. Project Sources & Uses and Project Costs.	<u>43</u> 4 <del>3</del>
Article 4. Market Studies	43
§ 5200. Minimum Requirements	43
Article 5.Sustainable Building Standards	44
§ 5205. Minimum Requirements	44
Article 6.Acquisition and Rehabilitation Projects	44
§ 5210. Minimum Expenditures	44
§ 5211. Tenant Relocation.	44



§ 5212. Capital Needs Assessment.	. 45
Article 7.Post Issuance Oversight and Termination of Project-Based Subsidies	45
§ 5220. Regulatory Compliance	. 45
§ 5221. Disqualification. [Repealed]	46
Article 8.Evaluation Criteria 4	<u>746</u>
§ 5230. Evaluation Criteria	<u>7</u> 47
§ 5231. Ranking	<u>251</u>
§ 5232. Competitive Application Process Maximum Allocation Amount	55
§ 5233. Allocation Limits.	. 56
Article 9.Supplemental Allocation	. 56
§ 5240. Supplemental Allocation Process.	56
§ 5241. Realignment of Expiration Dates.	. 57
Article 10.Scattered Site Applications	. 57
§ 5250. Application Requirements.	57
§ 5251. Evaluation Criteria.	58
Article 11.Application Process for Projects Assisted by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Developme	nt
§ 5255. Application Requirements.	
§ 5256. Evaluation Criteria.	59
Article 12.Expiring Projects in Difficult Development Areas	
§ 5258. Eligibility Retention	. 59
Article 13. Qualified Residential Rental Project Allocation Request Process for December 2017 [Repealed]	60
§ 5259. Allocation Request Requirements. [Repealed]	60
Chapter 3.Single Family Housing	61
Article 1.Definitions	. 61
§ 5260. Definitions	. 61
Article 2.Eligibility Requirements	. 62
§ 5265. Application Process	. 62
§ 5266. Participation Goals	. 62
§ 5267. Consistency with Adopted Housing Elements.	63
§ 5268. Mortgage Revenue Bond Eligibility	63
§ 5269. Mortgage Credit Certificate Eligibility	63



§ 5270. Exceptions to Minimum Requirements.	64
§ 5271. Allocation Method	64
§ 5273. Income and Purchase Price Certification	64
Article 3.Evaluation Criteria	64
§ 5275. Minimum Goals	64
Article 4.Single Family Housing Bonus Pool	65
§ 5280. Eligibility Requirements	65
§ 5281. Evaluation Criteria.	65
§ 5282. Allocation Method	66
§ 5283. Excess Bonus Pool Distribution	66
Article 5.Veterans Home Loan Program	67
§ 5290. Veterans Home Loan Program (VHLP)	67
§ 5291. VHLP Reporting Requirements	67
Chapter 4.Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program	68
Article 1.Definitions	68
§ 5300. Definitions	68
Article 2.Eligibility Requirements	70
§ 5310. Application Process	70
§ 5311. Application of Standards	70
§ 5312. Applicant Eligibility	70
§ 5313. Program Goals	71
§ 5314. Program Provisions	71
§ 5315. Alternative Schools. [Repealed]	72
Article 3. Evaluation Criteria	72
§ 5320. Evaluation Criteria	72
§ 5321. Allocation Amount	73
Article 4. Reporting Requirements	73
§ 5330. Specific Reports	73
Article 5. Noncompliance	74
§ 5340. Monetary Assessment	74
Chapter 5.Single Family Housing Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Program	75
Article 1.Definitions	75



§ 5342. Definitions	. 75
Article 2.Eligibility Requirements	. 75
§ 5343. Application Process	. 75
§ 5344. Minimum Requirements	. 75
§ 5345. Exceptions to Minimum Requirements.	. 76
Article 3.Evaluation Criteria	. 76
§ 5346. Past Performance	. 76
§ 5347. Potential Public Benefits Calculation.	. 77
Article 4.Reporting Requirements	. 77
§ 5348. Program Performance Monitoring	. 77
Chapter 6.Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Program	. 78
Article 1.Definitions	. 78
§ 5350. Definitions	. 78
Article 2.Applications	. 79
§ 5360. Application Process	. 79
§ 5361. Allocations to CIDFAC. [Repealed]	. 79
§ 5362. Transfer of Allocation by CIDFAC. [Repealed]	. 79
§ 5363. Reporting Requirements. [Repealed]	. 79
Article 3.Evaluation Criteria	. 79
§ 5369. Minimum Requirements	. 79
§ 5370. Evaluation Criteria.	. 79
§ 5371. Enterprise/Empowerment Zone Facility Bond Projects	. 84
§ 5372. Permits. [Repealed]	. 84
Article 4.Small Business Program	. 84
§ 5380. Allocations to CIDFAC. [Repealed]	. 84
§ 5381. Minimum Requirements. [Repealed]	. 84
§ 5382. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]	. 84
§ 5383. Transfer of Allocation by CIDFAC. [Repealed]	. 85
§ 5384. Reporting Requirements. [Repealed]	. 85
Chapter 7.Exempt Facility Bond Program	. 86
Article 1.Definitions	. 86
§ 5400. Definitions	. 86



Article 2.Applications	86
§ 5410. Application Process	86
§ 5411. Allocations to CPCFA.	86
Article 3.Eligibility Requirements	87
§ 5420. Justification of Tax-Exempt Funds	87
§ 5421. CEQA Requirements	87
§ 5422. Permits	87
§ 5423. Review of New Technologies	87
Article 4.Evaluation Criteria	88
§ 5430. Environmental Goals	88
§ 5431. Disposal of Solid Waste	88
§ 5432. Non-Solid Waste Projects	88
§ 5433. Use of Taxable Debt	89
§ 5434. Local Support	89
§ 5435. Conversion of Taxable Debt	89
Article 5.Allocation Procedure	89
§ 5440. Ranking	89
Chapter 8.Student Loan Programs	91
Article 1.Definitions	91
§ 5450. Definitions	91
Article 2.Eligibility Requirements	91
§ 5460. Application Process	91
§ 5461. Minimum Requirements	91
Article 3.Evaluation Criteria	92
§ 5470. Evaluation and Ranking	92
Chapter 9.Recovery Zone Economic Development Bond (RZEDB) Program [Repealed]	94
Article 1.The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) [Repealed]	94
§ 5480. U.S. Treasury Designated Recovery Zone Bond Allocations. [Repealed]	94
Article 2.Application Process for the Recovery Zone Economic Development Bond Reallocation Pool [Repe	aled]94
§ 5490. Application Process. [Repealed]	94
§ 5491. Minimum Threshold Requirements. [Repealed]	94
§ 5492. Minimum Application Requirements. [Repealed]	94



§ 5493. Reallocation Priority System. [Repealed]	. 94
§ 5494. Undersubscribed Allocation. [Repealed]	. 94
Article 3.Specific Evaluation Criteria [Repealed]	. 95
§ 5500. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]	. 95
Article 4.Reporting Requirements [Repealed]	. 95
§ 5510. Reports and Timeframes. [Repealed]	. 95
Chapter 10.Recovery Zone Facility Bond (RZFB) Program [Repealed]	. 96
Article 1.The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) [Repealed]	. 96
§ 5520. U.S. Treasury Designated Recovery Zone Bond Allocations. [Repealed]	. 96
Article 2.Application Process for the Recovery Zone Facility Bond Reallocation Pool [Repealed]	96
§ 5530. Application Process. [Repealed]	. 96
§ 5531. Minimum Threshold Requirements in Addition to the Minimum Application Requirements in Section 5033. [Repealed]	. 96
§ 5532. Minimum Application Requirements. [Repealed]	. 96
§ 5533. Reallocation Priority System. [Repealed]	. 96
§ 5534. Undersubscribed Allocation. [Repealed]	. 96
Article 3.Specific Evaluation Criteria [Repealed]	. 97
§ 5540. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]	. 97
Article 4.Reporting Requirements [Repealed]	. 97
§ 5550. Reports and Timeframes. [Repealed]	. 97
Chapter 11.Qualified Energy Conservation Bond Program [Repealed]	. 98
Article 1.Definitions [Repealed]	. 98
§ 5560. Definitions. [Repealed]	. 98
Article 2.Eligibility Requirements [Repealed]	. 98
§ 5570. Application Process. [Repealed]	. 98
§ 5571. Minimum Requirements. [Repealed]	. 98
§ 5572. Reallocation of Waived Allocation. [Repealed]	. 98
§ 5572. Reallocation of Waived Allocation. [Repealed]	. 98
Article 3.Evaluation Criteria [Repealed]	. 98
§ 5580. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]	. 98
Article 4.Reporting Requirements [Repealed]	. 99
§ 5590. Reports and Timeframes. [Repealed]	. 99



Chapter 12.Beginning Farmer Program
Article 1.Definitions
§ 5600. Definitions
Article 2.Evaluation Criteria
§ 5610. Minimum Requirements
§ 5620. Scoring Criteria
§ 5630. Allocation Distribution
Article 3.Reporting Requirements
§ 5640. Specific Reports
Chapter 13.Qualified Public Educational Facility Bond (Qpefb) Program [Repealed]
Article 1.Definitions [Repealed] 104
§ 5700. Definitions. [Repealed]
Article 2.Applications [Repealed]
§ 5710. Application Process. [Repealed] 104
§ 5711. Allocations. [Repealed] 104
Article 3.Eligibility [Repealed]
§ 5720. Project Readiness. [Repealed] 104
§ 5721. Permits. [Repealed]
§ 5722. Eligibility Requirement. [Repealed]
Article 4.Reporting and Regulatory Requirements [Repealed]
§ 5730. Specific Reports. [Repealed] 105
§ 5731. Regulatory Compliance. [Repealed] 105



# 4 CCR Sections 5000 et seq.

# **Chapter 1. General Provisions**

Article 1. Definitions

#### § 5000. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this division are defined as follows:

"Accredited Investor", also known as a "Sophisticated Investor", means an entity as defined by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission under Rule 501, regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933.

"Allocation" means the portion of the State Ceiling awarded by the Committee to an Applicant.

"Allocation Round" means a meeting or series of meetings of the Committee during which a predetermined portion of the State Ceiling is made available for allocation by the Committee to one or more Applicants selected by the Committee during that meeting or series of meetings.

"Annual Applicant Public Benefits and Ongoing Compliance Self-Certification (Revised 9/20/17)", hereby incorporated by reference, means the document in the online compliance certification system to be completed by the Issuer in which the Issuer certifies that the Project is in compliance with all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Committee Resolution.

"Applicant" means the following entities submitting an Application to the Committee:

• a state or local governmental agency; or

• a joint powers authority (JPA) applying for bond allocation for a project, except for projects described in Government Code Section 6586.5(c), that is or will be located entirely within the geographical boundaries of one or more of the JPA's members; or

- a special district; or
- a nonprofit public benefit corporation that issues only student loan bonds; or

• any other public agency that is empowered to issue debt. "Application" means the request by an Applicant to the Committee for an Allocation of the State Ceiling which shall include the information specified in article 4 of this chapter.

"Bond" means either a Qualified Private Activity Bond or a Governmental Bond as defined in this section.

"Bond Default" means a material default as defined within an Issuer's Bond documents, but does not include for the purposes of this definition, defaults that are technical in nature such as a failure to maintain covenants, failing to charge rates sufficient to meet rate covenants, failing to maintain insurance on the Project, or failing to fund various reserves.

"Bond Issuance and Post Issuance Compliance Policies" means policies established by an Applicant to guide the process of issuing private activity bonds and ensuring post-issuance compliance including but not limited to a



description of the fee structure, application and approval process (including TEFRA), threshold eligibility criteria for applicants and projects, long term regulatory requirements (if any), and monitoring practices.

"Bond Regulatory Agreement" means the agreement between the Issuer, Project Sponsor, and any third party related to the ownership, financing, and management of a proposed Qualified Residential Rental Project that binds the parties to the commitments made in the Application that resulted in the Allocation for the Project and any other requirements mandated by 26 U.S.C. section 142.

"CIEDB" means the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank.

"Cash Flow Permanent Bond" means a bond where the identified payment source is based on cash flow availability in the form of residual payments and that are issued for the purposes of providing permanent financing that (i) does not meet CDLAC's Debt Service Coverage Ratio requirement in Section 5193 and that, (ii) together with all other Bonds not meeting CDLAC's Debt Service Coverage Ratio requirements in Section 5193 (if any), exceed 5% of the total project cost.

"Census Designated Place" means a place designated as a census designated place by the Bureau of the Census.

"Certificate of Completion for Non-Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 06-01-2017), hereby incorporated by reference, submitted by the Project Sponsor of a Non-Qualified Residential Rental Project, certifies that all work on the Project was substantially completed, along with the aggregate amount disbursed on the loan for qualified project costs. In addition, the officer's signature indicates that no more than 2% of the proceeds of the bonds issued were spent on the cost of the bond issuance.

"Certificate of Completion for Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 06-01-2017), hereby incorporated by reference, submitted by the Project Sponsor of a Qualified Residential Rental Project, and certifies that all work on the Project was substantially completed, along with the aggregate amount disbursed on the loan for qualified project costs. In addition, the officer's signature indicates that no more than 2% of the proceeds of the bonds issued were spent on the cost of the bond issuance.

"Certification of Compliance I (Revised 11-16-16)", hereby incorporated by reference, means the document provided in the Committee Resolution to be completed by the Project Sponsor in which the Project Sponsor certifies that the Project is in compliance with all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Committee Resolution.

"Certification of Compliance II for Non-Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 9/20/17), hereby incorporated by reference, is a form for Applicant/Issuers awarded allocation in 2017 forward. Applicant/Issuers retain the Certification form for a period of three years in place of the Certification of Compliance I (11-16-16) to ensure that the Project Sponsor is reporting all relevant compliance and possible changes to the Project or program to the Applicant.

"Certification of Compliance II for Qualified Residential Rental Projects" (Revised 06-01-2017), hereby incorporated by reference, is a form for Applicant/Issuers awarded allocation in 2017 forward. Applicant/Issuers retain the Certification form for a period of three years in place of the Certification of Compliance I (11-16-16) to ensure that the Project Sponsor is reporting all relevant compliance and possible changes to the Project or program to the Applicant.

"Committee" means the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee established by California Government Code sections 8869.80 et seq.

"Committee Resolution" means for any Allocation, the resolution duly adopted by the Committee that, among other things, memorializes the grant of the Allocation by the Committee to the Applicant.

"Competitive Application Process" means the procedure under which the Committee shall evaluate an Application for an award of Allocation that is competitive based upon the number of points each Application is awarded.



Applications submitted under this process shall be awarded points only when the Project qualifies for those points and evidence supporting an award of points is documented in the Application when submitted.

"Credit Enhancement" means the additional assurance provided by a third party pursuant to a payment guaranty, letter of credit, bond insurance or other similar vehicle with a marketable investment grade credit rating.

"Credit Enhancer" means the party providing Credit Enhancement.

"CSFA" means the California School Finance Authority.

"CTCAC" means the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee.

"Distressed Community" means a community that the Applicant demonstrates to be any one or more of the following:

• A community with an unemployment rate equal to or greater than 125% of the statewide average based on the California Employment Development Department's most recent annual average for sub-county areas.

• A community with median family income of less than 80% of the statewide average based on the most recent census data available for cities or Census Designated Places. If no city or Census Designated Place level data is available, or if the Applicant chooses to identify a project benefit area that is smaller than a city or Census Designated Place, such as census tract or tracts, smaller areas will be used.

• A community with a poverty rate equal to or greater than 110% of the statewide average based on the most recent census data available for cities or Census Designated Places. If no city or Census Designated Place level data is available, or if the Applicant chooses to identify a project benefit area that is smaller than a city or Census Designated Place such as a census tract or tracts, smaller geographic areas will be used.

• A community or county affected by a state of emergency within California and declared a disaster by the President of the United States, the Administrator of the United States Small Business Administration, or the United States Secretary of Agriculture, or declared to be in a State of Emergency by the Governor of the State of California.

"Draw-down Bond Issuance" means a draw-down loan as defined for purposes of 26 U.S.C. sections 103 and 141 through 150 (generally, a Bond issue in which Bonds are delivered to the Bond purchaser intermittently as funds are needed by the Bond Issuer and the Bond Issuer only provides payments based on the amount of Bonds drawn-down).

"Executive Director" means the Executive Director of the Committee.

"Exempt Facility Project" means a Project financed with an exempt facility bond satisfying the requirements of 26 U.S.C. section 142, except that airports, docks and wharves, governmentally owned solid waste disposal facilities, and Qualified Residential Rental Projects shall not be considered exempt facilities for purposes of these regulations.

"Exempt Facility Project Pool" means the reserve of the State Ceiling established by the Committee for Exempt Facility Projects.

"Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program" means a program offering Mortgage Credit Certificates or loans funded by Mortgage Revenue Bonds to eligible teachers, eligible administrators, eligible classified employees, and eligible staff members for the purpose of assisting them in becoming homeowners.

"Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool" means the reserve of the State Ceiling established by the Committee for the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program.



"Federally Bond-Restricted Units" are Project units that are restricted pursuant to 26 U.S.C. Section 142 (d)(1)(A) or (B).

"General Project Pool" means a reserve within the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool that may be established by the Committee that does not include either Rural Projects or Mixed Income Projects.

"Governmental Bond" means a Bond issued by or on behalf of a governmental entity that is not considered a Qualified Private Activity Bond.

"Investor Representation Letter" means a letter from initial investors of a Bond offering that includes but is not limited to a certification that they reasonably meet the standards of a Sophisticated Investor or Qualified Institutional Buyer, that they are purchasing Bonds for their own account, that they have the sophistication to evaluate the merits and risks of the investment and suffer a loss of the investment, that they have been furnished all the information which they and their advisers requested on the offering and have had an opportunity to ask questions relating to that information, and other such matters.

"Issuer" means an entity empowered to issue Bonds.

"Job Creation" means new permanent full-time jobs created by the Project Sponsor. The number of jobs created shall be calculated after deducting any jobs within the State that are eliminated by the company. Job Creation must be met within two (2) years following the completion of the Project. The Job Creation requirement may be monitored by CIEDB utilizing California Employment Development Department employment statistics.

"Job Wage" means the average hourly general manufacturing wage for the Metropolitan Statistical Area in which a Project is located, based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics Series Code from the California Employment Development Department. If a Project is not located in an area for which the Employment Development Department keeps hourly wage data or not located in a defined Metropolitan Statistical Area, the closest comparable area in which hourly wage is available may be used.

"LEED Certified" means Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design certification by the U.S. Green Building Council.

"Local Issuer" means a local government entity that issues Mortgage Revenue Bonds or Mortgage Credit Certificates for Single Family Housing Programs or small-issue industrial development Bonds or a joint powers authority that issues small-issue industrial development Bonds on behalf of a local government entity.

"Market Study" means a comprehensive document prepared by a third party which contains information related to the Project's market area.

"Metropolitan Statistical Area" means the geographic entity defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

"Mixed Income Project" means a Qualified Residential Rental Project that is a New Construction project and either (1) is not utilizing the Average Income test of Internal Revenue Code Section 42 (g)(1)(C) and has 50% or fewer of its total units designated as Restricted Rental Units, or (2) is part of the California Housing Finance Agency Mixed-Income Program. In a Competitive Application Process, a Mixed Income Project may only apply for an allocation of tax-exempt bonds if the ratio of tax-exempt bonds, not including recycled bonds, to aggregate depreciable basis plus land basis is less than or equal to the ratio of units that will be restricted pursuant to a CTCAC regulatory agreement.

"Mortgage Credit Certificate" means a mortgage credit certificate as defined by 26 U.S.C. section 25(c)(1).

"Mortgage Revenue Bond" means a bond defined by 26 U.S.C. section 143(a).



"Mortgage Revenue Bond Program" means a program defined by 26 U.S.C. section 143(a).

"Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization" means credit rating agencies that satisfy the requirements of 15 U.S.C. section 78(c)(62).

"Open Application Process" means the procedure under which the Committee will evaluate an Application for an award of Allocation that is not competitive. The Committee will not review an incomplete Application except to determine whether the Application is incomplete and notify the Applicant of the deficiency.

"Performance Deposit Certification" means the form titled "Performance Deposit Certification Form for an Application for an Allocation of Qualified Private Activity Bonds" (revised 1-18-12), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Placement Agent or Underwriter Statement" means the statement provided by the firm contracted to market the Bonds proposed in the Application that includes a brief paragraph on the firm's history and principals, a summary of the firm's non-binding initial underwriting review, an overview of proposed issuance structure including anticipated debt service coverage ratio, and a statement certifying that the proposed transaction has been initially underwritten and meets the firm's standards for participation.

"Project" means the subject property for which an Application for Allocation has been submitted.

"Project Sponsor" means the entity, or CDLAC authorized affiliate thereof, using the proceeds of a Bond issue to complete the Project described in the Application.

"Project Wage" means the average hourly wage of the jobs created by a Project.

"Public Transit Corridor" means an existing or planned public mass transit guide way or bus way station, or multimodal transportation terminal serving public mass transit operations within one-third mile of the Project.

"Qualified Institutional Buyer (QIB)" means an entity defined by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission in Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933.

"Qualified Private Activity Bond" means a Bond that satisfies the requirements of 26 U.S.C. sections 141 et seq.

"Qualified Recovery Zone Bond Issuer" means eligible Issuers of Recovery Zone Bonds including states, political subdivisions as defined for purposes of U.S. Treasury Regulations, Section 103, and entities empowered to issue Bonds on behalf of any such entity under rules similar to those used to determine whether a Bond issued on behalf of a state or political subdivision constitutes an obligation of the state or political subdivision for purposes of U.S. Treasury Regulations, Section 103 and subchapter A, 1.103-1(b), or eligible Issuers in conduit financing issues as defined in U.S. Treasury Regulations, subchapter A, 1.150-1(b). An eligible Issuer may issue Recovery Zone Bonds based on a volume cap allocation received by the eligible Issuer itself or by a conduit borrower or other ultimate beneficiary of the issue of the Bonds.

"Qualified Residential Rental Project (QRRP)" means a qualified residential rental project as defined by 26 U.S.C. section 142(d)(1).

"Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool" means the reserve of the State Ceiling established by the Committee for Qualified Residential Rental Projects.

"Qualifying Bond Default" means a Bond Default in which the final disposition resulted in bondholders involuntarily not being paid in whole or in part.

"Recovery Zone" means an area designated by the local issuing entity defined pursuant to 26 U.S.C. section 1400U-1(b) as meeting one of the following criteria:



- · Significant poverty, unemployment, rate of home foreclosures or general distress
- · Economically distressed because of military base closure or realignment
- An area which has been designation as an empowerment zone or a renewal community

"Recovery Zone Bond (RZB)" means a Bond issued as a Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds or a Recovery Zone Facility Bonds.

"Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds (RZEDB)" means a type of Build America Bond issued before January 1, 2011 in which the Issuer shall receive a credit from the Treasury Department equal to 45% of the interest payment.

"Recovery Zone Economic Development Bond (RZEDB) Reallocation Pool" means the reserve of the amount Deemed Waived by the Committee for reallocation of Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds.

"Recovery Zone Facility Bonds (RZFB)" means a category of Bonds created by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) that will be treated as Exempt Facility Bond Project as defined per 26 U.S.C. section 142.

"Recovery Zone Facility Bonds (RZFB) Reallocation Pool" means the reserve of the amount Deemed Waived by the Committee for reallocation of Recovery Zone Facility Bonds.

"Regulatory Period and/or Compliance Period" means for projects awarded allocation after December 31, 2016 a period of time enumerated in the CDLAC resolution whereby Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-going Compliance Self Certification is required to be submitted. For QRRP projects the period of time will be consistent with Section 5192, for IDBs the longer of project completion or 2 years after the project completion if a job creation election is made, and for all other programs when the project is completed or allocation has been utilized.

"Related Party" means the more stringent of the constructive ownership provisions of 26 U.S.C. section 267 or the following:

• The brothers, sisters, spouse, ancestors, and direct descendants of a person;

• A person and corporation where that person owns more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of that corporation;

• Two or more corporations, general partnership(s), limited partnership(s) or limited liability corporations connected through debt or equity ownership, in which stock is held by the same persons or entities for:

- At least 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes that can vote, or;
- At least 50% of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of each of the corporations, or;
- At least 50% of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of at least one of the other corporations, excluding, in computing that voting power or value, stock owned directly by that other corporation.

• There exists concurrent ownership by a parent or related entity, regardless of the percentage of ownership, or a separate entity from which income is derived;

• There exists concurrent ownership by a parent or related entity, regardless of the percentage of ownership, or a separate entity where a sale-leaseback transaction provides the parent or related entity with income



from the property leased or that creates an undue influence on the separate entity as a result of the saleleaseback transaction;

• There exists concurrent ownership by a parent or related entity, regardless of the percentage of ownership, of a separate entity where an interlocking directorate exists between the parent or related entity and the separate entity.

- A grantor and fiduciary of any trust;
- A fiduciary of one trust and a fiduciary of another trust, if the same person is a grantor of both trusts;
- A fiduciary of a trust and a beneficiary of that trust;

• A fiduciary of a trust and a corporation where more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock is owned by or for the trust or by or for a person who is a grantor of the trust;

• A person or organization and an organization that is tax-exempt under 26 U.S.C. section 501(c)(3) or (4) and that is affiliated with or controlled by that person or the person's family members, as provided in the first bullet of this section, or by that organization;

• A corporation and a partnership or joint venture if the same persons own more than:

- 50% in value of the outstanding stock of the corporation; and
- 50% of the capital interest, or the profits' interest, in the partnership or joint venture;

• One S corporation or limited liability corporation and another S corporation or limited liability corporation if the same persons own more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of each corporation;

• An S corporation or limited liability corporation and a C corporation, if the same persons own more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of each corporation;

• A partnership and a person or organization owning more than 50% of the capital interest, or the profits' interest, in that partnership; or

• Two partnerships where the same person or organization owns more than 50% of the capital interests or profits' interests.

"Report of Action Taken" means a report provided by and due to the Committee not more than <u>fifteenthree (153)</u> business days following the use of Allocation to issue Bonds or Mortgage Credit Certificates.

"Report of Action Taken for Bonds" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use of Allocation for Qualified Private Activity Bonds (excluding RZBs) titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding the Issuance of Private Activity Bonds" (revised 11-16-16), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Report of Action Taken for MCCs" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use of Allocation to issue Mortgage Credit Certificates Bonds titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding Mortgage Credit Certificate Program" (revised 1-11-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Report of Action Taken for MCCs (Carryforward)" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use and/or Carryforward of Allocation to issue Mortgage Credit Certificates titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding a Carryforward Election and a Mortgage Credit Certificate Program" (revised 11-11-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.



"Report of Action Taken for RZBs" means the specific Report of Action Taken due to the Committee following the use of Allocation for RZBs titled "Report of Action Taken Regarding the Issuance of Recovery Zone Bonds." (revised 11-30-18), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Restricted Rental Units" means tenant occupied units within a Qualified Residential Rental Project that are restricted to households earning 60% or less of the applicable median family income pursuant to a Bond Regulatory Agreement.

"Rural Project" means a Qualified Residential Rental Project that is a New Construction Project located in a rural area as defined by Health and Safety Code section 50199.21 but shall not include a Mixed Income Project.

"Rural Project Pool" means a reserve within the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool that may be established by the Committee.

"RZEDB Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Award of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds." (revised 5-5-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"RZFB Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Award of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Recovery Zone Facility Development Bonds." (revised 5-5-11), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Single Family Housing Program" means a program satisfying the requirements of 26 U.S.C. section 25 and 26 U.S.C. section 143.

"Single Family Housing Program Bonus Pool" means a reserve within the Single Family Housing Program Pool that may be established by the Committee.

"Single Family Housing Program Pool" means the reserve of the State Ceiling established by the Committee for Single Family Housing Programs.

"Single Family Housing State Issuer" means any state agency that issues Mortgage Revenue Bonds or Mortgage Credit Certificates for Single Family Housing Programs.

"Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Project" means a Project that meets the requirements for a qualified small-issue Bond as described under 26 U.S.C. section 144.

"Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Project Pool" means the reservation of the State Ceiling reserved for Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Projects.

"Sophisticated Investor (SI)", see Accredited Investor definition.

"Standard Permanent Bonds" means Bonds issued for the purposes of providing permanent Project financing which (i) meet CDLAC's Debt Service Coverage Ratio requirement in Section 5193 or (ii) are not Cash Flow Permanent Bonds.

"State Ceiling" means the amount of Qualified Private Activity Bonds that can be issued in California for each calendar year specified by 26 U.S.C. section 146(d), and the amount reserved to California pursuant to sections 1112 and 1401 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 as established by and announced by the Committee in accordance with article 2 of this chapter.

"State Ceiling Pools" means the individual pools created by the Committee and as defined in this chapter. "Student Loan Program" means a program that meets the requirements for a qualified student loan Bond under 26 U.S.C. section 144(b).



"Student Loan Program Pool" means the reserve of the State Ceiling established by the Committee for Student Loan Programs.

"Supplemental Allocation Pool" means the reserve of the State Ceiling established by the Committee for supplemental allocation on Qualified Residential Rental Projects.

"Taxable Debt" means conventional financing from a major financial institution or taxable Bonds issued by a municipality including but not limited to Build America Bonds or Recovery Zone Bonds.

"TEFRA Resolution (Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982)" means an approval by the applicable elected representative of the governmental unit having jurisdiction over the proposed Project, as required by 26 U.S.C. section 147(f), that is documented and includes a certification executed by the applicable elected representative or their designee.

"Travelling Investor Representation Letter" means the certification from initial investor(s) of a Bond offering that they have no present intention of reoffering the Bonds in a subsequent public offering, but may be allow to subsequently transfer the Bonds in a limited offering to another permitted transferee provided the transferee agrees to the same representations.

"U.S. Treasury Designated Recovery Zone Bond Allocation" means Allocation received directly from the federal government pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

"Undesignated Reserve Pool" (Pool) means a reserve of the State Ceiling established by the Committee for which there is no demand at the time the Pool is established.

"Verification of Zoning and Local Approvals" means the document by which the appropriate local government planning official having jurisdiction over the Qualified Residential Rental Project certifies at least the following: the Project's name, address, parcel number, housing type, the Project's compliance with all applicable local land use and zoning ordinances, a description of the Project's current zoning, maximum per unit density allowed for the Project's site, and whether the Project has obtained all local and state land use related approvals.

"Veterans Home Loan Program" means a single family housing program administered by the California Department of Veterans Affairs, satisfying the requirements of 26 U.S.C. section 143, and that is restricted to California veterans of military service.

"Welfare-to-Work Plan" means a plan as described by sections 10531, et seq. of the California Welfare and Institutions Code.

"WELL" means a Building Standard, which is a performance-based system for measuring, certifying and monitoring features of the built environment that impacts human health and wellbeing through air, water, nourishment, light, fitness, comfort and mind. WELL is managed and administered by the International WELL Building Institute.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### Article 2. State Ceiling and Application Process

#### § 5010. Determination of State Ceiling, Competitiveness, and Minimum Points.

(a) As soon as practicable after the beginning of each calendar year, and before any Applications are considered, the Committee shall determine and announce the State Ceiling and the portion of the State Ceiling that will be available for each of the State Ceiling Pools as set forth in article 3 of this chapter.



(b) Pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, the Committee shall determine and announce the establishment of either an Open Application Process or a Competitive Application Process, or both, for each State Ceiling Pool. The Committee shall determine which process is best for each program pool based on factors including, but not limited to, the amount of the State Ceiling available to the pool and the history of Applications for allocations from each pool.

(c) Pursuant to subdivision (a) and (b) of this section, the Committee shall establish a minimum point threshold for the New Construction, Rural, Preservation, Other Rehabilitation and BIPOC Pools as determined in section 5020.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84, Government Code.

#### Article 3. State Ceiling Pools

# § 5020. Determination of State Ceiling Pools.

As soon as practicable after the beginning of each calendar year, and before any Applications are considered, the Committee shall:

(a) Determine and announce what amount, expressed both as a percentage and as a dollar amount of the State Ceiling, shall be available for Allocation during the year and in each Allocation Round to Qualified Residential Rental Projects from the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool.

(1) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, determine and announce whether a portion of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a New Construction Pool to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects that are not Rural Projects, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(1)(A) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Homeless <u>Set-Aside Set Aside</u> to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects in which at least 25% of the tax credit units are designated for homeless households as defined in Section 10315(b)(1)-(4) of the CTCAC regulations at affordable rents consistent with Section 10325(g)(3) of the CTCAC regulations, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(B) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in an Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects that have for Allocation Rounds in 2021, an average AMI of 50% or below or and have received either of the following, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round:

(i) an award of funding from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). For purposes of this Set Aside, an award of funding from HCD shall include awards made directly by the department pursuant to the Multifamily Housing Program, the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program, the Transit Oriented Development Program, the Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program, the No Place Like Home Program, Housing for a Healthy California and the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention Program. The income restrictions shall be at least as restrictive



as those for which the applicant received an award from HCD. Awards made directly by the department do not include Alternative County Process awards.

(ii) an award of public funds, as defined in Section 10325(c)(9)(A)(i) of the CTCAC regulations, equivalent to 15% or more of the Project's total development cost, provided that the project meets the following criteria, as applicable:

(aa) If the project receives points as a Large Family project pursuant to Section 5230(g) and is located in a High Segregation and Poverty Area as specified on CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, the project shall have income restrictions with a range of at least 30% AMI between the highest and lowest 10% of income-restricted units that meet the requirements of Section 5230(j)(1)(C).

(bb) If the project receives points as a Large Family project pursuant to Section 5230(g) and is located in a High or Highest Resource Area as specified on CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, the project shall have income restrictions that meet the requirements of Section 5230(j)(1)(A).

(cc) If the project does not receive points as a Large Family project pursuant to Section 5230(g) or is located in a Moderate, or Low Resource Area as specified on CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, the project receives maximum points for exceeding minimum income restrictions pursuant to Section 5230(d).

(C) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subdivision, determine and announce whether a portion of the New Construction Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Mixed Income <u>Set-AsideSet Aside</u> to be available for allocation to New Construction Projects that are Mixed Income Projects, and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(2) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, determine and announce whether a portion of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage (not to exceed ten percent (10%)) of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Rural Project Pool to be available for allocation to Rural Projects and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(3) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, determine and announce whether a portion of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Preservation Pool to be available for allocation to Preservation Projects and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(4) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, determine and announce whether a portion of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in an Other Rehabilitation Pool to be available for allocation to Other Rehabilitation Projects and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.

(5) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, determine and announce whether a portion of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a BIPOC Pool to be available for allocation to BIPOC Projects and determine what amount, if any, shall be available in each Allocation Round.



(6) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, determine and announce whether a portion of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool, expressed as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be reserved in a Supplemental Allocation Pool to be available for allocation to Supplemental Allocation requests and determine what amount, if any, shall be available that year.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82 and 8869.84, Government Code.

# § 5021. Rescheduling of Calendar.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this article, the Committee may, at any time, alter the competitiveness of Allocation Rounds, the number of Allocation Rounds, the portion of the State Ceiling that will be available to each type of State Ceiling Pool, or any Program within a Pool in each of the Allocation Rounds, the schedule of the Allocation Rounds and the deadlines for Applicants to submit Applications for consideration based on its finding, at a noticed meeting, that the changes are in the public interest and reasonably necessary to further the purposes for which the Committee was created.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84, Government Code.

# § 5022. Geographic Apportionments.

For the purpose of allocating bonds available under the QRRP New Construction Pool, annual apportionments of bonds shall be made in approximately the amounts shown below: **Geographic Region**Apportionment

Geographic Region	Apportionment
Coastal Region (Monterey, Napa, Orange, San Benito, San Diego, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Sonoma, and Ventura Counties)	21%
City of Los Angeles	17%
Balance of Los Angeles County	16%
Bay Area Region (Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Santa Cruz Counties)	21%
Inland Region (Fresno, Imperial, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Riverside, San Bernardino, Stanislaus, and Tulare Counties)	16%
Northern Region (Butte, El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Solano, Sutter, Yuba, and Yolo Counties)	9%
Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections	8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and



## Article 4. Application Schedule and Procedures

## § 5030. Announcement of Application Deadlines.

Pursuant to article 2 of this chapter, the Committee shall as soon as practical, after the start of the calendar year, give notice of the dates and deadlines to submit Applications for each Allocation Round and whether the Applications will be evaluated pursuant to an Open Application Process or a Competitive Application Process.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84, Government Code.

#### § 5031. Eligible Applicants.

(a) The following types of entities may file an Application: Any state or local governmental agency, joint powers authority (JPA) applying for bond allocation for a Project that will be located entirely within the geographical boundaries of one or more of the JPA members (except for a Project described in Government Code section 6586.5(c)), special district, nonprofit public benefit corporation that issues only student loan Bonds, or any other public agency that is empowered to issue debt. The Issuer of the Qualified Private Activity Bonds or Mortgage Credit Certificates must be the Applicant.

(b) Where the Applicant is administering a Single-Family Housing Program on behalf of one or more jurisdictions, the Applicant must submit the Application to the Committee. The Applicant must also obtain, and provide to the Committee with its Application, a publicly adopted resolution or cooperative agreement from each jurisdiction participating in the Applicant's program that explicitly grant authority to the Applicant to conduct the program in the participant's jurisdiction.

(c) To be eligible to receive CDLAC allocation, all Applicants must submit written Bond Issuance and Post Issuance Compliance Policies for each State Ceiling Pool they request. For QRRP Applicants, these policies must be reviewed by counsel having expertise with the federal and state laws pertaining to the issuance or conversion and post-closing compliance of private activity conduit bonds for consistency with applicable federal and state laws. Such review will be documented via a letter from the respective counsel indicating the review has occurred. Additionally, for all Applicants, the policies must be accompanied by a resolution signed by the appropriate governing body formally adopting the policies. If the governing body has delegated approval authority on such matters to the organization's Executive Director, Housing Director or Finance Director, approval by the delegated individuals will suffice. To the extent contractors will be providing services on behalf of an Applicant, the policies should clarify the relationship between the contractor and the Applicant and what, if any, rights the contractor has to income and obligations generated from the issuance activity. CDLAC will review these policies to ensure the legal review has occurred, appropriate approval documentation is in place and for consistency with the CDLAC regulations. CDLAC will document their formal approval. This requirement will apply immediately to all Applicants who have not received allocation from CDLAC since January of 2013 and for new Applicants. Applicants having received an allocation of bonds from CDLAC after January 2013 will have until December 31st of 2017 to complete and submit policies to CDLAC. If an Applicant has not utilized CDLAC's programs but has a 2017 project pending, a one year waiver to this regulation may be requested. To fulfill this requirement, approval of the policies must be documented in a resolution dated no earlier than 2006. All policies must be reviewed and re-approved at least every 10 years thereafter.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(f), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a), 8869.85(b), 8869.88 and 8869.89, Government Code.



# § 5032. Applicant Responsibilities.

(a) Applications for an Allocation of the State Ceiling shall include the information prescribed by the Committee specific to the State Ceiling Pool or program to which the Application is addressed. All questions set forth in the applicable Application must be answered completely and accurately. Each Application must be accompanied by the required documentation prescribed therein.

(b) Every Applicant shall certify to the Committee that it is in compliance with all applicable statutes, laws, rules, and regulations necessary for the transaction of its business.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a), 8869.85(b) and 8869.87, Government Code.

#### § 5033. Minimum Application Requirements.

(a) Applications for an Allocation of the State Ceiling may be submitted to the Committee at its offices in Sacramento, California. An Applicant must submit all required information appropriate to the type of Bond for which the Applicant requests an Allocation. The Applicant shall submit a complete Application and supplemental material for each project or program for which the Applicant is requesting an Allocation. Only complete Applications bearing the original signatures of an officer of the Applicant or designee and the Project Sponsor, if applicable, will be accepted.

(b) Unless specifically exempted, the following items must accompany all Applications:

(1) Performance Deposit Certification and evidence of the performance deposit as provided in section 5050(a), except that for Qualified Residential Rental Projects, an Applicant shall provide the certification and evidence within 20 calendar days following an award of an Allocation.

(2) A non-refundable first installment of the filing fee of \$1,200 made payable to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee as provided in section 5054(a).

(3) Proof of the bond sale structure requirements pursuant to article 6 of this chapter, if applicable, (for all Applications other than Applications relating to a Mortgage Credit Certificate Program pursuant to chapter 3.

(4) An inducement or reimbursement resolution adopted by the governing body of the Applicant approving the project or program to be Bond financed and authorizing a senior officer, or in the case of a Student Loan Program, an officer of the sponsor of the Student Loan Program, to file the Application with the Committee, pay any fees required by the Committee, and certify the posting of the required performance deposit, unless excepted herein.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e), 8869.85(a), 8869.85(b) and 8869.90, Government Code.

#### § 5034. Ranking.

Applications submitted under a Competitive Application Process will be ranked according to the number of points awarded by the Committee pursuant to the evaluation criteria specific to the State Ceiling Pool or program to which the Application is addressed.



NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(d) and 8869.84(e), Government Code.

# § 5035. Preliminary Recommendations.

(a) At least twenty-five (25) calendar days prior to any meeting at which the Committee will is to award Allocations, the Executive Director shall publish a preliminary list of Applicants for which the Executive Director expects to recommend an Allocation (and the amount of those Allocations). During competitive rounds, the following procedures shall be followed for the Qualified Residential Rental Program:

(1) Within ten (10) calendar days after the application due date, a list of applicants, project names, project locations, selected pools and <u>set-asidesset asides</u>, geographic regions, and requested Allocations and all reported self-scoring totals and <u>tie-breaker tiebreaker</u> scores shall be published on the Committee's website as provided in section 5140.

(2) Projects will be evaluated and ranked based on information submitted in the application.—Only applications that are substantially complete and self-score high enough to receive an Allocation shall be reviewed. Prior to publishing the preliminary recommendation list on the Committee's website, Applicants and developers/sponsors shall be notified of their preliminary score and the reasons for any modifications from the Applicant's Self-Scoring Worksheet. That notice, or a subsequent notice, may also contain completeness and/or feasibility defects determined during the application. Notices shall only be sent for projects that self-score high enough to receive an Allocation. Applicants shall have five (5) calendar days to appeal such notice. Appeals shall be addressed to the Executive Director in writing. The Executive Director shall have ten (10) calendar days to issue a final determination. If an Applicant is unsatisfied with the final determination, the Applicant may appeal to the Committee.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# § 5036. Appeals to Preliminary Recommendations.

Any Applicant who wishes to appeal the published preliminary recommendation or ranking as prescribed in section 5035 may file an appeal within five (5) business days of the date on which the preliminary list is posted. The appeal shall set forth in reasonable detail the factual basis for the appeal. New or additional information beyond that provided in the original Application shall not be provided or considered in connection with the appeal. All appeals shall be made in writing and delivered to the Executive Director. The Executive Director shall present the appeal to the Committee at the meeting for which Allocations are awarded, prior to the Allocation approval process. An Applicant may only appeal the recommendation or ranking of its own Application(s).

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5037. Final Recommendations.

(a) At least ten (10) calendar days before the Committee meeting for which Allocations will be awarded, the final list of Applicants for which Allocations will be recommended (and the amounts of those Allocations) will be posted. During competitive rounds, the list will be in ranked order. This list will reflect changes, if any, in ranking resulting



from the appeals as provided in section 5035. The list shall be posted on the Committee's website as provided in section 5140.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# § 5038. Appeals to Final Recommendations.

Any Applicant who timely appealed the preliminary determination and is dissatisfied with the final recommendation in connection with the Application or received no preliminary recommendation, may present its case to the Committee at the Allocation meeting at which the Application is considered, provided that the Applicant gives notice, in writing, of its intention to do so at least five (5) business days prior to the Allocation meeting. An Applicant's written notification must be delivered to the Committee, no later than 5:00 p.m. (Pacific Time) on the last day specified for providing notice.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5039. Publishing of Agenda.

At least ten (10) calendar days before all Committee meetings, the Executive Director shall post an agenda of all items to be heard by the Committee, on the Committee's website provided in section 5140.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### Article 5. Performance Deposits and Fees

#### § 5050. Performance Deposit Requirements.

(a) Applications for Qualified Private Activity Bonds shall include evidence of a performance deposit equal to onehalf of one percent (.5%) of the Allocation requested, not to exceed \$100,000 made payable to the Applicant, except that for Qualified Residential Rental Projects, an Applicant shall provide the evidence of a performance deposit within 20 calendar days following an award of an Allocation.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.84(e), Government Code.

# § 5051. Release of Performance Deposit.

(a) The written authorization releasing a performance deposit or refund of deposits paid to the Committee will occur upon the Committee's receipt of a properly completed Report of Action Taken that is appropriate to the transaction type as required in section 5142, all filing fees as required in section 5054, and a digital copy of the conformed, recorded Bond Regulatory Agreement. The Committee Resolution shall provide the timeframe for using the Allocation and filing the required Report of Action Taken.

(b) In the case of a Qualified Residential Rental Project that also requests an allocation of state credit reserved for tax-exempt projects from the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC), the full release or refund of a



performance deposit will be authorized if the Project Sponsor is able to demonstrate that the failure to use Allocation is solely due to the failure to receive an allocation of state tax credit.

(c) In the case of Mortgage Credit Certificates, the full release or refund of a deposit will not be authorized unless the Allocation has been converted to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority by the date specified in the Committee Resolution.

(d) Nothing in this section shall be construed to address the forfeiture of deposit relative to utilization of carryforward Allocations pursuant to section 5132.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e) and 8869.90, Government Code.

# § 5052. Forfeiture of Performance Deposit.

(a) For Projects receiving an allocation award on or after March 16, 2016, an extension of the expiration date for Qualified Residential Rental Bonds granted pursuant to Section 5101 or 5132 will result in forfeiture of the Project's performance deposit to the extent that the performance deposit has not previously been forfeited.

(b) If less than 80% of the Allocation is used to issue Bonds, a pro-rata portion of the deposit will be forfeited equal to the same percentage ratio as the amount of unused Allocation bears to the amount of awarded Allocation. If at least one (1) Mortgage Credit Certificate is not issued prior to the applicable expiration date, the entire performance deposit will be forfeited. If 80% or more of the Allocation is used to issue bonds prior to the expiration date, or at least one (1) Mortgage Credit Certificate is issued prior to the applicable expiration date, a full refund of the performance deposit will be authorized.

(c) Applicants bear the risk of forfeiting all or part of their performance deposit if the Allocation is not used in accordance with the conditions and/or timeframes set forth in the Committee Resolution.

(d) The Applicant shall remit all forfeited performance deposits to the Committee within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice issued by the Committee.

(e) An Applicant may request waiver of a performance deposit forfeiture by submitting a written request to the Executive Director within 30 days of the date of the Committee's Forfeiture Fee Invoice. The Committee shall grant a forfeiture extension upon a showing that the request aligns with an extended allocation and waiver upon showing the circumstances prompting the forfeiture were unforeseen and entirely beyond the control of the Project's sponsor and development team. The granting of a waiver pursuant to this subsection will not preclude performance deposit forfeiture for subsequent extensions of the expiration date for Qualified Residential Rental Bonds granted pursuant to Section 5101 or 5132.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e) and 8869.86(c)(3), Government Code.

#### § 5053. Withdrawn or Denied Applications.

For Applicants that post the performance deposit prior to award of an Allocation, if the Applicant withdraws an Application prior to consideration by the Committee or if a Project fails to receive an award of Allocation, the performance deposit shall be automatically refunded or released and no written authorization from the Committee shall be necessary. Applicants that receive an Allocation may also return the Allocation to the Committee within twenty (20) days of the award date without threat of negative points.



NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.84(e), Government Code.

## § 5054. Filing Fees.

Each Applicant shall submit a filing fee in an amount equal to the product of the amount of Allocation actually used to issue Bonds, or Mortgage Credit Certificates multiplied by .00035. The payment of the fee shall be in two installments as follows:

(a) Initial filing fee in the amount of \$1,200 shall accompany the filing of an Application to cover the Committee's costs associated with reviewing Applications. This portion of the filing fee shall not be refundable under any circumstances but shall be credited against the total filing fee.

(b) Initial filing fee for supplemental awards in the amount of \$600 shall accompany the filing of an Application to cover the Committee's reasonable costs associated with reviewing Applications. This portion of the filing fee shall not be refundable under any circumstances but shall be credited against the total filing fee.

(c) Initial filing fee for Difficult Development Area/Qualified Census Tract (DDA/QCT) designation retention in the amount of \$1,200 shall accompany the filing of an Application to cover the Committee's reasonable costs associated with reviewing Applications. This portion of the filing fee shall not be refundable under any circumstances and is separate from the initial filing fee required when requesting an allocation.

(d) Second installment of Filing Fee. The second installment of the filing fee shall be due within thirty (30) days after Bond issuance or issuance of the first Mortgage Credit Certificate. The Committee shall issue an invoice in conjunction with the Committee Resolution transferring the Allocation to the Applicant. The amount of the second installment of the filing fee is the product of the amount of Allocation used to issue Bonds or convert to Bond to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority multiplied by .00035, less the fee paid pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section.

(e) If the second filing fee is not received within thirty (30) days, the Committee shall instruct the Applicant to remit the amount due from the performance deposit maintained by the Applicant specifically for the Project or program that was awarded Allocation pursuant to section 5050.

(f) Applications for Allocation for Exempt Facility Projects shall not be charged supplemental filing fees when applicants seek to move the hearing date for allocation later in the calendar year, as long as there are not material changes in the project or financing structure of the application.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.90, Government Code.

# § 5055. [Repealed]



# § 5056. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.90, Government Code.

# Article 6. Bond Sale Structure Requirements

#### § 5060. Minimum Requirements.

(a) Applicants, other than Applicants for a Mortgage Credit Certificate Program, shall provide evidence of a plan to privately place or publicly sell the proposed Bonds with or without Credit Enhancement for an amount no less than the amount requested in the Application. All relevant bond documents for Qualified Residential Rental Projects must permit principal payments or prepayments on the underlying loan(s) as transferred proceeds in a bond preservation and recycling program as permitted by 26 U.S.C. Section 146(i)(6) and shall require no less than thirty (30) days' notice to CDLAC and to the applicant prior to the redemption of bonds at the conversion to permanent financing. Bond sale structures that include a credit rating shall be subject to the following:

(1) Governmental Bond issued with full recourse to, or guaranteed by a general obligation of a governmental entity with taxing authority or Qualified Private Activity Bonds with recourse to the corporate parent entity of the Project Sponsor via a corporate guarantee must have an investment grade credit rating for the Project or the source of the aforementioned guarantee for the Project.

(2) Qualified Private Activity Bonds without a governmental or corporate guarantee shall provide a credit rating specifically for the transaction.

(3) Governmental Bond issues with limited recourse (i.e. lease revenue Bonds, project-specific recourse, or certificates of participation) may provide either a credit rating specifically for the transaction or provide evidence of a current credit rating for an existing outstanding Bond with the same source of debt repayment.

(4) All Bond ratings shall include evidence that the credit rating has been provided within the last six (6) months, or that the current credit rating for outstanding Bond(s) has been substantiated via the most recent updated surveillance review completed by a rating agency within the last thirty six (36) months.

(b) Applicants requesting an award of Allocation for pollution control projects administered by the California Pollution Control Financing Authority (CPCFA) should refer to CPCFA regulations for additional requirements.

(c) Notwithstanding the requirements set forth in article 6 of this chapter, the Committee may apply more stringent requirements and thresholds for a given Project based upon factors such as, but not limited to the size of the Bond issue and/or the specific ratings of the Applicant and/or Project Sponsor.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5061. Credit Enhanced Sales.

(a) Applications for Bonds to be issued and sold through a public sale with Credit Enhancement will be deemed to have provided satisfactory evidence of a Bond sale plan required in section 5060 if documentation from the Credit Enhancer includes the following:



(1) Project Sponsor (borrower).

(2) Project name and location.

(3) Amount of the Credit Enhancement.

(4) Salient terms and conditions of the agreement, including but not limited to the fee structure, term, rate, security, collateral, guarantee, and recourse of the commitment.

(5) Evidence that the Credit Enhancer is committed to move forward with the transaction if the terms and conditions in the commitment letter are met.

(6) Acceptance of the terms and conditions of the Credit Enhancement by the Credit Enhancer and Project Sponsor evidenced by signatures from both parties.

(7) If Fannie Mae, (a private, shareholder-owned company with a charter from Congress requiring the company to support the housing finance system) or any additional or successor entity possessing a similar Congressional charter is providing the Credit Enhancement, the commitment issued by a qualified lender under the Delegated Underwriting and Servicing (DUS) program of Fannie Mae will constitute acceptable proof of Credit Enhancement.

(b) If the Bonds are to be variable rate Bonds, the short term rating shall be no less than "A1" by Standard & Poor's, "VMIG1" by Moody's, or "F-1" by Fitch IBCA, Inc. or the equivalent.

(c) If the Bonds are to be fixed rate Bonds, the Bond rating shall be no less than an "A" category or the equivalent as rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization. If the Bond rating is below an "A" category or the equivalent, the Application will be evaluated pursuant to section 5065.

(d) If any State Agency is providing the Credit Enhancement, evidence of its investment grade rating shall be provided with the Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5062. Private Placement Sales.

(a) Subject to subsection (b) below, applications for Bonds to be issued and sold through a private placement will be deemed to have provided satisfactory evidence of a Bond sale plan required in section 5060 if documentation from the Bond purchaser(s) includes the following:

- (1) Project Sponsor (borrower).
- (2) Project name and location.
- (3) Bond purchase amount.

(4) Salient terms and conditions, including but not limited to the fee structure, term, rate, security, collateral, guarantee, <u>expiration date of the commitment</u>, and recourse of the commitment including the interest rate of the agreement.

(5) Evidence that the lender is committed to move forward with the transaction if the terms and conditions in the commitment letter are met.



#### (6) The commitment is fully executed by the bond purchaser and project sponsor.

(b) For applications submitted after December 31, 2016 Cash Flow Permanent Bonds to be issued and sold through a private placement including, without limitation, bonds purchased by a property seller in consideration of the provisions of a purchase and sale agreement, will be deemed to have provided satisfactory evidence if the provisions of 5062 (a) have been satisfied and, additionally, if at the time of bond issuance the bond purchaser elects to:

(1) submit a Traveling Investment Representation Letter from a Qualified Institutional Buyer or Sophisticated Investor due three (3) days prior to Bond issuance; or

(2) ensure a minimum Bond denomination of \$100,000.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5063. Unenhanced Bond Sales with an A Category or Higher Rating Including Sales Where Cash is the Collateral.

(a) Applications for Bonds to be issued with an unenhanced credit rating equivalent to an "A" category or higher as rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization will be deemed to have satisfied the minimum Bond sale requirements required in section 5060 if the following is provided:

(1) Placement Agent Statement.

(2) Certifications of no current Bond Defaults by the Issuer, guarantor (if any) and the Project Sponsor (if any).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5064. Unenhanced Bond Sales with a BBB Category Credit Rating.

(a) Applications for Bonds to be issued with an unenhanced credit rating in the "BBB" category or equivalent as rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization will be deemed to have satisfied the minimum Bond sale requirements required in section 5060 if the following is provided:

(1) Placement Agent Statement.

(2) Certifications of no current Bond Defaults by the Issuer, guarantor (if any) and the Project Sponsor (if any).

(3) Brief summary of the marketing plan.

(b) In addition to the requirements of subdivision (a) of this section, awards of Allocation will be subject to the following conditions:

(1) Governmental Bond issues with full recourse to, or guaranteed by a general obligation of a governmental entity with taxing authority will be subject to minimum denominations of \$5,000.



(2) Governmental Bond issues with limited recourse and all Qualified Private Activity Bonds will be required to have either of the following:

(A) The submission of an Investment Representation Letter from a Qualified Institutional Buyer or Sophisticated Investor due at Bond issuance; or

(B) Minimum Bond denominations of \$100,000.

(C) Applicants will state in both the Application and the marketing plan whether they favor a CDLAC award with an Investment Representation Letter requirement or with the minimum denomination requirement. The marketing plan's stated issuance structure and offering summary must reflect the Applicant's preference.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5065. Unenhanced Sales with Unrated or Non-Investment Grade Credit Rating.

(a) Applications for Bonds to be issued with unrated or unenhanced non-investment grade credit ratings will be permitted only for limited recourse Government Bond issues and Qualified Private Activity Bonds and will be deemed to have satisfied the minimum Bond sale requirements required in section 5060 if the following is provided:

(1) Placement Agent Statement.

(2) Certifications of no current Bond Defaults by the Issuer, guarantor (if any) and the Project Sponsor (if any).

(3) Complete marketing plan.

(b) In addition to the requirements of subdivision (a) of this section, awards of Allocation will be subject to the following conditions:

(1) The submission of a Traveling Investment Representation Letter due three (3) business days before issuance; or

(2) Minimum Bond denominations as follows:

(A) \$100,000 for Bond issues equal to or less than \$100,000,000; or

(B) \$250,000 for Bond issues over \$100,000,000.

(3) Applicants will state in both the Application and marketing plan whether they favor a CDLAC award with a Traveling Investment Representation Letter requirement or with the minimum denomination requirement. The marketing plan's stated issuance structure and offering summary must reflect the Applicant's preference.



# § 5066. Qualifying Bond Defaults.

(a) Bond Applications on behalf of a Project Sponsor with a Qualifying Bond Default or bankruptcy in the last three (3) years, and/or from a Bond Issuer with three (3) or more Qualifying Bond Defaults in the last five (5) years shall be restricted to private placement sales accompanied with an Investment Representation Letter or public sales with a minimum A category credit grade. Governmental Bond Applications on behalf of a governmental guarantor with a Qualifying Bond Default or bankruptcy within the last three (3) years shall be restricted to private placements within the last three (3) years shall be restricted to private placements with Qualified Institutional Buyers or to public sales rated A or higher.

(b) Bond Issuers and Project Sponsors who are subject to these restrictions may submit an appeal for a waiver of this requirement which shall be considered by the Committee. For Issuers, appeals which involve the following circumstances may be considered by the Executive Director:

(1) At least two (2) out of the three (3) Qualifying Bond Defaults referenced involve the same Project Sponsor; or

(2) At least two (2) out of the three (3) Qualifying Bond Defaults referenced involve transactions whose bond issuance occurred more than ten (10) years prior to the default recordation date.

(c) All appeals shall be made in writing, included with the Application and must set forth in reasonable detail the factual basis for the appeal.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# Article 7. Committee Resolution; Use of State Ceiling Allocations

# § 5080. Granting of Allocation.

The granting of an Allocation by the Committee shall be memorialized in a written resolution adopted by the Committee. The Committee Resolution shall specify but not be limited to the following: the Applicant, the amount of the Allocation, the project or program name for which the Allocation has been provided, the Project Sponsor using the Bond proceeds where applicable, the location of the project or program, the expiration of date of the Allocation, and any additional conditions or restrictions imposed on the Allocation by the Committee.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# § 5081. Changes in Use of Allocation.

Use of an Allocation shall be limited by the provisions of the Committee Resolution. Any changes to the specifications contained in the Committee Resolution prior to the issuance of Bonds, including, but not limited to, changes to the Bond sale structure, the provider of any Credit Enhancement, the direct purchaser of the Bonds if a private placement of Bonds is indicated, the entity selling Bonds, or the identity of the Applicant, must be approved by the Committee prior to the Bond issuance. The Committee may delegate the authority to approve these changes to the Committee Chair or to the Executive Director. The Executive Director may administratively approve routine and non-substantive changes that do not require additional Allocation.



NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# § 5082. Certification of Bond Issuance.

Following the Committee's receipt of a Report of Action Taken as provided in section 5142, the State Treasurer or his or her designee shall provide the Applicant with a letter certifying that the Bond issue meets the requirements of 26 U.S.C. section 146. For Mortgage Credit Certificate Applicants, this certification letter shall be provided the time that the Committee Resolution is transmitted.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.83(b), 8869.84(c), 8869.86(c) and 8869.93 Government Code.

# Article 8. Expiration of Allocations

# § 5100. Program Expiration Dates.

(a) The expiration date of the Allocation shall be specified in the Committee Resolution and shall start from the date on which the Committee awards the Allocation.

(b) Notwithstanding extensions as provided in sections 5101-or 5103; the limitations prescribed by section 5104; or Allocations awarded on a carry-forward basis as provided in section 5131; the expiration dates for issuing Bonds or converting Bonds to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority shall be:

(1) One-Hundred Eighty (180) days for the issuance of Beginning Farmer Bonds, Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Small-Issue Industrial Development Bonds, Exempt Facility Bonds, and the conversion of Bonds to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority.

(2) One-hundred twenty (120) days for the issuance of Student Loan Bonds and for the issuance of at least one (1) Mortgage Credit Certificate.

(3) For Qualified Residential Rental Project Bonds, the following expiration dates shall be assigned by the Executive Director within five (5) business days following each Allocation Round:

(i) Projects receiving an allocation shall be assigned an expiration date of one-hundred eighty (180) days, or one-hundred ninety-fourtwo-hundred one (201194) days, or two-hundred twenty-two (222) days. If the Committee allocates more than 50% of the year's QRRP Allocation in any one round, there shall be a third expiration date of two-hundred eight (208) days, and the Executive Director shall assign approximately one-third of the projects in that round to each expiration date whenever possible. In the case of hybrid projects, the expiration date shall be the later of the deadline assigned by CDLAC or CTCAC.

(ii) A project's applicant may request an expiration date of less than one-hundred eighty (180) days by submitting a written request to the Executive Director. The request shall be submitted no later than the final posting date for the round in which the project is seeking an allocation.



# § 5101. Extensions to Expiration Dates.

For Allocations awarded during an Open Application Process, <u>T</u>the Executive Director may grant <u>an</u> extensions of up to ninety (90) days for all allocations. Any additional extensions must be granted by the Committee. Extension requests must demonstrate that the circumstances were entirely outside the control of the owner.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e) and 8869.89, Government Code.

#### § 5102. Recovery Zone Bond Extensions. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.84(e), Government Code.

#### § 5103. Five Day Hardship Extensions. [Repealed]

The Committee may grant an extension to the expiration dates provided in sections 5100 and 5101 up to five (5) additional business days for extreme hardship cases. The Committee may delegate this authority to the Executive Director.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e) and 8869.89, Government Code.

#### § 5104. Year-End Allocations.

Unless the Committee authorizes the carry-forward of an Allocation pursuant to article 10 of this chapter, the expiration date of all Allocations shall be no later than December 31 of the same calendar year pursuant to 26 U.S.C. section 146(d), which defines the State Ceiling. The pending year-end expiration may result in the assignment of expiration dates shorter than as prescribed in section 5100.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e) and 8869.89, Government Code.

#### § 5105. Reversion to Committee.

Upon the expiration of an issuance deadline, any amount of the Allocation that has not been used to issue Qualified Private Activity Bonds or converted to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority shall automatically revert to the Committee unless that amount is authorized by the Committee for transfer or is carryforward. If it is carryforward, it shall be used for the bond issuer's next bond issuance pursuant to Section 5133.



Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.89, Government Code.

# § 5106. State Single Family Programs.

Carryforward Allocations made pursuant to article 10 of this chapter to a Veterans Home Loan Program or a CalHFA Single Family Program are not subject to expiration except as set forth in 26 U.S.C section 146(f)(3).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.84(e), Government Code.

# § 5107. Veterans Home Loan Programs. [Renumbered]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.84(e), Government Code.

#### Article 9. Transfers of Allocation

#### § 5120. Transfer Requirements.

(a) Except for the reversion of unused Allocation pursuant to section 5106, Allocations are not transferable unless expressly authorized in writing by the Committee. The Committee may permit transfers of Allocation as follows:

(1) The Committee may permit transfers of carryforward Allocations to the highest scoring Application on a waiting list or, if a waiting list does not exist, the highest scoring Project in queue in a current Allocation Round.

(2) The Committee may permit transfers of Allocation between Applicants for the same Project. Prior to the transfer of an Allocation between Applicants for the same Project, the new Applicant must demonstrate that both the Minimum Application Requirements outlined in Section 5033 and the specified program threshold requirements have been met prior to the Committee's approval of the transfer.

(b) Where the Applicant is administering a Single Family Housing Program for itself and other participating jurisdictions, the use of Allocation within the participating jurisdictions listed in the Committee Resolution is not considered a transfer. For purposes of this subdivision, participating jurisdictions means those entities that have provided written assignment of their rights to secure an Allocation to the Applicant. The Applicant shall submit copies of the assignments with the Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.85(c), 8869.85(d) and 8869.86(a)(3), Government Code.

#### Article 10. Carry-Forward Allocations



# § 5130. Prohibitions.

An Applicant receiving an Allocation may not carryforward the Allocation to a subsequent calendar year unless expressly authorized in writing by the Committee.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.85(c), Government Code.

# § 5131. Granting of Carryforward Allocations.

The Committee may award Allocation on a carryforward basis for the purpose of providing sufficient time for Applicants to issue Bonds under the current year's State Ceiling and/or to ensure all remaining portions of the State Ceiling are issued.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.85(c) and 8869.86(a)(3), Government Code.

# § 5132. Expiration of Carryforward Allocations.

The Committee will specify the expiration date of the carryforward Allocation in the Committee Resolution memorializing the grant of the Allocation. If any amount of the carryforward Allocation has not been used to issue Bonds or convert Bonds to Mortgage Credit Certificate Authority on or before the expiration date, the performance deposit will be forfeited to the Committee and the Committee may require the Issuer to transfer the carryforward Allocation to another approved Project by the same Issuer in accordance with section 5120. If the Committee does not require a transfer of the carryforward Allocation, the expiration date may be extended with the approval of the Executive Director until the Allocation expires pursuant to 26 U.S.C. section 146(f)(3) or to each subsequent deadline for submitting Applications to the Committee. At that time, the Committee may require the Issuer to transfer the carryforward Allocation to another approved Project by the same Issuer.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e), 8869.85(c) and 8869.86(a)(3), Government Code.

#### § 5133. Use of Carryforward.

Pursuant to Section 5231, the Committee shall establish a rank-order list of all projects to be allocated during a competitive round. The Committee shall determine if the issuers for those projects that are scheduled to receive an allocation are in possession of any carryforward allocation not otherwise reserved for a project that has received an allocation but has not issued bonds, and if that condition exists, the Executive Director shall subscribe that carryforward to the projects in-that are scheduled to be awarded an allocation in the current round pursuant to the priorities set forth by the Committee. The issuer's carryforward shall be applied to all projects recommended for an allocation until exhausted.



# Article 11. Reporting and Compliance Requirements

#### § 5140. Contact Information.

All reports required in this article shall be transmitted to the Committee at the address, e-mail or fax number listed on the Committee's website, www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdlac.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5141. Notification of Bond Issue.

Within twenty-four (24) hours of using the Allocation to issue Bonds or to convert Bond authority to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority, an Applicant or its counsel shall notify the Committee of such use of the Allocation via the email address or facsimile number as provided in Section 5140. The notification shall identify the Applicant, the Project or program, the date the Allocation was used, and the amount of the Allocation used. For Qualified Residential Rental Projects, the notification shall also provide the estimated date of conversion to permanent financing and confirmation that the bond documents meet the requirements set forth in Section 5060.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.86(c), Government Code.

#### § 5142. Report of Action Taken.

Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the first Bond closing, conversion of Bonds to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority, or issuance of the first Mortgage Credit Certificate, an Applicant or its counsel shall transmit to the Committee information regarding the issuance of Bonds or the conversion of Bonds to Mortgage Credit Certificate authority by submitting the appropriate Report of Action Taken to the address as provided in section 5140.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.86(c), Government Code.

#### § 5143. Notification of Carryforward Election.

Applicants awarded Allocation on a carryforward basis as prescribed in section 5131 shall transmit to the Committee, via the address provided in section 5140, the documents provided to the Internal Revenue Service reporting the carryforward election no later than February 1st of the year immediately following the year in which the Allocation was awarded.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.86(c), Government Code.

§ 5144. Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-Going Compliance Self Certification.



(a) All Projects that receive an Allocation and are within an existing regulatory period and/or compliance period shall be monitored for compliance with the applicable terms and conditions of the Committee Resolution by the Applicant (Issuer) and CDLAC. The new Issuer takes responsibility of reporting on projects that have resyndicated after Year 15. Upon request, CDLAC will review and approve a termination of the original bond regulatory agreement with the requirement that the new agreement include affordability requirements that are at least as restrictive as those in the original agreement.

(b) The self-certification must be submitted by the Applicant to CDLAC no later than March 1 of each year (or at such other time as requested by the Committee). The requirement shall be enforceable by the Committee through an action for specific performance or other available remedy affecting the Applicant including but not limited to disqualification from the program.

(1) For Projects receiving an Allocation prior to December 31, 2016, the Applicant shall complete and submit the Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-going Compliance Self Certification, via the online compliance certification system annually for the longer of the period the bonds remain outstanding or the period of restriction for QRRP projects outlined in Section 5192.

(2) For Projects receiving allocation after December 31, 2016, the Applicant shall complete and submit the Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-going Compliance Self Certification via the online compliance certification system every year until the completion of the project and then if the project is subject to a Regulatory Period and/or Compliance Period every three years thereafter or sooner upon a termination of the Regulatory Period and/or Compliance Period.

(c) For all QRRP projects receiving allocations after December 31, 2016, Sponsors shall be required to utilize CTCAC's Compliance Online Reference Manual, specifically Section IV: Qualify Tenants for Low Income Housing Tax Credit Units, to verify tenant income in conjunction with initial occupancy. Additionally, Project Sponsors shall be required to prepare and forward a CTCAC Project Status Report (PSR) or equivalent documentation to the Applicant annually in conjunction with the Annual Applicant Public Benefits and On-going Compliance Self Certification. Sponsors shall retain information pertaining to the income verification process for 10 years.

(d) For all QRRP projects receiving allocation after December 31, 2016, compliance with the income and rental requirements of the Federally Bond-Restricted Units identified in the Committee Resolution and the Bond Regulatory Agreement must be demonstrated by the Applicants initial review of 20% of all management files associated with the Federally Bond-Restricted units and subsequent review every three years of 20% of all management files associated with the Federally Bond-Restricted units. Federally Bond-Restricted units will include a distribution of unit locations, sizes and income levels (if applicable) and must be identified in the PSR. For this 20% of files, Applicants must review each initial or subsequent occupant/s and their associated TIC in conjunction with the supporting income verification documentation of each occupant's initial occupancy and make a determination if the project is complying with the income and affordability standards. Additionally, Applicants must ensure a lease is in place and executed. This review may be performed on-site or may be performed through an electronic file audit. Completion of this task in addition to a valid Certification of Compliance II or equivalent form will provide Issuers with the ability to report annually to CDLAC regarding compliance with the Federally Bond-Restricted unit restrictions. Information pertaining to the income verification process will be kept on file for 10 years. Applicants must retain documentation memorializing review and determination of income eligibility for 10 years. Source income documentation must be retained for 1 year. These guidelines rely on the compliance monitoring process and procedures in place for CTCAC. To the extent CTCAC is to alter their compliance policies and procedures, these guidelines shall be reviewed by CDLAC for consistency and changes made where appropriate.

(e) For all QRRP projects receiving allocation after December 31, 2016, Sponsors requesting an allocation of bonds absent the receipt of a <u>C</u>TCAC reservation will be identified at the time of application and will have the following compliance options which will be represented in the Committee Resolution:

(1) Applicants that can demonstrate to the Executive Director's satisfaction experience and current capacity to conduct on-site physical and file inspections through their Compliance Policies will be required to conduct



the 20% review of the Federally Bond-Restricted units files on-site and perform a site inspection consistent with their Bond Compliance Policy every 3 years after the Qualified Project Period has commenced.

(2) Applicants that cannot demonstrate to the Executive Director's satisfaction capacity to conduct on-site physical and file inspections through their Compliance Policies will be required to enter into an agreement with a private third party compliance firm, approved by CDLAC, who must conduct the 20% review of Federally Bond-Restricted units files on-site and perform a site inspection consistent with their current policies and procedures every 3 years after the Qualified Project Period has commenced.

(3) A Sponsor can enter into contract with CDLAC or a designee to monitor the Federally Bond-Restricted units for consistency with the bond regulatory agreement and the Committee Resolution. The charge for this service will be equivalent to the compliance fee charged by <u>C</u>TCAC at the time the project submits their application to CDLAC.

(4) The election of the option will be included in the Committee Resolution.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a), 8869.85(b) and 8869.86(c), Government Code.

# § 5145. Certification of Compliance.

(a) All QRRP Projects receiving an Allocation prior to December 31, 2016 shall be monitored for compliance with the applicable terms and conditions of the Committee Resolution by the Applicant and CDLAC for the longer of the period the bonds remain outstanding or the period of restriction outlined in Section 5192. MCC awards will be monitored until the allocation has been utilized. The Applicant shall annually collect from the Project Sponsor and retain for QRRP projects a Certification of Compliance I as attached to the Committee Resolution.

(b) For all Projects that receive allocation after December 31, 2016 and subject to a Regulatory Period and/or Compliance Period, the Applicant shall collect from the Project Sponsor and retain the applicable QRRP or Non-QRRP Certification of Compliance II as attached in the Committee Resolution or other comparable form outlined in an Applicant's approved Bond Compliance Policies. The QRRP or Non-QRRP Certification of Compliance II will be submitted annually to the Applicant until the Project is completed and then if the project is subject to a Regulatory Period and/or Compliance Period, every three years thereafter or sooner upon a termination of the Regulatory Period and/or Compliance Period. Additionally, Applicants shall collect from the Project Sponsor and retain the applicable QRRP or Non-QRRP Certificate of Completion as provided in the Committee Resolution or other comparable form outlined in an Applicant's approved Bond Compliance Policies. In both instances, the certification must be submitted by the Project Sponsor. The Applicant will then provide confirmation of receipt to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee no later than March 1 of each applicable year (or at such other time as requested by the Committee) via the online compliance certification system. These requirements shall be enforceable by the Committee through an action for specific performance or other available remedy against the Project Sponsor.

(c) All QRRP Projects that receive Allocation and an award of low income housing tax credits shall be monitored by the Committee or an entity acting on its behalf for compliance with the terms and conditions of the Committee Resolution, and shall be subject to the provisions of section 10337 of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations.

(d) All Non-QRRP Applicants must designate CDLAC, for the life of the bonds, to receive notice of changes in use and circumstances of Bond Default and Qualifying Bond Default.



# § 5146. Disqualification.

The Committee may disqualify an Application for a portion of the Pool if any of the following have been documented about the Project Issuer, Project Sponsor or any entity that is a Related Party of the Project Sponsor:

(a) Significant outstanding non-compliance in matters relating to the annual Certification of Compliance I or Certification of Compliance II, Certificate of Completion, tenant files or physical conditions at any tax-exempt Bond or low income housing tax credit financed property in California. Requests to excuse properties monitored by the Committee or an entity acting on its behalf and owned by the Project Sponsor or any entity that is a Related Party of the Project Sponsor or management company will not be considered until the Committee has received documentation that the outstanding non-compliance matters have been resolved;

(b) Multiple or repeated failures to use committed public subsidies or private activity Bond allocations within applicable deadlines, or to provide committed physical amenities or services;

(c) Providing false information in connection with an Application; or

(d) Information that leads the Committee to reasonably and in good faith conclude that an allocation would be inimical to, or incompatible with, the purposes of these regulations, the laws regulating the allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds, or the obligation on the Committee to affirmatively further fair housing pursuant to Government Code section 8899.50(b)(1). In determining whether an Application is compatible with the Committee's responsibility to affirmatively further fair housing, the Committee shall consider whether the Applicant, Project Issuer, or Project Sponsor has a documented history of violating state or federal fair housing laws.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a), 8869.85(b) and 8869.86(c), Government Code.

#### Article 12. Universal Evaluation Criteria for All Applications

#### § 5150. Satisfactory Evidence.

Wherever these regulations require that an Applicant demonstrate a certain condition or characteristic or satisfy certain minimum requirements, each such condition or characteristic or minimum requirement must be demonstrated by satisfactory evidence. The Executive Director shall, upon delegation by the Committee, determine whether each condition, characteristic or minimum requirement has been satisfactorily demonstrated and may refuse to consider any Application that has not satisfactorily demonstrated every minimum requirement.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.83(b) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5151. Evaluation of Points.

Wherever the Application process contemplates the awarding of points, the Applicant must demonstrate by satisfactory evidence that the related criterion has been satisfied. Where it is determined by Committee staff that the evidence has not been satisfactorily demonstrated, the Executive Director shall not award the related points.



# § 5152. Readiness Threshold.

The Applicant must demonstrate satisfactory evidence to Committee staff that it can use the Allocation within the time frame as provided in article 8 of this chapter.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(e), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5153. Measurement of Distance.

(a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), wherever these regulations contemplate an award of points based on a measurement of distance, that distance shall be measured from the perimeter of the proposed Project to the perimeter of the site amenity referenced. Applications shall include a detailed scaled-for-distance map from which the Committee can document that the measurement criteria have been met.

(b) Wherever these regulations refer to CTCAC regulations, in the event of any conflict between these regulations and the CTCAC with respect to measurement of distance, the CTCAC regulations shall prevail.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5154. Discovery of Erroneous Information.

It is the responsibility of each Applicant and each Project Sponsor to provide the Committee with complete and accurate information at the time the Application is filed. If the Applicant/Project Sponsor (or their attorneys, agents, employees, or other representatives) provides material that is incomplete, erroneous, inaccurate, misleading or false as to a fact to the Executive Director's decision-making process, the Application may be rejected. If incomplete, erroneous, inaccurate, misleading or false information is discovered by Committee staff after an Allocation has been made, the Allocation may be rescinded if Bonds have not been sold or an election to convert Bond authority to Mortgage Credit Certificates has not been filed with the Internal Revenue Service. If Bonds have been sold or converted to Mortgage Credit Certificates, the Committee may take other action as it deems appropriate.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5155. [Repealed]



# Chapter 2. Qualified Residential Rental Projects

#### Article 1. Definitions

#### § 5170. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

"Adaptive Reuse" means the retrofitting and repurposing of existing buildings that create new Qualified Residential Rental Project units for the market, and expressly excludes any Project that involves rehabilitation or any construction affecting existing residential rental units.

"AMI" or "Area Median Income" means the median family income of a county as set by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

"At Risk Project" means a property that is at risk of conversion as defined by Revenue and Taxation Code section 17058(c)(4) and by section 10325(g) of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations; or a property that otherwise meets all requirements of Revenue and Taxation Code section 17058(c)(4) and section 10325(g) of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, except that the federal assistance due to expire within five (5) calendar years of application to the Committee may include a tax-exempt private activity Bond regulatory agreement.

"BIPOC Entity" means an entity that is at least 51% owned, <u>managed</u>, <u>and controlled</u> by one or more Black, Indigenous, or Other People of Color or by a non-profit organization with a Black, Indigenous, or Other Person of Color executive director/Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and board membership that is comprised of at least 51% Black, Indigenous, and Other People of Color. For purposes of this paragraph, Black, Indigenous, or Other People of Color means "a person who checked the Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, or Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islanders race category or who answered yes to the Hispanic Origin question on the 2020 United States Census.

"BIPOC Project" means a Qualified Residential Rental Project for which the sponsor is a BIPOC Entity. A BIPOC Project may be a New Construction Project, Rural Project, Preservation Project, or Other Rehabilitation Project. The partnership agreement of a BPOC Project must allocate at least 51% developer fee, cash flow, and net sale proceeds to the BIPOC Entity and provide the BIPOC Entity an option to purchase the development. A BIPOC Project does not include a project for which any principal, partner, affiliate, parent organization, or member of the sponsor entity is eligible to receive maximum General Partner Experience points pursuant to Section 10325(c)(1)(A) of the CTCAC regulations unless those points are awarded to a principal of the BIPOC Entity who no longer is employed by the developer of, or has an ownership interest in, the project(s) which form the basis of the experience points.

"Bond and State Credit Allocation" means the Allocation plus any California State Tax Credits requested from CTCAC for an individual QRRP Project.

"Capital Needs Assessment" means a document containing the information defined in section 5212.

"Community Revitalization Area" means one of the following areas for which a comprehensive Community Revitalization Plan has been adopted and efforts specific to that plan have occurred: 1) a Distressed Community; 2) a Federal Opportunity Zone, Choice Neighborhood, or HUD-approved Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Area; or 3) a Disadvantaged Community as identified by the California Environmental Protection Agency's CalEnviroScreen map.

"Community Revitalization Plan" means a plan for contributing to concerted community revitalization efforts as demonstrated by a letter from a local government official. The letter shall explain how the proposed project will



contribute to the community's planned revitalization and include, but is not limited to, a description of the following community engagement, investment, and other revitalization efforts:

1. Community enhancement services in the area, including, but not limited to, job training and after-school enrichment programs.

2. Funds, not including funds for the proposed project, that have been expended in the past five (5) years or are being expended or committed to be expended to improve the community infrastructure, including, but not limited to, parks, storm water systems, sewer systems, and streets.

3. Projects, not including the proposed project, that have been completed within the past five (5) years or are underway or committed to be completed that contribute to community revitalization, including, but not limited to, retail, office, and housing projects.

"CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map" shall have the same meaning as in Section 10302(zzccc) of the CTCAC regulations. An applicant may choose to utilize the census tract or census block group resource designation, as applicable, from the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps in effect when the initial site control was obtained up to seven calendar years prior to the application. Projects located in map areas designated as "Missing/Insufficient Data" or similar designation shall be considered to be in the resource area that most frequently surrounds the perimeter of the Project's map area.

"Energy Star" means the certification satisfying the requirements of 42 U.S.C. section 6294(a).

"Federal Promise Zone" means any area with a continuous boundary and a population of not more than 200,000 that is nominated by a local government or Indian tribe and designated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to receive priority for Federal funding on the basis of its unemployment, poverty, vacancy, and crime rates.

"FHA" means Federal Housing Administration.

"FHA Financed Project" means a project financed under 221(d)3, 221(d)4, 223(f) Federal Housing Administration insurance program, or the Section 202 or 811 Capital Advance program, or any HUD- sponsored capital financing pilot program.

"Final and Conclusive Determination Letter" means a written confirmation from the Department of Finance (DOF) that its determination of an enforceable obligation as approved in a recognized obligation payment schedule is final and conclusive, and reflects DOF's approval of subsequent payments made pursuant to the enforceable obligation.

"Gross Rent" means gross rent as defined by 26 U.S.C. section 42(g)(2)(B). Utility allowances, as provided by 26 U.S.C. section 42(g)(2)(B)(ii), will be included for purposes of this calculation. Projects that are At Risk Projects or Projects that request low income housing tax credits are required to use Gross Rents for the calculation of restricted rents.

"Hard Costs" means the cost of the work specified in a construction contract, including site work, excluding contractor profit, general requirements and contractor overhead.

"HUD" means the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

"HUD Development Acknowledgement Letter" means HUD correspondence outlining that a project has submitted an application for FHA financing, that the application has been deemed complete, and that HUD is committed to providing the project with a Firm Commitment Letter prior to the issuance expiration date of the project's Allocation.

"HUD Firm Commitment Letter" means a HUD loan commitment for FHA financing.



"MAP Lender" means a HUD-qualified lender that prepares FHA forms and performs preliminary underwriting for certain FHA loan applications.

"Native American Lands" means real property located within the State of California that meets both the following criteria:

(a) is trust land for which the United States holds title to the tract or interest in trust for the benefit of one or more tribes or individual Indians, or is restricted Indian land for which one or more tribes or individual Indians holds fee title to the tract or interest but can alienate or encumber it only with the approval of the United States.

(b) the land may be leased for housing development and residential purposes under federal law. "New Construction Project" means a QRRP project applying for an allocation of tax-exempt private activity bonds that meets at least one of the following: (1) 100% of its units constitute new units to the market, (2) involves the demolition or rehabilitation of existing residential units that increase the unit count by either 25 units or 50% of the existing units, whichever is greater, or (3) adaptive re-use of non-residential structures, including hotels and motels that were converted to residential use within the previous five (5) years from the date of the application.

"Other Rehabilitation Project" means a QRRP Project applying for an allocation of tax-exempt private activity bonds from the General Pool that is not eligible for treatment as a New Construction Project or a Preservation Project. In a Competitive Application Process, an Other Rehabilitation Project shall meet all of the following criteria:

1. Shall complete at least \$60,000 in hard construction costs per <u>tax credit</u> unit, as defined in CTCAC Regulation Section  $\frac{1032010302}{(x)}(x)$ ; and

2. At least 60% of hard construction costs shall be expended only on immediate health and safety improvements, seismic and accessibility improvements, and/or the replacement of major systems with a remaining useful life of less than ten years, as evidenced by a CTCAC Capital Needs Assessment.

"Permanent Supportive Housing" means a QRRP Project receiving points pursuant to Section 5230(g) as a Special Needs Project for which the minimum required percentage of special needs units are designated as "supportive housing" as that term is defined in Health and Safety Code section 50675.14(b). The project shall comply with the core components of Housing First, as defined in Welfare and Institutions Code section 8255(b), with respect to the units designated for homeless households.

"Preservation Project" means a QRRP Project applying for an allocation of tax-exempt bonds that is not a New Construction project and meets at least one of the following: (1) has a pre-1999 HCD loan that is being restructured pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50560 ef-(AB 1699 projects); (2) is a replacement or rehabilitation project approved by HUD pursuant to a Section 18 or Section 22 Demolition/Disposition authorization; (3) is an At-Risk project that is not subject to a regulatory agreement imposing a rent restriction with a remaining term that is greater than five years from the year in which the application is filed that restricts income and rents on the residential units to an average no greater than 60% of the area median income; or (4) is a project being rehabilitated under the HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program.

"Qualified Project Period" shall mean the same as defined in 26 U.S.C. section 142(d)(2)(A) and regulations promulgated thereunder, except that the minimum term shall be consistent with Section 5192.

"Rent Comparability Matrix" means the form by which the third party that has completed the Market Study provides information comparing the Project to comparable properties in the Project's market area and evidences that each of the Project's unit types has met the requirements of Section 5191(b).



"Residential Rental Regulatory Agreement" means a covenant recorded against the title of a subject property by a government entity limiting the property's use to rental housing and restricting tenant incomes and rents to no more than 80% of the Area Median Income of the County in which the property is located.

"Scattered Site Project" means multiple location Projects that:

- (a) except where a single existing project-based Section 8 contract is in effect that covers all locations, consist of no more than five (5) locations; and
- (b) are not contiguous except for the interposition of a road, street, stream or similar property; and
- (c) are proposed to be financed through a single pooled bond transaction; and
- (d) all locations are:

(1) subject to a Residential Rental Regulatory Agreement or subject to a federal, state, or local rental or operating assistance agreement:

- (A) within the boundaries of the same city, or
- (B) within a 10-mile diameter circle within the same county, or

(C) within the same county if no location is within a city having a population of five-hundred thousand (500,000) or more; or

(2) All projects not described within (d)(1) must be within a one (1) mile diameter.

"Standard QRRP Application" means the Application for an Allocation of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Qualified Residential Rental Project (QRRP)" (Revised 05-31-2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"State of California Universal Application for the Development of Affordable Rental Housing" means the State of California Universal Application for the Development of Affordable Rental Housing as provided by the California Department of Housing and Community Development, the California Housing Finance Agency, the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee, and the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee for an Allocation of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool (revised 8-13-10), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Supplemental Allocation" means the award of allocation to a Qualified Residential Rental Program Applicant for a Project that received previous Allocation.

"Supplemental Allocation Request Letter" means the written request from the Applicant for Supplemental Allocation for Projects having been awarded Allocation within the last thirty six (36) months that may be submitted in lieu of a complete Application. The letter must be signed by the Applicant and include information about the Project including the date and amount of prior Allocation, the current status of the Project, revised sources and uses of funds, justification for the request for additional Allocation, and any additional information the Committee or Executive Director deems necessary.

"Universal Competitive Addendum" means the application addendum submitted along with a State of California Universal Application for the Development of Affordable Rental Housing as provided by the California Department of Housing and Community Development, the California Housing Finance Agency, the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee, and the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee for an Allocation of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool titled "Competitive Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Qualified Residential Rental Project (QRRP) Universal Application Addendum" (revised 11-30-2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.



"Universal Open Addendum" means the application addendum submitted along with a State of California Universal Application for the Development of Affordable Rental Housing as provided by the California Department of Housing and Community Development, the California Housing Finance Agency, the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee, and the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee for an Allocation of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool titled "Non-Competitive Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Qualified Residential Rental Project (QRRP) Universal Application Addendum" (revised 11-30-2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

## Article 2. Applications

## § 5180. Application Process.

Applicants seeking an Allocation of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool shall be considered in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1 and the submission of a QRRP Application. If deficiencies in the application are identified by CDLAC staff, CDLAC staff shall notify the Project Sponsor and the applicant, and the applicant will have 5 days from staff-issued notification to cure the deficiencies. If, after the 5 days, the deficiencies have not been corrected, as determined by CDLAC staff, the application will be deemed incomplete.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5181. Concurrent Application with Other Agencies.

Applicants for an Allocation of the Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool that also seek financing in conjunction with the California Department of Housing and Community Development and/or the California Housing Finance Agency may submit a Universal Competitive Addendum or a Universal Non-Competitive Addendum depending on whether the Allocation Round for which the Application is being submitted is being conducted under a competitive or non-competitive process as provided in section 5010(b).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5182. Concurrent Application with CTCAC.

Applicants requesting an Allocation for a Qualified Residential Rental Project who concurrently have an application for the same Project filed with CTCAC for consideration under the nine (9%) percent program set forth in section 10325 of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations will not be permitted to apply to the Committee unless the application to CTCAC is withdrawn prior to the Application deadline.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## § 5183. Subsequent Application with CTCAC.

Applicants that receive an Allocation for a Qualified Residential Rental Project are prohibited from subsequently requesting an allocation of 9% low income housing tax credits from CTCAC for the same Project, except where the Committee grants a waiver based on extraordinary circumstances, including but not limited to, the passage of significant time or circumstances outside the Applicant's control, and makes a determination that the waiver is consistent with the provision of affordable housing.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 3. Minimum Requirements

#### § 5190. Readiness.

In its Application, the Project Sponsor shall demonstrate its readiness to use the Allocation as set forth in this section.

(a) Demonstrated site control. The Applicant shall provide evidence that the Project site is at the time of Application submission within the control of the Applicant or Project Sponsor. Applicants shall provide information regarding the current owner of the project property, if other than the Project Sponsor. Except as provided below for reapplications, a current preliminary or final title report, or, for projects that will be located on Native American Trust Lands, a Land Title Status Report from the Bureau of Indian Affairs or an attorney's opinion regarding chain of title and current title status, all of which shall be dated no more than ninety (90) days prior to Application deadline as provided in section 5030, shall be submitted with all applications for the purposes of this requirement. A commitment for the title insurance or a title insurance document are not acceptable substitutions for a preliminary title report, final title report, or a title report. The Committee may permit the site control title report of an unsuccessful application to be submitted, only once, in the reapplication cycle immediately following the unsuccessful application.

(1) Site control may be evidenced by any of the following:

(A) The Applicant or Project Sponsor holds fee title as evidenced by the current (within 90 days prior to the Application date) preliminary or final title report;

(B) An executed lease agreement or lease option for the length of time the Project will be regulated under this program between the Applicant or Project Sponsor and the owner of the subject property;

(C) An executed disposition and development agreement for the length of time the Project will be regulated under this program between the Project Sponsor and a public agency; or

(D) A valid, current, and enforceable contingent purchase and sale agreement or option agreement between the Project Sponsor and the owner of the subject property, including evidence that all extensions necessary to keep the agreement current through the date of the award of Allocation have been executed. Evidence must be provided at the time of the application that all extensions and other conditions necessary to keep the agreement current through the application filing deadline have been executed.

(E) Valid, current and enforceable purchase and sale agreements, contingent purchase sale or option agreements in combination between the Project Sponsor, a third party and the owner of the subject property such that the Committee can determine that upon a grant of Allocation the Project Sponsor has a right to acquire the subject property.



(F) The Executive Director may determine that site control has been demonstrated where a local agency has documented its intention to acquire the site, or portion of the site, through eminent domain proceedings as evidenced by order(s) of possession.

(b) Local Approvals and Zoning. The Project Sponsor shall provide evidence, no later than the application due date for the allocation round in which the Project is seeking an allocation, that the project meets the requirements of Section 10325(f)(4) of the CTCAC regulations.

(c) Project Sponsor and Project Developer. If not requesting experience points pursuant to section 5230(f), the application must include a summary of the Project Sponsor and Project Developer experience developing or rehabilitating housing with tax-exempt bond financing. A list of projects must be included. The list may take the form of the CTCAC Experience Attachment.

(d) Legal Status of Project Sponsor and Developer. Applicants shall provide information regarding the legal status of the Project Sponsor and Developer.

(1) Financial Viability. Disclose any legal or regulatory action or investigation that may have a material impact on the financial viability of the project or the Project Sponsor and Developer. The disclosure should be limited to actions or investigations in which the applicant or the applicant's parent, subsidiary, or affiliate involved in the management, operation, or development of the project has been named a party. Not Applicable is an unacceptable response.

(2) Fraud, Corruption, or Serious Harm. Disclose any legal or regulatory action or investigation involving fraud or corruption, or health and safety where there are allegations of serious harm to employees, the public, or the environment. The disclosure should be limited to actions or investigations in which the Project Sponsor and Developer or the Project Sponsor's and Developer's current board member (except for volunteer board members of non-profit entities), partner, limited liability corporation member, senior officer, or senior management personnel has been named a defendant within the past ten years. Not Applicable is an unacceptable response.

(3) Disclosures should include civil or criminal cases filed in state or federal court; civil or criminal investigations by local, state, or federal law enforcement authorities; and enforcement proceedings or investigations by local, state or federal regulatory agencies. The information provided must include relevant dates, the nature of the allegation(s), charters, complaint or filing, and the outcome. For a publicly-traded company, the relevant sections of the company's 10K, 8K, and 10Q most recently filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission may be attached in response to question #1. With respect to a response for question #2, previous 10K, 8K, and 10Q filings of the company may be attached if applicable.

(4) Fair Housing and Anti-Discrimination Laws. Disclose any regulatory or investigative proceeding by a local, state, or federal agency relating to an alleged, pending, ongoing, or closed violation of fair housing or anti-discrimination laws and the status of the proceeding, as applicable.

(e) Reserved.

(f) Legislative Districts and Census Tracts. Applicants shall provide

- a. Federal Congressional District in which the proposed Project is located
- b. State Senate District in which the proposed Project is located
- c. State Assembly District in which the proposed Project is located
- d. Census Tract in which the proposed Project is located.



(g) Prior Tax-Exempt Allocation Award. The Application will provide a narrative explanation of the circumstances surrounding the prior allocation and why additional allocation is being requested.

(h) Project Description. Applicant shall submit a narrative description of the proposed Project. The description must contain, at a minimum, the following details: 1) the number of acres of the site (include topography and special features), 2) a description of the surrounding neighborhood, 3) the targeted population for the project (i.e., large families, seniors, etc.), 4) the expected start and completion date of construction/rehabilitation, 5) physical features of the project (i.e., description of buildings, grounds, project amenities, etc.), 6) unit configuration, 7) unit amenities, 8) scope of rehabilitation work, and 9) if applicable, a description of other unique features of the project. 10) (a) If the Application is submitted under a non-competitive process, the Application must include a description of the Project Type and Characteristics, including the construction type and proposed tenant population pursuant to Section 5000. (b) If the Application is submitted under a competitive process, Project Type and Characteristics documentation must be included pursuant to Sections 5000 and 5170.

(i) Detailed Unit Affordability Information.

(1) The application will include the Federal Bond-Election of 20% at 50% Area Median Income, or 40% at 60% Area Median Income.

(2) For At-Risk projects and 4% low income housing tax credit projects, this shall mean that the Project units must have Gross Rents that are restricted to households whose incomes must be 50% or less of the AMI; or Gross Rents that are restricted to households whose incomes must be 60% or less of the AMI. Applications not meeting this minimum requirement will be deemed incomplete.

(3) The Application will include tables with the following information on the Restricted Rental Units: Number of Bedrooms/Number of Bathrooms, Unit Size in square feet, number of units in subtotals and total, total square feet per unit type in subtotals and total, proposed monthly tenant-paid rent per unit (excluding utilities), proposed monthly rental subsidy per unit, proposed monthly income per unit, monthly utility allowance, monthly gross rent, percent of Area Median Income based on monthly gross rent, and annualized total rental income. The Application will include another table, Market Rate Units, including number of bedrooms, unit square feet in subtotal and total, number of units, proposed monthly tenant-paid rent per unit (excluding utilities), total proposed tenant paid rent and annualized total rental income. Application will include a table, "Managers' Units" Restricted or Market Rate. The table will include columns for number of bedrooms, unit square feet in subtotal and total, number of units, proposed monthly managerpaid rent per unit, total proposed monthly manager-paid rent and annualized total rental income. Application will include a table with total number of units (excluding manager units), total number of restricted units, percent of total restricted units, number of units at or below 50% AMI, percent of units at or below 50% AMI, number of units above 50% to 60% AMI, percent of units above 50% to 60% AMI, number of restricted rental units with 3 or more bedrooms, and percent of restricted rental units with 3 or more bedrooms. Applicants shall provide a breakdown of Project unit types, size, number of units, proposed tenant-paid rent, monthly utility allowances (if any), subsidies (if any) and unit percentage of Area Median Income (AMI) level based on monthly Gross Rent.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5191. Income and Rent Restrictions.

All Qualified Residential Rental Projects must meet the following minimum income and rent restrictions, which will be included in the Committee Resolution.



(a) Minimum Income Restrictions. A minimum of ten percent (10%) of the units in a Qualified Residential Rental Project must have Gross Rents that are restricted to households with incomes no greater than fifty percent (50%) of the AMI. The rent restricted units that meet this requirement, with the exception of Mixed Income Projects, acquisition rehabilitation projects already subject to a Residential Rental Regulatory Agreement or a federal, state, or local operating or rental assistance agreement, and units located on the upper level floors of high-rise developments, shall be generally distributed in terms of location and number of bedrooms throughout the Project. All projects shall offer a range of sizes and number of bedrooms comparable to those units that are available to other tenants.

(b) Minimum Rent Restrictions. Except for projects subject to an existing Residential Rental Regulatory Agreement that propose tenant paid rents and income targeting not exceeding one hundred-five percent (105%) of the current rents and targeting and operate with a vacancy rate of no more than five percent (5%), for single room occupancy and special needs housing a vacancy rate of no more than ten percent (10%) as demonstrated by a market study completed pursuant to 26 U.S.C. Section 42(m)(1)(A)(iii); the proposed tenant paid rents for each Restricted Rental Unit type (defined by bedroom count) in the proposed development shall be at least ten percent (10%) below the weighted average rent for comparable market rate units and each Restricted Rental Unit's value ratio (dollars per square foot) shall be at or below the weighted average unit value ratio for comparable market rate units as demonstrated in a Rent Comparability Matrix meeting the requirements of article 4 of this chapter.

(c) Utility Allowance Evidence. All Projects shall be subject to the use of Gross Rent as defined by Section 5170 and shall provide evidence in one of the following forms:

(1) A letter from the local public housing authority that includes a current utility allowance schedule, certifies that the proposed Project is located within its jurisdiction and itemizes which components of the utility allowance schedule applies to the Project. Projects that are subject to a Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program do not require a housing authority certification and may rely solely on the utility allowance included in a HUD rent schedule provided the schedule specifically identifies the name of the Project.

(2) If a Project is to be substantially retrofitted for energy conservation or will be newly constructed with substantial energy conservation, the Applicant may submit revised utility allowances based on the projected reduction in utility costs after construction or retrofit. The revised utility allowances shall be validated by either of the following:

(A) A letter from the public utility or housing authority having jurisdiction over the Project that validates the revised utility allowances based on the proposed use of energy conservation materials, or

(B) A current utility allowance estimate consistent with 26 CFR section 1.42-10 (4-1-17), which is hereby incorporated by reference. The Applicant must indicate which components of the utility allowance schedule apply to the Project. For buildings that are using an energy consumption model utility allowance estimate, the estimate shall be calculated using the most recent version of the California Utility Allowance Calculator (CUAC) developed by the California Energy Commission; and in accordance with the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee's minimum requirements for utility allowance estimates, Title 4, Division 17, Chapter 1, Section 10322(h)(21).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## § 5192. Minimum Term of Restrictions.

(a) Income and rent restrictions as identified in the Committee Resolution for the total number of units must be maintained for the Qualified Project Period. Except as provided in subdivision (b), the Qualified Project Period shall be fifty-five (55) years following the date on which fifty percent (50%) occupancy is achieved or otherwise commencement of the Qualified Project Period. Projects located on Native American Lands shall have a term of restriction of 50 years from the property lease effective date.

(b) If a Project is intended for eventual tenant homeowners the applicant shall provide evidence of a financially feasible program in the Application. The program shall include, but is not limited to, an exit strategy, home ownership counseling, funds to be set aside to assist tenants in the purchase of units, no involuntary relocation of tenants, and a plan for conversion of the facility to home ownership no sooner than the end of the initial 15-year Qualified Project Period as required by 26 U.S.C. section 142(d)(2)(A). In such a case, the regulatory agreement shall contain provisions for the enforcement of such covenants.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5193. Debt Service Coverage Ratio.

(a) For Qualified Residential Rental Projects, <u>a-the</u> minimum debt service coverage ratio (the ratio of the net operating income from the Project divided by the required debt service on the debt associated with the Project) shall <u>be no less than 1.15 in at least one of the project's first three years meet the requirements outlined in Section 10327(g)(6) of CTCAC Regulations</u>, except for FHA/HUD projects, RHS projects or projects financed by the California Housing Finance Agency.

(b) Applicants shall complete the following information relating to the Debt Service Coverage Ratio contained in the commitment for credit enhancement or private placement purchase of bonds, using annualized pro-forma figures:

(1) Potential gross income less vacancy rate. Applicants shall use market area vacancy rate or appraised vacancy rate, but in no event use less than 5%. If less than 5% is being used, a written explanation as to the reason must accompany the Application.

(2) net operating income (effective gross income minus operating expenses (include Operating & Replacement Reserves)), and

(3) principal plus interest (debt service), and

(4) the debt service coverage ratio (net operating income divided by principal plus interest). If Potential Gross Income is significantly higher than Monthly Gross Rent, then CDLAC may ask the applicant to identify other sources of Potential Gross Income to ascertain that these other sources are allowed.

(c) The Applicant shall also submit an itemized breakdown of the operating expenses. Annual operating expenses: general administrative (advertising, legal, accounting/audit, security, other and total general administrative), management fee, utilities (fuel, gas, water/sewer, other, total utilities), payroll/payroll taxes (on-site manager, maintenance personnel, insurance, other, total payroll/payroll taxes), maintenance (painting, repairs, trash removal, exterminating, grounds, elevator, other, total maintenance), service amenities budget (service coordinator/social worker, other, total service amenities), other (specify)(total other), total annual residential operating expenses, total real estate taxes, total reserves (operating and replacement), annual commercial operating expenses (if applicable) and total operating expenses.

August 6, 2024



Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5194. Project Sources & Uses and Project Costs.

(a) Applications shall submit an itemized breakdown of the complete sources of construction financing; and

(b) Applications shall include a listing of permanent sources and uses or complete and submit CTCAC's Form Sources and Uses Budget or comparable documentation; and

(c) All liens to be included in the proposed financing should be itemized and a list of all liens to be paid off at closing must be provided as Disposition of Current Outstanding Liens. All non-assumed liens to be paid off at closing shall be separately listed including lender/loan, amount, disposition and corresponding exception number from the Title Report; and

(d) For rehabilitation projects, Applicants shall submit an itemized breakdown of hard construction costs labeled as Attachment 8-B.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 4. Market Studies

## § 5200. Minimum Requirements.

The Market Study shall meet the current guidelines as required by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC), in California Code of Regulations, Title 4, Section 10322(h)(10).

(a) A full Market Study with a Rent Comparability Matrix for each applicable unit type prepared within (180) days of the Application deadline by an independent third party having no identity of interest with the Applicant, Project Sponsor, or Related Party is required.

(b) The study must establish both need and demand for the proposed Project. If the Market Study does not support sufficient need and demand for the Project, the Application may be considered ineligible to receive an award of Allocation.

(c) A market study shall be updated when proposed subject project rents change by more than five percent (5%), or the distribution of higher rents increased by more than 5%, or 180 days have elapsed between the earliest site inspection date for the subject property or comparable properties and the application submission deadline for the round in which the Project is seeking an allocation. CDLAC shall not accept an updated market study when more than twelve (12) months have passed since the earliest listed site inspection date of either the subject property or any comparable provide a new market study.

(d) Acquisition/Rehabilitation projects subject to an existing Residential Rental Regulatory Agreement or a federal, state, or local operating or rental assistance agreement may provide, as an alternative to providing a market study and affordability matrices consistent with Section 5200(a), a comprehensive market study consistent with 26 U.S.C.



Section 42 (m)(1)(A)(iii). The study shall be a written statement, certified by a third-party market analyst, which includes a current rent roll. In addition, the project shall meet at least one of the following requirements:

(1) as certified by a third-party market analyst, the proposed tenant paid rents and income targeting will not exceed one hundred-five percent (105%) of the current rents and targeting and a vacancy rate of no more than five percent (5%); for single room occupancy and special needs housing a vacancy rate of no more than ten percent (10%); or

(2) as evidenced by copies of executed contracts, that the Project has been receiving federal, state, or local operating or rental assistance and will continue to receive such assistance for at least five (5) additional years. If a contract demonstrating operating or rental assistance for an additional five (5) years is not available, a letter signed by the contractor's senior official may be submitted that describes the efforts undertaken to effectuate an operating or rental assistance contract, the expected duration of the contract, and the expected contract execution date.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 5. Sustainable Building Standards

## § 5205. Minimum Requirements.

(a) Applicants shall provide a certification that the minimum specifications pursuant to Section  $\frac{10325(f)(7)(A)}{(J)-10326(g)(6)}$  of the CTCAC Regulations will be incorporated into the project design for all new construction and rehabilitation projects.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 6. Acquisition and Rehabilitation Projects

## § 5210. Minimum Expenditures.

Except as set forth in subdivision (a) of this section, Qualified Residential Rental Projects involving the rehabilitation of existing buildings must complete a minimum of \$15,000 in hard construction costs per unit.

(a) At Risk Projects that receive only an award of Bond authority and do not receive low income housing tax credits must spend the minimum amount required by 26 U.S.C. section 147(d)(2).

(b) For purposes of this article, "hard construction costs" means the sum of the structure costs plus on-site and offsite costs.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5211. Tenant Relocation.



Applicants proposing rehabilitation or demolition of occupied housing shall comply with Section 10322(h)(28) of the CTCAC regulations.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5212. Capital Needs Assessment.

Except as provided below for reapplications, the Applicant shall submit a Capital Needs Assessment with report and inspection dates within 180 days prior to the Application deadline that details the condition and remaining useful life of the building's major structural components, all necessary work to be undertaken and its associated costs, as well as the nature of the work, and distinguishing between immediate and long-term repairs. The Capital Needs Assessment shall also include a fifteen (15) year reserve study, indicating anticipated dates and costs of future replacements of all major building components that are not being replaced immediately and the reserve contributions needed to fund those replacements. The Capital Needs Assessment shall be prepared by the Project's architect, as long as the architect has no identity of interest with the Project Sponsor or other member of the development team; or by a qualified independent third party who has no identity of interest with any of the members of the development team. The Capital Needs Assessment is not required if the Project, within the immediately preceding three (3) years, received an Allocation and this requirement was satisfied in the original Application. The Committee may permit the Capital Needs Assessment of an unsuccessful application to be submitted, only once, in the reapplication cycle immediately following the unsuccessful application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 7. Post Issuance Oversight and Termination of Project-Based Subsidies

## § 5220. Regulatory Compliance.

(a) All QRRP allocation recipients are required to execute a Bond Regulatory Agreement (the "Regulatory Agreement"), as a condition to the Committee's making an allocation, which will be recorded against the property for which the allocation is used, and will reflect all commitments outlined in exhibit A of the Committee's resolution. For projects submitted to CDLAC after December 31, 2016, the Regulatory Agreement shall terminate prior to the end of the CDLAC Resolution affordability term only in the event of (i) involuntary noncompliance with the provisions of the Regulatory Agreement caused by fire or other casualty, seizure, requisition, change in a federal law or an action of a federal agency after the bond issuance which prevents the Issuer, Fiscal Agent and/or the Trustee (as applicable) from enforcing such provisions, or (ii) foreclosure, exercise of power of sale, and/or transfer of title by deed in lieu of foreclosure in connection with a deed of trust directly or indirectly securing the repayment of Standard Permanent Bonds, or repayment of a non-Bond related obligation that provides permanent project financing and meets the requirements of section 5193 or condemnation or a similar event, but only if, in the case of the events described in either clause (i) or (ii) above, the bonds are redeemed within a reasonable period or the proceeds for the event are used to provide a project that meets the requirement of the Regulatory Agreement.

(b) If Cash Flow Permanent Bonds finance project costs in projects submitted to CDLAC after December 31, 2016, all units identified in the CDLAC resolution, including both the Federally Bond-Restricted Units and the Other Restricted Units, will be incorporated into the Bond Regulatory Agreement. Assumptions to be included in the Bond Regulatory Agreement regarding the Other Restricted Units will include the AMI as outlined in the CDLAC



resolution, a limitation that tenants pay no more than 30% of their income, and 1.5 person per bedroom occupancy standard to determine the applicable rent.

(c) For projects receiving allocation after December 31, 2016, The Bond Regulatory Agreement will:

(1) Incorporate the CDLAC resolution by reference and as an attachment;

(2) Have a term consistent with the income and rental restrictions established in the Resolution. The Bond Regulatory Agreement shall terminate in 55 years (50 years for Projects located on Native American Lands) from the date 50% occupancy is achieved or the commencement of the CDLAC Qualified project period, whichever date is earlier;

(3) Include all applicable income and affordability requirements outlined in 26 U.S.C. § 142, Cal. H&S Code § 34312.3 (c)(1) & (2), Cal. H&S Code § 51335(a), and Cal. H&S Code § 52080 (a)(1);

(4) Clarify that compliance with items not contained within the body of the Bond Regulatory Agreement but referred to in the CDLAC resolution are the responsibility of the Sponsor to report to the Issuer;

(5) Designate CDLAC to receive notice of changes in ownership, Issuer, project name and management company; and

(6) Designate CDLAC to receive all notices regarding defaults associated with the rents and income requirements, Bond Default, Qualified Bond Default, and regulatory termination.

(d) Where a Project is receiving renewable project-based rental assistance or operating subsidy:

(1) the Sponsor shall in good faith apply for and accept all available renewals; and

(2) if the project-based rental assistance or operating subsidy is terminated through no fault of the owner, the property owner shall immediately notify CDLAC in writing and shall make every effort to find alternative subsidies or financing structures that would maintain the deeper income targeting contained in the CDLAC resolution. Upon documenting to CDLAC's satisfaction unsuccessful efforts to identify and obtain alternative resources, the owner may increase rents and income targeting for rent restricted units above the levels allowed by the CDLAC resolution up to the federally and state-permitted maximums. Rents shall be raised only to the extent required for financial feasibility, as determined by CDLAC. Where possible, remedies shall include skewing rents higher on portions of the project in order to preserve affordability for units regulated by CDLAC at extremely low income targeting. Any necessary rent increases shall be phased in as gradually as possible, consistent with maintaining the project's financial feasibility. If housing special needs populations, the property owner shall attempt to minimize disruption to existing households, and transition to non-special needs households only as necessary and upon vacancy whenever possible.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5221. Disqualification. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## Article 8. Evaluation Criteria

## § 5230. Evaluation Criteria.

(a) The following criteria will be used to evaluate and rank all Qualified Residential Rental Project applications. Each of the items in this section shall be memorialized in the Committee Resolution.

(b) Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities (20 points maximum).

(1) Preservation Projects meeting the following criteria shall receive points in the highest scoring category only:

- (A) A project that meets at least one of the following shall receive 20 points:
  - (i) An At-Risk Project.

(ii) A project in which lower-income rent and income restrictions on at least 50 percent of the total units pursuant to a regulatory agreement with a public entity shall terminate or be eligible for termination within five years of application with no other rent and income restrictions remaining.

(iii) A replacement or rehabilitation project approved by HUD pursuant to a Section 18 or 22 Demolition/Disposition authorization.

(iv) A component one project being rehabilitated under the HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program.

(B) A project that meets at least one of the following shall receive 14 points:

(i) A component two project being rehabilitated under the HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program; or

(ii) A project with a pre-1999 HCD loan that is being restructured pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50560 (AB 1699) that has not previously received an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits.

(C) A project with a pre-1999 HCD loan that is being restructured pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50560 (AB 1699) that has previously received an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits shall receive 6 points.

(2) Other Rehabilitation Projects meeting all of the following criteria shall receive 20 points:

(A) The project does not result in a distribution of net project equity, as that term is defined in Section 10302(gg) of the CTCAC Regulations, to a general partner or a related party to the general partner. For purposes of this subparagraph, there may be a buyout of a limited partner or equity distributed to a third party seller;

(B) There is no partial or full repayment of existing soft financing; and

(C) The application's developer fee limit pursuant to Section 10327(c)(2) of the CTCAC Regulations is further limited to a cash-out developer fee no greater than 80% of the CTCAC cash-out developer fee limit.



(c) New Construction Density and Local Incentives (10 points maximum); Preservation Projects and Other Rehabilitation Projects are not eligible for these points). A New Construction Project that meets any of the following shall receive 10 points:

(1) The local jurisdiction has approved the project pursuant to Section 65913.4 of the Government Code; or at a density greater than that allowed by the site's zoning through the use of a density bonus allowed by Government Code Section 65915; or pursuant to a local ordinance; or with concessions and/or waivers granted pursuant to Government Code Section 65915;

- (2) The project is being developed at a per net acre density that meets one of the following criteria:
  - (A) 100 bedrooms per net acre in a metropolitan county;
  - (B) 60 bedrooms per net acre in a suburban jurisdiction;
  - (C) 40 bedrooms per net acre in all other areas.

[For the purposes of subdivision (c)(2), "net acre" is defined as the acreage within the parcel boundaries after subtracting any area affected by the dedication of public right-of-way, the presence of restrictive easements, and non-buildable areas. "Metropolitan county" and "suburban jurisdiction" shall have the same meanings as in Section 65583.2 of the Government Code. Projects with land-use approvals obtained prior to January 1, 2022, shall earn full points in this category.]

(3) The project is located in a city or unincorporated portion of a county for which HCD has designated the city or county, respectively, as pro-housing pursuant to Section 65589.9(c) of the Government Code.

(d) Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions (20 points maximum). A project shall receive points in either of the following manners:

(1) 2 points for each full percent that the average affordability of tax credit units is less than 60% of area median income subject to the Gross Rent definition; or

(2) 20 points if the average affordability of tax credit units is less than or equal to 60% of area median income, provided that at least 10% of tax credit units are restricted at or below 30% of area median income and an additional 10% of tax credits units are restricted at or below 50% of area median income, subject to the Gross Rent definition.

(e) Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions (10 points maximum). A project shall receive one point for each full percent that the average affordability of tax credit units is more than ten percent (10%) below the average adjusted rental rates of comparable units as demonstrated by each applicable Rent Comparability Matrix. This percentage shall be calculated separately for units of each bedroom count, with the results for each unit type weighted relative to the percentage of tax credit units of that type in the project, and the resulting percentage shall be used to determine the final point score. In cases where unit sizes of the same unit type vary, the smallest of these units shall be the basis for comparison. When family comparables are used in addition to senior comparables (outside the 1-mile radius), points will be calculated using the family comparables.

(f) General Partner and Management Company Experience (10 points maximum).

(1) A project shall receive general partner experience points in one of the following manners:

(A) The number of general partner experience points for which it is eligible pursuant to Section 10325(c)(1)(A) of the CTCAC regulations.



(B) 7 points if the project is a joint venture between an entity that receives maximum general experience points pursuant to Section 10325(c)(1)(A) of the CTCAC regulations and a BIPOC Entity, provided that the partnership agreement (i) allocates a share of the at least 51% of the developer fee, cash flow, and net sale proceeds to the BIPOC Entity that is equal to or greater than the share to the entity with maximum general experience points and (ii) provides the BIPOC Entity an option to purchase the development.

(C) 7 points if the sole sponsor is a BIPOC Entity that

(i) is a general partner in at least one <u>California</u> Low-Income Housing Tax Credit development that has received a certificate of occupancy, or if a rehabilitation project, completed rehabilitation, within <u>five-ten</u> years of the date of application,

(ii) submits the certification from a third-party certified public accountant referred to in Section 10325(c)(1)(A)(i) of the CTCAC regulations for that development, and

(iii) completes training as prescribed by CTCAC prior to a project's placing in service.

(2) A project shall receive management company experience points in one of the following manners:

(A) The number of management company points for which it is eligible pursuant to Section 10325(c)(1)(B) of the CTCAC regulations.

(B) 3 points if the management company will be the BIPOC Entity for which the project receives general partner experience points pursuant to paragraph (1)(C).

(g) Housing Types (10 points maximum; Preservation Projects and Other Rehabilitation Projects not eligible for these points). A New Construction Project that meets any of the following criteria shall receive 10 points:

(1) The project meets the criteria for any of the housing types described in Section 10325(g) of the CTCAC regulations. Points will be awarded only in one housing type.

(2) The project meets the requirements of subdivision (c) of this section or is a New Construction Project that obtained all land use approvals prior to January 1, 2022.

(h) Leveraged Soft Resources (8 points maximum). A project shall receive 1 point for each full percent that leveraged soft resources defraying residential costs represent as a percentage of total residential project development costs, except that a New Construction Project that receives points as a Large Family project or Special Needs project pursuant to the conditions specified in Section 5230(j)(1)(A) and is located in a High or Highest Resource Area as specified on the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map shall receive 2 points for each full percent of leveraged soft resources. For purposes of this subdivision, leveraged soft resources shall have the same meaning as in Section 10325(c)(9) of the CTCAC regulations.

(i) Readiness to Proceed (10 points maximum). A project shall receive the number of points for which it is eligible pursuant to Section 10325(c)(7) of the CTCAC regulations, except that the applicant shall demonstrate that construction can commence within 180 days of the bond allocation. Projects that receive the maximum number of points pursuant to this subdivision shall have a readiness deadline that aligns with the allocation expiration assigned pursuant to Section 5100(b)(3)(i), and submit within that time period evidence of the issuance of building permits or the applicable tribal documents, and notice to proceed delivered to the contractor. Failure to meet the assigned due date shall result in rescission of the bond allocation. In addition, negative points may be assessed at the discretion of the Committee pursuant to subdivision (n).

(1) For purposes of submitting evidence of the issuance of a building permit:



(A) A grading permit shall not suffice to meet the building permit requirement except that if the city or county as a rule does not issue building permits prior to the completion of grading, then a grading permit shall suffice.

(B) If the project is a design-build project in which the city or county does not issue building permits until designs are fully complete, then the city or county shall have approved construction to begin.

- (j) Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (10 points maximum).
  - (1) A New Construction project shall receive points in only one of the following manners:

(A)(i) Except as provided in (ii) below, 10 points if the project receives points as a Large Family project or Special Needs project pursuant to subdivision (g) (except the Special Needs project shall have at least 50% of its units set aside as permanent supportive housing), is located in a High or Highest Resource Area as specified on the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, and at least 10% of tax credit units shall be restricted at or below 30% of area median income and an additional 10% of tax credits units shall be restricted at or below 50% of area median income (except Special Needs projects shall be exempt from this 50% AMI requirement).

(ii) Using the sort order described in Section 5231, after projects receiving 10 points pursuant to this subdivision have been recommended for allocations that meet or exceed the following 50% threshold, all remaining projects in each pool or <u>set-aside set aside</u> shall receive 9 points for meeting the requirements of this subdivision. For the purpose of awarding points per round, (excluding an established waiting list), pursuant to this subdivision, 10 points shall be awarded until approximately 50% of the amount available to a pool or <u>set-aside set aside</u> has been allocated. Subsequently, all remaining projects in each pool or <u>set-aside set aside</u> shall receive 9 points for meeting the requirements of this subdivision.

(B) 9 points if the project does not receive points pursuant to subdivisions (j)(1)(A). With respect to New Construction Projects, at least 10% of tax credit units shall be restricted at or below 30% of area median income and an additional 10% of tax credits units shall be restricted at or below 50% of area median income.

(2) For purposes of subdivisions (A), a project located in a resource area designated on the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map as "Missing/Insufficient Data" shall be considered to have the designation of the adjacent resource area that shares the longest common boundary with the resource area in which the project is located.

(k) Service Amenities (10 points maximum). A project shall receive the number of points for which it is eligible pursuant to Section 10325(c)(4)(B) of the CTCAC regulations, except that projects not meeting one of the housing types specified in Section 10325(g) of the CTCAC regulations shall be able to choose the services provided without regard to the housing type conditions within the service amenity categories.

(*I*) Cost Containment (12 points maximum). A project shall receive 1 point for each full percent that the project's eligible basis is less than the project's CDLAC adjusted threshold basis limit, except that a New Construction Project that receives points as a Large Family project or Special Needs project pursuant to the conditions specified in Section 5230(j)(1)(A)) and is located in a High or Highest Resource Area as specified on the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map shall receive 2 points for each full percent that the project's eligible basis is less than the project's CDLAC adjusted threshold basis limit. For purposes of this subdivision, a project's CDLAC adjusted threshold basis limit as determined pursuant to Section 10327(c)(5) of the CTCAC regulations, except that the increase for deeper targeting pursuant to Section 10327(c)(5)(C) of the CTCAC regulations shall be limited to 80%.



(m) Site amenities (10 points maximum). A project shall receive up to 10 site amenity points for which it is eligible pursuant to Section 10325(c)(4)(A) of the CTCAC regulations, except that a maximum of three points shall be available to any project that meets the Resource Area criteria of Section 10325(c)(4)(A)11.

(n) Negative Points (no maximum).

(1) The Committee may deduct points for an Application involving a Project Sponsor that has been or is a Related Party to a Project Sponsor (i.e. in the ownership structure) for which an Allocation has been awarded as follows:

(A) Ten (10) points may be deducted for each failure to fully utilize the leveraged soft resources for which points were awarded in connection with the prior Allocation, unless it can be demonstrated that the failure was unforeseen and entirely outside of the Project Sponsor's control or the amount not utilized is not material, or is the result of voluntarily returning leveraged soft resources due to the project being over-sourced, or if a change in federal or state law provides additional financial resources that result in a reduction in leveraged soft resources. This deduction may be assessed against the Project Sponsor for a period of up to two (2) calendar years (10 points each year) from the date on which the prior Allocation was awarded.

(B) Ten (10) points may be deducted for each failure to issue Bonds or utilize 90% or more of a Supplemental Allocation, unless it can be demonstrated that the failure was unforeseen and entirely outside of the Project Sponsor's control. This deduction may be assessed against the Project Sponsor for a period of up to two (2) succeeding years (10 points each year) following the year the Allocation was awarded.

(C) Ten (10) points may be deducted for each failure to spend the proceeds of Bonds issued pursuant to an Allocation in full, or in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Committee Resolution, unless it can be demonstrated that the failure was unforeseen and entirely outside of the Project Sponsor's control, the amount not spent is not material or is consistent with the requirements of Section 5052(b), or the deviation from the terms and conditions of the Committee Resolution is not material. This deduction may be assessed against the Project Sponsor for a period of up to three (3) calendar years (10 points each year) from the date of determination of failure to spend proceeds.

(D) Ten (10) points may be deducted for failure to comply with any provision of the Committee Resolution, unless it can be demonstrated that the failure was unforeseen and entirely outside of the Project Sponsor's control. This deduction may be assessed for a period of up to three (3) calendar years (10 points each year) from the date of determination of non-compliance with the Committee Resolution.

(2) Where CTCAC has determined an Application for tax credits involving a Project Sponsor that has been or is a Related Party to a Project Sponsor who is subject to negative points under its regulations, CDLAC will deduct an equal amount of points for an equal period of time from tax exempt bond applications involving the Project Sponsor or a Related Party to the Project Sponsor.

(3) Where CTCAC has determined an Applicant for tax credits involving a Project Sponsor that has been a Related Party to a Project sponsor who is subject to any type of determination of ineligibility, CDLAC will recognize the length of ineligibility and apply it to the tax exempt bond applications involving the Project Sponsor or Related Party to the Project Sponsor.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## § 5231. Ranking.

After all Applications for Qualified Residential Rental Projects are evaluated pursuant to Section 5230, the Applications shall be ranked and may be awarded an Allocation as follows, except that a project shall not receive a bond allocation if it had requested and is not scheduled to receive an award of State Tax Credits:

(a) Applications for Rural Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for Rural Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the <u>tie breaker tiebreaker</u> pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the Rural Pool. Applications for Rural Projects not receiving an Allocation will not be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivisions (b), (c) or (e) of this section.

(b) Applications for Preservation Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for Preservation Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the tiebreaker pursuant to 5231(g) as applicable shall be awarded an Allocation from the Preservation Project Pool. Applications for Preservation Projects not receiving an Allocation pursuant to this subdivision will not be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivision (a), (c) or (e) of this section.

(c) Applications for Other Rehabilitation Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for Other Rehabilitation Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker tiebreaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the Other Rehabilitation Pool. Applications for Other Rehabilitation Projects not receiving an Allocation pursuant to this subdivision will not be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivisions (a), (b) or (e) of this section.

(d) Applications for BIPOC Projects will be ranked amongst themselves, and separately from Applications for all other Qualified Residential Rental Projects. Applications for BIPOC Projects awarded the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker tiebreaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the BIPOC Pool. Applications for BIPOC Projects not receiving an Allocation pursuant to this subdivision shall be eligible for consideration for an Allocation under subdivisions (a), (b), (c), and (e) of this section. The number of awards received by individuals, entities, affiliates, and related entities in the BIPOC Pool is limited to no more than one (1) per competitive round and two (2) per year unless the pool is undersubscribed. This limitation is applicable to project applicants, developers, sponsors, owners, general partners, and to parent companies, principals of entities, and family members.

(e) Applications for Qualified Residential Rental Projects that are New Construction Projects, exclusive of Rural Projects, will then be ranked together. Applications receiving the greatest number of points after factoring in the tie breaker tiebreaker pursuant to Section 5231(g), as applicable, shall be awarded an Allocation from the New Construction Pool in the following manner.

(1)(A) Set Aside application selection. Beginning with the top ranked application from the Homeless Set Aside, subject to the conditions in Section 5231(e)(1)(B), followed by the Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside, and the Mixed Income Set Aside, the highest scoring applications in each Set Aside shall be awarded an Allocation pursuant to the procedures in Section 5231(f). A project that meets the criteria of both the Homeless Set Aside and the Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside shall be eligible for an allocation from either Set Aside. If the Homeless Set Aside is undersubscribed in a competitive round the remaining funds will transfer to the Extremely/Very Low Income Set Aside. All New Construction Projects, exclusive of Rural Projects, that do not receive an allocation from a Set Aside shall be eligible for an allocation from their respective geographic region pursuant to subdivision (e)(2).

(B) For purposes of the Homeless Set Aside only, applications for projects in which at least 45% of the tax credit units are designated for homeless households as defined in Section 10315(b)(1)-(4) of the CTCAC regulations at affordable rents consistent with Section 10325(g)(3) of the CTCAC regulations shall be awarded an Allocation prior to any other application eligible for the Homeless Set Aside provided that those



projects earn at least 95% (rounded down to the nearest whole number) of the maximum available points pursuant to Section 5230.

(2) Geographic region application selection. Bonds available in the New Construction Pool that are not reserved to a Set Aside shall be allocated to the highest ranking applications according to the geographic allocation described in Section 5022. Projects receiving an allocation in the Rural, Preservation, Other Rehabilitation, or BIPOC Pools or in the Homeless, Extremely Low/Very Low Income, and Mixed Income Set Asides shall not be counted towards the geographic apportionments.

(3) In the final allocation round of the year, any bonds remaining in any QRRP pool, Set Aside, or geographic region shall be allocated to the highest-ranking <u>New Construction</u> Project or Projects. Those amounts shall not be added to the respective QRRP pool, Set Aside, or geographic region in the following year, and any allocations pursuant to this paragraph shall not be subtracted from the geographic allocations in the following year.

(4) At the last allocation meeting of the year, the Committee shall establish a waiting list of new Construction Projects that have not received an allocation in the final allocation round, ordered from highest to lowest ranking.

(f) If the last project allocation in a Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region requires more than the bonds remaining in that Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region, those overages shall be subtracted from that Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region in determining the amount available in the Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region for the subsequent allocation round. The last project to be allocated in a Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region shall not receive an Allocation unless at least 80%, or 100% in the final round of the year, of the requested Allocation for that project is remaining in that Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region for that round. When the first or next highest-ranking project does not meet the 80% or 100% rule above, that project, as well as any subsequent projects in rank order that also do not meet the 80% or 100% rule, may be skipped over to the next highest-ranking project that meets the 80% or 100% rule. However, a project shall not be funded by this skipping process unless it has a point score within one point of the first project skipped and has a final tiebreaker score equal to at least 75% of the first skipped project's final tiebreaker score. If bonds within a Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region remain unallocated at the end of an allocation round, they shall be added to the subsequent round amounts in the same Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region. In the final allocation round of the year, the allocations within a Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region shall not exceed the amount of bonds available in the Pool, Set Aside, or geographic region.

(g) If two or more Applications are awarded the same total number of points, those Applications shall be ranked according to the highest amount of public benefit per dollar of cost-adjusted Bond and State Credit Allocation requested.

(1) A project's public benefit is the sum of all of the following:

(A) The project's unit production benefit, which is the product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units multiplied by \$50,000. To calculate a project's bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units, the Committee shall first multiply the number of tax credit units of each bedroom count by the adjustment factor for units of that bedroom count. A project's bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units shall be the sum of each of these products. The adjustment factors shall be .9 for a studio unit, 1 for a 1-bedroom unit, 1.25 for a 2-bedroom unit, 1.5 for a 3-bedroom unit (up to no more than 30% of the total units, then those additional units shall be counted as 2-bedroom units), and 1.75 for a 4-bedroom or larger unit (up to no more than 10% of the total units, then those additional units shall be counted as 2-bedroom units.

(B) The project's rent savings benefit, which is the product of the sum across all tax credit units of each unit's difference between the monthly fair market rent established by HUD for the county in which the project is located and the area median income monthly gross rent limit for that unit at the targeted rent level for the appropriate bedroom size, all calculated according to the methodology



for tax credit rents, multiplied by 180. If this calculation results in a negative number for any particular unit, then the rent savings benefit for that unit shall not be lower than zero. Units with federal project-based rental assistance shall be assigned targeted rent levels of 30% AMI regardless of their actual income targeting. If the average affordability of tax credit units, exclusive of units with rental assistance, is less than 40% AMI, then the calculation shall assume a targeted rent level of 40% AMI for each tax credit unit that does not have rental assistance.

(C) The project's population benefit, which is comprised of an ELI benefit and a special populations benefit.

1. The ELI benefit is the product of the number of tax credit units targeted at 30% of AMI or below, limited to no more than 50% of tax credit units, multiplied by \$20,000.

2. The special populations benefit is the product of the number of tax credit units restricted to persons with Special Needs, as defined in Section 10325(g)(3) of the CTCAC regulations, or veterans, limited to no more than 50 than 50% of tax credit units, multiplied by \$10,000.

(D) The project's location benefit, which is comprised of a Resource Area benefit, a Community Revitalization Area benefit, and a transit/walkability benefit. If a project is eligible for both a Resource Area benefit and a Community Revitalization Area benefit, the applicant shall select only one of these benefits. The Resource Area benefit and Community Revitalization Area benefits shall not be additive.

1. The Resource Area benefit is one of the following:

(i) The product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units in a Large Family or Permanent Supportive Housing Project located in a Highest Resource Area as specified on the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map multiplied by \$30,000.

(ii) The product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units in a Large Family or Permanent Supportive Housing Project located in a High Resource Area as specified on the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map multiplied by \$20,000.

(iii) The product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units in a Large Family or Permanent Supportive Housing Project located in a Moderate Resource Area as specified on the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map multiplied by \$10,000. An applicant may choose to utilize the census tract or census block group resource designation from the CTCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps in effect when the initial site control was obtained up to seven calendar years prior to the application.

2. The Community Revitalization benefit is the product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units that are located in a Community Revitalization Area and are a component in the Area's Community Revitalization Plan multiplied by \$20,000.

(i) A project is ineligible for this benefit if it receives a Resource Area benefit.

(ii) A project is ineligible for this benefit if it receives a Community Revitalization Area benefit.

3. The transit/walkability benefit is the sum of the following:



(i) The product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units within the project, multiplied by the number of transit site amenity points the project receives pursuant to Section 5230(m), multiplied by \$4,000;

(ii) The product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units within the project, multiplied by the number of non-transit site amenity point categories for which the project is eligible for the maximum points pursuant to Section 5230(m) (see CTCAC regulation Section 10325(c)(4)(A)2. through 9.), multiplied by \$4,000. For purposes of this subdivision, the site amenity distances shall be measured by a walkable path;

(iii) The product of the bedroom-adjusted number of tax credit units included with a project that has received an award from HCD's Transit Oriented Development Program or Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program, or that is located within ¼ mile of a transit stop with service at least every 30 minutes during peak hours (or at least two departures during each peak period for a commuter rail station or ferry terminal) or within ½ mile of a transit stop with service at least every 15 minutes (or at least four departures during each peak period for a commuter rail station or ferry terminal) multiplied by \$25,000. For purposes of this subdivision, a "transit stop" is a bus rapid transit station, light rail station, commuter rail station, ferry terminal, bus station, or public bus stop, and "peak hours" are from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

(2) The cost-adjusted Bond and State Credit Allocation shall be calculated by reducing the unadjusted Bond and State Credit Allocation request by the following, as applicable:

(A) 15% for projects that are paid for in whole or in part out of public funds and are subject to a legal requirement for the payment of state or federal prevailing wages <u>on the entire project</u>.

(B) Either 10% for projects in which at least 95% of the construction is Type I, as defined in Title 24, Section 602.2 of the California Building Code.; or 5% for projects in which at least 95% of the construction is Type III, as defined in Title 24, Section 602.3 of the California Building Code, or a combination of Type I and Type III.

(C) 25% of the statewide basis delta for the county in which the project is located. At least 10 days prior to the first application deadline of each calendar year, the Committee shall publish the statewide basis delta for each county, which shall represent the percentage difference between the two-bedroom 4% tax credit threshold basis limit for the county and the median two-bedroom 4% tax credit threshold basis limit for any county in the state, as those limits are determined by CTCAC pursuant to Section 10302(rr) of the CTCAC regulations.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5232. Competitive Application Process Maximum Allocation Amount.

(a) For projects subject to the Competitive Application Process, the Committee will allocate no more than seventyfive million dollars (\$75,000,000) for any proposed Qualified Residential Rental Project. Where a proposed Qualified Residential Rental Project is located within one-fourth (1/4) mile of another Qualified Residential Rental Project involving the same Project Sponsor or a Related Party to the Project Sponsor, the Allocation amounts for the Qualified Residential Rental Projects cannot, in the aggregate, exceed seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) within a calendar year.



(b) The Committee may waive this maximum allocation amount if the Committee determines that the demand for allocation for Qualified Residential Rental Projects is such that the maximum allocation amount is not warranted. An Applicant requesting an Allocation in excess of seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) may seek a waiver from the Committee based on the following factors:

(1) The Qualified Residential Rental Project qualifies as a At-Risk Project; or

(2) Documentation is provided in the Application indicating why a Qualified Residential Rental Project cannot be developed in phases at a seventy-five-million-dollar (\$75,000,000) level. The documentation must be specific and may include, but is not limited to, a site plan detailing the layout of the subject property, unit mix per stage of the phase, any unique features of the property which inhibits phasing, a description of infrastructure costs, and a cost breakdown by phases.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5233. Allocation Limits.

(a) Limit CDLAC bond allocation on <u>all units on</u> a per-unit basis (adjusted by the number of bedrooms) in the QRRP Pools as follows:

Studio and SRO:	\$522,000
One-bedroom:	\$544,000
Two-bedroom:	\$580,000
Three-bedroom:	\$638,000
Four- or more bedroom:	\$671,000

(b) Private Activity Bond allocation awards cannot exceed 55% of the aggregated depreciable basis plus land basis. In determining compliance with this test, CDLAC staff may rely on the legal or tax opinion submitted with the application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 9. Supplemental Allocation

#### § 5240. Supplemental Allocation Process.

(a) Requests for Supplemental Allocations may be submitted throughout the year. Except as provided in subdivision (b), staff shall review each request for Supplemental Allocation and make a recommendation to the Committee regarding any possible award of additional Allocation. Awards of Supplemental Allocations pursuant to this subdivision shall be memorialized in a Committee Resolution. All applicable requirements imposed on the associated initial project Allocation, including, but not limited to, the expiration of Allocation, Bond issuance deadlines, extensions, transfers of Allocation, carryforward elections and reporting shall be equally applicable to Supplemental Allocations.



(b) The Committee may delegate authority to the Executive Director to award Supplemental Allocation to projects where the total delegated supplemental requests are both of the following:

- (1) No more than 10% of the project's Committee approved allocation; and
- (2) No more than 52% of the aggregate depreciable basis plus land basis.

(c) For projects awarded Supplemental Allocation where the original allocation was awarded in Round 2 of 2022 or later, no increase in the developer fee shall be permitted in association with the increase in costs related to the project, and the Project Sponsor shall be subject to reduction in its tiebreaker calculation determined by the Committee for a period of one round following the award of Supplemental Allocation.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5241. Realignment of Expiration Dates.

Projects awarded a Supplemental Allocation for which no Bonds were issued from the original award, or any prior <u>Supplemental</u> of Allocation, shall have the expiration date of the <u>most recentoriginal awardallocation</u>.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 10. Scattered Site Applications

§ 5250. Application Requirements.

(a) Applications for Scattered Site Projects shall provide all information required for each site. Additional stipulations are as follows:

(1) For acquisition and rehabilitation projects, a Capital Needs Assessment report may combine information for all Project sites in one report.

(2) For new construction projects and acquisition/rehabilitation projects, a Market Study may combine information for all Project sites in one report; however, the Market Study shall have separate Rent Comparability Matrices for each site.

(3) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Projects where each location is subject to an existing Residential Rental Regulatory Agreement or a federal, state, or local operating or rental assistance agreement may provide, as an alternative to providing a market study and affordability matrices consistent with Sections 5200(a) and 5250(a)(3), a comprehensive market study consistent with 26 U.S.C. Section 42(m)(1)(A)(iii). The study must be a written statement certified by a third party market analyst and the project must meet at least one of the following requirements:

(A) as certified by a third-party market analyst, the proposed tenant paid rents and income targeting will not exceed one hundred-five percent (105%) of the current rents and targeting and a vacancy rate of no more than five percent (5%); for single room occupancy and special needs housing a vacancy rate of no more than ten percent (10%); or



(B) as evidenced by copies of executed contracts, the project has been receiving federal, state, or local operating or rental assistance and will continue to receive such assistance for at least five (5) additional years. If a contract demonstrating operating or rental assistance for an additional five (5) years is not available, a letter signed by the contractor's senior official may be submitted that describes the efforts undertaken to effectuate an operating or rental assistance contract, the expected duration of the contract, and the expected contract execution date.

- (4) Evidence of site control shall be required for each site.
- (5) Any maps provided shall include each site.

(b) An Applicant may seek a waiver of the Scattered Site five (5) location limit. A written request describing how the project will benefit from waiver of the location limit must be submitted no later than the application due date for the allocation round in which the Project is seeking an allocation.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5251. Evaluation Criteria.

Each site within an Application for a Scattered Site shall be evaluated individually for points as provided in section 5230. The total points awarded to a Project in any category shall be based on the pro-rata share of total units each site represents. For instance, if only one site meets the threshold for an award of 5 points and the site represents 40% of total units, the Project shall be awarded two (2) points for this category (40% x 5 points).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# Article 11. Application Process for Projects Assisted by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

## § 5255. Application Requirements.

(a) A CDLAC Forward Commitment letter may be granted in lieu of an award of allocation until the Applicant receives the HUD Firm Commitment letter for the Project. A complete Open Qualified Residential Rental Pool Application may be submitted when the Project meets the following requirements:

(1) Applications must meet the requirements of a Qualified Residential Rental Project, as described in Chapter 2.

(2) Applications may be submitted at any time with an expected staff review period of at least thirty (30) days.

(3) The Applicant must disclose upon application that the Protect is a FHA financed development.

(4) In lieu of a HUD Firm Commitment letter, a MAP Lender commitment letter outlining the FHA financing must accompany the Application.



(5) All awards of allocation following a CDLAC Forward Commitment must occur prior to the last day of the calendar year.

(6) Proof of HUD Firm Commitment Application Submittal will be due within thirty (30) days of CDLAC Forward Commitment Approval.

(b) The Committee shall make an award of allocation for a new Application if the following is submitted no later than the application due date for the allocation round in which the project is seeking an allocation:

- (1) a complete Standard QRRP Application and application fee;
- (2) a MAP Lender commitment letter outlining the FHA financing; and
- (3) a HUD Development Acknowledgement Letter.

(c) All projects requesting an assignment and assumption of an existing HAP Agreement must have submitted their assignment and assumption application to HUD by the CDLAC application date.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5256. Evaluation Criteria.

(a) Applications will be reviewed according to the Multi-Family Housing criteria, as referenced in Chapter 2, Article 8, Section 5230.

(b) Applications meeting the requirements of Chapter 2, Article 8, Section 5230 will be provided a Forward Commitment in lieu of an award of allocation.

(c) Upon receipt of a HUD Firm Commitment letter, CDLAC will present an allocation recommendation to the Committee for formal approval. The CDLAC closing timeframe will commence once the Committee grants the allocation.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 12. Expiring Projects in Difficult Development Areas

#### § 5258. Eligibility Retention.

(a) To confirm that a Qualified Residential Rental Project (QRRP) application is complete in order to retain a project's current year Difficult Development Area/Qualified Census Tract (DDA/QCT) status, an Applicant must submit the following items to CDLAC no later than 16 days prior to the expiration date of the project's DDA status:

(1) the project's completed Qualified Residential Rental Project application; and



(2) a written statement identifying the CDLAC allocation round in which the Applicant intends to seek an allocation, pursuant to a CDLAC generated list of eligible allocation rounds for projects in expiring DDA/QCT areas; and

(3) a written request that CDLAC confirm the Application is complete.

(b) Upon determining that the application is complete, CDLAC will, prior to the expiration of the project's DDA status, provide the Applicant with a letter stating that the application is complete.

(c) The letter described in subsection (b) shall be void and of no effect unless the bond issuances for the project occur within the federally mandated timeframe for bond issuances applicable to projects with expiring DDA statuses.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

Article 13. Qualified Residential Rental Project Allocation Request Process for December 2017 [Repealed]

§ 5259. Allocation Request Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## Chapter 3. Single Family Housing

#### Article 1. Definitions

## § 5260. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

"Applicable Median Family Income" means the applicable median family income defined by 26 U.S.C. section 143(f)(4), except that the definition of income contained in subdivision B of 26 U.S.C. section 143(f)(4) shall not apply to Applicants for a Single Family Housing Program.

"Fair Share Allocation Amount" means the amount of Allocation each Local Issuer shall receive pursuant to the Fair Share Basis definition.

"Fair Share Basis" means that each county shall receive a proportionate share of the amount reserved for Local Issuers based on the population of the county relative to the State's total population. Populations will be based on data published by the California State Department of Finance Demographics Unit. Where there is more than one Local Issuer in a county, each Local Issuer shall receive a proportionate share of the county's reservation based on the population of the jurisdictions served by an Issuer relative to the county's total population, or as agreed upon by the participating Local Issuers.

"Homeownership Assistance" means financial assistance, including down-payment assistance, closing cost assistance, soft-second financing for the purchase of a home, or such alternative homeownership assistance as proposed by the Applicant in the Application and approved by the Committee. The Homeownership Assistance must; one, be in a minimum amount of \$7,500 or 3% of the purchase price of the home, whichever is greater; two, be structured in the form of either a grant or a deferred payment loan where the payment of principal and interest is deferred until such time as the home is sold or re-financed; and three, include an incentive, to be proposed by the Applicant, for Program Participants to fully perform the three (3) year service commitment. Applicants will not be required to establish a distinct and separate homeownership program; existing programs may be used. The Committee may delegate to the Chair or to the Executive Director of the Committee the authority to accept and consider homeownership assistance of different types or characteristics than those specifically enumerated or required by this definition. The Committee may establish, or concur with the establishment of, higher assistance limits to ensure program participation in high cost areas.

"MCC Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Mortgage Credit Certificate Program" (revised 03 15 2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"MRB Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Single-Family Housing Bond Program" (revised 11 30 2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Performance Achievement Index" means the percentage of households that participated in a Single Family Housing Program having met the goals set forth in section 5266 expressed as a percentage of the minimum goal committed to by the Applicant. For example, if the number of households earning eighty percent (80%) or less of the Applicable Median family Income of the area consisted of only 38% of the participants in a program, then based on a committed goal of 40%, the Performance Achievement Index would equal 95% (38% divided by 40%).



"Qualified Census Tract" means any census tract that is designated by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development pursuant to 26 U.S.C. section 42(d)(5)(C).

"VHLP Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Veteran's Home Loan Program" (revised 03 15 2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

## Article 2. Eligibility Requirements

## § 5265. Application Process.

Applications for an Allocation of the Single Family Housing Program Pool shall be considered in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1 and the submission of an MRB Application, a VHLP Application or an MCC Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5266. Participation Goals.

An Applicant requesting an Allocation for a Single Family Housing Program must commit to the following goals:

(a) A minimum of forty percent (40%) of the participants in the Single Family Housing Program must be households:

(1) Earning eighty percent (80%) or less of the Applicable Median Family Income of the area in which the program is located; or

(2) Located in a Qualified Census Tract. Applicants may use the high-cost area adjustment set forth in 26 U.S.C. section 143(f)(5) to meet this minimum requirement.

(b) An Applicant that is unable to meet the requirement outlined in subdivision (a) of this section, may request an exemption. However, in no case may less than thirty-five percent (35%) of the participants in the Single Family Housing Program be households:

(1) Earning eighty percent (80%) or less of the Applicable Median Family Income of the area in which the program is located; or

(2) Located in a Qualified Census Tract. Applicants may use the high-cost area adjustment set forth in 26 U.S.C. section 143(f)(5) to meet this minimum requirement.

(c) To be considered for an exemption an Applicant must submit documentation of the programmatic or economic reasons why the requirement outlined in subdivision (a) of this section cannot be met.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## § 5267. Consistency with Adopted Housing Elements.

(a) The proposed Single Family Housing Program must be consistent with the adopted housing element(s) for the jurisdiction(s) in which the program is to be operated. The California Department of Housing and Community Development must have determined the jurisdiction's adopted housing element to be in substantial compliance with the requirements of Article 10.6 (commencing with Section 65580) of Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code. In addition, as required under Section 65400 of the Government Code, the jurisdiction must have submitted an annual progress report to the California Department of Housing and Community Development for the preceding 12-month calendar year.

(b) Applicants requesting Allocation to implement a new Mortgage Credit Certificate Program shall submit the following:

- (1) Copies of the publicly adopted documents required by section 5031(b); and
- (2) Copies of the program or operational manual.

(c) Applicants requesting Allocation for an existing Mortgage Credit Certificate Program shall submit the following:

(1) A certification that the previously publicly adopted documents required in section 5031(b) are valid and remain in force; or

(2) Provide copies of newly publicly adopted documents.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5268. Mortgage Revenue Bond Eligibility.

(a) For Mortgage Revenue Bond Programs, in order to be eligible for a new Single Family Housing Program Allocation, the Applicant shall:

(1) Demonstrate that all proceeds from a bond issuance in the calendar year three (3) years prior to the current year (other than minor amounts not to exceed \$1 million) have been used to finance loans, or; have been refunded on either a short or long term basis so as to be available to finance loans.

(2) Certify that any remaining Bond proceeds or authority from an Allocation up to two (2) calendar years prior to the current year will be used either before the use of new Allocation or in conjunction with new Allocation in satisfying federal requirements for such prior funds.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5269. Mortgage Credit Certificate Eligibility.

(a) For Mortgage Credit Certificate programs, in order to be eligible for a new Single Family Housing Program Allocation, the Applicant must:

(1) Demonstrate that all remaining bond authority in the calendar year two (2) years prior to the current year (other than minor amounts not to exceed \$1 million) have been issued to first time home buyers.



(2) Certify that any Mortgage Credit Certificate authority remaining from the year prior to the current year will be used before the use of new Mortgage Credit Certificate authority.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5270. Exceptions to Minimum Requirements.

The Committee may consider exceptions to the minimum requirements based upon detailed information submitted by the Applicant that meeting these requirements presents an undue financial burden or economic hardship for the Applicant. The Committee may delegate the discretion to approve or deny an Applicant's request for such exception to the Chair of the Committee or to the Executive Director. With respect to sections 5268 and 5269, to be granted an exception an Applicant must demonstrate its need to use new Allocation even if unused Mortgage Revenue Bond Allocation or Mortgage Credit Certificate authority totals over \$1,000,000 from prior years.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5271. Allocation Method.

Applicants for the Single Family Housing Program Pool will be awarded an Allocation on a Fair Share Basis. If a request exceeds an Applicant's Fair Share, additional funding can be provided to the extent allocation is available in the Undesignated Reserve Pool in the allocation year the funding is requested.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5273. Income and Purchase Price Certification.

The Applicant's bond or tax counsel must certify that the income and purchase price limits outlined in the CDLAC application for the program were established in accordance with a methodology authorized by the Internal Revenue Code.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 3. Evaluation Criteria

#### § 5275. Minimum Goals.

(a) An Applicant receiving an Allocation for a Single Family Housing Program will be held accountable for achieving the minimum goals that were considered by the Committee in awarding the Allocation. The Committee will monitor on an annual basis the programs awarded an Allocation. An Applicant whose Single Family Housing Program did not achieve the participation goals set forth in section 5266 in the previous calendar year, will have their Fair Share Allocation Amount reduced subject to following schedule:



Performance Achievement Index		Percentage of Fair Share Allocation Amount
91%	100%	100%
81%	90%	90%
71%	80%	80%
61%	70%	70%
0%	60%	60%

(b) The Committee may consider exceptions to the above schedule of reduced Allocation where the Applicant provides full written documentation of the reasons for the underachievement demonstrating that the circumstances surrounding the underachievement are beyond the control of the Applicant. The Committee may delegate the discretion to approve or deny an Applicant's request for such exception to the Chair or to the Executive Director.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 4. Single Family Housing Bonus Pool

## § 5280. Eligibility Requirements.

If the Committee has established a Single Family Housing Program Bonus Pool in accordance with section 5020(c), Applicants may be eligible if the following is demonstrated:

(a) For Mortgage Revenue Bond Programs:

- (1) Demonstrate that Bonds allocated from the current year's Single Family Housing Pool have been issued.
- (2) Certify that proceeds from the current year's allocation are being used to finance loans.
- (3) Justify the need for additional Allocation.

(b) For Mortgage Credit Certificate Programs:

(1) Demonstrate that Bonds allocated from the current year's Single Family Housing Pool have been converted into Mortgage Credit Certificate authority.

- (2) Certify that Mortgage Credit Certificates are being issued.
- (3) Justify the need for additional Allocation.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5281. Evaluation Criteria.

(a) The following criteria will be used to evaluate and rank all Applications considered for the Single Family Housing Program Bonus Pool. All Applicants for Bonus Pool Allocation are required to meet a minimum score of fifteen (15) points.



(b) Five (5) points will be awarded where a minimum of twenty-five percent (25%) of program participants are households earning sixty percent (60%) or less of the Applicable Median Family Income of the area in which the program is located.

(c) Five (5) points will be awarded where the program has exceeded its prior year's program performance (based on the most recent yearly data that is available) by ten percent (10%) in assisting households earning sixty percent (60%) or less of the Applicable Median Family Income of the area in which the program is located.

(d) Five (5) points will be awarded where the program will address a demonstrable imbalance between jobs and housing in the community or neighborhood based on sufficient evidence provided to the Committee.

(e) Five (5) points will be awarded where at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the program activity will occur in a Community Revitalization Area.

(f) Five (5) points will be awarded where at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the program activity will occur in rural locations to assist units that will be developed under a low-income self-help ownership program or be restricted for sale to low income households engaged in agricultural employment as described in section 7202 of the Health and Safety Code.

(g) Five (5) points will be awarded where the program is augmented with a down payment assistance program provided by the Applicant or by the other participating jurisdictions.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5282. Allocation Method.

Applicants for the Single Family Housing Bonus Pool will be awarded an Allocation of the Single Family Housing Program Bonus Pool on a Fair Share Basis.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5283. Excess Bonus Pool Distribution.

(a) If the Committee has established that any portion of the Single Family Housing Program Pool and Single Family Housing Bonus Pool is remaining by the final meeting of the year, this amount will be made available to Local Issuers under the Single Family Housing Bonus Pool regardless of their initial Fair Share Basis limit or amount of Allocation awarded in the current year.

(b) Subsequent to the determination made in subdivision (a) of this section, awards in this round will be based on the pro-rata population of the jurisdictions served by the Applicant relative to the total population served by the winning Applicants, but shall not exceed the amount requested in the Application.

(c) If the total amount requested by all Applicants as determined in subdivision (b) of this section is less than the amount available as determined in subdivision (a) of this section, and there are Applicants whose pro-rata portion is less than their request, the Committee will consider distributing the excess up to the full amount requested.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## Article 5. Veterans Home Loan Program

## § 5290. Veterans Home Loan Program (VHLP).

The Veterans Home Loan Program will utilize Mortgage Revenue Bonds to assist eligible California veterans with advantageous first mortgages that are at a minimum commensurate with similar state administered Single Family Housing Programs with respect to interest rates and Homeownership Assistance. Allocations will be made on the condition that the entire Allocation will be used to provide below market interest rate mortgages to California veterans.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.

## § 5291. VHLP Reporting Requirements.

An Applicant receiving an Allocation for a Veterans Home Loan Program shall be responsible for submitting an annual report of program activity to the Committee. The format for the annual report is outlined in Attachment M of the VHLP Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.



## Chapter 4. Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program

## Article 1. Definitions

## § 5300. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code sections 8869.82 and 8869.84(g); and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

"Academic Performance Index" or "API" means the index created by the Public Schools Accountability Act of 1999 to measure the performance of schools, especially the academic performance of pupils, and to demonstrate comparable improvement in academic achievement by all numerically significant ethnic and socio-economically disadvantaged subgroups within schools (Education Code 52052).

"Eligible Administrator" means any person who holds one of the following credentials issued by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing:

- Administrative Services Credential Administrative Services Credential (Examination)
- Standard Supervision Credential Standard Administration Credential
- General Elementary School Administration Credential General Elementary School Supervision Credential
- General Secondary School Administration Credential General Secondary School Supervision Credential
- General Administration Credential General Supervision Credential
- The Supervision Credential General School Principal or Supervisor Credential

"Eligible Classified Employee" means an employee of a school district employed in a position not requiring certification qualifications and who provides administration or service at a High Priority School.

"Eligible Staff Member" means any person who holds one of the following credentials issued by the California Commission on Teaching Credentialing:

- School Nurse Credential
- Clinical or Rehabilitation Service Credential
- Pupil Personnel Services Credential (e.g. School Counseling, School Social Work, School Psychology and Child Welfare and Attendance)
- Library Media Teacher Service Credential
- Designated Subjects Vocational Education Teaching Credential

"Eligible Teacher" means any person who holds one of the following credentials issued by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing:

- Single Subject Teaching Credential
- Multiple Subject Teaching Credential
- Specialist Instruction Credential in Special Education
- Education Specialist Instruction Credential
- Standard Elementary Teaching Credential



- Standard Secondary Teaching Credential
- Standard Early Childhood Education Teaching Credential
- Standard Restricted Special Education Teaching Credential
- General Kindergarten-Primary Teaching Credential
- General Junior High Teaching Credential
- General Elementary Teaching Credential
- Special Secondary Teaching Credential in Art
- General Secondary Teaching Credential
- Special Secondary Teaching Credential in Business Ed
- Special Credential for Teaching Exceptional Children
- Special Secondary Teaching Credential in Homemaking
- Special Secondary Credential for Teaching Lip Reading
- Special Secondary Credential for Teaching the Blind
- Special Secondary Limited Teaching Credential in Music
- Special Secondary Credential for Teaching the Partially Sighted Child
- Special Secondary Credential for Teaching Industrial Arts
- Special Secondary Teaching Credential in Speech Arts
- Special Secondary Teaching Credential in Music
- Special Secondary Credential for Teaching the Mentally Retarded
- Special Secondary Credential for Teaching Credential Limited in Agriculture
- Special Secondary Teaching Credential in Correction of Speech Defects
- Special Secondary Teaching Credential in Physical Ed.

"ETCHP Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for an Extra Teacher Credit Home Purchase Program" (revised 11-16-16), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Eligibility Certificate" means the certification to be completed and submitted by the employing school district, County Office of Education or local Board of Education that certifies to all of the following: The Program Participant is an Eligible Teacher, Eligible Administrator, Eligible Classified Employee, or Eligible Staff Member; the Program Participant is not currently under suspension, and there is not currently pending any disciplinary inquiry, investigation, action or proceeding that could result in the suspension or dismissal of the Program Participant; the entity completing the certificate has verified with the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing that the credential of the Program Participant is not currently under suspension, and there is not currently pending any disciplinary inquiry, investigation, action or proceeding that could result in the suspension or teacher Credentialing that the credential of the Program Participant; and the personnel file of the Program Participant reflects that he or she has not been dismissed from employment with any school or school district for any reason, and that he or she has not been the subject of a disciplinary suspension that has been upheld.

"High Priority School" means a California K-12 public school ranked in the bottom 50% of all schools based on the most recent Academic Performance Index, i.e. schools receiving an API Statewide Ranking of 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5.

"Homeownership Assistance" means financial assistance, including down-payment assistance, closing cost assistance, soft-second financing for the purchase of a home, or such alternative homeownership assistance as proposed by the Applicant in the Application and approved by the Committee. The Homeownership Assistance must: Be in a minimum amount of \$7,500 or 3% of the purchase price of the home, whichever is greater; be structured in the form of either a grant or a deferred payment loan where the payment of principal and interest is deferred until such time as the home is sold or re-financed; and include an incentive, to be proposed by the Applicant, for Program Participants to fully perform the three (3) year service commitment. Applicants will not be required to establish a distinct and separate homeownership program; existing programs may be used. The Committee may delegate to the Chair or to the Executive Director of the Committee the authority to accept and consider homeownership assistance of different types or characteristics than those specifically enumerated or required by this definition. The Committee may establish, or concur with the establishment of, higher assistance limits to ensure program participation in high cost areas.



"National Board Certification" means certification from the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards based upon successful completion of a voluntary assessment program covering a variety of subject areas and student developmental levels.

"Program Participant" means an Eligible Teacher, Eligible Administrator, Eligible Classified Employee, or Eligible Staff Member who receives a Mortgage Credit Certificate or a loan funded by Mortgage Revenue Bonds from an Issuer receiving an Allocation from the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.84(g), Government Code.

## Article 2. Eligibility Requirements

#### § 5310. Application Process.

Applications for an Allocation of the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool shall be considered in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1 and the submission of an ECTHP Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5311. Application of Standards.

Issuers of Mortgage Revenue Bonds or Mortgage Credit Certificates pursuant to this chapter may apply these eligibility standards to borrowers without regard to the date of receipt of Allocation.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5312. Applicant Eligibility.

An Applicant requesting an Allocation from the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool must be an approved Issuer of Mortgage Credit Certificates or Mortgage Revenue Bonds and must propose an Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program whereby Mortgage Credit Certificates or Ioans funded by Mortgage Revenue Bonds will be made available to Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, Eligible Classified Employees, and Eligible Staff Members. Issuers of Mortgage Credit Certificates and Mortgage Revenue Bonds may apply jointly.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## § 5313. Program Goals.

The Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program proposed by the Applicant must be for the purpose of recruiting and retaining Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, and Eligible Classified Employees in High Priority Schools, and the Applicant must commit to and describe its plan to promote, publicize and market the program in conjunction with school district(s) and county office(s) of education to Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, and Eligible Classified Employees.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## § 5314. Program Provisions.

The Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program proposed by the Applicant must, at a minimum, include all of the following:

(a) A specific plan that gives priority to Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, and Eligible Classified Employees working in High Priority Schools ranked 1, 2 or 3 in the API rankings.

(b) A provision that Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, and Eligible Classified Employees include such individuals who are assigned to a school district but provide administration or service to at least one High Priority School for the length of the service commitment.

(c) A provision restricting the program to Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, and Eligible Classified Employees who agree, through a written service commitment, to teach, provide administration or service in a High Priority School for a minimum of three (3) years continuously from the date the Mortgage Credit Certificate or the loan funded by Mortgage Revenue Bonds is awarded to the Program Participant, and for whom an Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Eligibility Certificate has been completed and submitted by a duly authorized representative of the employing school district or county office of education.

(d) A written service commitment of the Program Participant. Program Participants are required to certify to the Applicant when they have fully performed the service commitment or request to be excused from the service commitment pursuant to subdivision (e) of this section. Early pay off of a loan does not constitute an excuse from the service commitment. Certifications of service commitment must be signed by either:

(1) A duly authorized representative of the employing school district or county office of education; or

(2) The Program Participant under penalty of perjury.

(e) A provision by which Program Participants will be excused from their service commitment in the following cases:

(1) The Program Participant has been continuously employed at the same school since the date of the service commitment, but the school is no longer considered a High Priority School;

(2) The Program Participant's departure from the High Priority School was involuntary, and was not the result of disciplinary action, and she/he accepts another eligible position at a California K-12 public school within one year of the date of departure;

(3) Hardship cases, including but not limited to serious illness, death and divorce;

(4) Occurrences covered under the Family Medical Leave Act or the California Family Rights Act;



(5) Other exceptions as proposed by the Applicant in the Application and approved by the Committee. The Committee may delegate this authority to the Chair or the Executive Director.

(f) A priority system such that:

(1) In the event an Applicant's program is oversubscribed, the Applicant must provide assistance to Eligible Teachers and Eligible Administrators before providing such assistance to other eligible Program Participants.

(2) Eligible Teachers with National Board Certification shall have priority over Eligible Teachers without such certification.

(3) Applicants may determine how each priority will be implemented (e.g., a program set-asideset aside) and shall indicate such in the Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5315. Alternative Schools. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 3. Evaluation Criteria

#### § 5320. Evaluation Criteria

Upon a determination that an Application meets the minimum requirements pursuant to article 2 of this chapter, Applications will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

(a) The amount of the Homeownership Assistance to be provided and the percentage of Program Participants to whom it will be provided.

(b) The strength of the Applicant's plan to publicize, promote and market the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program to School Districts, County Offices of Education, Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, Eligible Classified Employees and Eligible Staff Members.

(c) The extent to which Applicants show the greatest need within the Applicant's jurisdiction to recruit and retain Eligible Teachers, Eligible Administrators, Eligible Classified Employees and Eligible Staff Members.

(d) The Applicant's past performance, if any, in using past Allocations from the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# § 5321. Allocation Amount

The Committee will determine the amount allocated to each Applicant based upon the evaluation criteria set forth in section 5320, the number of Applicants applying in the Allocation Round, and the amount of allocation available in the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool. The Committee may, in its sole discretion, allocate a larger portion of the Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool to Applicants who administer statewide Mortgage Credit Certificate and Mortgage Revenue Bond programs.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 4. Reporting Requirements

# § 5330. Specific Reports

The Applicant shall annually report to the Committee, no later than January 31 of each year, the following information:

(a) The number of loans or Mortgage Credit Certificates issued aggregated by calendar year;

(b) The schools at which Program Participants are employed, aggregated by API rank and the percent of noncredentialed teachers employed at the school;

(c) The number of Program Participants that have paid off their loans prior to the completion of the service commitment;

(d) The number of Program Participants that successfully complete the service commitment during the prior calendar year;

(e) The number of Program Participants that are currently serving but have not completed the service commitment;

(f) The number of Program Participants that were excused during the prior calendar year from the service commitment under section 5314(e);

(g) The number of Program Participants during the prior calendar year that left a High Priority School without fulfilling their service commitment and who were not eligible for one of the exceptions set forth in section 5314(e);

(h) The number of Program Participants that have not responded to the Applicant's request for certification of the service commitment; and

(i) The total amount of assessment, if any, collected pursuant to section 5340.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# Article 5. Noncompliance

## § 5340. Monetary Assessment

Where a Program Participant fails to fulfill the requirements of the service commitment and has not been excused from the service commitment, the Applicant may recover as an assessment from the Program Participant a monetary amount equal to the lesser of the following:

(a) One-half (1/2) of the Program Participant's net proceeds from the sale of the related residence; or

(b) The amount of monetary benefit conferred on the Program Participant as a result of the loan or Mortgage Credit Certificate, offset by the amount of any federal recapture, as defined by 26 U.S.C. section 143(m).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.84(g), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# Chapter 5. Single Family Housing Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Program

## Article 1. Definitions

# § 5342. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

"Home Improvement and Rehabilitation MCC Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Mortgage Credit Certificate Program" (revised 03 15 2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Home Improvement and Rehabilitation MRB Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Mortgage Revenue Bond Program" (revised 03 15 2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Qualified Home Improvement Loan" means a loan as defined by Title 26 of U.S.C. section 143(k)(4)

"Qualified Rehabilitation Loan" means a loan as defined by Title 26 of U.S.C. section 143(k)(5)

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# Article 2. Eligibility Requirements

#### § 5343. Application Process.

Applications for an Allocation of Home Improvement and Rehabilitation MCCs or MRBs shall be considered in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 1 and the submission of a Home Improvement and Rehabilitation MCC Application or a Home Improvement and Rehabilitation MRB Application. The maximum requested amount of Allocation per Application shall not exceed \$20 million. Should the Application round be deemed non-competitive, the Executive Director may waive this cap.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5344. Minimum Requirements.

(a) An Applicant requesting an Allocation for a Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Program must commit to a minimum of twenty percent (20%) of the participants in the Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Program being:

(1) Households located in a Qualified Census Tract; or



(2) Households earning eighty percent (80%) or less of the Applicable Median Family Income of the area in which the program is located. Applicants may use the high-cost area adjustment set forth in 26 U.S.C. section 143(f)(5) to meet this minimum requirement,

(b) For Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Mortgage Revenue Bond Programs, in order to be eligible for a new Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Program Allocation, the Applicant shall:

(1) Demonstrate that all proceeds from a Bond issuance in the calendar year three (3) years prior to the current year (other than amounts that are insufficient to fund one Home Improvement and Rehabilitation loan) have been used to finance loans, or; have been refunded on either a short or long term basis so as to be available to finance loans.

(2) Certify that any remaining Bond proceeds or authority from an Allocation up to two (2) calendar years prior to the current year will be used either before the use of new Allocation or in conjunction with new Allocation in satisfying federal requirements for such prior funds.

(c) For Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Mortgage Credit Certificate programs, in order to be eligible for a new Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Program Allocation, the Applicant must:

(1) Demonstrate that all remaining Bond authority in the calendar year two (2) years prior to the current year (other than amounts that are insufficient to fund one Home Improvement and Rehabilitation MCC) have been issued.

(2) Certify that any Mortgage Credit Certificate authority remaining from the year prior to the current year will be used before the use of new Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Mortgage Credit Certificate authority.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5345. Exceptions to Minimum Requirements.

With respect to subsections (b) and (c) of section 5344, the Committee may consider exceptions to the minimum requirements based upon detailed information submitted by the Applicant stating the reasons for the underachievement and explaining why the circumstances surrounding the underachievement are beyond the control of the Applicant. The Committee may delegate the discretion to approve or deny an Applicant's request for such exception to the Chair of the Committee or to the Executive Director. To be granted an exception, an Applicant must demonstrate its need to use new Allocation even if unused Mortgage Revenue Bond Allocation or Mortgage Credit Certificate authority totals over \$1 million from prior years.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84©, 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 3. Evaluation Criteria

#### § 5346. Past Performance.

Applicants must demonstrate that Home Improvement and Rehabilitation Mortgage Credit Certificate Program Allocation from the past year has been used or are designated to be used to issue Mortgage Credit Certificates.



The Committee may consider exceptions to the Past Performance requirement based upon detailed information submitted by the Applicant stating the reasons for the underachievement and explaining why the circumstances surrounding the underachievement are beyond the control of the Applicant. The Committee may delegate the discretion to approve or deny an Applicant's request for such exception to the Chair of the Committee or to the Executive Director. To be granted an exception, an Applicant must demonstrate its need to use new Allocation even if unused Mortgage Revenue Bond Allocation or Mortgage Credit Certificate authority totals over \$1 million from prior years.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5347. Potential Public Benefits Calculation.

For each Allocation round, programs will be evaluated and ranked based on how effectively they will achieve the following public benefits relative to their competitor's performance: Serving the maximum number of households earning eighty percent (80%) or less of the Applicable Median Family Income of the area in which the program is located; ensuring the lowest interest rates to borrowers; and serving the maximum number of households with the allocation.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 4. Reporting Requirements

#### § 5348. Program Performance Monitoring.

Applicants will be required to track the information identified in Exhibits 1 and 2 of their applicable Home Improvement and Rehabilitation MCC or MRB Application and report that information to the Committee by March 1 of each calendar year.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# Chapter 6. Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Program

#### Article 1. Definitions

#### § 5350. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

"ANSI" means the American National Standards Institute which facilitates the development of American National Standards by accrediting standards developing organizations for a wide variety of products, manufacturing and industrial processes, and distribution processes for goods, services and energy.

"Forest Stewardship Council" means the independent, non-governmental, not-for-profit organization established in 1993 to promote the responsible management of the world's forests in cooperation with the ISO.

"IDB Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Project" (revised 11-30-2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"ISO" means the International Organization of Standardization

"Job Retention" means full time jobs that will be retained in California by the Project Sponsor. The company must be actively seeking to relocate jobs out of the state; forced to eliminate jobs in order to remain in operation; at risk of closing their local operations; or be acquired prior to closing or relocating under new ownership that commits to maintain company operations and retain existing jobs. The number of jobs retained shall be calculated on the number of full time jobs that are on the company payroll at the time of Application. The Job Retention period will begin upon issuance of the Bonds and must be met within two (2) years after issuance of Bonds. The Job Retention requirement may be monitored by CIEDB utilizing Employment Development Department job retention statistics.

"Median Hourly Production Occupation Wage" means the median hourly wage for production occupations as defined by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

"Qualified Retirement Plan" means a retirement satisfying the requirements of 26 U.S.C. sections 401(a) or 403(a) and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA).

"Renewable Energy" means any device or technology that conserves or produces heat, processes heat, space heating, water heating, steam, space cooling, refrigeration, mechanical energy, electricity, or energy in any form convertible to these uses, that does not expend or use conventional energy fuels, and that uses any of the following electrical generation technologies pursuant to California Public Resources Code 26003(i)(1):

- Biomass
- Solar thermal.
- Photovoltaic.
- Wind.
- · Geothermal.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.



#### Article 2. Applications

#### § 5360. Application Process.

Applications for an Allocation of the Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Program Pool shall be considered in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1 and the submission of an IDB Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# § 5361. Allocations to CIDFAC. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.

#### § 5362. Transfer of Allocation by CIDFAC. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.

#### § 5363. Reporting Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.

#### Article 3. Evaluation Criteria

#### § 5369. Minimum Requirements.

Applications for a Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Project must provide documentation of the applicable discretionary use permits and approvals from federal, state or local planning agencies for the proposed Project at the time of Application. Applicants are not required to have obtained ministerial approvals at the time of Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5370. Evaluation Criteria.

(a) Community Economic Need (20 points maximum). Applications will be awarded points for Projects that are located in communities according to the following:

(1) Unemployment Rate (10 points maximum). Based on data from the California Employment Development Department, the average unemployment rate for the preceding calendar year of the county sub-area in



which the Small-Issue Industrial Development Bond Project is located will be divided by the statewide unemployment rate for the preceding calendar year and multiplied by one-hundred (100). The following points will be awarded accordingly:

(A) Ten (10) points to a Project located in an area with an unemployment rate that is one hundred seventy-five percent (175%) or more of the statewide average.

(B) Five (5) points to a Project located in an area with an unemployment rate that is one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) or more, but less than one hundred seventy-five percent (175%), of the statewide average.

(2) Project Area Poverty Rate (5 points maximum). Based on the most recent data from the United States Bureau of the Census, the estimated poverty rate of each federal census tract within a 1-mile radius area of the Project site, including the tract in which the Project is located will be averaged, divided by the statewide poverty rate and multiplied by one-hundred (100). The following points will be awarded accordingly:

(A) Five (5) points to a Project located in an area in which the poverty rate is over one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) of the statewide poverty rate.

(B) Three (3) points to a Project located in an area in which the poverty rate is over one-hundred ten percent (110%) but not more than one-hundred twenty-five percent (125%) of the statewide poverty rate.

(3) Median Family Income (5 points maximum). Points will be awarded for a Project located in an area with a median family income of less than eighty percent (80%) of the statewide average based on the most recent census data available for cities or Census Designated Places. If no city or Census Designated Place level data is available, or if the Applicant chooses to identify a Project benefit area that is smaller than a city or Census Designated Place, such as census tract or tracts, smaller areas may be used.

(4) If a Project is located in an area for which there is no available economic data, the Small Issue Industrial Development Bond Issuer may submit alternate information to establish the Project's consistency with the intent of the aforementioned point categories pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section. For example, a Small Issue Industrial Development Bond Issuer may submit unemployment and/or median family income data for a neighboring area that is a sub-area, a city, or a Census Designated Place that is in close proximity to the proposed Project. The Executive Director shall have the authority to determine whether the alternate information meets the intent of the point category for which such information has been submitted.

(b) Jobs Creation and Retention (45 points maximum). Applications will be awarded points for Projects that create and/or retain jobs according to the following:

(1) Job Creation (35 points maximum). Applications will be eligible for Job Creation points when full-time new jobs have been created pursuant to the Job Retention definition provided in section 5350. Based on the Project Sponsor's representation that they will make their best efforts to increase the number of direct, full-time employees at the Project site within two (2) years of Project completion, points will be awarded as follows:

- (A) Thirty-five (35) points to Projects creating a 31% or more increase in the manufacturer's workforce.
- (B) Twenty (20) points to Projects creating a 21% to 30% increase in the manufacturer's workforce.
- (C) Ten (10) points to Projects creating a 10% to 20% increase in the manufacturer's workforce.



(2) Job Retention (10 points maximum). Applications will be eligible for Job Retention points when jobs have been retained pursuant to the Job Creation definition as provided in section 5000. To qualify for Job Retention points, the jobs retained must be those that would be lost in the absence of the requested Allocation. Points will be awarded provided the following:

(A) A certification that the Project Sponsor will retain the specified jobs for a two (2) year period after the issuance of Bonds. The Committee may verify jobs retained at any time during the two (2) year period, or

(B) A verification letter from the appropriate local governmental entity stating that the Project Sponsor's business is at risk of closing local operations, and that the requested Allocation and retention of the Project Sponsor's business is an integral part of its plan to maintain the health of the local economy and retain employment, or

(C) Written evidence from the Project Sponsor that the company within two (2) years prior to the submission of an Application for tax-exempt IDB financing, engaged a site selector to find possible relocation sites.

(c) Workforce and Economic Development (15 points maximum)

(1) Welfare-to-Work (5 points maximum). Points will be awarded where the Project Sponsor proposes or is participating in a Welfare-to-Work Plan in conjunction with a local governmental agency, educational agency, or non-profit organization. Evidence may include a signed letter or documentation demonstrating a proposed plan has been acknowledged or that participation is occurring that includes, at minimum, the manner and extent of the participation.

(2) Workforce Training (5 points maximum). To qualify for points in this category, the Project Sponsor must provide copies of official documentation of its current or pending participation. Such documentation shall include copy of an executed contract between the Project Sponsor and the provider; or a formal letter from the provider addressed to the Project Sponsor acknowledging the Project Sponsor's current or pending participation in the program. Points will be awarded where the Project Sponsor participates in one or more training, retraining or apprenticeship programs offered by any of the following state agencies, certified training facilities or postsecondary institutions:

- (A) The California Employment Training Panel;
- (B) The California Department of Industrial Relations;
- (C) A community college;
- (D) University;
- (E) Adult school; or

(F) A regional occupational program or private training agency approved by the California Bureau of Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education.

(3) Exports Outside of California (5 points maximum). To qualify for points in this category, an officer or owner of the Project Sponsor must certify in writing on Project Sponsor letterhead that it exports, or in the case of the construction of a new manufacturing facility at a new Project site, anticipates that it will export as part of its business plan as follows:

(A) In excess of 30% of products manufactured at the Project site (5 points);



- (B) Over 20% and up to 30% of its products manufactured at the Project site (3 points);
- (C) Up to 20% of its products manufactured at the Project site (2 points);

(d) Payment of Employee and Dependent Medical, Dental, Vision and Retirement Costs (20 points maximum). Applications will be awarded points where the Project Sponsor contributes toward the cost of employee and dependent medical, dental, and vision benefits. Applicants must provide evidence of the amount paid to each medical, dental and vision provider and the amount of employee contribution toward the provision of these benefits. Points will be awarded based on the average dollar amount per participating employee contributed by the Project Sponsor toward the cost of benefits as follows:

(1) Health, Dental and Vision (15 points maximum).

(A) Fifteen (15) points will be awarded to Applications that demonstrate that the Project Sponsor will contribute an average of \$330 or more per month toward the cost of the medical, dental, and vision benefits for each participating employee and dependents of the employee.

(B) Ten (10) points will be awarded to Applications that demonstrate that the Project Sponsor will contribute an average of \$220 or more, but less than \$330, per month toward the cost of the medical, dental, and vision benefits for each participating employee and dependents of the employee.

(C) Five (5) points will be awarded to Applications that demonstrate that the Project Sponsor will contribute an average of \$110, but less than \$220, per month toward the cost of the medical, dental, and vision benefits for each participating employee and dependents of the employee.

(2) Retirement Plans (5 points maximum). To qualify for points in this category, the Project Sponsor must provide specific documentation to show it contributes to a Qualified Retirement Plan or other retirement account for each participating employee and must confirm that it will offer such benefits to employees hired in accordance with the representations made pursuant to the Job Creation definition as provided in section 5000.

(e) Average Hourly Wage (10 points maximum). Applications will be awarded points based on a comparison of the Project Wage to the most recent Job Wage. The Project Wage will be divided by the Job Wage and multiplied by one-hundred (100). Points will be awarded as:

(1) Ten (10) points for a Project Wage that is one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) or more of the Job Wage.

(2) Six (6) points for a Project Wage that is one hundred fifteen percent (115%) or more but less than one hundred twenty-five percent (125%) of the Job Wage.

(3) Three (3) points for a Project Wage that is one hundred five percent (105%) or more but less than one hundred fifteen percent (115%) of the Job Wage.

(f) Environmental Stewardship (27 points maximum).

(1) Land Use (3 points maximum). Points will be awarded to Projects that involve the reuse of the following:

(A) Vacant or abandoned buildings; or

(B) Vacant or abandoned land with developed infrastructure, excluding land where the immediate prior use was agricultural, open space or other similar use.



(2) Public Transportation (4 points maximum).

(A) In areas where there is no public transportation system, three (3) points will be awarded to Applications where the Project Sponsor has an adopted transportation system management plan, or;

(B) Four (4) points will be awarded to Projects that are located within one-quarter ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) of a mile of a regular route stop within a Public Transit Corridor evidenced by a scaled-for-distance map showing the location of the Project is within a one-quarter ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) mile radius of a Public Transportation Corridor and where the Project Sponsor provides written evidence of offering public transit subsidies for employees at the Project site.

(3) Energy Efficiency/ Renewable Energy (10 points maximum).

(A) Five (5) points will be awarded to Projects that utilize designs, materials or techniques to reduce energy usage by at least fifteen (15%) on the part of the Project Sponsor compared to the following benchmarks:

(i) For building construction or rehabilitation, the most recently published California Energy Commission Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Non-Residential Buildings; or

(ii) For equipment to be purchased and installed, the current per energy unit output of equipment currently in use by the Project Sponsor. Evidence should include a utility company letter indicating that energy savings are Projected or a written certification from an energy efficiency consultant.

(B) Five (5) points will be awarded to Projects that involve the installation and use of Renewable Energy equipment to power the production process. The Project Sponsor must provide written documentation from its utility company which specifies the installation or planned installation of Renewable Energy equipment.

(4) Manufacturer of Certified Environmentally Preferable Products (5 points maximum). Points will be awarded to Projects which produce or will produce environmentally friendly products certified by an ANSI accredited standards developing organization (e.g., Green Seal, Inc.) or by a widely-recognized and reputable organization accredited as a certifier by an ANSI accredited standards developing organization or by a Forest Stewardship Council (e.g., Scientific Certification Systems, Inc.). The Project Sponsor must provide the current, official documentation of the certification and must provide the percentage of the overall output that is comprised of the certified products.

(5) U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) LEED-Certified Manufacturing Facility (5 points maximum). Points will be awarded to Projects for which Bond proceeds will be used to construct U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) LEED-certified facilities, or to make improvements to existing facilities that will qualify it for a LEED certificate. The Project Sponsor must provide either:

(A) Official documentation of its registration (including evidence of payment of the registration fee) with the USGBC to obtain LEED certification in cases where the Project involves the construction of a new facility and construction has not begun or is not complete at the time of Application; or

(B) Official documentation of receipt of a Silver, Gold or Platinum LEED Certification in cases where construction or improvements and the certification process are completed.

(g) Leverage (5 points maximum). Points will be awarded to Projects for which Taxable Debt, a taxable loan, and/or private funds or equity will supplement the use of the tax-exempt Bond financing. The Project Sponsor must provide



overall Project costs and certify that one or more of these other sources of financing will be used for Projects expenses with points awarded for achieving the following levels:

(1) Five (5) points for Projects where greater than twenty percent (20%) of total Project costs will be paid from Taxable Debt, a taxable loan, and/or private funds or equity.

(2) Three (3) points for Projects where greater than ten percent (10%) and up to twenty percent (20%) of total Project costs will be paid from Taxable Debt, a taxable loan, and/or private funds or equity.

(h) Ranking Applications. Where two or more Applications are awarded the same number of points pursuant to this section, the Executive Director will divide the Allocation amount requested by each such Application by the number of jobs created by the related Project, and will rank the Applications based on the lowest amount of requested Allocation per job(s) created.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# § 5371. Enterprise/Empowerment Zone Facility Bond Projects.

For a proposed Enterprise/ Empowerment Zone Facility Bond Project for which the Applicant has determined that Job Creation is the Project's major public benefit, Applications shall be considered pursuant to this chapter.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# § 5372. Permits. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### Article 4. Small Business Program

#### § 5380. Allocations to CIDFAC. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.

#### § 5381. Minimum Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.

#### § 5382. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.



# § 5383. Transfer of Allocation by CIDFAC. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.

# § 5384. Reporting Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.



# Chapter 7. Exempt Facility Bond Program

#### Article 1. Definitions

#### § 5400. Definitions.

"California Environmental Quality Act Review Process" means a process of environmental review as defined by California Public Resources Code sections 21000, et seq.

"EXF Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for an Exempt Facility Project" (revised 03-15-2018), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"First Tier Business" means (1) a business that (a) is primarily engaged in the collection, recycling, transportation, and/or disposal of solid waste, (b) is a privately-held or employee-owned entity whose ownership interests are not available to members of the public, and (c) has fewer than 3,000 employees (together with affiliates), based on the average employees per pay period during the most recent twelve (12) months before submittal of an Application; or (2) a business which is not primarily engaged in the collection, recycling, transportation, and/or disposal of solid waste that is classified as a small business under regulations of the California Pollution Control Financing Authority (Title 4, California Code of Regulations, sections 8001 et seq.).

"Regulatory Mandate" means a local, state or federal government mandate including, but not limited to, Public Resources Code, section 40000 et seq., a local public health department notice and order, a Regional Water Quality Control Board issued cease and desist order, or similar directive.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### Article 2. Applications

#### § 5410. Application Process.

Applications for an Allocation of the Exempt Facility Project Pool shall be considered in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1 and the submission of an EXF Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5411. Allocations to CPCFA.

The Committee may award an Exempt Facility Allocation to the California Pollution Control Financing Authority (CPCFA) for the purposes of administering the Exempt Facility Project Pool. In awarding the Allocation to CPCFA, the Committee will authorize CPCFA to allocate portions of the award to Project Sponsors for purposes of issuing bonds.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(a), Government Code.



# Article 3. Eligibility Requirements

## § 5420. Justification of Tax-Exempt Funds.

An Application for an Exempt Facility Project must demonstrate that there will be more public benefits (e.g. a reduction in fees to the consumer) if the Project is financed with tax-exempt Bond financing than with any other means of financing available to the Project Sponsor. At a minimum, documentation must compare tax-exempt Bond financing with other means of financing available to the Project Sponsor, such as conventional bank loans, lines of credit, taxable bonds, and other instruments.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code

# § 5421. CEQA Requirements.

The Applicant must have commenced the California Environmental Quality Act Review Process at the time of Application, if applicable to the Exempt Facility Project proposed. The notice of determination required under Public Resources Code section 21152 for the Exempt Facility Project must have been published at the time of Application and the statute of limitations as defined by Public Resources Code section 21167 for filing an appeal to the decision must have expired prior to the Allocation Round during which the Application will be considered. If an appeal has been filed, the Executive Director may consider factors including, but not limited to, the following in determining whether this requirement has been met:

(a) Whether the appellant has posted a bond.

(b) Whether the appellant has sought injunctive relief.

(c) The outcome of the litigation at the trial court level.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5422. Permits.

The Applicant must provide documentation of the applicable discretionary use permits and approvals from federal, state or local planning agencies for the proposed Project prior to Committee approval. Applicants are not required to have obtained ministerial approvals at the time of Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5423. Review of New Technologies.

The Committee will perform a formal policy review of Projects other than those submitted by the California Pollution Control Finance Authority that involve technologies unfamiliar to the Committee and/or for industries that have not previously requested an award of Allocation. The Committee may request assistance of other federal, state, and local agencies when conducting this review. The Applicant or Project Sponsor may be asked to provide additional information relevant to the Committee's review. The review process shall result in a written policy concerning the



advisability of awarding Allocation based on but not limited to the Project's public benefit, financial feasibility and environmental impact.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

## Article 4. Evaluation Criteria

#### § 5430. Environmental Goals.

The Application will be reviewed for a determination whether the Project, as a whole, promotes or protects environmental quality in connection with the construction and operation of the Exempt Facility Project. Specific factors include:

(a) Whether the Exempt Facility Project is designed to minimize impact to or may result in an improvement of air quality.

(b) Whether the Exempt Facility Project is designed to minimize impact to or may result in an improvement of water quality.

(c) Whether the Exempt Facility Project will result in an improvement in energy efficiency.

(d) Whether the Exempt Facility Project will result in the recycling of commodities (glass, aluminum and other marketable materials) and green waste (composting and other organic wastes).

(e) Whether the Exempt Facility Project achieves its environmental goals on a cost effective basis to the consumer.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5431. Disposal of Solid Waste.

No award of allocation shall be made to any Project that does not comply with all applicable state and federal environmental regulations regarding the safe disposal of solid waste.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5432. Non-Solid Waste Projects.

Applications for Exempt Facility Projects or programs, other than solid waste disposal facilities not otherwise included in these regulations, but eligible for consideration for Qualified Private Activity Bond Allocation as an Exempt Facility Project will be considered pursuant to section 5423. Projects may include, but are not limited to, Bonds issued by a government agency to acquire any property from an investor-owned utility, sewage facilities, facilities for the furnishing of water, facilities for the local furnishing of electric energy or gas, qualified hazardous waste facilities, mass commuting facilities, local district heating or cooling facilities, environmental enhancements of hydroelectric generating facilities, high-speed inter-city rail facilities, and the equipment only purchase programs



administered by the California Pollution Control Financing Authority. Applications shall be reviewed on a Projectby-Project basis.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5433. Use of Taxable Debt.

The Application will be reviewed for a determination whether the Project will use taxable bond financing or other forms of financing (not including the minimum cash equity required by the Credit Enhancer) in addition to tax-exempt Bond financing in a manner such that the taxable bond financing or other forms of financing (not including the minimum cash equity required by the Credit Enhancer) will supplant the use of tax-exempt Bond financing.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5434. Local Support.

The Application will be reviewed for a determination of whether documentation submitted by local regulatory agencies or local government demonstrates support of the Project and whether the Project supports and contributes to local waste management policy and planning. Examples of such support may include the identification of the Exempt Facility Project in the applicable elements of an approved county or regional agency integrated waste management plan.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5435. Conversion of Taxable Debt.

The Committee may approve Projects that convert taxable debt to tax exempt debt as economic conditions and annual demand for the State Ceiling allow.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 5. Allocation Procedure

#### § 5440. Ranking.

Upon a determination that an Application has met the minimum requirements set forth in article 3 and article 4 of this chapter, Allocations from the Exempt Facility Project Pool will be ranked using the following criteria:

(a) Allocations will be first awarded to Applications in which the Project Sponsor is a First Tier Business, and the Exempt Facility Project proposed by the Application is in direct response to a Regulatory Mandate.



(b) If any part of the Exempt Facility Project Pool remains unallocated after the Committee makes the Allocations under subdivision (a) of this section, the Committee will then consider other Applications in which the Project Sponsor is a First Tier Business, but the proposed Exempt Facility Project is not in response to a Regulatory Mandate.

(c) If any part of the Exempt Facility Project Pool remains unallocated after the Committee makes the Allocations pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, the Committee will then consider Applications in which the Project Sponsor is not a First Tier Business, but the Exempt Facility proposed by the Application is in direct response to a Regulatory Mandate.

(d) If any part of the Exempt Facility Project Pool remains unallocated after the Committee makes the Allocations pursuant to subdivisions (a), (b), or (c) of this section, the Committee will then consider all other Applications for Exempt Facility Projects.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# Chapter 8. Student Loan Programs

#### Article 1. Definitions

#### § 5450. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this chapter are defined as follows:

"CEFA" means the California Educational Facilities Authority.

"Direct Lender" means an entity that originates loans directly to eligible borrowers in the state and does not include loans made for the purpose of consolidating or otherwise combining existing student loans.

"Program Sponsor" means a California nonprofit corporation organized pursuant to section 150(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, that possesses the authority to directly or indirectly make or finance student loans under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, or a state agency.

"Student Loan Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Student Loan Program" (revised 11-30-18), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Student Loan Self Scoring Sheet" means the document provided in the Application for a Student Loan Program.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### Article 2. Eligibility Requirements

#### § 5460. Application Process.

Applications for an Allocation of the Student Loan Program Pool shall be considered in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1 and the submission of a Student Loan Application.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5461. Minimum Requirements.

In order to be considered for an Allocation for a Student Loan Program, an Applicant must meet the following minimum requirements:

(a) California Non-profit Status. Must be a California nonprofit corporation organized pursuant to section 150(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, that possesses the authority to directly or indirectly make or finance student loans under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, or be a state agency.

(b) CEFA Requirement. Before applying to the Committee for allocation of a portion of the State Ceiling pursuant to Government Code section 8869.82 and 8869.85, an entity that is seeking to issue qualified scholarship funding bonds must first obtain CEFA board approval, pursuant to Title 4, California Code of Regulations, section 9073(a), unless such entity became a qualified scholarship funding corporation as defined in subsection (d) of section 150



of Title 26 of the United States Code prior to January 1, 2006. CEFA may in its discretion determine not to grant approval to any entity regardless of whether the entity meets the threshold criteria as an Eligible Candidate as defined in Title 4, California Code of Regulations, section 9072(b). CEFA will consult and coordinate with the Committee prior to making a final determination.

(c) A portfolio itemizing the total dollar amount and corresponding percentage of student loans originated by the Applicant which assist financially needy borrowers in California. The data relied upon may be direct or derived from sources deemed by the Executive Director to be accurate.

(d) A proposal of interest rates and other discounts (time period is the next academic year commencing July 1 following the award of Allocation), a description and dollar amount of discounts (i.e. interest rate, guarantee fee, origination fee, etc.). Note: Information will be used in analysis of Application in the subsequent year.

(e) A description of marketing activities and status as a lender, anticipated total dollar amount and number of student loans made to two year, four year and other schools, the eligibility requirements for a loan, the benefits to student borrowers, the mechanism(s) or system(s) for the direct delivery of loans to eligible students and any other features unique to the Program.

(f) Demonstrate actual participation in the California Student Loan Market using the STUDENT MARKETMEASURE Standard Report 10D or other sources deemed by the Executive Director to be accurate. Applicant must include information from the most recently completed federal fiscal year with their Application.

(g) Completion of the Student Loan Self-Scoring Sheet to show what they anticipate to receive in allocation.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 3. Evaluation Criteria

# § 5470. Evaluation and Ranking.

The following criteria will be used to evaluate, rank, and award Allocations from the Student Loan Program Pool:

(a) Allocations from the Student Loan Program Pool will be first awarded to Applications in which the Applicant is a Direct Lender and evaluated based on the following criteria:

(1) The total dollar amount and number of student loans originated by the Applicant in California. The data relied upon will be derived from the STUDENT MARKETMEASURE Standard Report 10D or other sources deemed by the Executive Director to be accurate. The time period shall be the most recently completed federal fiscal year. The Applicant's pro-rata share of the Student Loan Program Pool will in part be determined by the total dollar amount of student loans originated in California. The Committee will consider the incongruity between the federal fiscal year and the Allocation Round when evaluating the data.

(2) Proposed total cost of borrowing per borrower for the next academic year. This cost estimate should include origination fees, interest costs, and all other fees or expenses incurred by a borrower.

(3) Previous year average interest rate. Information provided must refer to the time period of the current academic year. In addition, this information must include averages and weighted averages for the following figures for each student loan program:

(A) Statutory interest rate.



(B) Total discount

(C) Discounted interest rate.

For this time period, the Applicant must show the percentage breakdown of usage for all federal student loan programs: Subsidized Stafford, Unsubsidized Stafford, PLUS Parent and PLUS Graduate. This breakdown will be used to determine the weighted averages for the aforementioned figures.

(4) Comparison of Proposed and Actual Interest Rate. The weighted averages will be used to determine whether or not the Applicant was within 25% of the discounted interest rate that they proposed in the prior year. Based on the Committee's assessment, an Applicant could be rewarded and/or penalized for the actual discounted interest rate they provided during the current academic year.

(5) The extent to which the Applicant timely disburses student loans as evidenced by its use of previous and existing allocations from the Committee for direct lender student loan programs. The Committee will evaluate the impact of unused Bond proceeds on the Applicant's present demand for Allocation.

(b) Subsequent to the determination made pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, Allocation that remains unallocated will then be considered for Applications in which the Applicant is a purchaser of student loans in the secondary market and evaluated based on the following criteria:

(1) The degree to which financially needy students benefit based on an evaluation of the percentage of borrowers with subsidized Stafford loans currently held in portfolio versus borrowers with only unsubsidized Stafford loans.

(2) The use of recycled funds for additional programs that may benefit students other than loan purchase programs, such as grants, new loans, scholarships, student outreach, and borrower benefit programs offered by the Applicant.

(3) The leveraging of the Qualified Private Activity Bond Allocation awarded to the Applicant through the use of taxable bonds and other taxable securities.

(4) The extent to which the Applicant has timely and effectively used previous and existing allocations from the Committee for secondary market loan purchase programs.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# Chapter 9. Recovery Zone Economic Development Bond (RZEDB) Program [Repealed]

Article 1. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) [Repealed]

# § 5480. U.S. Treasury Designated Recovery Zone Bond Allocations. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code; and Section 1400U-1, Internal Revenue Code.

Article 2. Application Process for the Recovery Zone Economic Development Bond Reallocation Pool [Repealed]

#### § 5490. Application Process. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5491. Minimum Threshold Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5492. Minimum Application Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B), 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5493. Reallocation Priority System. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5494. Undersubscribed Allocation. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.



# Article 3. Specific Evaluation Criteria [Repealed]

#### § 5500. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

Article 4. Reporting Requirements [Repealed]

#### § 5510. Reports and Timeframes. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B), 8869.84(c), 8869.86(c) and 8869.87, Government Code.



# Chapter 10. Recovery Zone Facility Bond (RZFB) Program [Repealed]

Article 1. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) [Repealed]

§ 5520. U.S. Treasury Designated Recovery Zone Bond Allocations. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

Article 2. Application Process for the Recovery Zone Facility Bond Reallocation Pool [Repealed]

§ 5530. Application Process. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

§ 5531. Minimum Threshold Requirements in Addition to the Minimum Application Requirements in Section 5033. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5532. Minimum Application Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B), 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5533. Reallocation Priority System. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### § 5534. Undersubscribed Allocation. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.



# Article 3. Specific Evaluation Criteria [Repealed]

#### § 5540. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B) and 8869.84(c), Government Code.

#### Article 4. Reporting Requirements [Repealed]

#### § 5550. Reports and Timeframes. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.82(a)(11)(B), 8869.84(c), 8869.86(c) and 8869.87, Government Code.



# Chapter 11. Qualified Energy Conservation Bond Program [Repealed]

Article 1. Definitions [Repealed]

# § 5560. Definitions. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.

# Article 2. Eligibility Requirements [Repealed]

#### § 5570. Application Process. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5571. Minimum Requirements. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5572. Reallocation of Waived Allocation. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5572. Reallocation of Waived Allocation. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 3. Evaluation Criteria [Repealed]

#### § 5580. Evaluation Criteria. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



Article 4. Reporting Requirements [Repealed]

§ 5590. Reports and Timeframes. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# Chapter 12. Beginning Farmer Program

#### Article 1. Definitions

#### § 5600. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth in Government Code section 8869.82 and unless otherwise required by the context, the following terms as used in this division are defined as follows:

"Agricultural Improvements" means any improvements, buildings, structures or fixtures suitable for use in farming that are located on Agricultural Land. "Agricultural Improvements" do not include personal residences.

"Agricultural Land" means land located in the State of California that is:

- suitable for use in farming and that is or will be operated as a farm, as such term is defined by IRC § 147(c)(2)(D); and
- that will be acquired by a Beginning Farmer.

"Beginning Farmer" means an individual as defined by IRC § 147(c)(2)(C).

"Beginning Farmer Bond Application" means the Application titled "Application for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for a Beginning Farmer Bond Program" (11-30-18), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

"Beginning Farmer Bonds" means conduit revenue bonds issued as authorized by the State of California that meet the requirements of Internal Revenue Code §§ 144(a) and 147(c)-(g).

"Borrower" means a Beginning Farmer who has received Beginning Farmer Bond financing under the Program.

"Depreciable Agricultural Property" means personal property suitable for use in farming for which an income tax deduction for depreciation is allowable in computing federal income tax under the IRC, including but not limited to farm machinery and breeder livestock, but not including feeder livestock, seed, feed, fertilizer and other types of inventory or supplies.

"Federal Maximum" means the maximum amount of a loan that federal law allows to be financed under the Program. This amount may be adjusted for inflation in future calendar years as provided for in IRC § 147(c)(2)(H).

"Financed Property" means property which is financed through the Beginning Farmer Program.

"Related Person" means a person or entity other than the Borrower as defined by IRC §§ 144(a)(3) and 147(a)(2).

"Substantial Farmland" means any parcel of land as defined by IRC § 147(c)(2)(E).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



## Article 2. Evaluation Criteria

§ 5610. Minimum Requirements.

(a) The Beginning Farmer Program proposed by the Applicant must be for the purpose of providing loans to beginning farmers. The beginning farmer must:

- (1) Be a California resident;
- (2) Be at least 18 years of age;
- (3) Be a "First Time Farmer" as defined by IRC § 147(c)(2)(C);
- (4) Be the principal operator of the farm;

(5) Use loan proceeds to purchase land within California or eligible breeder livestock, equipment/machinery and/or new construction or renovations for use in farming operations solely within California;

(6) Only use the agricultural land, agricultural improvements and depreciable agricultural property for farming by the beginning farmer, his/her spouse, his/her minor children, or any combination thereof;

(7) Not use loan proceeds to procure seed, feed, feeder stock, fertilizer, personal residence (in excess of the IRC exceptions) or as otherwise prohibited and/or limited by the IRC; and

- (8) Not exceed the lifetime aggregate amount of all loans for any borrower permitted by the IRC.
- (b) The Issuer must certify that each participating lender will:

(1) Ensure that all of the proceeds of the Bond be used for the acquisition of farmland, construction or reconstruction of improvements or equipping of farmland, or the purchase of property of a character subject to the allowance for depreciation under IRC § 167 or other authorized costs.

(2) Verify the accuracy of all certifications of each Beginning Farmer and all other information with respect to the Project or Beginning Farmer set forth in an Application.

(3) Ensure that none of the proceeds of the Bond will be used to provide working capital or the financing of inventory, supplies or other ineligible operating expenses.

(4) Prior to the approval of the issuance of the bond, not finance or otherwise advance moneys to the Beginning Farmer or any Related Person in connection with the Project which the Beginning Farmer expects to finance with proceeds of the Bond in any manner inconsistent with applicable prohibitions and/or limitations set forth in the IRC.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5620. Scoring Criteria.

Upon a determination that an Application meets the minimum requirements pursuant to Section 5610, Applications will be scored based on the following criteria:



(a) Past Program Performance. For each allocation round, programs will be evaluated based on the percentage of the previous year's allocation used by each applicant in comparison to the percentage of the previous year's allocation used by the other applicants in the allocation round.

(b) Actual Versus Proposed Average Interest Rate and Loan Amount. For each allocation round, programs will be evaluated and ranked based on each applicant's deviation between the currently proposed and previous year's actual average interest rates, as well as the currently proposed and previous year's actual average loan amount, in comparison to the allocation round's other applicants' proposed and previous year's actual average interest rates and currently proposed and previous year's actual average interest rates

(c) Additional Points. In a competitive application round, additional points will be awarded to Applicants that commit to the goal of providing allocation to Beginning Farmers that provide the following public benefits:

(1) Job Creation. A maximum of 20 points will be awarded to programs that use a substantial portion of allocation for programs that will add jobs to local economy (one point per job with a maximum of 20 points). Points will be awarded in the following manner:

(A) one (1) point will be awarded for each full time job;

(B) part time jobs will receive a tenth of a point, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point, based on the job's full time equivalency.

(2) Borrower Preparation. A maximum of 15 points will be awarded to programs that provide a technical assistance component for the following areas:

(A) Five (5) points will be awarded to Applicants that make available financial management education and/or training;

(B) Five (5) points will be awarded to Applicants that make available land management education and/or training; and/or

(C) Five (5) points will be awarded to Applicants that make available resource conservation education and/or training.

(D) Evidence of the aforementioned technical assistance program(s) shall be submitted with the application and shall include, but is not limited to, third-party contracts or agreements for the provision of training, training schedules, program curricula and narratives describing the training programs and written assurance of the education/training provider that beginning farmers will be eligible for the education/training for a minimum period of twenty-four (24) months after the beginning farmer's financing is approved by the Applicant.

(3) Farm to Fork. Nine (9) points will be awarded to Applicants that use a substantial portion of allocation for programs that establish or enhance farming operations producing edible agricultural commodities for sale and consumption within 200 miles of the farming operations financed by proceeds from a beginning farmer bond. Evidence of the program shall be submitted with the application and shall include, but is not limited to, a description of the program identifying how the program will operate, proposed buyers and sales contracts.

(4) Family Farms. Three (3) points will be awarded to Applicants that use a substantial portion of allocation for programs to acquire family farms by beginning farmers (land, breeding livestock and/or equipment/machinery).



(5) Under-Represented Borrowers. Three (3) points will be awarded to Applicants that use a substantial portion of allocation for programs designed to facilitate acquisition of farmland, breeding livestock equipment and/or equipment/machinery by veterans, women and/or under-represented populations.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5630. Allocation Distribution.

The Committee will determine the amount allocated to each Applicant based upon the evaluation criteria set forth in section 5620, the number of Applicants applying in the Allocation Round, the amount requested by each Applicant and the amount of allocation available in the Beginning Farmer Program Pool. In a competitive Application year, the maximum requested amount of Allocation per Application shall not exceed twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000). The Committee may waive this cap on a case-by-case basis in its sole and absolute discretion.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8859.85(b), Government Code.

#### Article 3. Reporting Requirements

#### § 5640. Specific Reports.

Applicants receiving an allocation shall comply with the reporting requirements contained in Article 11 of Chapter 1.

Note: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# Chapter 13. Qualified Public Educational Facility Bond (Qpefb) Program [Repealed]

## Article 1. Definitions [Repealed]

§ 5700. Definitions. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# Article 2. Applications [Repealed]

#### § 5710. Application Process. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5711. Allocations. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84, Government Code.

#### Article 3. Eligibility [Repealed]

#### § 5720. Project Readiness. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5721. Permits. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

#### § 5722. Eligibility Requirement. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Section 8869.84(c), Government Code.



# Article 4. Reporting and Regulatory Requirements [Repealed]

## § 5730. Specific Reports. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.

# § 5731. Regulatory Compliance. [Repealed]

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 8869.94, Government Code. Reference: Sections 8869.84(c), 8869.85(a) and 8869.85(b), Government Code.



# AGENDA ITEM 8 Supplemental Bond Allocation Request Above the Executive Director's Authority (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5240)

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE August 6, 2024

#### Supplemental Bond Allocation Request Above the Executive Director's Authority (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §5240)

(Agenda Item No. 8)

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 5240(a), requests for Supplemental Allocations for Qualified Residential Rental Projects may be submitted to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) during any Allocation Round throughout the year. Staff is required to review each request for Supplemental Allocation and make a recommendation to CDLAC regarding any possible award of additional Allocation. CDLAC has delegated authority to the CDLAC Interim Executive Director to award Supplemental Allocation to projects where the total supplemental request is no more than 10% of the project's original allocation and no more than 52% of the aggregate depreciable basis plus land basis, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 5240(b). The CDLAC Interim Executive Director oversees the administration of CDLAC and is responsible for ensuring the various functions of CDLAC are carried out. Awards of Supplemental Allocations are required to be memorialized in a CDLAC resolution. All applicable requirements imposed on the associated initial project Allocation, including, but not limited to, the expiration of the Allocation, bond issuance deadlines, extensions, transfers of Allocation, carry-forward elections, and reporting will be equally applicable to Supplemental Allocations.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Five applicants requested a Supplemental Allocation above the Executive Director's authority. Staff has reviewed the applications for compliance and accuracy. The project's applicants have submitted letters to support their requests.

				PREVIOUS			
APPLICATION			SUPPLEMENTAL	APPROVED	TOTAL		
NUMBER	NAME	APPLICANT	REQUEST	ALLOCATION	ALLOCATION	SUP %	BASIS
		California					
	West	Municipal					
	Harbor	Finance					
CA-24-584	Park	Authority	\$2,725,000	\$3,925,000	\$6,650,000	69.43%	52%
		California					
		Municipal					
	710	Finance					
CA-24-586	Broadway	Authority	\$9,823,160	\$14,415,236	\$24,238,396	68.14%	52%
		California					
		Statewide					
	Vintage at	Communities					
	Folsom	Development					
CA-24-588	Apartments	Authority	\$5,393,566	\$21,612,924	\$27,006,490	24.96%	52%

Agenda Item No. 8 August 6, 2024

		California					
	Kawana	Housing					
	Springs	Finance					
CA-24-589	Apartments	Agency	\$4,456,125	\$38,250,000	\$42,706,125	11.65%	51%
			\$7,358,202				
	Rancho	California	(includes				
	Sierra	Municipal	previous				
	Senior	Finance	\$1,302,702				
CA-24-590	Apartments	Authority	supplemental)	\$18,319,798	\$25,678,000	40.17%	52%

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of the supplemental allocations requested above the Executive Director's authority.

#### West Harbor Park Affordable Partners, LP 550 S. California Ave, Suite 330 Palo Alto, CA 94306 650-833-0100

June 20, 2024

Marina Wiant Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Supplemental Allocation and Request for 90-day Extension - Resolution No. 23-255

#### **Request for 90-day Extension**

Klein Financial Corporation (the "Developer") respectfully requests a 90-day extension to the current CDLAC closing deadline of September 3, 2024. In addition, we request a waiver of any forfeiture of the performance deposit or assignment of any negative points to the Project Sponsor that could be imposed by this request. The project's costs have increased dramatically and we are restructuring the capital stack to achieve feasibility and if we are granted the supplemental allocation, then the project will need additional time to coordinate with its lender and investor.

Also, the project continues to work with its engineer, architect, contractor, and subcontractors to reduce costs with value engineering which need to be processed though the City of Vallejo.

#### Supplemental Allocation (revised 40-unit project)

The West Harbor Park property will consist of 122 residential units located in 3 new residential apartment buildings. 20% of the units will be restricted to tenants earning less than 50% AMI and will be owned by a separate partnership from the market rate units under the legal name of West Harbor Park Affordable Partners LP to be able to separately allocate the tax credits. The West Harbor Park Affordable Apartments project will consist of the 24 affordable units, plus one manager unit within the 122-unit West Harbor Park development

In August 2023, the partnership submitted a CDLAC application for only the 24 restricted units (20% set aside) to minimize the amount of bond allocation requested. The project was awarded a \$3,925,000 (**\$163,541/unit**) allocation on 12/6/23 and subsequently received a 90-day extension with a new issuance deadline of 9/3/24.

Construction and interest expense costs have increased dramatically and to partially offset these costs, we plan to convert 16 of the market rate units to tax credits units using the LIHTC income averaging rule to generate additional tax credit equity. To satisfy the 50% test on the revised 40-unit tax credit affordable property, the project submitted a supplemental allocation request for \$5,225,000 which equates to an overall CDLAC allocation per unit figure of **\$228,750/unit** which is a very efficient execution.

- Approximately \$2,700,000 of the supplemental request is for the original 24 units and;
- Approximately \$2,525,000 of the supplemental request is for the new 16 units

The gross amount of the supplemental request is relatively small, and we are creating 16 additional tax credit units. The increase requested is consistent with other, recent, supplemental requests that have been approved. For example, a property in Hollywood recently received a supplemental allocation of \$11,500,000 with a tax-exempt bond allocation of approximately \$510,000/unit. Another project in Los Angeles has received 2 supplemental allocations totaling approximately \$10,400,000 with a tax-exempt bond allocation of approximately \$660,000/unit.

Therefore, given the increase in costs, the requirement to satisfy the 50% test and the 66.67% increase in affordable units generated, we respectfully request approval of the supplemental allocation. It is essential that the reviewers realize, there is no alternative mechanism – other than the Supplemental Request Process – to increase the number of affordable units in a project (consistent with the Mandate of the Committee to maximize the number of affordable units), once a project sponsor has received a bond allocation. Note: The project is not receiving any state tax credits or other forms of government grants/subordinate loans and as part of our feasibility agreement with our equity partner, we agreed to increase the number of tax credit units.

#### Supplemental Allocation (existing 24-unit project)

If the supplemental request outlined above for the 40-unit project is not allowed, then we would like to request \$2,725,000 in supplemental tax-exempt bonds for the existing previously approved 24-unit project. This request is over 10% of the original bond allocation but below previously approved supplemental percentages (73.3% SUP% City of LA project) granted by the committee. As outlined above, the project's development costs have increased substantially and with the approved supplemental bond allocation, the project will finance 52.36% of the aggregate basis with tax-exempt bonds. With the supplemental allocation the total approved bonds would be \$6,650,000 (\$277,083/unit) which is at or below industry averages.

We have been working on this project since June 2019 and have incurred significant predevelopment costs and are hopeful that we can move forward now with the construction of 40 new affordable tax credit units; but, if the Committee elects not to approve the 40 unit application, we request that the increased allocation for the 24 unit application be approved. The time extension will be necessary in either case, since the finalization of the closing documents with our lender and investor must wait for the result of this hearing, to make the final adjustments and schedule the closing dates.

To the best of our recollection, in the past 45 years, Klein Financial Corporation has not requested a supplemental allocation. We appreciate your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

West Harbor Park Affordable Partners, LP

By: West Harbor Park GP, LLC, its General Partner

By: Klein Financial Corporation, its Manager

By: Robert N Elein

Robert M46Klein, its President

## RELATED

June 20, 2024

The California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Room 102 Sacramento, CA 95814 **Attention:** Marina Wiant, Deputy Executive Director

Re: Request for approval of supplemental bonds above the Executive Director's (Cal. Code regs. Title 4, section 05240(b)(1)) Project: 710 Broadway Applicant: 710 Broadway Development Co., LLC Original Resolution Number: 22-155

Dear Ms. Wiant:

In February of 2022, Related California Residential and the Santa Monica-based non-profit developer Community Corporation applied to the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee ("TCAC") and the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee ("CDLAC") for an allocation of tax-exempt bonds and low-income housing tax credits. On June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022, CDLAC and TCAC awarded the project a reservation of 4% low-income housing tax credits and an allocation of tax-exempt bonds. Since the project applied to CDLAC and TCAC in February of 2022, construction costs have escalated at an unprecedented rate and the federal reserve has raised interest rates at a pace that has not been seen since the early 80s. There was no way these substantial headwinds could have been predicted. In addition, the scope of building became more complex to design and construct than anticipated. These factors have resulted in nearly a \$19 Million aggregate increase in the overall budget.

The project's original resolution awarded \$14,415,236 of tax-exempt bonds. The applicant is requesting an additional \$9,823,160 in a supplemental allocation of tax-exempt bonds for a revised tax-exempt bond allocation of \$24,238,296. With the approval of a supplemental bond allocation, the project will finance 52.0% of the aggregate basis with tax-exempt bonds. In accordance with CDLAC regulations, committee approval is required for a total supplemental request that exceeds 10% of the projects committee-approved allocation. Please accept this letter as a formal request to obtain authorization to exceed the limit so that the project can maintain feasibility and deliver the new construction of 57 much-needed below market rate units to the City of Santa Monica, which is dramatically under-supplied with quality affordable housing. It should also be noted that the project is providing 28 moderate income units, which are not part of the tax-exempt bond financing package, in one of the first and only models of a true mixed-income project.

Thank you for your consideration and we are available as needed to address further questions.

Sincerely,

Larry Wilkes Senior Vice President 710 Broadway Development Co., LLC

### Sabelhaus & Strain PC

Stephen A. Strain Meghann Carey 1724 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 110 Sacramento, California 95811 (916) 444-0286 Fax (916) 444-3408

July 25, 2024

Marina Wiant, Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

#### **Re:** Vintage at Folsom (Resolution No. 23-261)

Dear Ms. Wiant:

I represent the sponsor of the above referenced project. On December 6, 2023 the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) allocated \$21,612,924 in tax-exempt bonds to the project. Earlier this month we submitted a request for a supplemental allocation to be considered at the August 6, 2024 CDLAC meeting. Working toward closing this week realized we had utilized an outdated model for that request and are now submitting this request to modify our supplemental request. A corrected Attachment 15 and Attachment 40 are attached reflecting the following:

As the project has moved toward bond issuance changes it has been determined that due to changes in the financial environment and final bids from the general contractor that the allocated bonds will not be sufficient to meet the 50% test. The development team has modified the construction loan structure to mitigate the increase in construction loan interest and identified some additional sources to address the other increased costs but still requires a supplemental bond allocation in the amount of \$5,393,566 to meet the 50% test and maintain the project feasibility. With the approval of this supplemental bond allocation the project will finance 52% of its aggregate basis with taxexempt bonds but because the supplemental allocation request exceeds 10% of the original allocation (24.96%) CDLAC regulations require it be approved by the committee.

Please accept this letter as a formal request to modify our previously submitted supplemental request and approve a supplemental bond allocation in the amount of \$5,393,566 for the Vintage at Folsom project so that the project can move forward and provide 135 units of much needed affordable senior housing in the Folsom community.

I appreciate your consideration of this request and am available to discuss if helpful.

Sincerely,

Stephen A. Strain, Esq.

### 500 Kawana Springs Rd., L.P.

20750 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 155, Woodland Hills, CA 91364

July 15, 2024

California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Kawana Springs Apartments (500 Kawana Springs Rd., L.P.) Project No. 20-520 10% Supplemental Bond Threshold Waiver Request

To Whom it May Concern:

We have submitted a Supplemental Application for an allocation of additional Tax-Exempt Bonds and 4% Tax Credits for Kawana Springs Apartments, CDLAC Application #CA-20-520, which is nearly construction complete. The \$4,456,125.00 request of additional tax-exempt bonds is an increase of 11.65% over the original \$38,250,000.00 award. This is the first and only supplemental request being made for this development. The additional bonds are required for the project to meet the 50% test due to significant cost increases since the start of construction in late 2020.

This request is a direct result of COVID-19, two extraordinary weather events causing "rain bombs" and the 20%+ inflationary events over the last several years. These unprecedented challenges, are the driving factors of the supplemental bond request for 11.65%, which exceeds the 10% threshold for Committee approval.

While the development has faced unexpected and unprecedented delays and challenges, it is scheduled to be complete in August and fully leased by the end of the year.

Regards, Corporation for Better Housing

Lori Koester Its Executive Director Managing General Partner for 500 Kawana Springs Rd., L.P.



Many Mansions

1259 E. Thousand Oaks Blvc PHONE (805) 496-4948 usand Oaks CA 91362 FAX (805) 497-1305

California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Attn: Fiona Ma, CPA CDLAC 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

## RE: Rancho Sierra Senior Apartments Application No. 22-438 Supplemental Qualified Private Activity Bond Allocation Request

Dear, Treasurer Ma,

Rancho Sierra Senior Apartments was originally awarded \$18,319,798 of Qualified Private Activity Bonds in Resolution No. 22-111, adopted on June 15, 2022. Following this, Many Mansions ("the Sponsor") received a supplemental allocation of \$1,372,702 from the 2023 Qualified Private Activity Bonds, bringing the total allocation to \$19,692,500, as per Resolution 23-103, adopted on February 6, 2023.

The Rancho Sierra Senior Apartments project, aimed at providing essential affordable housing for lowincome seniors and seniors experiencing homelessness, has encountered unforeseen challenges that have significantly increased costs. These difficulties have jeopardized the project's timely completion and financial stability, necessitating additional bond financing.

We are now seeking a second supplemental bond allocation due to unforeseen events, including unknown existing subterranean utility infrastructure conditions that have escalated construction costs.

#### **Project Progress and Initial Developments**

The project commenced successfully with the securing of a construction loan on March 10, 2023, followed by the issuance of the Notice to Proceed to Cannon Constructors on April 19, 2023. The completion of a prototype unit for design review on April 1, 2023, and the initiation of construction on May 11, 2023, indicated a promising start.

Upon the discovery of non-conforming utility infrastructure omitted from the survey, the project experienced a 130-day delay. This delay was due to a conflict between the Camrosa Water District's existing specifications and future specifications required for compatibility with the County of Ventura's Lewis Road Campus Infrastructure Project. The technical jurisdiction to provide these specifications was the responsibility of the Water District, which deferred assignment to the County of Ventura. The County, responsible for inspections and sign-off, could not provide these specifications prior to the commencement of upgrades.

Upon resolution of the required specifications and an updated construction timeline, the project site began to receive the shipment of the modular structures on the adjacent staging parcel. Building permits were ultimately issued on October 10, 2023, 130 days beyond the original date, causing a considerable impact on extended overhead and general conditions. This, in turn, delayed the installation





of the modular structures into the rainy season, which historically runs between December through March.

#### **Unanticipated Weather-Related Challenges**

Due to this 130-day delay, water protection of the modular structures became a significant concern for the Project's Development Team. Preparations were made to mitigate any challenges during the stacking of the structures and the installation of the permanent TPO roof. Despite the Team's best efforts, the project encountered significant setbacks beginning with a severe rainstorm on January 20, 2024. This storm inflicted substantial interior damage to the units due to considerable water infiltration.

#### **Extensive Remediation Efforts**

In response to the storm damage, ATI Restoration LLC conducted a comprehensive moisture mapping assessment on February 12, 2024, which revealed elevated moisture levels and extensive water damage. Subsequently, Charles Taylor Environmental performed a detailed moisture assessment on February 19, 2024, confirming the necessity for extensive remediation work. The initial remediation estimate was \$589,067.09, with the complexity of the situation indicating further inevitable costs.

#### **Impact of Subsequent Storm Events**

Despite enhanced waterproofing measures, a second major rainstorm occurred on March 23, 2024, causing additional water damage to the structures. This event exacerbated the remediation efforts and increased costs, resulting in a revised remediation estimate of \$1,227,138.33. The repeated water intrusion necessitated a comprehensive review of the building's waterproofing and structural integrity, further delaying progress and escalating expenses.

#### **Compounding Costs and Delays**

The unanticipated weather events and subsequent remediation efforts have led to substantial project delays and increased costs. These challenges were further compounded by issues with the installation of windows by Factory OS, necessitating corrective actions and extending the project timeline. The water damage on both occasions was extensive, affecting all units and requiring immediate remediation and repair, including mold treatment, drywall remediation, electrical work, insulation, and painting.

The remediation work has concluded after the successful issuance of clearance certificates from Charles Taylor, and restoration work is currently ongoing alongside the General Contractor's construction activities. Both contractors are working strategically to minimize the impact on the Project's critical path. This situation has caused a serious financial strain on the project, and Many Mansions has provided the necessary financing to prevent further delays. The Development Team has been working tirelessly and diligently to structure a financial plan that will ensure the successful completion of this project.

#### **Request for Supplemental Bond Financing**

The Rancho Sierra Senior Apartments project represents a critical lifeline for vulnerable seniors in need of safe, affordable housing. The added costs and delays have imposed an immense financial burden on Many Mansions due to the limited Builder's Risk coverage, specifically due to the stated policy sub-limit of \$750,000 for each of the loss dates described above, representing Builder's Risk Claim #01 and





Builder's Risk Claim #02. These sub-limits will provide \$1,500,000 of insurance proceeds, which are entirely inadequate to address the overall impact of the hard construction cost increase of \$6,869,939 alone. To address this financial gap, Many Mansions is requesting this supplemental bond financing to ensure we can successfully complete Rancho Sierra Senior Apartments and deliver these new units to our community.

To address the financial challenges without compromising the 50% test rule, we are seeking an additional allocation of supplemental tax-exempt bonds. The total supplement request from the 2024 State Ceiling of Qualified Private Activity Bonds is \$6,055,500.

#### SCORING IMPACT

There are no changes in the project that would impact the scoring of our submittal from the original application.

#### **CONSTRUCTION FINANCING**

The following sources will be used to fund construction:

Source	Amount
Construction Loan - Tax Exempt	\$ 25,748,000
Construction Loan - Taxable	\$ 15,644,055
County of Ventura PLHA	\$ 676,137
County of Ventura PLHA Accrued Deferred Interest	\$ 28,358
County of Ventura HOME	\$ 284,391
County of Ventura HOME Accrued Deferred Interest	\$ 12,349
Housing Trust Fund of Ventura County	\$ 4,430,975
Costs Deferred Until Conversion	\$ 1,455,422
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 2,729,524
GP Capital Contribution	\$ 100
LP Capital Contribution	\$ 893,877
Total Construction Period Sources	\$ 51,903,188

#### Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds -- \$25,748,000

JP Morgan Chase, as the private placement bond purchaser, has committed to a conventional construction loan in an amount not to exceed \$19,692,500. This existing commitment has a term of 25 months. Upon Committee approval of the requested supplement allocation JP Morgan Chase, as the private bond purchaser, will commit to a conventional construction loan in an amount not to exceed \$25,748,000 with a term of 32 months. The interest rate will be 7.170%. The loan shall be funded by the sale of tax-exempt bonds. The loan will function as a draw-down loan in which interest will only be paid on the outstanding portion of the loan. JP Morgan Chase has committed to providing the tax-exempt construction loan via their purchase of the tax-exempt bonds.

These funds are committed to the project.



### A Non-Profit, Affordable Housing & Service Provider



1259 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd, Thousand Oaks CA 91362 PHONE (805) 496-4948 FAX (805) 497-1305

#### Taxable Bond Proceeds -- \$15,644,055

JP Morgan Chase, as the private placement bond purchaser, has committed to providing a conventional, taxable construction loan in an amount not to exceed \$10,158,500. This existing commitment has a term of 25 months. Upon Committee approval of the requested supplement allocation JP Morgan Chase, as the private bond purchaser, will commit to a conventional construction loan in an amount not to exceed \$15,644,055 with a term of 32 months. The interest rate will be 7.720%. The loan shall be funded by the sale of taxable bonds. The loan will function as a draw-down loan in which interest will only be paid on the outstanding portion of the loan. JP Morgan Chase has committed to provide the taxable construction loan via their purchase of the taxable bonds. The construction lender will require an interest reserve amount based on the fixed rate.

These funds are committed to the project.

#### Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Equity -- \$893,877

Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Equity in the amount of at least \$893,877 will be contributed by the investor limited partner during the construction period. The investor limited partner is U.S. Bancorp Community Development Corporation.

These funds are committed to the project.

#### County of Ventura Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) -- \$676,137

The County of Ventura Permanent Local Housing Allocation program has committed to providing a loan of \$676,137 with a term of 30 years, 3% interest rate and payable from residual receipts. These funds will be available during the construction loan period and will convert to permanent financing. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

#### County of Ventura HOME -- \$284,391

The County of Ventura HOME program has committed to providing a loan of \$284,391 with a term of 30 years, 3% interest rate and payable from residual receipts. These funds will be available during the construction loan period and will convert to permanent financing. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

#### County of Ventura PLHA/ HOME Accrued/Deferred Interest -- \$40,707

The County of Ventura funds (PLHA and HOME) will accrue interest during construction in the combined amount shown above. All the interest is accrued and deferred and payable as residual receipts. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

#### Housing Trust Fund of Ventura County -- \$4,430,975

The Housing Trust Fund of Ventura County has committed to providing a loan in the amount of \$4,430,975 with a term of 30 months, 3.0% interest rate. These funds will be available during the construction loan period.

These funds are committed to the project.

Costs Deferred Until Construction Completion -- \$1,455,422



### A Non-Profit, Affordable Housing & Service Provider



1259 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd, Thousand Oaks CA 91362 PHONE (805) 496-4948

FAX (805) 497-1305

The Applicant will defer development costs estimated to be \$1,455,422 until the end of the construction period.

These funds are committed to the project.

#### Deferred Developer Fee -- \$2,729,524

The Applicant plans to defer \$2,729,524 of developer fee into operations. These funds are committed to the project.

#### GP Capital Contribution -- \$100

The Applicant will contribute as a GP Capital Contribution in the amount of \$100. These funds are committed to the project.

#### PERMANENT FINANCING

The following sources will be used to fund the total development costs:

Source	Amount
Permanent Loan	\$ 9,387,620
HCD - NPLH	\$ 6,398,736
County of Ventura PLHA	\$ 751,263
County of Ventura PLHA Accrued Deferred Interest	\$ 28,358
County of Ventura HOME	\$ 284,391
County of Ventura HOME Accrued Deferred Interest	\$ 12,349
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 2,729,524
Capital Contribution – GP Developer Fee	\$ 1,976,174
Capital Contribution – GP Capital – State Credit Sale	\$ 4,383,453
Capital Contribution – GP Capital – Insurance Proceeds	\$ 1,500,000
Limited Partner Net Equity	\$ 24,451,220
Total Permanent Period Sources	\$ 51,903,187

#### Tax-Exempt Permanent Loan -- \$9,387,620

Upon completion of construction and achievement of stabilized operations the construction bonds will convert to permanent bonds through JP Morgan Chase. JP Morgan Chase will provide a tax-exempt permanent loan of \$9,387,620 at an estimated all in-interest rate of 5.420%. The loan term is 216 months with a 35-year amortization and a starting DCR of 1.15.

These funds are committed to the project.

#### Limited Partner Net Equity -- \$24,451,220

The investor limited partner, U.S. Bancorp Community Development Corporation, will contribute approximately \$24,451,220 equity proceeds to the project. These funds are committed to the project.



### <u>A Non-Profit, Affordable Housing & Service Provider</u>



 1259 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd, Thousand Oaks CA 91362

 PHONE (805) 496-4948
 FAX (805) 497-1305

#### Capital Contribution – GP Capital – State Credit Sale -- \$4,383,453

The investor limited partner, U.S. Bancorp Community Development Corporation, will contribute approximately \$4,383,453 equity proceeds to the project. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

## California Department of Housing and Community Development -- No Place Like Home Program \$6,398,736

The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has committed to provide a loan of \$6,398,736 with a term of 55 years, 3% interest rate. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

### County of Ventura Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) -- \$751,263

See description in construction financing above. These funds are committed to the project.

**County of Ventura HOME -- \$284,391** See description in construction financing above. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

#### Accrued/Deferred Interest -- \$40,707

The County of Ventura funds (PLHA and HOME) will accrue interest during construction in the combined amount shown above. All the interest is accrued and deferred and payable as residual receipts. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

#### Deferred Developer Fee -- \$2,729,524

The Applicant plans to defer \$2,729,524 of developer fee into operations. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

#### GP Capital Contribution -- \$1,976,174

The Applicant will contribute as a GP Capital Contribution in the amount of \$1,976,174. These funds are committed to the project.

#### GP Capital Contribution -- \$100

The Applicant will contribute as a GP Capital Contribution in the amount of \$100. These funds are committed to the project.

#### GP Capital – Insurance Proceeds -- \$1,500,000

The Applicant will contribute as a GP Capital Contribution in the amount of \$1,500,000. These funds are committed to the project.

#### **OPERATING SUBSIDIES**

Housing Authority of the County of Ventura Project Based Section 8 Vouchers





All of the restricted 49 units of the development will be subsidized by HUD Project Based Section 8 vouchers administered by the Housing Authority of the County of Ventura (AHACV). Rents will be set at the County of Ventura's Fair Market Rents (Payment Standard), as shown in the commitment letter from AHACV. AHACV has committed to an initial Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) contract term of 20 years, as shown in their commitment letter. **These funds are committed to the project.** 

Thank you for your consideration and support,

**Douglas Menges** 

Executive Director Office: 805-496-4948 x251 Direct: 818-699-5020





# AGENDA ITEM 9 Request to Extend the Bond Allocation Issuance Deadline for Qualified Residential Rental Project and Request to Waive Forfeiture of the Performance Deposit (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §§5052, 5100, 5132)

#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE August 6, 2024

#### Request to Extend the Bond Allocation Issuance Deadline for Qualified Residential Rental Projects and Request to Waive Forfeiture of the Performance Deposit (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §§ 5052, 5100, 5132)

(Agenda Item No. 9)

#### Action:

Approve bond issuance deadline extension requests and waiver of the forfeiture of the performance deposit on listed projects.

#### BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 5100, there are certain expirations date for issuing Qualified Residential Rental Project Bonds days depending on the circumstances at the time of allocation.

Per the resolutions for each project, if the bond allocation transferred to the applicant has not been issued by the issuance deadline, the applicant shall notify CDLAC and carryforward the allocation to the next approved project to be awarded a bond allocation in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 4, Section 5133.

Per section 5051 of the CDLAC Regulations, an extension of the expiration date for Qualified Residential Rental Bonds granted pursuant to Section 5101 or 5132 will result in forfeiture of the Project's performance deposit to the extent that the performance deposit has not previously been forfeited.

#### DISCUSSION

The applicants for the projects below are requesting bond issuance deadline extensions and waivers of performance deposit forfeitures outside of the Executive Director's authority. All the allocations for the projects were awarded on December 6, 2023; except for CA-24-553 Pacific Street Apartments Four which will be awarded at the August 6, 2024 Round 1 QRRP Meeting, and the supplementals for 801 E. 5<sup>th</sup> Street (CA-23-401, CA-23-679, CA-24-579) which were awarded on October 12, 2023, November 8, 2023 and May 31, 2024.

Project No.	Project Name	Project Type	No. of Units	Allocation	Location	Original Issuance Deadline	Extension Requested
CA-23-401, CA-23-679, CA-24-579	801 E. 5 <sup>th</sup> Street (Supplementals)	New Construction, Special Needs	95	\$6,900,000 and \$5,100,000 and \$3,954,282	Los Angeles	8/6/24	5 days

Agenda Item No. 9 August 6, 2024

CA-23-612	West Harbor Park	New Construction, Non-Targeted	25	\$3,925,000	Vallejo	9/3/24	90 days
CA-23-646	Citrus Grove Apartments	Acquisition & Rehabilitation, Large Family	152	\$32,895,715	Rialto	9/16/24	90 days
CA-23-653	One San Pedro Phase I	New Construction, Large Family, ELI/VLI	47	\$22,460,305	Los Angeles	9/16/24	60 days
CA-23-656	Two Worlds Apartments	Acquisition & Rehabilitation, Non-Targeted	96	\$15,800,000	Los Angeles	9/16/24	45 days
CA-23-657	St. Andrews Arms & Second Avenue Apartments	Acquisition & Rehabilitation, Non-Targeted	64	\$12,900,000	Los Angeles	9/16/24	45 days
CA-23-659	Panorama View Apartments	Acquisition & Rehabilitation, Seniors	89	\$20,000,000	Los Angeles	9/16/24	45 days
CA-24-553	Pacific Street Apartments Four	New Construction, Non-Targeted	50	\$10,693,101	Rocklin	2/3/25	90 days

The applicants have submitted formal extension requests and the bond issuer and/or the project sponsor will speak on behalf of each project.



Monday, July 29, 2024

Elizabeth Selby Director, Affordable Housing Bond Program Development & Finance Division Housing Development Bureau

RE: Request for 5-Day Hardship Extension for 803 E. 5th St (23-109, 23-227 and 24-122)

Dear Mrs. Selby:

CRCD 5<sup>th</sup> Street LP respectfully requests an allocation expiration date extension of five (5) additional days from our current August 6th closing deadline due to unforeseen challenges per Section 5101 of the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Regulations. This request applies to supplemental allocations under resolutions 23-109, 23-227, and 24-122. This would make our new mandatory closing date Tuesday, August 13, 2024.

Our project, 803 E. 5<sup>th</sup> St., has been impacted by uncontrollable and unforeseeable circumstances during the escrow closing process regarding the restructuring of the development's limited partnership and financing. We have been able to progress the closing to just a few remaining open items, but require more time to finalize the key elements of the limited partnership agreement given the complex nature of the exiting General Partner and the entering Administrative General Partner.

This makes it impossible for us to finalize the issuance of the supplemental bonds awarded under resolutions 23-109, 23-227, and 24-122 by the required August 6th, 2024, deadline.

#### Request for Waiver of Forfeiture of Performance Deposit

In addition to the request for an extension, we would also like to take this time to request a waiver of the forfeiture of our performance deposit. We believe that we have performed to the best of our abilities to push this project forward and are committing significant financial resources from our own operating capital to ensure a successful closing.

Should you have any questions, please contact Alejandro Martinez, (323) 842-4774 or email alejandro@crcdpartners.com.

Sincerely,

lejondar,

Alejandro Martinez President, CRCD Partners LLC

Ann Sewill, General Manager Tricia Keane, Executive Officer

Daniel Huynh, Assistant General Manager Anna E. Ortega, Assistant General Manager Luz C. Santiago, Assistant General Manager City of Los Angeles



LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT 1910 Sunset Blvd, Ste 300 Los Angeles, CA 90026 Tel: 213.808.8808

housing.lacity.org

Karen Bass, Mayor

July 29, 24

Marina Wiant, Interim Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: 803 E 5<sup>th</sup> St (Supplemental Resolution No. 23-109, 23-227 & 24-122):

Request for 5- day Hardship Extension of Allocation Expiration date and a Waiver of the Forfeiture of the Performance Deposit and Negative Points

Dear Ms. Wiant:

On behalf of the sponsor for the 803 E. 5<sup>th</sup> St (the "Project") and in accordance with Section 5101 of the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) Regulations, the City of Los Angeles (the "City") requests a five (5) days extension of the allocation expiration date from August 6, 2024 to August 13, 2024, and a waiver of the forfeiture of performance deposit and negative points.

The City's request is based upon sponsor's written request (attached) which is due to uncontrollable and unforeseeable circumstances.

The City and the project team are working diligently to resolve all outstanding matters in order to close financing and comply with CDLAC's bond issuance deadline. In light of the foregoing, we respectfully request a 5-day extension of City's bond closing deadline through August 13, 2024.

The City of Los Angeles very much appreciates your consideration of this request. Please indicate your approval (and/or additional conditions) under separate cover. If you have any questions related to this matter, you can contact Cecilia Rosales of my staff at (213) 808-8189.

Sincerely,

Georgina Tamayo Manager, Affordable Housing Bond Program

Attachments:

Sponsor Letter

#### West Harbor Park Affordable Partners, LP 550 S. California Ave, Suite 330 Palo Alto, CA 94306 650-833-0100

June 20, 2024

Marina Wiant Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Supplemental Allocation and Request for 90-day Extension - Resolution No. 23-255

#### **Request for 90-day Extension**

Klein Financial Corporation (the "Developer") respectfully requests a 90-day extension to the current CDLAC closing deadline of September 3, 2024. In addition, we request a waiver of any forfeiture of the performance deposit or assignment of any negative points to the Project Sponsor that could be imposed by this request. The project's costs have increased dramatically and we are restructuring the capital stack to achieve feasibility and if we are granted the supplemental allocation, then the project will need additional time to coordinate with its lender and investor.

Also, the project continues to work with its engineer, architect, contractor, and subcontractors to reduce costs with value engineering which need to be processed though the City of Vallejo.

#### Supplemental Allocation (revised 40-unit project)

The West Harbor Park property will consist of 122 residential units located in 3 new residential apartment buildings. 20% of the units will be restricted to tenants earning less than 50% AMI and will be owned by a separate partnership from the market rate units under the legal name of West Harbor Park Affordable Partners LP to be able to separately allocate the tax credits. The West Harbor Park Affordable Apartments project will consist of the 24 affordable units, plus one manager unit within the 122-unit West Harbor Park development

In August 2023, the partnership submitted a CDLAC application for only the 24 restricted units (20% set aside) to minimize the amount of bond allocation requested. The project was awarded a \$3,925,000 (**\$163,541/unit**) allocation on 12/6/23 and subsequently received a 90-day extension with a new issuance deadline of 9/3/24.

Construction and interest expense costs have increased dramatically and to partially offset these costs, we plan to convert 16 of the market rate units to tax credits units using the LIHTC income averaging rule to generate additional tax credit equity. To satisfy the 50% test on the revised 40-unit tax credit affordable property, the project submitted a supplemental allocation request for \$5,225,000 which equates to an overall CDLAC allocation per unit figure of **\$228,750/unit** which is a very efficient execution.

- Approximately \$2,700,000 of the supplemental request is for the original 24 units and;
- Approximately \$2,525,000 of the supplemental request is for the new 16 units

The gross amount of the supplemental request is relatively small, and we are creating 16 additional tax credit units. The increase requested is consistent with other, recent, supplemental requests that have been approved. For example, a property in Hollywood recently received a supplemental allocation of \$11,500,000 with a tax-exempt bond allocation of approximately \$510,000/unit. Another project in Los Angeles has received 2 supplemental allocations totaling approximately \$10,400,000 with a tax-exempt bond allocation of approximately \$660,000/unit.

Therefore, given the increase in costs, the requirement to satisfy the 50% test and the 66.67% increase in affordable units generated, we respectfully request approval of the supplemental allocation. It is essential that the reviewers realize, there is no alternative mechanism – other than the Supplemental Request Process – to increase the number of affordable units in a project (consistent with the Mandate of the Committee to maximize the number of affordable units), once a project sponsor has received a bond allocation. Note: The project is not receiving any state tax credits or other forms of government grants/subordinate loans and as part of our feasibility agreement with our equity partner, we agreed to increase the number of tax credit units.

#### Supplemental Allocation (existing 24-unit project)

If the supplemental request outlined above for the 40-unit project is not allowed, then we would like to request \$2,725,000 in supplemental tax-exempt bonds for the existing previously approved 24-unit project. This request is over 10% of the original bond allocation but below previously approved supplemental percentages (73.3% SUP% City of LA project) granted by the committee. As outlined above, the project's development costs have increased substantially and with the approved supplemental bond allocation, the project will finance 52.36% of the aggregate basis with tax-exempt bonds. With the supplemental allocation the total approved bonds would be \$6,650,000 (\$277,083/unit) which is at or below industry averages.

We have been working on this project since June 2019 and have incurred significant predevelopment costs and are hopeful that we can move forward now with the construction of 40 new affordable tax credit units; but, if the Committee elects not to approve the 40 unit application, we request that the increased allocation for the 24 unit application be approved. The time extension will be necessary in either case, since the finalization of the closing documents with our lender and investor must wait for the result of this hearing, to make the final adjustments and schedule the closing dates.

To the best of our recollection, in the past 45 years, Klein Financial Corporation has not requested a supplemental allocation. We appreciate your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

West Harbor Park Affordable Partners, LP

By: West Harbor Park GP, LLC, its General Partner

By: Klein Financial Corporation, its Manager

By: Robert N Elein

Robert M46Klein, its President



July 23, 2024

VIA EMAIL

Marina Wiant Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 915 Capitol Mall, Room 311 Sacramento, CA 95814

#### Re: <u>Request for Extension (Citrus Grove Apartments)</u> CDLAC Resolution 23-284 (Application 23-646)

Dear Ms. Wiant:

Please accept this letter as our request for the Committee to grant a 90-day extension to the above referenced Project's readiness deadline, currently set for September 16<sup>th</sup>, 2024. During a comprehensive site evaluation aimed at finalizing the project's scope, the sponsor's Vice President of Construction identified significant structural concerns. Initially, what appeared as localized wood rot on a building deck was, upon detailed inspection, found to be extensive damage. The unforeseen deterioration, primarily under decks and landings, represents \$1.3 million in critical life and safety repairs due to concealed wood rot. Further investigation revealed that water intrusion at the juncture of flashing and stucco, along with compromised waterproof deck coatings, had exacerbated the issue, remaining undetected until now.

The scope of these additional repairs has resulted in a financial shortfall of \$1.5 million. This unexpected gap promoted a reassessment with the sponsor's financial partners which took additional time to work through. The Project has since secured social enterprise funds to address the shortfall. The resultant delays have also led to concerns from Housing and Community Development (HCD) regarding meeting the impending deadline. Given the unforeseen severity and immediate necessity of these life and safety repairs, we are seeking an extension. The gravity of the situation and the critical nature of the repairs warrant prompt attention and action.

We respectfully request the Committee to consider granting a 90-day extension to the Project's CDLAC readiness deadline. In addition, we respectfully request a waiver of forfeiture of the performance deposit and assignment of negative points, as the circumstances were outside of the sponsor's control. Thank you very much for your consideration of this request. Please let me know if you require any additional information.

Sincerely,

Jon Penkower Managing Director



July 16, 2024

VIA EMAIL

Marina Wiant, Interim Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: One San Pedro Phase I (aka 327 Harbor Apartments) - Resolution No. 23-290

Dear Ms. Wiant:

I am writing to request waiver of negative points and waiver of the forfeiture of performance deposit, and an additional sixty (60) day extension to issue the tax-exempt bonds for 327 Harbor Apartments (the "Project").

The Project is in the San Pedro area of the City of Los Angeles and is the first offsite phase of a proposed 1,600-unit mixed use community that will replace a 1940's public housing development know as Rancho San Pedro that consists of 478 housing units. The Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles (HACLA) has partnered with National Community Renaissance of California (National CORE), Century Housing Corporation and The Richman Group (together the "Developer"), to demolish and replace the public housing units with new mixed finance residential units. The Developer has acquired the Project site and will be permit ready to begin construction this summer. HACLA will take title of the land prior to closing the construction financing and will provide a long-term ground lease on which the project will be built.

The Developer and HACLA applied in September 2023 for an allocation of QRRP tax-exempt private activity bonds and 4% federal tax credits and received an allocation in December 2023. The current deadline to issue the bonds is September 16<sup>th</sup>. HACLA, together with Developer, respectfully request a sixty (60) day extension until November 15, 2024, for the following reasons:

• As part of HUD's Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) application for the 7 RAD PBVs, the HUD office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) reviews the application for the proposed number of units (ADA units) available for the persons with disabilities, to verify that it complies with federal standards. As part of this review, the FHEO office determined that the number of ADA units required by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) was not in compliance with federal regulations and issued a letter of disapproval on March 19th, 2024. Following the issuance of the letter, both the developer and HACLA engaged in communications with the FEHO office and TCAC staff to find a resolution, however these negotiations took approximately three months to resolve. HACLA was not able to submit the RAD application until the FEHO approved the housing plan for the project, HACLA received approval from the FHEO office on June 6th, 2024. The delayed RAD application submittal pushed back HUD's review of our RAD application, HUD's issuance of the RAD Conditional Commitment (RCC) and HUD's approval of all financing documents, therefore, further delaying the issuance of the bonds and financial closing process.

• • • •

9692 Haven Avenue, Suite 100 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730



 To maximize Project financing, we are utilizing a Fannie Mae MTEB structure where the tax-exempt bonds are being issued via a public sale with Fannie Mae credit enhancement rather than a private placement which was originally anticipated. Fannie will not provide its approval of the credit enhancement until HUD completes the RAD approval process and it then takes a few weeks to close after Fannie allows us to post the preliminary official statement. (We will be submitting an official request to CDLAC to amend the original bond allocation resolution to reflect this public offering structure.)

Based on the above item, which was unforeseen and outside of the Developer's control, we are requesting that CDLAC approve an additional 60-day extension.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Michael Paune

Michael Raune President National Community Renaissance of California



9692 Haven Avenue, Suite 100 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730



#### Build HOPE: Investing in People and Place

July 16, 2024

Marina Wiant, Interim Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

#### Re: One San Pedro Phase I (aka 327 Harbor Apartments) - Resolution No. 23-290

Dear Ms. Wiant,

On behalf of the sponsor for One San Pedro Phase I (the "Project"), the Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles (the "Applicant" or "HACLA") requests a 60-day extension to the September 16, 2024, bond issuance deadline. We respectfully request extending the bond issuance deadline to November 15, 2024. We also request a waiver of negative points and a waiver of forfeiture of the performance deposit.

HACLA presents these requests in response to written notification (attached) received from the Project's sponsor indicating that additional time is needed to address the following issues:

- The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") Rental Assistance Demonstration ("RAD") application needed to be reviewed and approved by HUD's office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity ("FHEO") regarding the proposed amount of ADA units available for persons with disabilities as required by federal regulations. The RAD application could not be submitted to HUD's Office of Recapitalization for their approval until FHEO's June 5, 2024 approval of the housing plan. This delay by FHEO pushed back HUD's review of the RAD application, RAD Conversion Commitment ("RCC") and the approval of all financing documents as well as the issuance of the bonds and closing process.
- For economic reasons, the Bonds are being issued via a public sale with Fannie Mae credit enhancement for the permanent series rather than via a private placement. From the time that Fannie allows to post the Preliminary Offering

Statement (POS) to closing, about 3 extra weeks will be required. Fannie will not provide its approval until the RAD process has been completed with HUD.

One San Pedro Phase I is the critically important first step of a multi-phased and sequentially scheduled complete redevelopment of Rancho San Pedro. This redevelopment initiative will transpire across more than a dozen years and provide quality affordable housing to hundreds of residents. The 60-day bond issuance extension will greatly assist HACLA with keeping the overall Rancho San Pedro redevelopment initiative on schedule. HACLA very much appreciates your consideration of our request.

Please indicate your approval (and/or additional conditions) under separate cover. If you have any questions related to this matter, you can contact John Castanon at (213) 435-0167 / John.castanon@hacla.org or Francisco Lopez at (213) 513-3069 / Francisco.lopez@hacla.org.

Sincerely,

Genny Farles

Jenny Scanlin Chief Development Officer

Attachment: Sponsor Letter



July 24, 2024

VIA EMAIL

Marina Wiant Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 915 Capitol Mall, Room 311 Sacramento, CA 95814

> Re: <u>Requests for Extension</u> (Two Worlds Apartments - Application 23-656) (St. Andrews Apartments - Application 23-657) (Panorama Apartments - Application 23-659)

Dear Ms. Wiant:

Please accept this letter as our request for the Committee to grant an additional 45-day extension to the each of the above referenced projects' readiness deadline of September 16, 2024.

As the sponsor was working diligently through all required approval items with HUD and LAHD, they have run into a few delays with summer schedules and an unexpected redesign on a seismic issue that needed to be addressed for final review and approval. This has now been completed and the team is moving the forward based on the minor redesigns for the buildings. Unfortunately, it has set them back in time and we would really appreciate the 45-day extension to finalize and close the financing on the properties so the needed rehabilitation of the buildings can commence.

We respectfully request the Committee to consider granting a 45-day extension to the Project's CDLAC readiness deadline. In addition, we respectfully request a waiver of forfeiture of the performance deposit and assignment of negative points.

Thank you very much for your consideration of this request. Please let me know if you require any additional information.

Sincerely,

Jon Penkower Managing Director



2111 Palomar Airport Road, Suite 320 • Carlsbad, CA 92011 • (760) 930-1221 • Fax (760) 683-3390

July 30, 2024

Marina Wiant Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Room 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Requesting a 90-day CDLAC deadline extension for the Pacific Street Apartments Four Project (CDLAC Application No. 24-553)

Dear Ms. Marina Wiant:

I am writing on behalf of the California Municipal Finance Authority (the "Authority") to request a 90day CDLAC deadline extension for the Pacific Street Apartments Four Project. The Project is scheduled to be awarded allocation on August 6, 2024.

In anticipation of receipt of a bond award, we request an extension pursuant to Section 5101 of the CDLAC Regulations. The 9% portion of the project was submitted to TCAC in July. Preliminary indications are that it will receive an award this round. The readiness deadline for that portion of the project will be up to 194 days from the October TCAC meeting date.

Through this extension, we seek to establish the readiness deadline for the final 9% award as the governing readiness deadline. This is consistent with the proposed regulation changes to be considered at the August meeting – language added to Section 5100(b)(3)(i), if approved, will set the expiration date as the later of the deadline assigned by CDLAC or CTCAC.

Hybrid projects are an important aspect of the state's strategy to stretch limited 9% credits farther and allow for the creation of more affordable units. In order to be successful and efficient, the two components of the hybrid project are planned to close simultaneously.

The Pacific Street Apartments combined project will create 110 new homes for low-income individuals and families in Placer County. We respectfully request that you grant this extension in order to allow for an efficient implementation of this hybrid project.

This letter also requests a waiver of forfeiture of the performance deposit and negative points.

Should you have any questions or need further information, please don't hesitate to contact me. I can be reached at (760) 930-1221

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

The P. Stouker

John P. Stoecker Financial Advisor California Municipal Finance Authority



# AGENDA ITEM 10 Request to Waive Forfeiture of the Performance Deposit and Negative Points for the Return of Allocation for Qualified Residential Rental Project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §§5052, 5230)

#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE August 6, 2024

# Request to Waive Forfeiture of the Performance Deposit and Negative Points for the Return of Allocation for Qualified Residential Rental Project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §§5052, 5230)

(Agenda Item No. 10)

#### Action:

Consider the request to waive the forfeiture of the performance deposit for the Grisham Community Housing Project, application CA-23-640, following the return of the allocation.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 5052, applicants bear the risk of forfeiting all or part of the performance deposit if the allocation is not used in accordance with the conditions and/or timeframes set forth in the CDLAC Resolution.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The Grisham Community Housing Project received an allocation of \$13,192,000 on December 6, 2023, which was returned on June 3, 2024. The housing sponsor is requesting a waiver of the forfeiture of the performance deposit of \$65,960 and a waiver of negative points.

The bond issuer, California Municipal Finance Authority, or the project sponsor, Abode Communities will speak on behalf of the project.



2111 Palomar Airport Road, Suite 320 • Carlsbad, CA 92011 • (760) 930-1221 • Fax (760) 683-3390

June 11, 2024

Ms. Marina Wiant Deputy Executive Director California Debt Limit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Room 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Requesting to Return Bond Allocation and waive the forfeiture of performance deposit and waive any negative points for Grisham Community Housing (CDLAC Application No. 23-640)

Dear Ms. Wiant:

I am writing on behalf of the California Municipal Finance Authority (the "Authority") to request to return \$13,192,000 of private activity bond allocation and waive the forfeiture of performance deposit and waive any negative points for Grisham Community Housing (Resolution No. 23-278).

On December 6, 2023, Abode Communities received a Resolution from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee transferring a portion of the 2023 State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for the development of the affordable housing project known as Grisham Community Housing ("Project"). Abode Communities recently received a bid from their General Contractor that was approximately \$8M over budget. The new construction estimate was so much higher than the original estimate that they are not able to address the current issues at the property. As a result, they have decided to cancel the project and return the allocation to CDLAC.

Should you have any questions or need further information, please don't hesitate to contact me. I can be reached at (760) 930-1266.

Very truly yours,

2min Bh

Benjamin Barker CMFA



# AGENDA ITEM 11 Request to Waive the Maximum Bond Allocation Amount (\$75,000,000) for Round 2 Qualified Residential Rental Project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §5232)

#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE August 6, 2024

#### Request to Waive the Maximum Bond Allocation Amount (\$75,000,000) for Round 2 Qualified Residential Rental Project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4 §5232) (Agenda Item No. 11)

#### ACTION:

Approve waiving the maximum bond allocation (\$75,000,000) amount for Qualified Residential Rental Projects (QRRP) that will apply in Round 2 of 2024. This does not guarantee the project(s) will be recommended for or awarded bond allocation.

#### BACKGROUND:

CDLAC regulation, California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 5232(a), limits the bond allocation to no more than \$75,000,000 for any proposed Qualified Residential Rental Project (QRRP) during a Competitive Application Process. Where a QRRP is located within one-fourth mile of another QRRP involving the same Project Sponsor or Related Party to the Project Sponsor, the Allocation amount, in the aggregate, cannot exceed \$75,000,000 within a calendar year.

Additionally, CDLAC regulation Section 5232(b) states:

"The Committee may waive the maximum allocation amount if the Committee determines that the demand for allocation for QRRPs is such that the maximum allocation amount is not warranted. An Applicant requesting an Allocation in excess of seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) may seek a waiver from the Committee based on the following factors:

(1) The Qualified Residential Rental Project qualifies as an At-Risk Project [as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 5170]; or

(2) Documentation is provided in the Application indicating why a QRRP cannot be developed in phases at a \$75,000,000 level. The documentation must be specific and may include, but is not limited to, a site plan detailing the layout of the subject property, unit mix per stage of the phase, any unique features of the property which inhibits phasing, a description of infrastructure costs, and a cost breakdown by phases."

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The projects below are requesting a waiver and meets the requirements pursuant to CDLAC regulation section 5232(b):

APPLICATION		TOTAL ALLOCATION	TIMEFRAME
NUMBER	NAME		
CA-TBD	Santa Monica	In Excess of	Round 2 (FY2024 – August 27, 2024)
	Christian Towers	\$75,000,000	

The applicant for Santa Monica Christina Towers has indicated that it is a proposed acquisition/rehabilitation high-rise project which includes 163 units in one 13-story building. The building is over 60 years old and will require substantial rehabilitation of all building systems which cannot be done in multiple phases. Because of the combined cost of the acquisition and substantial rehabilitation the applicant is requesting approval to the maximum bond allocation prior to their application submission.

Staff have determined that an approval of this waiver request will not impact the possible award of any other project.



June 27, 2024

Marina Wiant Executive Director California Debt Limited Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Santa Monica Christian Towers Santa Monica, Los Angeles, California Bond Allocation Greater Than \$75,000,000

Dear Ms. Wiant:

The Project Sponsor is requesting an exception to the \$75,000,000 allocation limit (Section 5232 of the CDLAC Regulations) for the proposed project known as "Santa Monica Christian Towers."

The project is applying for an allocation of Tax-Exempt Bonds and 4% Tax Credits in Round 2 FY2024 (August 27, 2024) and your approval of our exception request ahead of the application deadline would be greatly appreciated.

Santa Monica Christian Towers is a proposed acquisition/rehabilitation high-rise project comprised of 163 units in one 13-story building originally constructed in 1963 and designated for Seniors in the City of Santa Monica, County of Los Angeles. The project is located at 1233 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Santa Monica, CA 90401.

The rehabilitation of the project will include a seismic retrofit of the building as required by the City of Santa Monica's Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Requirements for Non-Ductile Concrete Buildings. The building is also over 60 years old and requires substantial rehabilitation of all building systems. The work cannot be done in phases because the project is a single structure. Combined, the cost of the acquisition, seismic retrofit and substantial rehabilitation will require us to request a bond allocation in excess of the \$75,000,000 allocation limit.

A substantial number of the units' affordability restrictions expire in 2028. This proposed acquisition/rehabilitation with bonds and 4% tax credits shall preserve affordability of this project long-term.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jordan Pynes, President TSA Housing, Inc.

11811 SAN VICENTE BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90049-5063 TEL (310) 820-4888 FAX (310) 207-6986



# AGENDA ITEM 12 Public Comment



# AGENDA ITEM 13

Adjournment