## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project December 11, 2024

Wakeland Riverwalk, located at 6364 Village Drive in San Diego on a 1.69 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,875,755 in annual federal tax credits and \$10,725,542 in total state tax credits and \$70,150,547 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 190 units of housing, consisting of 188 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 46 one-bedroom units, 89 two-bedroom units, and 55 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in June 2025 and be completed in August 2027. The project will be developed by Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 39 and Assembly District 78.

The project financing includes state funding from the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) program of HCD.

#### Project Number

CA-24-667

Project Name	Wakeland Riverwalk
Site Address:	6364 Village Drive
	San Diego, CA 92108
County:	San Diego
Census Tract:	89.02

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total *
Requested:	\$6,875,755	\$10,725,542
Recommended:	\$6,875,755	\$10,725,542

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation Recommended:	\$70,150,547
CTCAC Applicant Information	
CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:	Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation
Applicant for State Credits:	Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation
Contact:	Lisa Huff
Address:	1230 Columbia Street, #950
	San Diego, 92101
Phone:	858-699-2044
Email:	lhuff@wakelandhdc.com
Bond Financing Information CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser:	CalHFA Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Banner Bank

### **Development Team**

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent:

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: Total # Residential Buildings: Total # of Units: No. / % of Low Income Units: Average Targeted Affordability: Federal Set-Aside Elected: Federal Subsidy:

## Information

Housing Type:	Large Family
Geographic Area:	San Diego County
State Ceiling Pool:	New Construction
Set Aside:	Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside
CDLAC Project Analyst:	Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst:	Jacob Paixao

3

190

188

49.95%

40%/60%

Tax-Exempt

**New Construction** 

100.00%

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting	Number of Units	Percentage of Affordable Units
30% AMI:	48	26%
50% AMI:	45	24%
60% AMI:	95	51%

#### **Unit Mix**

46 1-Bedroom Units 89 2-Bedroom Units 55 3-Bedroom Units 190 Total Units Wakeland Riverwalk Family LLC SDR Affordable 1 LLC Joint Venture Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation SD Riverwalk LLC Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation California Housing Partnership ConAm Management Corporation

Unit Type	2024 Rents Target	ed %	Proposed Rent	
& Number	of Area Median Inc		cluding utilities)	
12 1 Bedroom	30%		\$852	
7 1 Bedroom	50%		\$1,420	
27 1 Bedroom	60%		\$1,704	
22 2 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,023	
14 2 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,705	
51 2 Bedrooms	60%		\$2,046	
14 3 Bedrooms	30%		\$1,182	
24 3 Bedrooms	50%		\$1,970	
17 3 Bedrooms	60%		\$2,364	
2 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Uni	t	\$0	
Project Cost Summary at Application	on			
Land and Acquisition	\$578,168	3		
Construction Costs	\$94,017,771			
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0	)		
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,720,725	5		
Soft Cost Contingency	\$472,692	2		
Relocation	\$0	)		
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,785,615	5		
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$18,177,787	7		
Legal Fees	\$155,000	)		
Reserves	\$792,876	6		
Other Costs	\$5,885,687			
Developer Fee	\$17,246,876			
Commercial Costs	\$0			
Total	\$144,833,197			
Residential				
Construction Cost Per Square Foot:		\$524		
Per Unit Cost:		\$762,280		
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:		\$434,627		
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:		\$683,086		
Bond Allocation Per Unit:		\$369,213		
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental	Unit:	\$373,141		
Construction Finan	cing	Р	ermanent Financi	ing
Source	Amount	Source		Amount
Banner Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$70,150,547	Banner Bank: T	ax-Exempt	\$22,968,000
Banner Bank: Taxable	\$41,760,301	HCD: AHSC HF	RI¹	\$3,500,000
Banner Bank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$1,000,000	HCD: AHSC AF	HD <sup>2</sup>	\$26,445,162
HCD: AHSC HRI <sup>1</sup>	\$3,500,000	General Partne	r Loan	\$4,000,000
General Partner Loan	\$4,000,000	Deferred Devel	oper Fee	\$15,046,876
Deferred Costs	\$2,250,877	Tax Credit Equi	•	\$72,873,159
Deferred Developer Fee	¢15 046 976		-	¢111 022 107

\*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>1</sup>Housing Related Infrastructure

Deferred Developer Fee

Tax Credit Equity

<sup>2</sup> Affordable Housing Development

\$144,833,197

TOTAL

\$15,046,876

\$7,124,596

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$132,226,050
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$171,893,865
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$6,875,755
Total State Credit:	\$10,725,542
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$17,246,876
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.92761
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84781

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a high cost of \$683,086 per unit. The applicant noted the per unit cost is due to Southern California's increased construction costs, a large parking structure, remedial grading and temporary shoring, and prevailing wages. Additionally, the applicant noted increased costs specific to the size of the project which requires a large photovoltaic system and the use of cranes and manlifts.

## CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 103.268%